

MERIT RESEARCH JOURNALS www.meritresearchjournals.org

Merit Research Journal of Environmental Science and Toxicology (ISSN: 2350-2266) Vol. 3(1) pp. 012-016, January, 2015 Available online http://www.meritresearchjournals.org/est/index.htm Copyright © 2015 Merit Research Journals

# Review

# Phytoremediation Potential of Free Floating Macrophytes – A Review

Nuzhat Shafi, Ashok K. Pandit, Azra N. Kamili and Basharat Mushtaq

Abstract

Centre of Research for Development, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India

\*Corresponding Author's E-mail: geonuzu@gmail.com Water bodies are the main targets for disposing the pollutants directly or indirectly and are again at the receiving end as the storm water, residential and commercial waste is disposed into it. The prevailing conventional purification technologies such as waste water treatment plants, drinking water purification plants used to remove these contaminants are too costly and sometimes non-eco friendly also. Therefore, the research is oriented towards low cost and eco friendly technologies for water purification, which will be beneficial for community. The present review highlights the phytoaccumulation potential of free floating macrophytes with emphasis on utilization of Azolla spp., Lemna spp. and Salvinia spp. for phytoremediation. We find that these species have many unique properties ideal for phytoremediation: they have fast growth and primary production, high bioaccumulation capacity, ability to transform or degrade contaminants, ability to regulate chemical speciation, resilient to extreme contaminant concentration and can be applied on multiple pollutants simultaneously.

**Keywords:** Freshwater, Free-floating macrophytes, phytoremediation, heavy metals

## INTRODUCTION

The legacy of rapid urbanisation, industrialization, population explosion, fertilizer and pesticide use in the last few decades have added huge loads of pollutants in the water resources (CPBC, 2008). Among various pollutants, heavy metals are of major concern because of their persistant and bio accumulation nature (Chang et al., 2009 and Yadav et al., 2009). The increased pollutants in the receiving water are toxic to the living communities in the aquatic ecosystem, and also cause health problems in human. A definite need exists to develop a low cost and eco friendly technology to remove pollutants particularly heavy metals from aquatic ecosystems. Phytoremediation offers an attractive alternative in which macrophytes are used for removal, disposal and recovery of heavy metals from eutrophic water of urban areas, agricultural fields before they are discharged to surface water systems (Arora et al., 2006).

#### Phytoremediation

The term "phytoremediation" comes from the greek word (phyto=plant, and Latin "remedium" = restoring balance; consists of mitigating pollutant concentrations in soil, water or air with naturally occurring or genetically engineered plants that have ability to accumulate, degrade or eliminate metals, crude oil and its derivatives etc. (Flathman and Lanza 1998 and Prasad and Freitas, 2003). Phytoremediation has been increasingly used to clean up contaminated water systems because of its lower costs and fewer negative effects than physical or chemical engineering approaches (Reddy and DeBusk, 1987). The principles of phytoremediation system to clean up water include: (1) identification and implementation of efficient aquatic plant systems; (2) uptake of dissolved nutrients and metals by the growing plants (Billore et al., 1998 and Gumbricht, 1993).

Aquatic plants are utilized for nutrient and metal removal from water due to their fast growth rates, simple growth requirements and ability to accumulate biogenic elements and toxic substances. Since the first recognition of their value in water quality improvement in the 1960s and the 1970s (Steward, 1970 and Wooten and Dodd, 1976), aquatic plants have been widely used to treat wastewaters or increasingly used to remediate eutrophic waters in forms of constructed wetlands or retention ponds. This is a low-cost treatment with low land requirements, which is attractive to urban areas with high land prices. Seidal (1976), Wolverton and McDonald (1976) and Wolverton and Mckown (1976) experimentally proved the importance of aquatic plants in removing organic contaminants from aquatic environments. Thereafter this approach is emerging as an innovative tool, because plants are solar driven and thus makes this technology a cost effective mode, with great potential to achieve sustainable environment. This review is an attempt to gather information available regarding phytoaccumulation potential of free floating macrophytes, emphasizing its strength and need for indepth research related to its exploitation at commercial level.

# Free floating macrophytes

Aquatic macrophytes are more suitable for wastewater treatment than terrestrial plants because of their faster growth, larger biomass production, capability of pollutant uptake and better purification effects due to direct contact with contaminated water. They also play an important role in the structural and functional aspect of aquatic ecosystems by altering water movement, providing shelter to aquatic invertebrates, regulating oxygen balance, nutrient cycle and accumulating heavy metals (Srivastava et al., 2008; Dhote and Dixit, 2009). Their ability to hyperaccumulate heavy metals make them interesting especially for the treatment of industrial effluents and sewage waste water (Mkandawire et al., 2004; Arora et al., 2006; Upadhyay et al., 2007; Mishra et al., 2009). The potential of aquatic macrophytes for heavy metal removal has been investigated and reviewed extensively. Many researchers have reported that high heavy metal concentrations (Cu, Cd, Mn, Pb, Hg, etc.) were measured in the tissues of aquatic plant growing in waters with elevated metal concentrations and no toxic plant effects or reduction in arowth were observed(Brooks and Robinson, 1998; Prasad and Freitas, 2003; Dhote and Dixit, 2009; Rai, 2009). Phytoremediation potential of aquatic plants are regulated by tolerance capacity, accumulation potential and environmental factors like pH, temperature and concentration of heavy metal. Aquatic macrophytes have

ability to concentrate heavy metals in their roots, shoots as well as leaves (Mishra *et al.*, 2009).

Aquatic plants are grouped into submerged, emergent, rooted floating and free floating according to their leaf's relation with water. Among the free floating aquatic plants, duckweed (*Lemna spp.* and *Spirodela polyrrhiza*) and common salvinia (*Salvinia minima*) are the best candidates (Jain *et al.*, 1990; Maine *et al.*, 2004 and Sanchez-Galvan *et al.*, 2008). With regard to the uptake capacity of aquatic plants, and subsequently the amount of nutrients or contaminants that can be removed when the biomass is harvested, floating plants are in the lead, followed by emergent species and then submerged species. Free floating macrophytes representing plants that are non-rooted to substratum, highly diversified group in habitats and forms e.g. *Salvinia* spp., *Azolla* spp., *Lemna* spp., etc.

# Azolla spp.

Azolla spp. is a small aquatic fern belonging to phylum pteridophyta, class polypodiopsida, order Salviniales, Family Azollaceae (Wagnar, 1997 and Pabby et al., 2003). The fern has symbiotic association with nitrogen fixing cyanobacteria. This fern can hyperaccumulate variety of pollutants such as heavy metals, dyes and pesticides etc. from ecosystems along with other macrophytes (Padmesh et al., 2006; Rai and Tripathi, 2009; Mashkani and Ghazvini, 2009 and Sood et al., 2011). Jain et al. (1989) found that A. pinnata removed the heavy metals iron and copper from polluted water. If present at low concentrations the treatment could be done by passing it through ponds containing these water plants. Azolla pinnata removed 92.7, 83.0, 59.1, 65.1, 95.0, 90.0 and 73.1% of the initial Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Co, Cd and Ni, respectively from mixture of waste waters (Elsharawy et al., 2004). Arora et al., 2006) compared A. filiculoides with A. microphylla and A. pinnata for its phytoaccumulation potential of Cd, Cr, and Ni. They recorded that Cd, Ni and Cr content (ppm) in tissues was in the following order: A. microphylla>A. filiculoides>A. pinnata; A. pinnata> A. microphylla> A. filiculoides and A. pinnata>A. filiculoides>A. microphylla, respectively. The content of heavy metal Pb was upto 416 mg kg-1dry weight and that of Cd was up to 259 mg kg-1dry weight in fronds of A. caroliniana (Rakhshaee et al., 2006). Azolla biomass, in dead or pretreated form, has also been used for biosorption of heavy metals like Cs, Sr, Pb, Zn, Ni, Cu, Au, Cd, and Cr by various workers (Cohen Shoel et al., 2002 and Umali et al., 2006).

#### Lemna spp.

Lemna spp. of the family Lemnaceae have been widely

studied for their potential application in phytoremediation. Research with Lemna minor and heavy metals (sp. Cu, Cd, Hg, Pb) dates back to the early 1970's at the advent of phytoremediation (Antonovics et al., 1971). There are several studies that have shown that most Lemna spp. show an exceptional capability and potential for the uptake and accumulation of heavy metals, radionuclides as well as metalloids, surpassing that of algae and other aquatic macrophytes (Korner and Vermaat 1998 and Zimmo et al., 2004). Lemna minor can be found on almost every continent and in nearly all non-polar regions (Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN)). Lemna minor can be found under some of the most extreme toxic conditions such as sludge ponds and mining waste ponds, thus showing its potential for use in remediating these environments. Previous research suggests that the absorption of heavy metals in free floating aquatic plants, such as Lemna minor, occur via the roots (Marschner, 1995). Lemna minor was chosen as the aquatic plant species for removal of copper for several reasons. The most essential reason for its use is well documented by other researchers in its ability to act as a bioaccumulator of heavy metals (Prasad and Frietes, 2003). Another reason for using Lemna minor is its tolerance to a large range of habitats. Boule et al. (2009) found lower Cu uptake and tolerance level in Lemna minor from the non-contaminated area compared to another ecotype from uranium polluted mine. Mkandawire et al. (2004) estimated that L. gibba biomass can extracted arsenic and uranium in the magnitude of 751.9 ± 250 and 662.7 ± 203 kg ha-1 per year representing extraction potential of 48.3 ± 15.1 and 41.4 ± 11.9% under ideal laboratory condition optimal steady state condition with unlimited growth.

#### Salviniaspp.

Salvinia, a free-floating aquatic fern holds a distinct position because of several advantages including high productivity and tolerance to a wide range of temperatures (Olguin et al., 2002). The potential of Salvinia for heavy metal removal has been studied extensively (Srivastav et al., 1993; Banerjee and Sarkar, 1997; Hoffman et al., 2004; Mukherjee and Kumar, 2005 and Molisani et al., 2006). The metal uptake in Salvinia occurs through a biological or physical mode. The metals Cr, Pb uptake by physical processes is fast and involves adsorption, ionic exchange and chelation, while biological processes such as intracellular uptake (transported through plasma lemma into cells) is comparatively slow but help in subsequent translocation of metals Cd from roots to leaves (Sune et al., 2007). High metal removal capacity of Salvinia biomass has been attributed to great specific surface (264 m2 g-1) that is rich in carbohydrates (48.50%) and carboxyl groups (0.95 m mol g-1) (Sanchez-Galvan et al.,

2008). Among various Salvinia species, S. minima, is considered as a hyperaccumulator of lead and cadmium because it shows high bioconcentration factor (BCF) which can reach in the range of 2000-2600 in batch systems and 4134 to 17170 in continuous systems (Olguin et al., 2005). Non-living biomass of Salvinia exhibit equivalently high potential to remove heavy metals. The higher concentration of lipids and carbohydrates present on the plant surface act as the cationic weak exchanger groups that contribute to metal sorption by ion exchange reactions. Sorption of heavy metals by dry biomass also follows the Langmuir isotherm (Schneider and Rubio, 1999).

## CONCLUSION

An integrated approach could be developed from present review by using free floating as phytoremediation toolbased on the use of specially selected metal accumulating plants in abatement of heavy metal pollution. These macrophytes possess all the properties for use in phytoremediation process, such as fast growth rate, high biomass production, easy to harvest and tolerance to a wide range of heavy metals. They are confirmed to be good accumulators and potential hyperaccumulators for many metals including the widely reported Cu, Cr, Cd, Ni, Pb, U, As, Zn as well as Cs and Sr. The harvested residues could be a good source of protein rich feed for animals, green manure and a source of bio-ore for recovery of precious heavy metals from contaminated waste waters. Much research is still needed around elemental defense mechanism and their regulation at gene level to get effective strategies for multi element contaminated aquatic ecosystems.

#### REFERENCES

- Anchez-Galván G, Monroy O, Gómez G, Olguín EJ (2008). Assessment of thehyperaccumulating leads capacity of *Salvinia minima* using bioadsorption and intracellular accumulation factors. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 194: 77–90.
- Antonovics J, Bradshaw AD, Turner RG (1971). Heavy metal tolerance in plants. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 7: 1-85.
- Arora A, Saxen S, Sharma DK (2006). Tolerance and Phytoaccumulation of Chromium by three *Azolla* species. *World J. Microbiol. and Biotechnol.* 22: 97-100.
- Arora A, Saxena S, Sharma DK (2006). Tolerance and phytoaccumulation of chromium by three Azolla species. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 22:97-100.
- Arora A, Sood A, Singh PK (2004). Hyperaccumulation of cadmium and nickel by Azollaspecies. *Indian J. Plant Physiology*. 3:302-304.
- Banerjee G, Sarker S (1997). The role of Salviniarotundifoliain scavenging aquatic Pb(II) pollution: a case study. *Bioprocess* Engineering.17: 295-300.
- Billore SK, Bharadia R, Kurnar A (1998). Potential removal ofparticulate matter and nitrogen through root of water hyacinth in atropical natural wetland. *Current Sci.* 74(2): 154-156.
- Boule KM, Vicente JAF, Nabais C, Prasad MNV, Freitas H (2009). Ecophysiological tolerance of duckweeds exposed to coper. Aquatic

Toxicology. 91: 1-9.

- Brook RR, Robinson BH (1998). Aquatic Phytoremediation by accumulator plants. In: plants that hyperaccumulate heavy metals. Their role in Phytoremediation, microbiology, archaeology, mineral exploration and phytomining, editer. Brook RR.: 203-226. Wallingford, UK: CABI International.
- Central Pollution Controle Board. (2008). Status of Water quality in India 2007, New Delhi, India: CPCB.
- Chang JS, Yoon IH, Kim KW (2009). Heavy metal and arsenic accumulating fern species as potential ecological indicators in Ascontaminated abandoned mines. *Ecological Indicators*. 9:1275– 1279.
- Chang JS, Yoon IH, Kim KW (2009). Heavy metal and arsenic accumulating fern species as potential ecological indicators in As contaminated abandoned mines. *Ecological indicators*. 9:1275-1279.
- Cohen-Shoel N, Barkay Z, Ilzycer D, Gilath I, Telor E (2002). Biofiltration of toxic elements by Azollabiomass. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 135: 93–104.
- Dhote S, Dixit S (2009). Water quality improvement through macrophytes- a review. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*.152:149-153.
- Flathman PE, Lanza GR (1998). Phytoremediation: current views on an emerging green technology. J. Soil Contamination. 7: 415-432.
- Gumbricht T (1993). Nutrient removal capacity in submersed macrophyte pond systems in a temperate climate. *Ecol. Eng.* 2: 49-61.
- Hoffmann T, Kutter C, Santamaria JM (2004). Capacity of *Salvinia* minima Baker to tolerate and accumulate As and Pb. *Engineering Life Science.*4: 61-65.
- Jain SK, Vasudavan P, Jha NK (1989). Removal of some heavy metals from polluted water by aquatic plants: studies on duckweed and water velvet. *Biological wastes*. 28:115-126.
- Jain SK, Vasudevan P, Jha N (1990). AzollapinnataR. Br. and Lemna minorL. for removal of lead and zinc from polluted water. Water Research. 24:177-183.
- Jain SK, Vasudevan P, Jha NK (1989). Removal of some heavy metals from polluted water by aquatic plants: Studies on Duckweed and water velvet. *Biological Wastes*. 28:115-126.
- Korner S, Vermaat JE (1998). The relative importance of Lemna gibba (L.),bacteria and algae for the nitrogen and phosphrous removal in duckweed covered domestic wastewater. *Water Res.*,32: 3651-3661.
- Maine MA, Sune NL, Lagger SC (2004). Chromium bioaccumulation: comparisonof the capacity of two free-floating macrophytes. *Water Research* 38: 1494-1501.
- Marschner H (1995). *Mineral nutrition of higher plants.* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Academic press, San Diego, 889 pp.
- Mashkani SG, Ghazvini PTM (2009). Biotechnological potential of Azollafiliculoidesfor biosorption of Cs and Sr: Application of micro-PIXE for measurement of Biosorption. *Bioresource Technology*. 100: 1915–1921.
- Mishra VK, Tripathi BD, Kim KH (2009). Removal and accumulation of mercury by aquatic macrophytes from an open cast coal mine effulant. J. Hazardous Materials. 172: 749-754.
- Mishra VK, Tripathi BD, Kim. (2009). Removal and accumulation of mercury by aquatic macrophytes from an opencast coal mine effluent. J. Hazardous Materials.172:749–754.
- Mkandawire M, Taubert B, Dudel EG (2004). Capacity of Lemna gibba for uranium and arsenic phytoremediation in mine tailing waters. *International J. Phytoremediation*. 6:347-362.
- Molisani MM, Rocha R, Machado W, Barreto RC, Lacerda LD (2006). Mercurycontents in aquatic macrophytes from two resevoirs in the paraiba do sul: Guanduriver system, Se Brazil. *Brazilian J. Biol.* 66: 101-107.
- Mukherjee S, Kumar S (2005). Adsorptive uptake of arsenic (V) from water by aquaticfern Salvinia natans. Journal of Water Supply: Research Technology.54: 47-53.
- Olguin EJ, Sanchez GG, Perez T, Orozco A (2005). Surfaceadsorption, intracellular accumulation and compartmentalization of Pb(II)

inbatch-operated lagoons with *Salvinia minima* as affected by environmental conditions, EDTA and nutrients. *Journal of Industrial and Microbiology Biotechnology*.32: 577–586.

- Olguin J, Hernandez E, Ramos I (2002). The effect of both different light conditions and the pH value on the capacity of *Salvinia minima* BAKER for removing cadmium, lead and chromium. *Acta Biotechnology*.22: 121-131.
- Pabby A, Ahluwalia AS, Dua S (2003). Current status of Azolla taxonomy. In: Ahluwalia AS, Edited. *Phycology: principals, processes and applications*. India: Daya Publishers,: 48-63.
- Pabby A, Prasanna R, Nayak S, Singh PK (2003). Physiological characterization of the cultured and freshly isolated endosymbionts from different species of Azolla. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*. 41:73-79.
- Padmesh TVN, Vijayraghavan K, Sekaran G, Velan M (2006). Application of azollarongpong on biosorption of acid red 88, acid green 3, acid orange 7 and acid blue 15 from synthetic solutions. *Chemical Eng. J.* 122:55-63.
- Padmesh TVN, Vijayraghavan K, Sekaran G, Velan V (2006). Application of Azollarongpong on biosorption of acid red 88, acidgreen 3, acid orange 7 and acid blue 15 from synthetic solutions. *Chemical Engi. J.* 122:55-63.
- Prasad MNV, Freitas H (2003). Metal hyperaccumulation in plants, biodiversity prospecting for phytoremidiation technology. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*. 6: 285-321.
- Rai PK (2009). Heavy metal Phytoremediation from aquatic ecosystems with special reference to acrophytes. *Critical reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. 39:697-753.
- Rai PK, Tripathi BD (2009). Comparative assessment of AzollapinnataandVallisneriaspiralisin Hg removal from G.B. Pant Sagar of Singrauli industrial region, India. *Environmental Monitoring* and Assessment. 148:75–84.
- Rakhshaee R, Khosravi M, Masoud MT (2006). Kinetic modelling and thermodynamic study to remove Pb(II), Cd(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) from aqueous solution using dead and living Azolla. *J. Hazardous Materials.* 134:120–129.
- Reddy and Debusk WF (1987). Nutrient storage capabilities of aquatic and wetland plants. *Aquatic plants for water treatment and resource recovery*. Magnolia publishing Inc., 337-357.
- Schneider IAH, Rubio J (1999). Sorption of Heavy Metal ions by the nonliving biomass of freshwater macrophytes. *Environmental Science and Technology* 33,2213-2217.
- Seidal K (1976). Macrophytes and water purification. in *Tourbier J, Pierson RW*, Editors. Biological Control for water pollution. Pennsylvanial: University Press; 109-121.
- Smith RC, Ainley D, Baker K, Damacle E, Emslie S, Frasar W, Kennelt J, Laventeer A, Mosley E, Vernat M (1999). Marine ecosystem sensitivity to climate change. *Bioscience* 49:393-404.
- Sood A, Pabbi S, Uniyal PL (2011). Effect of paraquat on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes in aquatic fern Azollamicrophylla. *Russian J. Plant Physiol.* 58:667-673.
- Srivastav RK, Gupta SK, Nigam KDP, Vasudevan P (1993). Use of aquatic plants for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater. *Int. J. Environ. Stud.* 45: 43-50.
- Srivastava J, Gupta A, Chandra H (2008). Managing water quality with aquatic macrophytes. *Reviews in Environmental Sciences and Biotechnology*.7:255-266.
- Stewart KK (1970). Nutrient removal potential of various aquatic plant. *Hyacinth control Journal.* 8:34-35.
- Sune N, Sa'nchez G, Caffaratti S, Maine MA (2007). Cadmium and chromiumremoval kinetics from solution by two aquatic macrophytes. *Environmental Pollution*.145: 467-473.
- Umali LJ, Duncan JR, Burgess JE (2006). Performance of dead Azollafiliculoidesbiomass in biosorption of Au from wastewater. *Biotechnology Letters*. 28:45-49.
- Upadhyay AR, Mishra VK, Pandey SK, Tripathi BD (2007). Biofiltration of secondary treated municipal wastewater in a tropical city. *Ecological Engineering*, 30: 9-15.
- Wagnar GM (1997). Azolla: A review on its biology and utilization. Botanical Reviews.63:1-26.

#### 016 Merit Res. J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol.

- Wagner GM (1997). Azolla: A review of its biology and utilization. *The Botanical Review*. 63:1-26.
- Wolverton BC, McDonald RC (1976). Don't waste waterweeds. *New Scientist.* 71: 318-320.
- Wolverton BC, McKown MM (1976). Water hyacinth for removal of phenols from polluted waters. *Aquatic Botany*. 30:29-37.
- Wooten JW, Dodd DJ (1976). Growth of water hyacinth in treated sewage effulent. *Economic Botany*. 30:29-37.
- Yadav SK, Juwarkar AA, Kumar GP, Thawale PR, Singh SK, Chakrabarti T (2009). Bioaccumulation and phytotranslocation of arsenic, chromium and zinc by *Jatrophacurcas*. *Bioresource Technology*. 100:4616-4622.
- Zimmo OR, Vander SNP, Gijzen HJ (2004). Nitrogen mass balance across pilot-scale algae and duckweed-based wastewater stabilization ponds. *Water Research*. 38, 4:913-920.