

PICARD SET OF A KIND OF DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOIMIALS

BY ZHAN XIAO PING

Abstract

In the present paper we answer a problem about Picard sets of differential polynomial $F=f^nQ(f)$, which was raised by Anderson, Baker and clunie (cf. [1]).

1. Introduction and result

Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function. We denote by $S(r, f)$, as usual, any function satisfying

$$S(r, f) = O(\log r) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

when f has finite order, and

$$S(r, f) = O(\log r T(r, f)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, r \in E, \text{ meas } E < \infty$$

when f has infinite order. We call a meromorphic function $a(z)$ “small” function if $a(z)$ satisfies $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$. We call $M(f) = f^n (f')^{n_1} \dots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ a monomial in f , $\nu_M = n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_k$ its degree and $\Gamma_M = n_0 + 2n_1 + \dots + (1+k)n_k$ its weight. Further, let $M_1(f), \dots, M_l(f)$ denote monomials in f and a_1, \dots, a_l denote small functions, then $P(f) = a_1 M_1(f) + \dots + a_l M_l(f)$ is called a differential polynomial in f of degree $\nu_P = \max_{j=1}^l \nu_{M_j}$, and weight $\Gamma_P = \max_{j=1}^l \Gamma_{M_j}$. In particular a_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$) are entire functions when f is an entire function.

J. M. Anderson, I. N. Baker and J. G. Clunie proved the following:

THEOREM A. [1] *Suppose that f is a transcendental entire function and $F = f^n$, $n \geq 3$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an infinite point set in \mathbb{C} with $\left| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right| > q > 1$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Then $F'(z)$ assumes all values $w \in \mathbb{C}$, except possibly zero, infinitely often in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}$.*

The above authors asked the following two questions:

(a) Can the sets be made larger for entire functions at least? In particular,

Received November 9, 1988; Revised October 24, 1989.

can they consist of small disks?

(b) Are there similar results for differential polynomials of the form $F(z) = f^n Q(f)$, where $Q(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f ?

Question (a) was solved by Langley [2] for entire functions, but we have not seen any results about (b) so far.

We proved the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let f be a transcendental entire function, $\mathfrak{F} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ an infinite point set in \mathbf{C} with $\left| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right| > q > 1$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), set $F = f^n Q(f)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $Q(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f and $Q(f) \neq 0$, Then $F'(z)$ assumes all values $w \in \mathbf{C}$, except possibly $w=0$, infinitely often in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \mathfrak{F}$, provided $n \geq 3$.*

2. Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that f is a transcendental entire function and $F = f^n Q(f)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 3$, where $Q(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f and $Q(f) \neq 0$. Then*

$$T(r, f) < \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'-1}\right) + S(r, f) \tag{1}$$

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f and $P(f) \neq 0$, if z_0 is a pole of f of degree p ($p \geq 1$), and z_0 is not the pole of any small function a_j . Then z_0 is a pole of $P(f)$ of degree $p \cdot \nu_P + (\Gamma_P - \nu_P)$ at most.*

Proof. Let $\tau(z_0, P(f))$ be the degree of pole of $P(f)$ at z_0 , then there are nonnegative integers n_0, \dots, n_k which satisfy $\tau(z_0, P(f)) \leq pn_0 + (p+1)n_1 + \dots + (p+k)n_k = (p-1)(n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_k) + (n_0 + 2n_1 + \dots + (k+1)n_k) \leq (p-1)\nu_P + \Gamma_P = p \cdot \nu_P + (\Gamma_P - \nu_P)$.

LEMMA 3. [3] *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. If $Q(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f with arbitrary meromorphic coefficients q_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, then*

$$m(r, Q(f)) \leq \nu_Q m(r, f) + \sum_{j=1}^n m(r, q_j) + S(r, f)$$

LEMMA 4. [3] *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. And let $Q^*(f)$ and $Q(f)$ denote differential polynomials in f with arbitrary meromorphic coefficients q_1^*, \dots, q_k^* and q_1, \dots, q_l respectively. Further, let $P[f]$ be a nonconstant polynomial in f of degree n . Then from $P[f] \cdot Q^*(f) = Q(f)$ we can infer the following:*

- 1) if $\nu_Q \leq n$ then $m(r, Q^*(f)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k m(r, q_j^*) + \sum_{j=1}^l m(r, q_j) + S(r, f)$
- 2) if $\Gamma_Q \leq n$ then $N(r, Q^*(f)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k N(r, q_j^*) + \sum_{j=1}^l N(r, q_j) + O(1)$

LEMMA 5. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. And let $Q(f)$ and*

$P(f)$ be differential polynomials in f satisfying $P(f) \neq 0, Q(f) \neq 0$. Then $g_0 = -f^n Q(f)$ and $g_1 = f^n Q(f) + P(f)$ are independent over \mathbf{C} , provided $n \geq \Gamma_P + 1$.

Proof. Assume that $c_0 g_0 + c_1 g_1 = 0, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbf{C}$, that is $f^n Q(f)(c_1 - c_0) = -c_1 P(f)$. Obviously, we have $c_1 \neq 0$ and $c_0 \neq c_1$, we get $T(r, Q(f)) = S(r, f), N(r, fQ(f)) = S(r, f)$ from lemma 4, so $N(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)}\right) + N(r, fQ(f)) = S(r, f)$, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} nm(r, f) &= m(r, -c_1 P(f)/Q(f)(c_1 - c_0)) \leq m(r, P(f)) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \nu_P m(r, f) + N(r, Q(f)) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)}\right) + m(r, Q(f)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \nu_P m(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$$

which is impossible.

LEMMA 6. [1] Let $G(z)$ be an entire function, assume that all the zeros of $G(z)$ lie in the set $\mathcal{F} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\left| \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right| > q > 1$. Then $\bar{n}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = O(\log r), \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Suppose that $P(f)$ is a differential polynomial in f and $\Gamma_P \leq n - 1$, let $g_0 = -f^n Q(f), g_1 = f^n Q(f) + P(f)$, we know $\frac{g'_1}{g_1} - \frac{g'_0}{g_0} \neq 0$ from lemma 5. So, from $g_0 + g_1 = P(f)$ and $g'_0 + g'_1 = P'(f)$, we have

$$-f^n = \frac{P(f)(g'_1/g_1 - P'(f)/P(f))}{(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)} \tag{2}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, f^n) &\leq m(r, P(f)) + m(r, g'_1/g_1 - P'(f)/P(f)) + m(r, 1/(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) \\ &\leq \nu_P m(r, f) + N(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) - N(r, 1/(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) \\ &\quad + m(r, g'_1/g_1) + m(r, P'(f)/P(f)) + m(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

from lemma 3. From $T(r, g_i) = O(T(r, f)) (i=0, 1)$ and $T(r, P(f)) = O(T(r, f))$, we have $S(r, g_i) \leq S(r, f) (i=0, 1)$ and $S(r, P(f)) \leq S(r, f)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (n - \nu_P)m(r, f) &\leq N(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) - N(r, 1/(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) \\ &\quad + m(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite (2) as follows

$$-f^n (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f) = (g'_1/g_1 - P'(f)/P(f))P(f)$$

It is easy to know that $m(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) = S(r, f)$ from lemma 4. Thus

$$(n - \nu_P)m(r, f) \leq N(r, (g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) - N(r, 1/(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) + S(r, f) \quad (3)$$

Assume that z_0 is a pole of f of order p , and z_0 is not a zero or pole of coefficients of $P(f)$. Suppose that

$$Q(f)(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0) = c(z - z_0)^\mu \quad (c = c(z) \neq 0; \mu \text{ is an integer})$$

we know that $np \leq p\nu_P + \Gamma_P - \nu_P + 1 + \mu$ from (2) and lemma 2, thus

$$\mu \geq p(n - \nu_P) - (\Gamma_P - \nu_P + 1) \quad (4)$$

So, we have

$$N(r, 1/(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)Q(f)) \geq (n - \nu_P)N(r, f) - (\Gamma_P - \nu_P + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (4')$$

from (4). Obviously, the poles of $Q(f)(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)$ occur only at poles of f , zeros of g_0 (except the zeros of $Q(f)$), zeros of g_1 , zeros or poles of coefficients of $Q(f)$ and $P(f)$. If $n \geq \Gamma_P + 1$ and $p \geq 1$, it is easy to see that $\mu \geq 0$. Thus z_0 is not a pole of $Q(f)(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)$ provided z_0 is a pole of f . From the above analyses, we have

$$N(r, Q(f)(g'_1/g_1 - g'_0/g_0)) \leq \bar{N}(r, 1/g_1) + \bar{N}(r, 1/f) + S(r, f) \quad (5)$$

Thus

$$(n - \nu_P)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, 1/g_1) + \bar{N}(r, 1/f) + (\Gamma_P - \nu_P + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (6)$$

combining (3), (4)' and (5).

Let $F = f^n Q(f)$, so $F' = f^{n-1}(nf'Q(f) + fQ'(f)) = f^{n-1}Q_1(f)$, where $Q_1(f)$ is still a differential polynomial in f . Assume that $P(f) \equiv -1$, $g_0 = -f^{n-1}Q_1(f)$ ($= -F'$), $g_1 = f^{n-1}Q_1(f) - 1 = f^{n-1}Q_1(f) + P(f)$ ($= F' - 1$) such that g_0 and g_1 satisfy the conditions of lemma 5. Finally, we get $(n-2)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F' - 1}\right) + S(r, f)$ by applying equation (6) to g_0 and g_1 and noting $\Gamma_P = \nu_P = 0$. Hence lemma 1 is proved.

3. Proof of theorem.

Without loss of geneaality we suppose that $w=1$. Obviously, $F' - 1$ has infinitely many zeros from (1). If $F' - 1$ has only finitely many zeros in $C \setminus \mathcal{F}$, then $F' - 1$ has infinitely many zeros in \mathcal{F} . We suppose that $F' = 1$ at every point of \mathcal{F} by deleting some of the points λ_n of \mathcal{F} and adjusting notation if necessary. From lemma 6 and (1), we know that $T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \in E$, meas $E < \infty$. So f has order zero (see [3, lemma 3]). Hence f has infinitely many zeros since f is transcendental. And we have $S(r, f) = O(\log r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ since f has finite order, so we get

$$T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

For the convenience of presentation we set $f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\mu_k}\right)$. It is easy to know that each μ_k is a zero of $F'(z)$ of order at least 2. And we have $T(r, F'-1) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ from (7). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, for some $\varepsilon_k (0 < \varepsilon_k < \varepsilon)$ and large k we know $|F'-1| > 2$ (see [1, lemma 4]) and hence $|F'| > 1$ on the boundary of or outside these discs $\Delta_k = \{z : |z - \lambda_k| < \varepsilon_k |\lambda_k|\}$, so μ_k lie in one of these discs, say Δ_k . If ε is chosen sufficiently small then the disc Δ_k contains no other $\lambda_m (m \neq k)$ from the condition $|\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n| > q > 1$, and so no other z with $F'(z) = 1$. Now, suppose that the equation $F'(z) = 1$ has an m -fold root at λ_k and consider the level curves $|F'(z)| = 1$ passing through λ_k . These lie in Δ_k and consist of m distinct loops with only the point λ_k in common. By the maximum and minimum modulus principles, each loop contains at least one zero of $F'(z)$. So, F' has the same number of 1-points as zeros inside the Δ_k by Rouché theorem. Hence F' has only m simple zeros in the Δ_k . But that contradicts the presence of μ_k in the Δ_k which implies that F' has a zero of multiplicity at least 2 in the Δ_k . Hence the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. ANDERSON, I.N. BAKER AND J.G. CLUNIE, The distribution of values of certain entire and meromorphic functions, *Math Z*, 178 (1981), 509-525.
- [2] J.K. LANGLEY, Analogues of Picard sets for entire functions and their derivatives, *Contemporary mathematics*, Vol. 25 (1983), 75-86.
- [3] W. DOERINGER, Exceptional values of differential polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.* 98 (1982), 55-62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
P. R. CHINA