


NASA Contractor Report 198269



# Parameter Identification Flight Test Maneuvers for Closed Loop Modeling of the F-18 High Alpha Research Vehicle (HARV)

E. A. Morelli  
*Lockheed Martin Engineering & Sciences Company  
Hampton, Virginia*

Contract NAS1-19000

May 1996

---

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
Langley Research Center  
Hampton, Virginia 23681-0001

## Table of Contents

---

Abstract.....	ii
Nomenclature .....	iii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Maneuver Descriptions.....	2
III. Acknowledgments.....	3
IV. References.....	4
V. Input Specification Tables .....	5
VI. Control Time Histories.....	22

## **Abstract**

Flight test maneuvers are specified for the F-18 High Alpha Research Vehicle (HARV). The maneuvers were designed for closed loop parameter identification purposes, specifically for longitudinal and lateral linear model parameter estimation at 5, 20, 30, 45, and 60 degrees angle of attack, using the Actuated Nose Strakes for Enhanced Rolling (ANSER) control law in Thrust Vectoring (TV) mode. Each maneuver is to be realized by applying square wave inputs to specific pilot station controls using the On-Board Excitation System (OBES). Maneuver descriptions and complete specifications of the time / amplitude points defining each input are included, along with plots of the input time histories.

## Nomenclature

ANSER Actuated Nose Strakes for Enhanced Rolling

$h$  altitude, feet

OBES On-Board Excitation System

TV thrust vectoring

$t$  time, seconds

$V$  airspeed, feet/second

$\alpha$  angle of attack, degrees

$\eta_a$  lateral stick deflection in inches, positive for right stick

$\eta_e$  longitudinal stick deflection in inches, positive for aft deflection from neutral

$\eta_r$  rudder pedal force in pounds, positive for right rudder

### subscripts

$o$  nominal or trim value

## I. Introduction

The F-18 High Alpha Research Vehicle (HARV) is a highly instrumented research aircraft used in the NASA High Alpha Technology Program<sup>1</sup>. Objectives for this program include validating advanced control system design techniques in flight.

In this work, the technique described in references [2] and [3] was used to design flight test maneuvers consisting of optimal closed loop square wave inputs. These square wave inputs are to be applied directly to pilot station controls by the On-Board Excitation System (OBES)<sup>4</sup>. The optimal input design technique uses dynamic programming to compute globally optimal square wave inputs for model parameter estimation experiments, based on *a priori* dynamic models. Linear *a priori* dynamic models were obtained from an F-18 HARV nonlinear simulation<sup>5</sup>. The maneuvers were designed specifically to collect flight data with maximum information content for dynamic modeling purposes.

Specific objectives addressed by the maneuvers specified in this document are:

1. Identify closed loop longitudinal and lateral linear dynamic models for validation of the control law design methods implemented by the Actuated Nose Strakes for Enhanced Rolling (ANSER) control law in Thrust Vectoring (TV) mode.
2. Identify closed loop longitudinal and lateral linear models for comparison and correlation with military specifications for flying qualities of piloted aircraft, pilot comments and handling qualities ratings.
3. Update and verify existing aerodynamic models.

The purpose of this report is to document the specifications for the maneuvers designed to achieve the above objectives.

## II. Maneuver Descriptions

There are ten (10) optimal square wave input maneuvers described in this report. The maneuvers can be divided into two groups:

1. Five (5) maneuvers for longitudinal closed loop model identification using the OBES system.
2. Five (5) maneuvers for lateral-directional closed loop model identification using the OBES system.

All maneuvers are to be flown using the Actuated Nose Strakes for Enhanced Rolling (ANSER) control law in Thrust Vectoring (TV) mode<sup>6,7</sup>. Control definitions and sign conventions are given above in the Nomenclature section. Detailed descriptions of the maneuvers in each group appear below, with numbering corresponding to that given above.

1. This group of five maneuvers is for identifying closed loop longitudinal dynamic models and for control law design validation. Initial flight conditions are trim angle of attack 5, 20, 30, 45, and 60 degrees and approximately 25,000 feet altitude, with the ANSER control law in TV mode. These maneuvers involve pure longitudinal stick deflection by the On-Board Excitation System (OBES).

Optimal longitudinal stick input specifications for  $\alpha = 5, 20, 30, 45$  and 60 degrees are given in Tables 1–5, respectively. Each input specification consists of the initial flight condition for the maneuver, followed by a tabulation of the time / amplitude points for the input. Figures 1–5 show time histories for the optimal longitudinal stick inputs at  $\alpha = 5, 20, 30, 45$  and 60 degrees, respectively. Pilot station controls for the initial steady flight condition are defined as zero for the inputs shown in the figures. The inputs included a rate limit of 12 inches per second on the longitudinal stick input to reduce the effects of control surface rate limiting and to model human pilot capability.

Each maneuver in this group is to be flown two (2) times, for a total of ten (10) runs. Each run should be preceded by at least two seconds of steady trimmed flight, and followed by at least two seconds of free response before the pilot takes action to control the aircraft. The duration of each maneuver is 30 seconds. Estimated flight time for this set of maneuvers (including repeats) is approximately 25 minutes.

2. This group of five maneuvers is for identifying closed loop lateral-directional dynamic models and for control law design validation. Initial flight conditions are trim angle of attack 5, 20, 30, 45, and 60 degrees and approximately 25,000 feet altitude, with the ANSER control law in TV mode.

These maneuvers involve rudder pedal and lateral stick deflection by the On-Board Excitation System (OBES).

Optimal rudder pedal and lateral stick input specifications for  $\alpha = 5, 20, 30, 45$  and  $60$  degrees are given in Tables 6–10, respectively. Each input specification consists of the initial flight condition for the maneuver, followed by a tabulation of the time / amplitude points for the inputs. Figures 6–10 show time histories for the optimal rudder pedal and lateral stick inputs at  $\alpha = 5, 20, 30, 45$  and  $60$  degrees, respectively. Pilot station controls for the initial steady flight condition are defined as zero for the inputs shown in the figures. The inputs included a rate limit of approximately 425 pounds per second on the rudder pedal input and approximately 16 inches per second on the lateral stick input to reduce the effects of control surface rate limiting and to model human pilot capabilities. The stated rate limits are approximate because the physical deflections of the rudder pedal and lateral stick are nonlinear functions of the control system input units which were used in the models for designing the experiment.

Each maneuver in this group is to be flown two (2) times, for a total of ten (10) runs. Each run should be preceded by at least two seconds of steady trimmed flight, and followed by at least two seconds of free response before the pilot takes action to control the aircraft. The duration of each maneuver is 20 seconds, and consists of 10 seconds of pure rudder pedal input followed by 10 seconds of pure lateral stick input. Estimated flight time for this set of maneuvers (including repeats) is approximately 25 minutes.

### **III. Acknowledgments**

This research was conducted at the NASA Langley Research Center under NASA contract NAS1-19000.

#### **IV. References**

1. Gilbert, W.P. and Gatlin, D.H. "Review of the NASA High-Alpha Technology Program", NASA CP 3149, Volume I, Part I, High Angle-of-Attack Technology Conference, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia. October 30 - November 1, 1990, pp. 23-59.
2. Morelli, E. A. and Klein, V. "Optimal Input Design for Aircraft Parameter Estimation using Dynamic Programming Principles", AIAA paper 90-2801, Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference, Portland, Oregon. August 1990.
3. Morelli, E. A. "Practical Input Optimization for Aircraft Parameter Estimation Experiments", NASA CR 191462. May 1993.
4. Wichman, K.D. and Earls, M. "On-Board Excitation System Specification for the F-18 HARV", F-18 HARV Internal Document 91-84-101 (Rev. A), NASA Dryden Flight Research Facility, Edwards, California. July 1991.
5. Messina, M.D. et al. "F/A-18 High Angle-of-Attack Research Vehicle Simulation Modifications to Assist the Design of Advanced Control Laws", NASA TM 110216, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia. 1996.
6. HARV Control Law Design Team. "Design Specification for a Thrust-Vectoring, Actuated-Nose-Strake Flight Control Law for the High-Alpha Research Vehicle", NASA TM 110217, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia. 1996.
7. Davidson, J.B., Foster, J.V., Ostroff, A.J., Lallman, F.J., Murphy, P.C., Hoffler, K.D., and Messina, M.D. "Development of a Control Law Design Process Utilizing Advanced Synthesis Methods with Application to the NASA F-18 HARV", NASA CP-3137, Volume 4, High-Angle-of-Attack Projects and Technology Conference, NASA Dryden Flight Research Facility, Edwards, California. April 21-23, 1992, pp. 111-158.



## V. Input Specification Tables

### LONGITUDINAL CLOSED LOOP OPTIMAL INPUT MANEUVERS

**F-18 HARV using the ANSER Control Law in Thrust Vectoring Mode**

## 5 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL LONGITUDINAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 5^\circ$$

$$V_o = 370 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_e |_{\max} = 0.5 \text{ inch}$$

**Table 1**

(Figure 1)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
0	0
0.100	0.5
1.200	0.5
1.375	-0.5
1.800	-0.5
1.975	0.5
3.000	0.5
3.175	-0.5
4.200	-0.5
4.375	0.5
5.400	0.5
5.575	-0.5
6.900	-0.5
7.075	0.5
7.800	0.5
7.975	-0.5
9.300	-0.5
9.475	0.5
10.500	0.5
10.675	-0.5
11.700	-0.5

(cont.)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
11.875	0.5
13.500	0.5
13.675	-0.5
14.700	-0.5
14.875	0.5
15.600	0.5
15.775	-0.5
17.400	-0.5
17.575	0.5
18.300	0.5
18.475	-0.5
19.500	-0.5
19.675	0.5
21.300	0.5
21.475	-0.5
22.200	-0.5
22.375	0.5
23.400	0.5
23.575	-0.5
25.200	-0.5
25.375	0.5

(cont.)

**Table 1** (cont.)  
(Figure 1)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inch)
25.800	0.5
25.975	-0.5
27.300	-0.5
27.475	0.5
28.500	0.5
28.675	-0.5
29.700	-0.5
29.800	0
30.000	0

## 20 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL LONGITUDINAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 20^\circ$$

$$V_o = 198 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_e |_{\max} = 1.0 \text{ inch}$$

**Table 2**

(Figure 2)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
0	0
0.100	-1
0.900	-1
1.075	1
1.800	1
1.975	-1
3.000	-1
3.175	1
4.200	1
4.375	-1
5.100	-1
5.200	0
5.400	0
5.500	1
6.600	1
6.775	-1
7.800	-1
7.975	1
8.700	1
8.875	-1
9.900	-1
10.000	0

(cont.)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
10.200	0
10.300	1
11.400	1
11.575	-1
12.000	-1
12.175	1
13.200	1
13.375	-1
14.100	-1
14.275	1
15.300	1
15.400	0
15.600	0
15.700	-1
16.800	-1
16.975	1
18.000	1
18.175	-1
19.200	-1
19.375	1
19.800	1
19.975	-1

(cont.)

**Table 2** (cont.)  
(Figure 2)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
21.000	-1
21.100	0
21.300	0
21.400	-1
21.600	-1
21.775	1
21.900	1
22.075	-1
22.500	-1
22.600	0
22.800	0
22.900	-1
23.400	-1
23.500	0
23.700	0
23.800	1
24.900	1
25.075	-1
26.100	-1
26.200	0
26.400	0
26.500	1
27.600	1
27.775	-1
28.800	-1
28.975	1
29.700	1
29.800	0
30.000	0

### 30 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL LONGITUDINAL STICK MANEUVER

Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 30^\circ$$

$$V_o = 167 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$|OBES \eta_e|_{\max} = 1.0 \text{ inch}$$

**Table 3**

(Figure 3)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
0	0
0.100	1
0.800	1
0.900	0
1.200	0
1.300	-1
1.600	-1
1.775	1
2.600	1
2.700	0
3.000	0
3.100	-1
3.800	-1
3.975	1
5.000	1
5.175	-1
6.400	-1
6.575	1
7.600	1
7.775	-1
9.000	-1
9.175	1

(cont.)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
10.000	1
10.175	-1
11.200	-1
11.375	1
12.400	1
12.575	-1
13.600	-1
13.775	1
14.400	1
14.575	-1
15.600	-1
15.775	1
16.200	1
16.375	-1
17.200	-1
17.300	0
17.800	0
17.900	-1
18.200	-1
18.375	1
19.400	1
19.575	-1

(cont.)

**Table 3** (cont.)  
(Figure 3)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
20.600	-1
20.775	1
22.000	1
22.175	-1
23.200	-1
23.375	1
24.600	1
24.775	-1
25.800	-1
25.975	1
27.000	1
27.175	-1
28.200	-1
28.375	1
29.200	1
29.300	0
30.000	0

## 45 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL LONGITUDINAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 45^\circ$$

$$V_o = 156 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_e |_{\max} = 1.0 \text{ inch}$$

**Table 4**

(Figure 4)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
0	0
0.100	-1
0.900	-1
1.075	1
1.500	1
1.600	0
2.100	0
2.200	-1
3.000	-1
3.175	1
4.200	1
4.375	-1
5.400	-1
5.575	1
6.900	1
7.075	-1
7.800	-1
7.900	0
8.100	0
8.200	1
9.300	1
9.475	-1

(cont.)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
10.200	-1
10.375	1
11.400	1
11.575	-1
12.600	-1
12.700	0
12.900	0
13.000	1
14.100	1
14.275	-1
15.300	-1
15.475	1
16.500	1
16.600	0
16.800	0
16.900	-1
18.000	-1
18.175	1
19.200	1
19.375	-1
20.100	-1
20.275	1

(cont.)



**Table 4** (cont.)  
(Figure 4)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
21.300	1
21.475	-1
22.200	-1
22.375	1
23.400	1
23.575	-1
24.600	-1
24.775	1
25.500	1
25.675	-1
26.700	-1
26.875	1
27.300	1
27.475	-1
28.200	-1
28.300	0
28.500	0
28.600	1
28.800	1
28.975	-1
29.700	-1
29.800	0
30.000	0

## 60 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL LONGITUDINAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 60^\circ$$

$$V_o = 163 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$|\text{OBES} \cdot \eta_e|_{\max} = 1.0 \text{ inch}$$

**Table 5**

(Figure 5)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
0	0
0.100	1
0.675	1
0.775	0
0.900	0
1.000	1
1.350	1
1.450	0
2.025	0
2.125	1
2.475	1
2.650	-1
2.700	-1
2.875	1
3.150	1
3.325	-1
3.375	-1
3.475	0
3.825	0
3.925	1
4.050	1
4.150	0

(cont.)

OBES longitudinal stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
4.275	0
4.375	-1
4.950	-1
5.050	0
5.175	0
5.275	1
5.625	1
5.800	-1
5.850	-1
5.950	0
6.075	0
6.175	-1
6.975	-1
7.075	0
7.200	0
7.300	1
7.650	1
7.750	0
7.875	0
7.975	-1
9.450	-1
9.550	0

(cont.)

**Table 5** (cont.)  
(Figure 5)

OBES longitudinal stick	
Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
9.675	0
9.775	1
10.125	1
10.225	0
10.350	0
10.450	-1
11.925	-1
12.100	1
12.600	1
12.700	0
12.825	0
12.925	-1
15.750	-1
15.850	0
15.975	0
16.075	-1
16.875	-1
16.975	0
17.100	0
17.200	-1
17.775	-1
17.950	1
18.000	1
18.100	0
18.225	0
18.325	1
18.450	1
18.625	-1
19.350	-1
19.525	1

OBES longitudinal stick	
Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_e$ (inches)
21.375	1
21.550	-1
21.825	-1
22.000	1
24.300	1
24.475	-1
25.425	-1
25.600	1
26.775	1
26.950	-1
27.900	-1
28.000	0
28.125	0
28.225	1
29.250	1
29.425	-1
29.700	-1
29.800	0
30.000	0

(cont.)

**LATERAL CLOSED LOOP OPTIMAL INPUT MANEUVERS**

**F-18 HARV using the ANSER Control Law in Thrust Vectoring Mode**

## 5 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL RUDDER PEDAL / LATERAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 5^\circ$$

$$V_o = 370 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$|\text{OBES } \eta_r|_{\max} = 70.054 \text{ pounds}$$

$$|\text{OBES } \eta_a|_{\max} = 1.292 \text{ inches}$$

**Table 6**

(Figure 6)

OBES rudder pedal		OBES lateral stick	
Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_r$ (pounds)	Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_a$ (inches)
0	0	0	0
0.175	-70.054	10.000	0
1.200	-70.054	10.075	-1.292
1.525	70.054	10.800	-1.292
2.400	70.054	10.925	1.292
2.725	-70.054	12.000	1.292
2.800	-70.054	12.125	-1.292
3.125	70.054	13.200	-1.292
4.400	70.054	13.325	1.292
4.725	-70.054	14.400	1.292
5.200	-70.054	14.525	-1.292
5.525	70.054	15.600	-1.292
6.000	70.054	15.725	1.292
6.325	-70.054	16.400	1.292
6.800	-70.054	16.525	-1.292
6.975	0	17.200	-1.292
7.200	0	17.325	1.292
7.375	70.054	18.400	1.292
8.000	70.054	18.525	-1.292
8.325	-70.054	19.200	-1.292
8.800	-70.054	19.325	1.292
8.975	0	19.600	1.292
20.000	0	19.675	0
		20.000	0

## 20 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL RUDDER PEDAL / LATERAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 20^\circ$$

$$V_o = 198 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_r |_{\max} = 74.586 \text{ pounds}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_a |_{\max} = 2.211 \text{ inches}$$

**Table 7**

(Figure 7)

OBES rudder pedal		OBES lateral stick	
Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_r$ (pounds)	Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_a$ (inches)
0	0	0	0
0.175	74.586	10.000	0
1.200	74.586	10.150	2.211
1.550	-74.586	11.600	2.211
2.000	-74.586	11.900	-2.211
2.350	74.586	12.400	-2.211
2.800	74.586	12.700	2.211
3.150	-74.586	13.200	2.211
4.400	-74.586	13.500	-2.211
4.750	74.586	14.800	-2.211
4.800	74.586	15.100	2.211
5.150	-74.586	15.600	2.211
6.000	-74.586	15.900	-2.211
6.350	74.586	16.400	-2.211
6.800	74.586	16.700	2.211
6.975	0	18.000	2.211
7.200	0	18.300	-2.211
7.375	-74.586	19.200	-2.211
8.000	-74.586	19.350	0
8.350	74.586	20.000	0
8.800	74.586		
8.975	0		
9.200	0		
9.375	-74.586		
10.000	-74.586		
10.175	0		
20.000	0		

### 30 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL RUDDER PEDAL / LATERAL STICK MANEUVER

Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 30^\circ$$

$$V_o = 167 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$|\text{OBES } \eta_r|_{\max} = 79.034 \text{ pounds}$$

$$|\text{OBES } \eta_a|_{\max} = 2.211 \text{ inches}$$

**Table 8**

(Figure 8)

OBES rudder pedal

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_r$ (pounds)
0	0
0.200	-79.034
0.800	-79.034
1.000	0
1.200	0
1.400	-79.034
1.600	-79.034
1.800	0
2.000	0
2.200	-79.034
2.400	-79.034
2.775	79.034
3.600	79.034
3.800	0
4.000	0
4.200	-79.034
5.200	-79.034
5.575	79.034
6.400	79.034
6.775	-79.034
7.600	-79.034
7.975	79.034
8.800	79.034
9.175	-79.034
9.600	-79.034
9.800	0
20.000	0

OBES lateral stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_a$ (inches)
0	0
10.000	0
10.150	2.211
11.600	2.211
11.900	-2.211
13.600	-2.211
13.900	2.211
14.800	2.211
15.100	-2.211
16.000	-2.211
16.300	2.211
18.000	2.211
18.300	-2.211
19.600	-2.211
19.750	0
20.000	0

## 45 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL RUDDER PEDAL / LATERAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 45^\circ$$

$$V_o = 156 \text{ knots} \quad h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_r |_{\max} = 81.227 \text{ pounds}$$

$$| \text{OBES } \eta_a |_{\max} = 2.211 \text{ inches}$$

**Table 9**

(Figure 9)

OBES rudder pedal

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_r$ (pounds)
0	0
0.200	79.034
0.800	79.034
1.000	0
1.600	0
1.800	79.034
2.400	79.034
2.775	-79.034
3.600	-79.034
3.975	79.034
4.800	79.034
5.000	0
5.200	0
5.400	-79.034
5.600	-79.034
5.975	79.034
6.000	79.034
6.375	-79.034
7.200	-79.034
7.575	79.034
8.400	79.034
8.600	0
8.800	0
9.000	-79.034
10.000	-79.034
10.200	0
20.000	0

OBES lateral stick

Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_a$ (inches)
0	0
10.000	0
10.175	-2.318
11.600	-2.318
11.925	2.318
14.800	2.318
14.975	0
15.200	0
15.375	-2.318
18.000	-2.318
18.325	2.318
19.600	2.318
19.775	0
20.000	0



## 60 $\alpha$ OPTIMAL RUDDER PEDAL / LATERAL STICK MANEUVER

### Initial Conditions

$$\alpha_o = 60^\circ$$

$$V_o = 163 \text{ knots}$$

$$h_o = 25,000 \text{ feet}$$

$$|\text{OBES } \eta_r|_{\max} = 81.227 \text{ pounds}$$


$$|\text{OBES } \eta_a|_{\max} = 2.421 \text{ inches}$$

**Table 10**


(Figure 10)

OBES rudder pedal		OBES lateral stick	
Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_r$ (pounds)	Time (seconds)	OBES $\eta_a$ (inches)
0	0	0	0
0.200	79.034	10.000	0
0.800	79.034	10.175	-2.421
1.175	-79.034	11.200	-2.421
1.200	-79.034	11.550	2.421
1.400	0	14.000	2.421
1.600	0	14.175	0
1.800	79.034	14.400	0
2.800	79.034	14.575	-2.421
3.175	-79.034	16.000	-2.421
4.000	-79.034	16.350	2.421
4.375	79.034	17.200	2.421
4.800	79.034	17.375	0
5.175	-79.034	17.600	0
6.400	-79.034	17.775	-2.421
6.600	0	19.600	-2.421
6.800	0	19.775	0
7.000	79.034	20.000	0
7.600	79.034		
7.800	0		
8.000	0		
8.200	-79.034		
9.200	-79.034		
9.575	79.034		
10.000	79.034		
10.200	0		
20.000	0		


## VI. Control Time Histories




**Figure 1** F-18 HARV Optimal Longitudinal Stick Input,  $\alpha = 5$  degrees




**Figure 2** F-18 HARV Optimal Longitudinal Stick Input,  $\alpha = 20$  degrees





**Figure 3** F-18 HARV Optimal Longitudinal Stick Input,  $\alpha = 30$  degrees





**Figure 4** F-18 HARV Optimal Longitudinal Stick Input,  $\alpha = 45$  degrees



**Figure 5** F-18 HARV Optimal Longitudinal Stick Input,  $\alpha = 60$  degrees





**Figure 6** F-18 HARV Optimal Rudder Pedal and Lateral Stick Inputs,  $\alpha = 5$  degrees





**Figure 7** F-18 HARV Optimal Rudder Pedal and Lateral Stick Inputs,  $\alpha = 20$  degrees







**Figure 8** F-18 HARV Optimal Rudder Pedal and Lateral Stick Inputs,  $\alpha = 30$  degrees



**Figure 9** F-18 HARV Optimal Rudder Pedal and Lateral Stick Inputs,  $\alpha = 45$  degrees



**Figure 10** F-18 HARV Optimal Rudder Pedal and Lateral Stick Inputs,  $\alpha = 60$  degrees

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved*  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)</b>		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> May 1996	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Contractor Report	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Parameter Identification Flight Test Maneuvers for Closed Loop Modeling of the F-18 High Alpha Research Vehicle (HARV)			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b> C NAS1-19000  WU 505-64-52-01	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Eugene A. Morelli				
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Lockheed Martin Engineering & Sciences Co. Langley Program Office 144 Research Drive Hampton, VA 23666			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681-0001			<b>10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>  NASA CR-198269	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> Langley Technical Monitor: James G. Batterson				
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Unclassified Unlimited  Subject Category - 05			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</b> Flight test maneuvers are specified for the F-18 High Alpha Research Vehicle (HARV). The maneuvers were design for closed loop parameter identification purposed, specifically for longitudinal and lateral linear model parameter estimation at 5, 20, 30, 45, and 60 degrees angle of attack, using the Actuated Nose Strakes for Enhanced Rolling (ANSER) control law in Thrust Vectoring (TV) mode. Each maneuver is to be realized by applying square wave inputs to specific pilot station controls using the On-Board Excitation System (OBES). Maneuver descriptions and complete specifications of the time/amplitude points defining each input are included, along with plots of the input time histories.				
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Flight test maneuvers, parameter identification, optimal input design, closed loop modeling, thrust vectoring, F-18 HARV			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 35	
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b> A03	
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	