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#### Abstract

We provide a simple proof of (a modification of) Kato's theorem on the Hölder continuity of wave packets in $N$-body quantum systems. Using this method of proof and recent results of O'Connor, we prove a pointwise bound $$
|\Psi(\zeta)| \leqq D_{\varepsilon} \exp \left[-(1-\varepsilon) a_{0}|x|\right]
$$ on discrete eigenfunctions of energy $E$. Here $\varepsilon>0, a_{0}^{2}=2$ (mass of the system) [dist $\left.\left(E, \sigma_{\text {ess }}\right)\right]$ and $|x|$ is the radius of gyration.


1. Introduction. In 1957, T. Kato published a beautiful paper [2] which has not received the attention it deserves. Our secondary goal in this note is to provide a simple proof of Kato's result on the Hölder continuity of "wave packets" (i.e. vectors in $C^{\infty}(H)$ ) for $N$-body quantum systems on $R^{3 N-3}$ with two body potentials. Our proof of this fact, which appears in $\S 2$, uses the basic elements of Kato's proof, especially an $L^{p}$-bootstrap; but by working in momentum space instead of configuration space, we avoid the use of modified fundamental solutions and the only $L^{p}$ estimates we will need are Hölder's and Young's inequalities.

Our interest in Kato's paper was aroused by, and our major goal is related to, recent work of R. Ahlrichs [1] on the exponential falloff of discrete eigenfunctions of atomic systems. On physical grounds, one expects such an eigenfunction $\Psi$ to behave more or less like $\exp \left(-a_{0}|x|\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ where $|x|$ is the radius of gyration of the system (see $\S 3$ ) and where $a_{0}$ is a simple function of the masses of particles and the distance of the eigenvalue from the essential spectrum, (see $\S 3$ for an explicit formula). Ahlrichs proves that $\exp (a|x|) \Psi \in L^{2}$ for any $a<a_{0}$. He then uses Kato's result to prove that $\Psi$ obeys a pointwise bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(x)| \leqq C_{b} \exp (-b|x|) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b<\alpha a_{0}$ with $\alpha$ an explicit constant smaller than 1 . One expects a bound of the form (1) to hold for all $b<a_{0}$ and it is this result which is our

[^0]main concern here. Our proof of a pointwise bound with $b$ arbitrarily close to $a_{0}$ appears in §3.

Independently of Ahlrichs, A. O'Connor [3], [4] proved that $\exp (a|x|) \Psi \in L^{2}$ for $a<a_{0}$. O'Connor's method is very elegant, and his result is much more general than Ahlrichs requiring very minimal hypotheses on the potentials. Our proof in $\S 3$ will result by a simple synthesis of our version of Kato's Hölder continuity theorem and O'Connor's methods.
In $\S 4$, we give a brief discussion of the extension of our results to the situation where the pair potentials are in $R^{n}(n \neq 3)$ or where the Hamiltonian must be defined as a sum of quadratic forms [5].

It is a pleasure to thank J. Ginibre and A. O'Connor for valuable conversations and N. H. Kuiper for the hospitality of I.H.E.S.
2. Kato's Hölder continuity theorem. Throughout this section, $H_{0}$ represents an operator on $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}\right)$ of the form $H_{0}=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{3 N-3} a_{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}$ where $a_{i j}$ is a positive definite matrix. We write $h(k) \equiv \sum a_{i j} k_{i} k_{j}$.

Definition. Let $2 \leqq \sigma \leqq \infty$. We say that $V$ is a potential of type $M_{\sigma}$ if $V=W+\sum_{\alpha \in I} Y_{\alpha}$ where $I$ is a finite index set and
(1) $\hat{W}$ is in $L^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}\right)$;
(2) for each $\alpha \in I$, there is a projection $P_{\alpha}$ onto some $R^{3}$ in $R^{3 N-3}$ so that $Y_{\alpha}(x)=Z_{\alpha}\left(P_{\alpha} x\right)$ where $Z_{\alpha}$ is a function on $R^{3}$ with $\hat{Z}_{\alpha} \in L^{r}+L^{1}$ where $r^{-1}+\sigma^{-1}=1$.

Remarks. (1) ${ }^{\wedge}$ denotes the Fourier transform.
(2) By the Hausdorff-Young inequality, $Z_{\alpha} \in L^{\sigma}+L^{\infty} \subset\left(L^{2}+L^{\infty}\right)\left(R^{3}\right)$ so $V$ is $H_{0}$-bounded with arbitrary small bound (alternately, see Lemma 1 below). Thus $H_{0}+V \equiv H$ defines a selfadjoint operator on $D\left(H_{0}\right)$.
(3) Condition $M_{\sigma}$ should be compared to Kato's condition in [2], that $W \in L^{\infty}, Z_{\alpha} \in\left(L^{\sigma}\right)_{0}$, the $L^{\sigma}$ functions of bounded support. Kato's conditions and $M_{\sigma}$ are roughly comparable, but for example if $Z_{\alpha}(x)=$ $\sin (|x|), V$ obeys Kato's conditions but not $M_{\sigma}$; if

$$
Z_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}\left|x-r_{n}\right|^{-1} \text { where } \sum\left|C_{n}\right|<\infty \text { and } r_{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

then $V$ obeys $M_{\sigma}$ but not Kato's conditions. In any event, either allows Yukawa or Coulomb pair interactions.

Definition. $\quad C_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)(0<\theta<1)$ denotes the uniformly Hölder continuous functions of order $\theta$, i.e. $\Psi \in C_{\theta}$ if and only if

$$
|\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)| \leqq M|x-y|^{\theta}
$$

for some $M$ and (almost) all $x, y \in R^{n}$. Similarly $\Psi \in C_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ means $\Psi$ is continuously differentiable and for each $i=1, \cdots, n, \partial_{i} \Psi \in C_{\theta}$.

Theorem 1. Let $H=H_{0}+V$ where $V$ is of type $M_{\sigma}$. Then:
(1) If $\sigma \geqq 2$, any $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H) \equiv \bigcap_{m} D\left(H^{m}\right)$ is in $C_{\theta}\left(R^{3 N-3}\right)$ for any $\theta<\min \left(1,2-3 \sigma^{-1}\right)$.
(2) If $\sigma>3$, any $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$ is in $C_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2 N-3}\right)$ for any $\theta<1-3 \sigma^{-1}$.

Remarks. (1) As we shall see, the condition $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$ can be replaced with $\Psi \in D\left(H^{m}\right)$ for some $m$ with $(m-1)\left(4-6 \sigma^{-1}\right)>3 N-3$.
(2) If $C^{\infty}(H)$ is topologized with the norms $\|\Psi\|_{m}=\left\|H^{m} \Psi\right\|$ and if $C_{\theta}$ (resp. $C_{\theta}^{\prime}$ ) is topologized with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\theta}=\sup _{x}|f(x)|+\sup _{x, y}\left[|x-y|^{-\theta}|f(x)-f(y)|\right]
$$

(resp. $\|f\|_{\theta}^{\prime}=\sup _{x}|f(x)|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\partial_{j} f\right\|_{\theta}$ ), then the imbeddings $C^{\infty}(H) \subset C_{\theta}$ guaranteed by the theorem are continuous.
(3) Except for a slight difference in the assumptions on $V$, this is the main theorem (Theorem I) of [2].
(4) The basic perturbation estimate tells us that $\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-1} V$ is bounded from $L^{2}$ to $L^{2}$. Our proof (like Kato's) is based on two ways in which this can be improved. First $\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-\beta} V$ is bounded for certain $\beta<1$ and secondly it is bounded on certain $L^{p}$ spaces.

Lemma 1. Let $V$ be of type $M_{\sigma}$ and let $\beta>3 / 2 \sigma$. Suppose that $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ and let $\hat{\Psi} \in L^{p}$. Then $\left(\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-\beta} V \Psi^{\wedge}\right)^{\wedge} \in L^{p}$.

Remark. This lemma (and similar statements later) are intended to hold in the sense of a priori estimates

$$
\left\|\left(\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-\beta} V \Psi\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{p} \leqq C\|\hat{\Psi}\|_{D}
$$

for all $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}\right)$.
Proof.

$$
\left(\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-\beta} V \Psi\right)^{\wedge}=(2 \pi)^{(3, V-3) / 2}(h(k)+1)^{-\beta} \hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}
$$

We consider the individual terms $\hat{W} * \hat{\Psi}$ and $\hat{Y}_{\alpha} * \hat{\Psi}$ in $\hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}$. Since $\hat{W} \in L^{1}$ and $(h(k)+1)^{-\beta} \in L^{\infty}$,

$$
\left\|(h(k)+1)^{-\beta} \hat{W} * \hat{\Psi}\right\|_{p} \leqq\left\|(h(k)+1)^{-\beta}\right\|_{\infty}\|\hat{W}\|_{1}\|\hat{\Psi}\|_{r}
$$

by Young's and Hölder's inequalities.
Write $k_{\alpha}$ for the 3 coordinates in $\operatorname{Ran} P_{\alpha}$ and $k_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ for $3 N-6$ orthogonal coordinates. Since $\left(k_{x}^{2}+1\right)^{-\beta} \in L^{\sigma}\left(R^{3}\right)$ for each $p \leqq \sigma$,

$$
\left\|\left(k_{\alpha}^{2}+1\right)^{-\beta}\left(\hat{Z}_{\alpha} * f\right)\left(k_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{p}} \leqq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{p}} .
$$

Thus for each $p \leqq 2$ and each fixed $k_{x}^{\perp}$ :

$$
\int\left|\left(k_{\alpha}^{2}+1\right)^{-\beta} \int Z_{x}\left(k_{x}-k_{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(k_{\alpha}^{\prime}, k_{\alpha}^{1}\right) d k_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d k_{x} \leqq\left. C \int|f|\left(k_{\alpha}, k_{\alpha}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{p} d k_{\alpha}
$$

Integrating over $k_{\alpha}^{\perp}$, we conclude that $\left\|\left(k_{\alpha}^{2}+1\right)^{-\beta} \hat{Y}_{\alpha} * \hat{\Psi}\right\|_{p} \leqq C_{1}\|\hat{\Psi}\|_{p}$. Since $\left(k_{\alpha}^{2}+1\right)^{\beta}(h(k)+1)^{-\beta} \in L^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}\right)$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. Let $V$ be of type $M_{\sigma}$ and let $\gamma<1-3 / 2 \sigma$. Let $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$. If $\hat{\Psi},(H \Psi)^{\wedge} \in L^{p}$, then $\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \hat{\Psi}^{\sigma} \in L^{p}$.

Proof. Since $(H+1) \Psi=\left(H_{0}+1\right) \Psi+V \Psi$, we have $\Psi=\left(H_{0}+1\right)^{-1} \times$ $(H+1) \Psi-\left(H_{0}+1\right)^{-1} V \Psi$. So:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{y} \stackrel{Y}{\Psi}= & \left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{y-1}\left[\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) /(1+h(k)]\left((H+1)^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge}\right. \\
& -\left[\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) /(1+h(k))\right]^{\gamma}\left(\left(H_{0}+1\right)^{-\beta} V \Psi\right)^{\wedge} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=1-\gamma>3 / 2 \sigma$. By hypothesis, the first term on the right-hand side of (2) is in $L^{p}$ and by Lemma 1, the second term is in $L^{p}$.

For the reader's convenience, we include the following standard result:

Lemma 3. If $\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{\nu} \hat{\Psi} \in L^{1}\left(R^{n}\right)$ for $\gamma>0$, then $\Psi$ is $C_{\theta}$ for any $\theta$ with $\theta<\min (1,2 \gamma)$. If $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, then $\Psi$ is $C_{\theta}^{\prime}$ for any $\theta<\min (1,2 \gamma-1)$.
Proof. For any $y \in \boldsymbol{R},\left|e^{i v}-1\right| \leqq 2$ and $\left|e^{i v}-1\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{y} e^{i x} d x\right| \leqq y$. Therefore, for any $\theta \leqq 1$ and all $k, x$ and $y \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n},\left|e^{i k \cdot y}-e^{i k \cdot v}\right| \leqq$ $2^{(1-\theta)}|k|^{\theta}|x-y|^{\theta}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\Psi(x)-\Psi(y)| \\
& \quad \leqq(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} 2^{1-\theta}|x-y|^{\theta}\left\||k|^{\theta}\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{-\gamma}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \hat{\Psi}\right\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the first statement in the lemma. The second has a similar proof using

$$
\left|e^{i y}-1-i y\right| \leqq 2|y| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|e^{i y}-1-i y\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2}|y|^{2}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{-\gamma} \in L^{q}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $q>n / 2 \gamma$, Lemma 2 implies that if $\hat{\Psi},(H \Psi)^{\wedge} \in L^{p}$, then $\hat{\Psi} \in L^{r}$ for all $r \geqq 1$ obeying $r \geqq\left(p^{-1}+(2 \gamma /(3 N-3))\right)^{-1}$. By induction if $m \geqq k$ and if $\hat{\Psi}, \cdots,\left(H^{m} \Psi^{\wedge}\right)^{\wedge} \in$ $L^{2}$ then $\hat{\Psi}, \cdots,\left(H^{k} \Psi\right)^{\wedge} \in L^{r}$ if $r \geqq 1$ and $r \geqq\left(\frac{1}{2}+(m-k)(2 \gamma /(3 N-3))\right)^{-1}$. Since $\gamma$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to $1-3 / 2 \sigma$, we have that for any integer $m$ with $(2 m)(2-3 / \sigma)>3 N-3$,
(i) $\Psi \in D\left(H^{m}\right)$ implies $\hat{\Psi} \in L^{1}$;
(ii) $\Psi \in D\left(H^{m+1}\right)$ implies that $\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \hat{\Psi} \in L^{1}$ if $\gamma<1-3 / 2 \sigma$.

Lemma 3 completes the proof.
3. Pointwise exponential falloff of discrete eigenfunctions. By an $N$-body quantum Hamiltonian of type $M_{\sigma}$, we will mean an operator $\tilde{H}$ on $L^{2}\left(R^{3 N}\right)$ of the form

$$
\tilde{H}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(2 m_{i}\right)^{-1} \Delta_{i}+\sum_{i<j=1}^{N} V_{i j}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right) .
$$

Where a point in $\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N}$ is written $\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{r}_{N}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{r}_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3}, \Delta_{i}$ is the Laplacian with respect to $r_{i}$ and $V_{i j}$ is a function on $R^{3}$ with $\hat{V}_{i j} \in L^{q}+L^{1}$ where $q^{-1}+\sigma^{-1}=1$.

Write $M=\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}$ (total mass), $\mathbf{R}=M^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} \boldsymbol{r}_{i}$ (center of mass) and

$$
x=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} M^{-1}\left|\boldsymbol{r}_{i}-\mathbf{R}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

(radius of gyration). In a standard way we can choose linear coordinates $\left(\zeta_{1}, \cdots, \zeta_{N-1}, R\right)$ so that under the resulting decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N}\right)=L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}\right), \\
& \tilde{H}=H \otimes 1+1 \otimes(2 M)^{-1} \Delta
\end{aligned}
$$

We will call $H$ a reduced $N$-body quantum Hamiltonian of type $M_{\sigma}$. Such a Hamiltonian is always of the form $H_{0}+V$ where $V$ is a potential of type $M_{\sigma}$ in the sense of $\S 2$. By a further linear coordinate change (of Jacobian not necessarily 1), we can suppose that $x^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|^{2}$ in which case

$$
H_{0}=(-2 M)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Delta_{\zeta_{i}} .
$$

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a reduced $N$-body quantum Hamiltonian of type $M_{\sigma}$. Let $E_{c}=\inf \sigma_{\text {ess }}(H)$ and suppose that $H \Psi=E \Psi$ with $E<E_{c}$. Let $a_{0}=\left(2 M\left(E_{c}-E\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ and let $|x|$ be the radius of gyration. Then
(1) For any $a_{1}<a_{0}$, there exists a constant $D_{a_{1}}$ with

$$
|\Psi(\zeta)| \leqq D_{a_{1}} \exp \left(-a_{1}|x|\right)
$$

for all $\zeta \in R^{3 N-3}$.
(2) For any $a_{1}<a_{0}$, and $\theta<\min \left(1,2-3 \sigma^{-1}\right)$, there exists a constant $D_{\theta, a_{1}}$ with

$$
\left|\Psi(\zeta)-\Psi\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq D_{\theta, a_{1}} \exp \left[-a_{1} \min \left(|\zeta|,\left|\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|^{\theta}
$$

for all $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}$.
(3) If $\sigma>3$, for any $a_{1}<a_{0}$, and $\theta<1-3 \sigma^{-1}$, there exists $D_{\theta, a_{1}}^{\prime}$ with $\left|\operatorname{grad} \Psi(\zeta)-\operatorname{grad} \Psi\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq D_{\theta, a_{1}}^{\prime} \exp \left[-a_{1} \min \left(|\zeta|,\left|\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|^{\theta}$ for all $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 N-3}$.

Remark. The constants, $D_{a_{1}}, D_{\theta . a_{1}}$ and $D_{\theta . a_{1}}^{\prime}$ depend on $V$ only through $L^{p}$ norms of the $\hat{V}_{i j}$.

Proof. Suppose $H$ is in normal form. By a Payley-Wiener argument (see, e.g. O'Connor [3], [4]), we need only prove that $\hat{\Psi}$ has an analytic continuation to the tube $\left\{k \in C^{3 N-3}| | \operatorname{Im} k \mid<a_{0}\right\}$ so that if $\hat{\Psi}_{a}$ is defined by $\hat{\Psi}_{a}(k)=\hat{\Psi}(k+i a)$ for any $a \in R^{3 N-3}$ with $|a|<a_{0}$, then $\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \hat{\Psi}_{a} \in L^{1}$
with $L^{1}$ norm bounded as $a$ runs through the set $\left\{a\left||a|<a_{1}\right\}\right.$ for each $a_{1}<a_{0}$. Here $\gamma$ is any real less than $1-3 / 2 \sigma$.

O'Connor [3], [4] has already proven that such a continuation exists with $\hat{\Psi}_{a} \in L^{2}$ uniformly as $a$ runs through sets of the form $\left\{a\left||a|<a_{1}\right\}\right.$. Moreover $\hat{\Psi}_{a}$ obeys the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((k+i a)^{2}-E\right) \hat{\Psi}_{a}=(2 \pi)^{(3 N-3) / 2} \hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}_{a} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By mimicking our argument in $\S 2$, the equation (3), the condition that $V$ be of type $M_{\sigma}$ and O'Connor's $L^{2}$ bounds imply the required $L^{1}$ bound on $\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \hat{\Psi}$.
4. Extension to higher dimensions and to operators defined by quadratic forms. In this section, we wish to generalize Theorem 1; a similar generalization of Theorem 2 holds. Since there are few new ideas, we only sketch the arguments.

Definition. Let $\sigma \geqq 1$. We say that $V$ is a potential of type $M_{\sigma}^{(m)}$ on $R^{m N-m}$ if $V=W+\sum_{\alpha \in I} Y_{\alpha}$ where $I$ is a finite index set and if
(1) $\hat{W} \in L^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{m N-m}\right)$.
(2) For each $\alpha \in I$, there is a projection $P_{\alpha}$ onto an $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{m N-m}$ and a function $Z_{\alpha}$ on $R^{m}$ with $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} \in L^{r}+L^{1}\left(r^{-1}+\sigma^{-1}=1\right)$ so that $Y_{\alpha}(x)=$ $Z_{\alpha}\left(P_{\alpha} x\right)$.

If $\sigma \geqq 2$ and $\sigma>m / 2$, then $H_{0}+V$ can be defined as a selfadjoint operator sum. If $2>\sigma>m / 2$ (in particular, only when $m \leqq 3$ ), we can define $H_{0}+V$ as a selfadjoint operator which is the sum of $H_{0}$ and $V$ as quadratic forms [5]. We have:

Theorem 1'. Let $H=H_{0}+V$ where $V$ is of type $M_{\sigma}^{(m)}$ with $\sigma>m / 2$ (and $\sigma \geqq 1$ ). Then:
(1) $\overline{A n y} \Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$ is in $C_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{m N^{N}-m}\right)$ for any $\theta<\min \left(1,2-m \sigma^{-1}\right)$.
(2) If $\sigma>m$, any $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$ is in $C_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(R^{m N^{\prime}-m}\right)$ for any $\theta<1-m \sigma^{-1}$.

Sketch of Proof. Case 1: $\sigma>2$. Our proof of Theorem 1 goes through with minor modifications; Lemma 1 holds if $\beta>m / 2 \sigma$ and Lemma 2 if $\gamma<1-m / 2 \sigma$. The condition $\sigma \geqq 2$ enters in the proof of Lemma 1, since to apply Young's inequality to $L^{p} * L^{q}$ we need $p^{-1}+q^{-1}>1$.

Case 2: $2 \geqq \sigma>m / 2$. A simple quadratic form modification. We first note that Lemma 1 holds if $\beta>m / 2 \sigma$ and if $p \leqq \sigma$. Moreover, we have:

Lemma 1'. Let $\sigma \leqq p \leqq 2$ and define $\alpha$ by $\alpha^{-1}+\sigma / p=1$. Let $\beta>m / 2 \sigma$ and let $\hat{\Psi} \in L^{p}$. Then the Fourier transform of $\left(H_{0}+1\right)^{-(1-\alpha) \beta} V\left(H_{0}+1\right)^{-\alpha \beta} \Psi$ is in $L^{p}$.

Lemma 2'. Let $p, \sigma, \alpha, \beta$ be as in Lemma $1^{\prime}$. Suppose that $\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Psi}$, $(H \Psi)^{\wedge} \in L^{p}$. Let $\gamma<1-m / 2 \sigma$. Then $\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\gamma}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Psi} \in L^{p}$.

The proofs of Lemmas $1^{\prime}$ and $2^{\prime}$ follow the pattern of Lemmas 1 and 2. If $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$, then $H^{n} \Psi \in Q(H)$, the form domain of $H$ for each $n$. Since $Q(H)=Q\left(H_{0}\right),\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(H^{n} \Psi\right)^{\wedge} \in L^{2}$ for all $n$. By a finite induction using Lemma $2^{\prime},\left(H^{n} \Psi^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge} \in L^{\sigma}$. Lemma 1 is now applicable and the proof is completed as in Theorem 1.

One can ask if some modified version of Theorem $1^{\prime}$ remains true at the borderline value $\sigma=m / 2$. If $m \geqq 5, H_{0}+V$ can be defined as an operator sum if $V$ is of type $M_{m / 2}^{(m)}$ and if $m=2,3,4, H_{0}+V$ can be defined as a sum of forms. However, in this borderline case, there may be unbounded functions $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(H)$.

Example. Let $m \geqq 3$ and let $\Psi$ be a spherically symmetric function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}$ so that (i) $\Psi$ is $C^{\infty}$ and strictly positive on $\boldsymbol{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$. (ii) In the region $R_{1}=\{x| | x \mid \geqq 1\} \Psi$ obeys $-\Delta \Psi=-\Psi$ and $\Psi \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. (iii) In the region $R_{2}=\left\{x| | x \left\lvert\, \leqq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}, \Psi(x)=-\ln |x|$. It is easy to construct such a function. Let $V(x)=-1+(\Delta \Psi / \Psi)$. Then $V$ has support in $\boldsymbol{R}^{m} \backslash R_{1}$, and in the region $R_{2}, V(x)=-1+C_{m} r^{-2}(\ln r)^{-1}$. Thus $V \in L^{m / 2}$ (and in particular, if $m=3, V \in R$, the Rollnik class [5]) and $\Psi$ is in $C^{\infty}(H)$ and is unbounded.
Remark. The above example does not work in case $m=2$, because $-\Delta(\ln r)=C_{2} \delta(x)$; but if we modify $\Psi$ to equal $(-\ln |x|)^{\alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1$ in $R_{2}$, then $V=-1+d_{\alpha} r^{-2}(\ln r)^{-2}$ in $R_{2}$ so $V \in L^{1}\left(R^{2}\right)$. Thus there is a borderline example in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$.
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