

POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF HERMITE-FEJÉR INTERPOLATION OF HIGHER ORDER FOR FREUD WEIGHTS

YUICHI KANJIN AND RYOZI SAKAI

(Received September 18, 1992, revised January 28, 1993)

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the approximation by Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order based at the zeros of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the typical Freud weight. We will prove a convergence result for even order and a divergence result for odd order.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the pointwise convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order based at the zeros of orthogonal polynomials with respect to a Freud weight of the form $\exp(-x^m)$ with an even positive integer m .

Let $Q(x) = x^m/2$ and let $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$, where $m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$. The orthonormal polynomials $p_n(w^2; x) = p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$, where $\gamma_n > 0$, are defined by the relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_l(x)p_n(x)w^2(x)dx = \delta_{ln}.$$

These polynomials were investigated first by Freud, e.g. [Fr1], [Fr2], and recently by many authors in connection with approximation theory. For detailed references and an extensive survey, readers may refer to Nevai [Ne3].

We denote the zeros of $p_n(x)$ by x_{kn} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where

$$x_{1n} > x_{2n} > \dots > x_{nn}.$$

Let ν be a positive integer. For a function $f(x)$ defined on the real line \mathbf{R} , the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(\nu; f, x)$ of order ν based at the zeros x_{1n}, \dots, x_{nn} is defined to be the unique algebraic polynomial of degree at most $\nu n - 1$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\nu; f, x_{kn}) &= f(x_{kn}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ L_n^{(r)}(\nu; f, x_{kn}) &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \nu - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_n^{(r)}(\nu; f, x_{kn})$ is the r -th derivative of $L_n(\nu; f, x)$ at x_{kn} . It is known that, for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exists a unique polynomial $h_{kn}(\nu; x)$ of degree $\nu n - 1$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{kn}(v; x_{pn}) &= \delta_{pk}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ h_{kn}^{(r)}(v; x_{pn}) &= 0, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, v-1, \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Na, Ch. I, §4]). Thus the interpolation polynomial $L_n(v; f, x)$ is written in the form

$$(1.2) \quad L_n(v; f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{kn}) h_{kn}(v; x).$$

Since $L_n(v; f, x) = 1$ for $f(x) = 1$, we see that

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n h_{kn}(v; x) = 1.$$

We call $h_{kn}(v; x)$ the fundamental polynomial of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of order v . We note that $L_n(1; f, x)$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial.

Pointwise convergence of Lagrange interpolation for the Hermite weight $\exp(-x^2)$ was first investigated by Freud [Fr3]. Kis [Ki] studied the pointwise convergence of Lagrange interpolation for the weights $|x|^\alpha \exp(-x^2)$, $\alpha > -1$. Nevai [Ne1], [Ne2] discussed in detail the Laguerre weight case. Recently, Knopfmacher [Kn] estimated the order of approximation of pointwise convergence of Lagrange interpolation for the class of regular Freud weights which includes the weights $\exp(-x^m)$, $m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$.

The second author studied in the series of works [Sa1], [Sa2], [Sa3], [Sa4] the approximation by Hermite-Fejér interpolation of order v for the Hermite weight and the Laguerre weight, and he and Vértesi [SV] studied the convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation with base at the zeros of Jacobi polynomials. In this paper, we will consider the approximation and the pointwise convergence problems of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of order v for the typical Freud weights $\exp(-x^m)$, $m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ applying the method developed in the previous papers. We will prove a convergence result (Theorem 1) for even order v , and a divergence result (Theorem 2) for odd order v .

Let q_n denote the unique positive solution of the equation $q_n Q'(q_n) = n$, that is,

$$q_n = \left(\frac{2n}{m} \right)^{1/m}.$$

Let $C(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of continuous functions on \mathbf{R} . We define the modulus of continuity of $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ on an interval $[a, b]$ by

$$\omega(f; [a, b]; h) = \sup_{\substack{|x-y| \leq h \\ x, y \in [a, b]}} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad h > 0.$$

We may write briefly $\omega(h)$ if there is no possibility of misunderstanding.

Our first theorem is a result on the pointwise convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of even order $v = 2d$, $d = 1, 2, \dots$ for the weights $\exp(-x^m)$, $m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$.

THEOREM 1. *Let d be a positive integer. Then, there exist positive constants $\kappa, \kappa', K, \mu, C$ and a number n_0 such that, for $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$,*

$$|L_n(2d; f, x) - f(x)| \leq C \exp(dQ(x)) \left[k_n^{2d}(x) \left\{ \omega \left(f; [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]; \frac{q_n}{n} \log n \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + n^{2d} e^{-\mu n} \omega \left(f; [-Kq_n, Kq_n]; \frac{q_n}{n} \log n \right) \right\} + \omega \left(f; [-\kappa' q_n, \kappa' q_n]; \frac{q_n}{n} |k_n(x)| \right) \right]$$

for $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$ and $n \geq n_0$, where $k_n(x) = p_n(x)w(x)q_n^{1/2}$.

It is known that there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that $|k_n(x)| \leq C'$ for $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (see [Kn, Lemmas 4.1 and 3.7]). If $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ is uniformly continuous, that is, $\omega(f; \mathbf{R}; h) \rightarrow 0$ ($h \rightarrow 0$), then we have by our theorem

$$|L_n(2d; f, x) - f(x)| \leq C'' e^{dQ(x)} \left\{ \omega \left(f; \mathbf{R}; \frac{q_n}{n} \log n \right) \right. \\ \left. + n^{2d} e^{-\mu n} \omega \left(f; \mathbf{R}; \frac{q_n}{n} \log n \right) + \omega \left(f; \mathbf{R}; C' \frac{q_n}{n} \right) \right\}$$

for every $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$ and $n \geq n_0$ with a constant $C'' > 0$ not depending on x, n and f . Thus, we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ be a uniformly continuous function on \mathbf{R} . Then, for every $M > 0$, the sequence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials of even order $2d$ converges uniformly to f in the interval $[-M, M]$, that is,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{-M \leq x \leq M} |L_n(2d; f, x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

On the other hand, for the Hermite-Fejér interpolation of odd order, we have a divergence result. Let $A_n(v; [a, b])$ be the Lebesgue constant of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of order $v = 1, 2, \dots$ over an interval $[a, b]$, that is,

$$A_n(v; [a, b]) = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \sum_{k=1}^n |h_{kn}(v; x)|.$$

Then, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let d be a positive integer. For a and b with $a < b$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$A_n(2d-1; [a, b]) \geq C \log n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Standard argument (cf. [Ri, Theorem 4.3]) leads us to the following:

COROLLARY 2. *For a and b with $a < b$, there exists $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |L_n(2d-1; f, x)| = \infty .$$

In §2, for later convenience, we will summarize some known properties of $p_n(x)$. Theorems 1 and 2 will be proved in §3 and §4, respectively.

2. Properties of $p_n(x)$. Let

$$a_n = \gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where γ_n is the leading coefficient of $p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$.

(A) [BC, Theorem 4]: $a_n = [\beta n^{1/m}]$, where β denotes Freud's constant $\beta = (1/2)\{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(m/2)/\Gamma(m+1/2)\}^{1/m}$ and, $a_n = [\beta n^{1/m}]$ is the notation of Bonan and Clark [BC, p. 216], that is, $a_n = \beta n^{1/m} + O(n^{-1+1/m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(B) [BC, Theorem 5 and p. 213]: $p'_n(x) = A_n(x)p_{n-1}(x) - B_n(x)p_n(x)$, where

$$A_n(x) = m \sum_{i=0}^{m/2-1} \binom{2i}{i} [\beta n^{1/m}]^{2i+1} x^{m-2i-2},$$

$$B_n(x) = m \sum_{i=0}^{m/2-2} \binom{2i+1}{i} [\beta n^{1/m}]^{2i+2} x^{m-2i-3} \quad (m > 2),$$

$$B_n(x) = 0 \quad (m = 2).$$

(C) [BC, p. 220] and [Mh, Proposition 3.5]:

$$A_n(x)p''_n(x) - (mx^{m-1}A_n(x) + A'_n(x))p'_n(x) + \left\{ \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} A_n^2(x)A_{n-1}(x) + A_n(x)B_n(x)B_{n-1}(x) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{x}{a_{n-1}} A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x) + A_n(x)B'_n(x) - A'_n(x)B_n(x) \right\} p_n(x) = 0 .$$

(D) [Kn, Lemma 4.1]: (i) There exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that $x_{1n} \leq K_1 q_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

(ii) There exist constants $C_1, C_2, \kappa_1 > 0$ such that $C_1 q_n / n < x_{k-1n} - x_{kn} < C_2 q_n / n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ for $x_{k-1n}, x_{kn} \in [-\kappa_1 q_n, \kappa_1 q_n]$.

(E) [Kn, Lemma 4.5]: There exist constants $C_3, C_4, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that $C_3 q_n^{-1/2} \leq |p_{n-1}(x_{kn})| w(x_{kn}) \leq C_4 q_n^{-1/2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ for x_{kn} with $|x_{kn}| \leq \kappa_2 q_n$.

Let $x_{(x,n)}$ denote the zero of $p_n(x)$ closest to x . If x is the midpoint of two zeros, then we define $x_{(x,n)}$ to be the closest zero of $p_n(x)$ to the left.

(F) [Kn, Theorem 3.7]: There exist constants $C_5, C_6, \kappa_3 > 0$ such that

$$C_5 |x - x_{(x,n)}| \frac{n}{q_n} q_n^{-1/2} \leq |p_n(x)| w(x) \leq C_6 |x - x_{(x,n)}| \frac{n}{q_n} q_n^{-1/2},$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ for x with $|x| \leq \kappa_3 q_n$.

Throughout the paper, $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, K_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ with subscript are always the constants in the properties (A)–(F). For the rest of the paper, the letter C denotes a positive constant which may differ at each different occurrence, even in the same chain of inequalities.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We start by a lemma to prove Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\tilde{\kappa} = \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\}$. Then, there exists $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ such that if $1 < k < n$, $x_{kn} \in [-\tilde{\kappa}q_{n-1}, \tilde{\kappa}q_{n-1}]$ and $x_{kn} - \tilde{\delta}q_n/n \leq x \leq x_{kn} + \tilde{\delta}q_n/n$, then*

$$C \frac{n}{q_n} q_n^{-1/2} w(x_{kn})^{-1} \leq |p'_n(x)| \leq C \frac{n}{q_n} q_n^{-1/2} w(x_{kn})^{-1},$$

where C is independent of k, n and x .

PROOF. Let $x \in [-\tilde{\kappa}q_n, \tilde{\kappa}q_n]$. By (B) and (F), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_n(x)| w(x) &\geq A_n(x) |p_{n-1}(x)| w(x) - |B_n(x)| |p_n(x)| w(x) \\ &\geq C_5 A_n(x) |x - x_{(x, n-1)}| \frac{n-1}{q_{n-1}} q_n^{-1/2} - C_6 |B_n(x)| |x - x_{(x, n)}| \frac{n}{q_n} q_n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_n(x) \geq Cn/q_n$ and $|B_n(x)| \leq Cn/q_n$ by (B), we have

$$|p'_n(x)| w(x) \geq \{D |x - x_{(x, n-1)}| - D' |x - x_{(x, n)}|\} \left(\frac{n}{q_n}\right)^2 q_n^{-1/2}$$

with some positive constants D and D' independent of k, n and x .

We will show that there exist $\delta' > 0$ and $\Delta > 0$ such that, for every δ with $\delta' > \delta > 0$, if $\Delta \delta q_n/n \geq |x - x_{(x, n)}|$, then $|x - x_{(x, n-1)}| \geq \Delta(1 - \delta)q_n/n$. Let $x(n)$ be a zero of $p_n(x)$ satisfying $x(n) \in [-\tilde{\kappa}q_{n-1}, \tilde{\kappa}q_{n-1}]$. Let $y(n-1)$ and $y'(n-1)$ be the successive zeros of $p_{n-1}(x)$ such that $y'(n-1) < x(n) < y(n-1)$. Suppose that there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ such that $y(n_i-1) - x(n_i) \leq \varepsilon_i q_{n_i}/n_i$, where $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Then, by (F) and (E), we have

$$C_3 q_{n_i}^{-1/2} \leq |p_{n_i-1}(x(n_i))| w(x(n_i)) \leq C_6 |x(n_i) - y(n_i-1)| \frac{n_i-1}{q_{n_i-1}} q_{n_i}^{-1/2} \leq C \varepsilon_i q_{n_i}^{-1/2}$$

with C not depending on i , a contradiction. Thus, we have $y(n-1) - x(n) \geq \lambda q_n/n$ with some constant $\lambda > 0$. Similarly, we have $x(n) - y'(n-1) \geq \lambda q_n/n$. Clearly, these imply the existence of δ' and Δ .

Therefore, there exists $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ such that $|p'_n(x)| w(x) \geq C(n/q_n)q_n^{-1/2}$ for $x_{kn} - \tilde{\delta}q_n/n \leq x \leq x_{kn} + \tilde{\delta}q_n/n$, where C is independent of k, n and x . The opposite inequality is obtained by a similar argument. For $x \in [x_{kn} - \tilde{\delta}q_n/n, x_{kn} + \tilde{\delta}q_n/n]$, we see that $Dw(x_{kn}) \leq w(x) \leq D'w(x_{kn})$, where D and D' are some positive constants independent of k, n and x . Thus, we have the desired inequalities. q.e.d.

We begin the proof of Theorem 1. Let $l_{kn}(x)$, $k=1, 2, \dots$ be the fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation polynomials $L_n(1; f, x)$, that is, $l_{kn}(x) = h_{kn}(1; x)$. Then,

$$(3.1) \quad l_{kn}(x) = \frac{W(x)}{(x - x_{kn})W'(x_{kn})} = \frac{p_n(x)}{(x - x_{kn})p'_n(x_{kn})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $W(x) = \prod_{k=0}^n (x - x_{kn})$. We note that the fundamental polynomial $h_{kn}(v; x)$ of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(v; f, x)$ of order v is divisible by $l_{kn}^v(x)$ ($= \{l_{kn}(x)\}^v$). We define $e_i(v; k, n)$, $i=0, 1, \dots, v-1$ to be the coefficients of $h_{kn}(v; x)$ in the expression

$$(3.2) \quad h_{kn}(v; x) = l_{kn}^v(x) \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} e_i(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^i, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By (1.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e_i(v; k, n) &= -\frac{1}{i!} \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \frac{i!}{(i-r)!} e_r(v; k, n)(l_{kn}^v)^{(i-r)}(x_{kn}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v-1, \\ e_0(v; k, n) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $(l_{kn}^v)^{(s)}(x_{kn})$ is the s -th derivative of l_{kn}^v at x_{kn} .

We now evaluate $L_n(v; f, x) - f(x)$. By (1.2), (1.3) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(v; f, x) - f(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_{kn}) - f(x)) l_{kn}^v(x) \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} e_i(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_{kn}) - f(x)) l_{kn}^v(x) e_i(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k=1}^n R_i(v, k, n; x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Let κ be a constant such that $0 < \kappa < \tilde{\kappa}$, where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the constant in Lemma 1. We choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\delta < \min\{C_1/2, \tilde{\delta}\}$, where $\tilde{\delta}$ and C_1 are the constants in Lemma 1 and in (D), (ii), respectively. Let $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \{k; 0 \leq |x - x_{kn}| < \delta q_n/n\}, \\ J(j) &= \{k; j\delta q_n/n \leq |x - x_{kn}| < (j+1)\delta q_n/n, |x_{kn}| \leq \kappa q_n\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ I &= \{k; \delta q_n/n \leq |x - x_{kn}|, \kappa q_n < |x_{kn}|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Although the sets J , $J(j)$ and I may depend on x and n , each of the sets J and $J(j)$, $j=1, 2, \dots$ consists of at most two elements and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\lambda(n)} J(j) \cup J \cup I$, where $\lambda(n)$ is the smallest number exceeding $2K_1 n/\delta$. Here, K_1 is the constant in (D), (i). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k \in J} R_i(v, k, n; x); & \sum_2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} R_i(v, k, n; x), \\ \sum_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} R_{v-1}(v, k, n; x); & \sum_4 &= \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k \in I} R_i(v, k, n; x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $L_n(v; f, x) - f(x) = \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3 + \sum_4$. To estimate \sum_p , $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we need the bounds of $e_i(v; k, n)$ given in Lemma 6, which follows from Lemmas 2–5.

LEMMA 2. Let $\tau > 0$. Then, for every x with $|x| \leq \tau q_n$ and $r = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n^{(r)}(x)| &\leq C |x|^{\langle r \rangle} q_n^{m - (r+1 + \langle r \rangle)}, \\ |B_n^{(r)}(x)| &\leq C |x|^{1 - \langle r \rangle} q_n^{m - (r+2 - \langle r \rangle)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle r \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & (r: \text{even}), \\ 1 & (r: \text{odd}), \end{cases}$$

and C is independent of n and x .

PROOF. Apply the property (B).

q.e.d.

In order to simplify notation, we write the differential equation of (C) as follows: $a(x)p_n''(x) + b(x)p_n'(x) + c(x)p_n(x) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= A_n(x), & b(x) &= -\{mx^{m-1}A_n(x) + A_n'(x)\}, \\ c(x) &= \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} A_n^2(x)A_{n-1}(x) + A_n(x)B_n(x)B_{n-1}(x) \\ (3.4) \quad & - \frac{x}{a_{n-1}} A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x) + A_n(x)B_n'(x) - A_n'(x)B_n(x) \\ & = c_1(x) + c_2(x) + c_3(x) + c_4(x) + c_5(x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

We differentiate the equation j -times. Then,

$$(3.5) \quad a(x)p_n'' + b(x)p_n' + c(x)p_n = 0, \quad j = 0;$$

$$(3.6) \quad a(x)p_n''' + \{a'(x) + b(x)\}p_n'' + \{b'(x) + c(x)\}p_n' + c'(x)p_n = 0, \quad j = 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & a(x)p_n^{(j+2)} + \{ja'(x) + b(x)\}p_n^{(j+1)} \\ & + \sum_{s=0}^{j-2} \left\{ \binom{j}{s+2} a^{(s+2)}(x) + \binom{j}{s+1} b^{(s+1)}(x) + \binom{j}{s} c^{(s)}(x) \right\} p_n^{(j-s)} \\ & + \{b^{(j)}(x) + jc^{(j-1)}(x)\}p_n' + c^{(j)}(x)p_n = 0, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we denote these equations by

$$(3.8) \quad A_2^{[0]}(x)p_n'' + A_1^{[0]}(x)p_n' + A_0^{[0]}(x)p_n = 0, \quad j=0;$$

$$(3.9) \quad A_3^{[1]}(x)p_n''' + A_2^{[1]}(x)p_n'' + A_1^{[1]}(x)p_n' + A_0^{[1]}(x)p_n = 0, \quad j=1;$$

$$(3.10) \quad A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x)p_n^{(j+2)} + A_{j+1}^{[j]}(x)p_n^{(j+1)} + \sum_{s=0}^{j-2} A_{j-s}^{[j]}(x)p_n^{(j-s)} \\ + A_1^{[j]}(x)p_n' + A_0^{[j]}(x)p_n = 0, \quad j=2, 3, \dots$$

Our task is to estimate $A_i^{[j]}(x)$, $i=0, 1, \dots, j+2$ for $|x| \leq \tau q_n$. To do so, let us consider the bounds of the derivatives $a^{(s)}(x)$, $b^{(s)}(x)$, $c^{(s)}(x)$. Since $a^{(s)}(x) = A_n^{(s)}(x)$, Lemma 2 gives directly an estimate for $a^{(s)}(x)$. We have

$$b^{(s)}(x) = - \left\{ A_n^{(s+1)}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{s}{r} \frac{m!}{(m-r-1)!} x^{m-r-1} A_n^{(s-r)}(x) \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$|b^{(s)}(x)| \leq C \left\{ |x|^{\langle s+1 \rangle} q_n^{m-(s+2+\langle s+1 \rangle)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{s}{r} \frac{m!}{(m-r-1)!} |x|^{m-r-1+\langle s-r \rangle} q_n^{m-(s-r+1+\langle s-r \rangle)} \right\},$$

for $|x| \leq \tau q_n$, where C is independent of n and x . The sum $\sum_{r=0}^s$ on the right hand-side is bounded by $C|x|^{m-s-1}q_n^{m-1}$ if $s < m-1$. If $s \geq m-1$, then sum $\sum_{r=0}^s$ is equal to $\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}$. Thus, it is bounded by $C|x|^{\langle s-(m-1) \rangle} q_n^{m-(s-(m-1)+1+\langle s-(m-1) \rangle)}$. Since m is even, we have

$$(3.11) \quad |b^{(s)}(x)| \leq C|x|^{1-\langle s \rangle} q_n^{2m-s-3+\langle s \rangle}, \quad s=0, 1, \dots$$

for $|x| \leq \tau q_n$, where C is independent of n and x . To estimate $c^{(s)}(x)$, we first deal with the term $c_1(x)$ in the expression (3.4) for $c(x)$. The s -th derivative $(A_n^2(x)A_{n-1}(x))^{(s)}$ is a linear combination of the terms $A_n^{(t)}A_n^{(u)}A_{n-1}^{(v)}$, $t+u+v=s$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$|c_1^{(s)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{t,u,v \\ t+u+v=s}} |x|^{\langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle + \langle v \rangle} q_n^{3m-(s+3+\langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle + \langle v \rangle)}$$

for $|x| \leq \tau q_n$, where C is independent of n and x . Here, we use the following fact which follows from (A); $D \leq a_n/a_{n-1} \leq D'$ with some positive constants D and D' independent of n . For even s , we adopt the estimate $|c_1^{(s)}(x)| \leq Cq_n^{3m-s-3}$ which follows immediately from the above inequality. We note that if s is odd, then $\langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle + \langle v \rangle \geq 1$. Thus, we have $|c_1^{(s)}(x)| \leq C|x|q_n^{3m-s-4}$ for odd s . It follows that

$$|c_1^{(s)}(x)| \leq C|x|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{3m-s-3-\langle s \rangle}, \quad s=0, 1, \dots$$

For the derivative of $c_2(x)$ in $c(x)$, the inequality

$$(3.12) \quad |c_2^{(s)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u, v \\ t+u+v=s}} |x|^{2+\langle t \rangle - \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle} q_n^{3m - (s+5 + \langle t \rangle - \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle)}$$

follows similarly from Lemma 2. Note that $1 + \langle t \rangle - \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle \geq 0$ for odd s . Consequently, we see that $c_2^{(s)}(x)$ and $c_1^{(s)}(x)$ have estimates of the same order for $s=0, 1, \dots$. We have

$$(3.13) \quad c_3^{(s)}(x) = \frac{x}{a_{n-1}} (A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x))^{(s)} + \frac{s}{a_{n-1}} (A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x))^{(s-1)} \\ = c_{31}(x) + c_{32}(x), \quad \text{say,}$$

for the s -th derivative of $c_3(x)$ in (3.4). For $(A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x))^{(s)}$, we have

$$(3.14) \quad |(A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x))^{(s)}| \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u, v \\ t+u+v=s}} |x|^{1+\langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle} q_n^{3m - (s+4 + \langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle)}.$$

From this, it follows that $|(A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x)B_n(x))^{(s)}| \leq Cq_n^{3m-s-3}$ for arbitrary s . By this inequality and $D \leq q_n/a_{n-1} \leq D'$ with some positive constants D and D' , we see that $c_{31}(x)$ has the same estimate as $c_1^{(s)}(x)$. For $c_{32}(x)$, we note that if t, u and v satisfy $t+u+v=s-1$, then $\langle t \rangle + \langle u \rangle - \langle v \rangle \geq 0$ for odd s . We see that $c_{32}(x)$ also has the same estimate as $c_1^{(s)}(x)$. Thus, $c_3^{(s)}(x)$ and $c_1^{(s)}(x)$ have the same bound. The s -th derivative of $c_4(x)$ in the expression (3.4) for $c(x)$ is estimated as follows:

$$(3.15) \quad |c_4^{(s)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u \\ t+u=s}} |A_n^{(t)}(x)| |B_n^{(u+1)}(x)| \\ \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u \\ t+u=s}} |x|^{1+\langle t \rangle - \langle u+1 \rangle} q_n^{2m - (s+4 + \langle t \rangle - \langle u+1 \rangle)}.$$

We take the estimate $|c_4^{(s)}(x)| \leq Cq_n^{2m-s-3}$ if s is even. If s is odd, then $\langle t \rangle = \langle u+1 \rangle$. Thus, we have $|c_4^{(s)}(x)| \leq C|x|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{2m-s-3-\langle s \rangle}$. The s -th derivative $c_5^{(s)}(x)$ of the last term in $c(x)$ satisfies

$$(3.16) \quad |c_5^{(s)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u \\ t+u=s}} |A_n^{(t+1)}(x)| |B_n^{(u)}(x)| \\ \leq C \sum_{\substack{t, u \\ t+u=s}} |x|^{1+\langle t+1 \rangle - \langle u \rangle} q_n^{2m - (s+4 + \langle t+1 \rangle - \langle u \rangle)}.$$

By the inequality, we see that $c_5^{(s)}$ and $c_4^{(s)}$ have the same bound. Therefore, we have

$$(3.17) \quad |c^{(s)}(x)| \leq C|x|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{3m-s-3-\langle s \rangle}, \quad s=0, 1, \dots$$

for $|x| \leq \tau q_n$, where C is independent of n and x . Combining (B), Lemma 2, (3.11) and (3.17), we have the following lemma easily.

LEMMA 3. Let $\tau > 0$. Then, for every x with $|x| \leq \tau q_n$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$Cq_n^{m-1} \leq |A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x)| \leq Cq_n^{m-1}, \quad |A_{j+1}^{[j]}(x)| \leq CM_n(x)q_n^{m-1},$$

$$|A_{j-s}^{[j]}(x)| \leq C|x|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{3m-s-3-\langle s \rangle}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$M_n(x) = \max\{|x|q_n^{-2}, |x|^{m-1}\},$$

and C is independent of n and x .

The lemma leads us to estimates of the derivatives $p_n^{(r)}(x_{kn})$ at x_{kn} .

LEMMA 4. Let $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Then,

$$(3.18) \quad |p_n^{(r)}(x_{kn})| \leq CM_n(x_{kn})^{1-\langle r \rangle} q_n^{(r-2+\langle r \rangle)(m-1)} |p'_n(x_{kn})|,$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where C is independent of k and n .

PROOF. We first note that $|x_{kn}| \leq K_1 q_n$ by (D), (i). The inequality (3.18) is trivial for $r = 1$. By (3.8), (3.9) and Lemma 3, we see that (3.18) holds for $r = 2, 3$. We assume that (3.18) holds for $r = 1, 2, \dots, j+1$. Let M_n stand for $M_n(x_{kn})$. By (3.10) and Lemma 3, we have

$$|p_n^{(j+2)}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{-(m-1)} \left\{ M_n q_n^{m-1} |p_n^{(j+1)}(x_{kn})| + \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} |x_{kn}|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{3m-s-3-\langle s \rangle} |p_n^{(j-s)}(x_{kn})| \right\}.$$

It follows from the assumption of induction that

$$|p_n^{(j+2)}(x_{kn})| \leq C |p'_n(x_{kn})| \left\{ M_n^{1+\langle j \rangle} q_n^{(j-\langle j \rangle)(m-1)} + \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} |x_{kn}|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{-\langle s \rangle} \cdot M_n^{1-\langle j-s \rangle} q_n^{(-1+\langle j-s \rangle)(m-1)} \cdot q_n^{(j+1-s)(m-1)-s} \right\}.$$

The terms $|x_{kn}|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{-\langle s \rangle}$ and $M_n^{1-\langle j-s \rangle} q_n^{(-1+\langle j-s \rangle)(m-1)}$ are bounded by some constant, and thus the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{j-1}$ is bounded by $Cq_n^{(j+1)(m-1)}$. Noting $M_n^{1+\langle j \rangle} q_n^{(j-\langle j \rangle)(m-1)} \leq Cq_n^{(j+1)(m-1)}$, we have $|p_n^{(j+2)}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{(j+1)(m-1)} |p'_n(x_{kn})|$. This gives (3.18) if $j+2$ is odd. If $j+2$ is even, then we write the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{j-1}$ in the form

$$\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} |x_{kn}|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{-2\langle s \rangle} M_n^{1-\langle j-s \rangle} \cdot q_n^{(j-s+\langle j-s \rangle)(m-1)-s+\langle s \rangle}.$$

Since $|x_{kn}|^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{-2\langle s \rangle} M_n^{1-\langle j-s \rangle} \leq M_n$ for even j , the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{j-1}$ is bounded by $CM_n q_n^{j(m-1)}$. This implies (3.18) with even r . q.e.d.

The next lemma gives an estimate for the r -th derivative $(l_{kn}^{(r)})^{(r)}(x_{kn}) (=$

$(d/dx)^r \{l_{kn}(x)\}^v \Big|_{x=x_{kn}}$, where $l_{kn}(x)$ is the fundamental polynomial of Lagrange interpolation polynomial given by (3.1).

LEMMA 5. *Let v be a positive integer, and let $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Then,*

$$(3.19) \quad |(l_{kn}^{(v)})^{(r)}(x_{kn})| \leq CM_n(x_{kn})^{\langle r \rangle} q_n^{(r - \langle r \rangle)(m-1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where C is independent of k and n .

PROOF. By the equation

$$l_{kn}(x) = \frac{p_n(x)}{(x - x_{kn})p'_n(x_{kn})} \\ = \frac{1}{p'(x_{kn})} \left\{ \frac{p'_n(x_{kn})}{1!} + \frac{p_n^{(2)}(x_{kn})}{2!} (x - x_{kn}) + \dots + \frac{p_n^{(n)}(x_{kn})}{n!} (x - x_{kn})^{n-1} \right\},$$

we have

$$(3.20) \quad l_{kn}^{(r)}(x_{kn}) = \frac{p_n^{(r+1)}(x_{kn})}{(r+1)p'_n(x_{kn})},$$

which implies (3.19) for $v = 1$ by Lemma 4. We have

$$(l_{kn}^{(v+1)})^{(r)}(x_{kn}) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (l_{kn}^{(v)})^{(i)}(x_{kn}) l_{kn}^{(r-i)}(x_{kn}).$$

By induction on v , we have

$$|(l_{kn}^{(v+1)})^{(r)}(x_{kn})| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^r M_n(x_{kn})^{\langle i \rangle + \langle r-i \rangle} q_n^{(r - \langle i \rangle - \langle r-i \rangle)(m-1)},$$

where C is independent of k and n . From this, the inequality (3.19) follows immediately for even r . To get (3.19) for odd r , we note that $\langle i \rangle + \langle r-i \rangle = 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, r$. q.e.d.

We now prove the main lemma. Let $e_i(v; k, n)$ be the coefficients given in (3.2).

LEMMA 6. *Let v be a positive integer, and let $i = 0, 1, \dots, v-1$. Then,*

$$(3.21) \quad |e_i(v; k, n)| \leq CM_n(x_{kn})^{\langle i \rangle} q_n^{(i - \langle i \rangle)(m-1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where C is independent of k and n .

PROOF. We prove this by induction on i . Since $e_0(v; k, n) = 1$, (3.21) holds for $i = 0$. By (3.3), Lemma 5 and the assumption of induction, we have

$$|e_i(v; k, n)| \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} |e_s(v; k, n)| |(l_k^{(v)})^{(i-s)}(x_{kn})| \\ \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} M_n^{\langle s \rangle} q_n^{(s - \langle s \rangle)(m-1)} \cdot M_n^{\langle i-s \rangle} q_n^{(i-s - \langle i-s \rangle)(m-1)}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} M_n^{\langle s \rangle + \langle i-s \rangle} q_n^{(i-\langle s \rangle - \langle i-s \rangle)(m-1)}.$$

Clearly, we have $|e_i(v; k, n)| \leq Cq_n^{i(m-1)}$. This gives (3.21) if i is even. If i is odd, then it is enough to note that $\langle i \rangle = \langle s \rangle + \langle i-s \rangle$, $s=0, 1, \dots, i-1$. q.e.d.

We continue the proof of Theorem 1. Let $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$. We first estimate \sum_1 . We may assume that $J \neq \emptyset$. Then, $J = \{k(x, n)\}$, where $k(x, n)$ is the number satisfying $x_{k(x,n)n} = x_{(x,n)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_1| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |R_i(v, k(x, n), n; x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |f(x_{k(x,n)n}) - f(x)| |l_{k(x,n)n}(x)|^v |e_i(v; k(x, n), n)| |x - x_{k(x,n)n}|^i. \end{aligned}$$

We have $l_{k(x,n)n}(x) = p'_n(\xi)/p'_n(x_{(x,n)})$ with some ξ between x and $x_{k(x,n)n}$. Let κ' be a constant such that $\kappa < \kappa' < \tilde{\kappa}$. Since $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]$, we have $x_{k(x,n)n} \in [-\kappa' q_n, \kappa' q_n] \subset [-\tilde{\kappa} q_{n-1}, \tilde{\kappa} q_{n-1}]$ for $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is a number depending only on m, κ' and $\tilde{\kappa}$. Since $|x - x_{k(x,n)n}| < \delta q_n/n$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $|l_{k(x,n)n}(x)| \leq C$ for $n \geq n_0$ with C independent of n and x . It follows from (F) that $|x - x_{k(x,n)n}| \leq C |k_n(x)| q_n/n$ with C independent of x and n , and thus $|f(x_{k(x,n)n}) - f(x)| \leq C\omega'(|k_n(x)| q_n/n)$ for $n \geq n_0$, where $\omega'(|k_n(x)| q_n/n) = \omega(f; [-\kappa' q_n, \kappa' q_n]; |k_n(x)| q_n/n)$. Thus, Lemma 6 leads us to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_1| &\leq C\omega'(|k_n(x)| q_n/n) \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} M_n(x_{(x,n)})^{\langle i \rangle} q_n^{(i-\langle i \rangle)(m-1)} \left(\frac{q_n}{n}\right)^i \\ &\leq C\omega'(|k_n(x)| q_n/n) \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} (q_n^m/n)^i \leq C\omega'(|k_n(x)| q_n/n). \end{aligned}$$

We next treat \sum_2 . Let $0 \leq i \leq v-2$ and $j=1, 2, \dots$. Let k be the number satisfying $\delta j q_n/n \leq |x - x_{kn}| < \delta(j+1)q_n/n$ and $|x_{kn}| \leq \kappa q_n$. We have $|f(x) - f(x_{kn})| \leq \omega(|x - x_{kn}|) \leq \{1 + \delta(j+1)/j\} \omega(j q_n/n)$, where $\omega(j q_n/n) = \omega(f; [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n]; j q_n/n)$. It follows from (D), (ii), (F) and (E) that $|l_{kn}^v(x)| \leq Cj^{-v} \{ |k_n(x)| w(x_{kn}) w^{-1}(x) \}^v$ with C independent of x, n and k . Combining these inequalities and Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_2| &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} |R_i(v, k, n; x)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} |f(x_{kn}) - f(x)| |l_{kn}(x)|^v |e_i(v; k, n)| |x - x_{kn}|^i \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} \omega\left(\frac{j q_n}{n}\right) \cdot j^{-v} \{ |k_n(x)| w(x_{kn}) w^{-1}(x) \}^v \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot M(x_{kn})^{<i>} q_n^{(i-<i>)(m-1)} \cdot \left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right)^i.$$

Since $w(x_{kn}) \leq 1$, $M(x_{kn})^{<i>} q_n^{(i-<i>)(m-1)} \leq Cq_n^{i(m-1)}$, $q_n^m/n \leq C$ and $J(j)$ consists of at most two elements, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_2| &\leq C\{ |k_n(x)| w^{-1}(x) \}^\nu \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \cdot j^{-\nu+i} \\ &\leq C\{ |k_n(x)| w^{-1}(x) \}^\nu \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} j^{-2} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \\ &\leq C\{ |k_n(x)| w^{-1}(x) \}^\nu \omega\left(\frac{\log n}{n} q_n\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} j^{-2} \left(1 + \frac{j}{\log n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} j^{-2} (1 + j/\log n) \leq C$, the estimation of \sum_2 is complete.

REMARK. To estimate \sum_1 and \sum_2 , we do not need the assumption that ν is even. We have the following inequality which is essentially proved in the estimation of \sum_1 and \sum_2 : Let $\tau > 0$, and let $\nu = 2, 3, \dots$. Then,

$$\max_{|x| \leq \tau} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \sum_{k: |x_{kn}| \leq \tau} |L_{kn}(x)|^\nu |e_i(\nu; k, n)| |x - x_{kn}|^i \leq C,$$

where C is independent of n and x . The inequality will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

We will prove the required inequality for \sum_3 . It is essential in this proof that the order ν of Hermite-Fejér interpolation is even: $\nu = 2d$, $d = 1, 2, \dots$. By the same argument as in the case of \sum_2 , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_3| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in J(j)} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \cdot j^{-\nu} \{ |k_n(x)| w(x_{kn}) w^{-1}(x) \}^\nu \cdot M(x_{kn}) q_n^{(\nu-2)(m-1)} \cdot \left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right)^{\nu-1} \\ &\leq C \{ |k_n(x)| w^{-1}(x) \}^\nu \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \frac{q_n}{jn} \sum_{k \in J(j)} M(x_{kn}) w(x_{kn})^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the sum $U_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)}$. We may assume $x \geq 0$ since the case $x \leq 0$ is similar. Let N be the nonnegative integer such that $N\delta q_n/n \leq x < (N+1)\delta q_n/n$. Since $M(x_{kn}) w(x_{kn})^\nu \leq \min\{D/|x_{kn}|, D'\}$ with positive constants D and D' independent of k, n and x , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &\leq C \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \frac{q_n}{jn} \left\{ (N+j) \frac{\delta q_n}{n} \right\}^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \frac{q_n}{jn} \left\{ (N-j) \frac{\delta q_n}{n} \right\}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega\left(\frac{Nq_n}{n}\right) \frac{q_n}{Nn} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\lambda(n)} \omega\left(\frac{jq_n}{n}\right) \frac{q_n}{jn} \left\{ (j-N) \frac{\delta q_n}{n} \right\}^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\omega\left(\frac{q_n}{n}\log n\right)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)}\{j(j+N)\}^{-1}\left(1+\frac{j}{\log n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\{j(N-j)\}^{-1}\left(1+\frac{j}{\log n}\right)+\left(1+\frac{N}{\log n}\right)\frac{q_n}{Nn}+\sum_{j=N+1}^{\lambda(n)}\{j(j-N)\}^{-1}\left(1+\frac{j}{\log n}\right)\right].$$

Since three sums and the term $(1+N/\log n)q_n/(Nn)$ in $[\dots]$ are uniformly bounded with respect to n and N , we have the desired estimate for \sum_3 .

Lastly, we will estimate \sum_4 . We have

$$|\sum_4| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k \in I} |f(x_{kn}) - f(x)| |l_{kn}(x)|^v |e_i(v; k, n)| |x - x_{kn}|^i.$$

We let $K = K_1$ be the constant in (D), (i). Since $x_{kn} \in [-Kq_n, Kq_n]$ for all k and $x \in [-\kappa q_n, \kappa q_n] \subset [-Kq_n, Kq_n]$, it follows that $|x - x_{kn}| \leq 2Kq_n$ and $|f(x_{kn}) - f(x)| \leq (1 + 2Kn/\log n)\tilde{\omega}(q_n \log n/n)$, where $\tilde{\omega}(q_n \log n/n)$ stands for $\omega(f; [-Kq_n, Kq_n]; q_n \log n/n)$. By Lemma 6, we have $|e_i(v; k, n)| \leq Cq_n^{i(m-1)}$. Since $|x - x_{kn}| \geq \delta q_n/n$, we have

$$|l_{kn}(x)| = \frac{|k_n(x)| w^{-1}(x) q_n^{-1/2}}{|x - x_{kn}| |p'_n(x_{kn})|} \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{|k_n(x)|}{w(x)} n q_n^{-3/2} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_4| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \sum_{k \in I} \left(1 + \frac{2Kn}{\log n}\right) \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{q_n}{n}\log n\right) \cdot \left\{\frac{|k_n(x)|}{w(x)} n q_n^{-3/2} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-1}\right\}^v \cdot q_n^{i(m-1)} \cdot q_n^i, \\ &\leq C \left\{\frac{|k_n(x)|}{w(x)}\right\}^v \cdot \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{q_n}{n}\log n\right) \cdot n^{2v} q_n^{-3v/2} \sum_{k \in I} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-v}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of k, n and x . The sum $\sum_{k \in I} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-v}$ is treated by the following lemma.

Let $\lambda_{kn}, k=1, 2, \dots$ be the Cotes numbers which appear in the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p(x_{kn}) \lambda_{kn} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) w^2(x) dx$$

valid for all polynomials $p(x)$ of degree at most $2n-1$ (cf. [Ne3]).

LEMMA 7. Let $\tau > 0$. Then,

$$\sum_{k: |x_{kn}| \geq \tau q_n} p'_n(x_{kn})^{-2} \leq C q_n^{-2m+3} w^2(\tau q_n),$$

where C is independent of n .

PROOF. It follows from (D), (i) that $|x_{kn}| \leq K_1 q_n$ for all zeros x_{kn} . Then, by

(B), we have $Cn/q_n \leq A_n(x_{kn})$, $k=1, 2, \dots, n$. By [Ba, (5.2)] and (A), we have $p'_n(x_{kn})^{-2} = a_n \lambda_{kn} A_n(x_{kn})^{-1} \leq C a_n \lambda_{kn} q_n/n \leq C q_n^2 \lambda_{kn}/n$. By the formula $\lambda_{kn} \leq \int_{x_{k+1n}}^{x_{kn}} w^2(x) dx$ (cf. [Ne3, (4.7.27)] and also [Sz, Th. 3.41.1]), we have

$$\sum_{k: |x_{kn}| \geq \tau q_n} \lambda_{kn} \leq C \int_{\tau q_n}^{\infty} w^2(x) dx .$$

Integration by part (or an asymptotic formula for the incomplete gamma function) shows that the last integral is bounded by $C q_n^{-m+1} w^2(\tau q_n)$, which gives the inequality. q.e.d.

By the lemma and the fact that $v/2 \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in I} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-v} \leq \left\{ \sum_{k \in I} |p'_n(x_{kn})|^{-2} \right\}^{v/2} \leq C \{q_n^{-2m+3} w^2(\kappa q_n)\}^{v/2} = C q_n^{(-2m+3)v/2} e^{-\mu n} ,$$

where $\mu = v\kappa^m/m$ and C is independent of n and x . Therefore, we have the inequality

$$|\sum_4| \leq C \left\{ \frac{|k_n(x)|}{w(x)} \right\}^v \cdot \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{q_n}{n} \log n \right) \cdot n^v e^{-\mu n} ,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We need to find the lower bound of the coefficient $e_{v-1}(v; k, n)$ (cf. (3.21)) with odd v for our purpose (see Lemma 14). To this end, we begin by estimating the following two coefficients $A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x)$ and $A_j^{[j]}(x)$ in (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10).

LEMMA 8. *Let*

$$\alpha = m \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \left(\frac{m-2}{m/2-1} \right) \beta^{m-1} .$$

Let $j=0, 1, \dots$ and let $0 < \theta < \Theta$. Suppose $\theta \leq |x| \leq \Theta$. Let $\varepsilon_n(j; x)$ and $\varepsilon'_n(j; x)$ be functions defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x) &= \alpha q_n^{m-1} (1 + \varepsilon_n(j; x) q_n^{-2}) , \\ A_j^{[j]}(x) &= \alpha^3 q_n^{3(m-1)} (1 + \varepsilon'_n(j; x) q_n^{-2}) , \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then, $|\varepsilon_n(j; x)| \leq C$ and $|\varepsilon'_n(j; x)| \leq C$, where C is independent of n and x , and may depend on j, θ, Θ and m .

PROOF. Clearly, (B) leads to $|\varepsilon_n(j; x)| \leq C$. By (3.4)–(3.10), we see that $A_j^{[j]}(x)$ has the following expression

$$A_j^{[j]}(x) = \binom{j}{2} a''(x) + \binom{j}{1} b'(x) + \sum_{r=1}^5 c_r(x) .$$

We first deal with the term $c_1(x)$. We note that $a_n/a_{n-1} = 1 + (a_n - a_{n-1})/a_{n-1}$, $|(a_n - a_{n-1})/a_{n-1}| \leq Cq_n^{-m}$ by (A), and $q_{n-1}/q_n = 1 + (q_{n-1} - q_n)/q_n$, $|(q_{n-1} - q_n)/q_n| \leq Cq_n^{-m}$. From these inequalities and (B), it follows that $c_1(x) = \alpha^3 q_n^{3(m-1)} + \rho_n q_n^{3(m-1)-2}$, $|\rho_n| \leq C$. Thus, it is enough to show that the other terms have orders not exceeding q_n^{3m-5} . Since $a''(x) = A_n''(x)$, it follows from Lemma 2 that $|a_n''(x)| \leq Cq_n^{m-3}$. By (3.11), we have $|b'(x)| \leq Cq_n^{2m-3}$. The inequality $|c_2(x)| \leq Cq_n^{3m-5}$ follows from (3.12) with $s=0$. For $c_3(x)$, (3.14) with $s=0$ leads to $|c_3(x)| \leq Cq_n^{3m-5}$. It follows from (3.15) with $s=0$ that $|c_4(x)| \leq Cq_n^{2m-3}$. By (3.16) with $s=0$, we have $|c_5(x)| \leq Cq_n^{2m-5}$. Thus, we have $|e_n'(j; x)| \leq C$. q.e.d.

By using the lemma, we find the lower bounds for $p_n^{(2s+1)}(x_{kn})$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$.

LEMMA 9. *Let $s = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $0 < \theta < \Theta$. Suppose $\theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$. If $\zeta_n(s; x_{kn})$ is defined by*

$$p_n^{(2s+1)}(x_{kn}) = (-1)^s \alpha^{2s} q_n^{2s(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(s; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}) p_n'(x_{kn}),$$

then

$$(4.1) \quad |\zeta_n(s; x_{kn})| \leq C,$$

where C is independent of n and x_{kn} , but may depend on s, θ, Θ and m .

PROOF. We first note by Lemma 3 that, for $j=0, 1, \dots$,

$$(4.2) \quad |A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x_{kn})| \geq Cq_n^{m-1},$$

$$(4.3) \quad |A_{j+1}^{[j]}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{m-1},$$

$$(4.4) \quad |A_{j-s}^{[j]}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{3m-3-s-\langle s \rangle}, \quad s=0, 1, \dots, j-1$$

for $\theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$, where C is independent of k and n , and may depend on θ, Θ, m , and j . By Lemma 4, we have

$$(4.5) \quad |p_n^{(r)}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{(r-2+\langle r \rangle)(m-1)} |p_n'(x_{kn})|, \quad r=1, 2, \dots$$

for $\theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$, where C is independent of k and n . By Lemma 8, we see that, for $j=0, 1, \dots$,

$$(4.6) \quad -\frac{A_j^{[j]}(x_{kn})}{A_{j+2}^{[j]}(x_{kn})} = (-1)\alpha^2 q_n^{2(m-1)} (1 + \rho_{kn} q_n^{-2}), \quad |\rho_{kn}| \leq C.$$

Now, we show (4.1) by induction on s . Let $s=1$. It follows from (3.9) that

$$p_n^{(3)}(x_{kn}) = -\frac{A_2^{[1]}(x_{kn})}{A_3^{[1]}(x_{kn})} p_n''(x_{kn}) - \frac{A_1^{[1]}(x_{kn})}{A_3^{[1]}(x_{kn})} p_n'(x_{kn}).$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and (4.5), the first term on the right side of the above equality is bounded by $C |p_n'(x_{kn})|$. This and (4.6) lead to (4.1) with $s=1$. Suppose that (4.1) with $s-1$ holds.

It follows from the expression (3.10) that

$$(4.7) \quad p^{(2s+1)} = -\frac{A_{2s}}{A_{2s+1}} p^{(2s)} - \frac{A_{2s-1}}{A_{2s+1}} p^{(2s-1)} - \frac{A_{2s-2}}{A_{2s+1}} p^{(2s-2)} - \dots - \frac{A_1}{A_{2s+1}} p^{(1)},$$

where A_r and $p^{(r)}$ stand for $A_r^{[2s-1]}(x_{kn})$ and $p_n^{(r)}(x_{kn})$, respectively. By the assumption of induction and (4.6), we see that the second term on the right-hand side of (4.7) has an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{A_{2s-1}}{A_{2s+1}} p^{(2s-1)} \\ & = (-1)\alpha^2 q_n^{2(m-1)}(1 + \rho_n q_n^{-2}) \cdot (-1)^{s-1} \alpha^{2(s-1)} q_n^{2(s-1)(m-1)}(1 + \zeta_n(s-1; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}) p_n'(x_{kn}) \\ & = (-1)^s \alpha^{2s} q_n^{2s(m-1)}(1 + \rho_n' q_n^{-2}) p_n'(x_{kn}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_n' = \zeta_n(s-1; x_{kn}) + \rho_n + \zeta_n(s-1; x_{kn}) \rho_n q_n^{-2}$. Combining (4.2)–(4.5), we see easily that the other terms on the right-hand side of (4.7) are bounded by $C q_n^{2s(m-1)-2} |p_n'(x_{kn})|$.
q.e.d.

To estimate the lower bound for $e_{v-1}(v; k, n)$ with odd v , we need more refined estimates of $(l_{kn}^v)^{(2j)}(x_{kn})$. Let $\psi_j(1) = (2j+1)^{-1}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $0 < \theta < \Theta$, and suppose $\theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$. Then, by (3.20) with $r = 2j$ and Lemma 9, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{kn}^{(2j)}(x_{kn}) &= \psi_j(1) (-1)^j \alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(1; j; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}), \\ |\zeta_n(1; j; x_{kn})| &\leq C, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_n(1; j; x_{kn}) = \zeta_n(j; x_{kn})$ for $j \geq 1$, $\zeta_n(1; 0; x_{kn}) = 0$, and C is independent of n and x_{kn} , and may depend on j, θ, Θ and m . By induction on v , we can estimate $(l_{kn}^v)^{(2j)}(x_{kn})$.

LEMMA 10. For $v = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists uniquely a sequence $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of positive numbers and $\zeta_n(v; j; x_{kn})$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad (l_{kn}^v)^{(2j)}(x_{kn}) = \psi_j(v) (-1)^j \alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(v; j; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}),$$

and

$$|\zeta_n(v; j; x_{kn})| \leq C,$$

for x_{kn} with $0 < \theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$, where C is independent of n and x_{kn} , and may depend on v, j, θ, Θ and m .

PROOF. The case $v = 1$ follows from (4.8). Suppose that the case $v-1$ holds. We have

$$(l_{kn}^v)^{(2j)}(x_{kn}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2j} \binom{2j}{i} (l_{kn}^{v-1})^{(i)}(x_{kn}) l_{kn}^{(2j-i)}(x_{kn})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{2j}{2r} (l_{kn}^{v-1})^{(2r)}(x_{kn}) l_{kn}^{(2j-2r)}(x_{kn}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^j \binom{2j}{2r-1} (l_{kn}^{v-1})^{(2r-1)}(x_{kn}) l_{kn}^{(2j-2r+1)}(x_{kn}).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 5 that $|(l_{kn}^{v-1})^{(2t-1)}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{(2t-2)(m-1)}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, the second sum on the right-hand side of the above equality is bounded by $Cq_n^{(2j-2)(m-1)}$. By (4.8) and the assumption of induction, the first sum $\sum_{r=0}^j$ is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{r=0}^j &= \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{2j}{2r} \psi_r(v-1) (-1)^r \alpha^{2r} q_n^{2r(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(v-1; r; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}) \\
 &\quad \cdot \psi_{j-r}(1) (-1)^{j-r} \alpha^{2j-2r} q_n^{(2j-2r)(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(1; j-r; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}) \\
 &= \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{1}{2(j-r)+1} \binom{2j}{2r} \psi_r(v-1) (-1)^j \alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} (1 + \rho_n q_n^{-2}), \quad j=0, 1, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_n = \zeta_n(v-1; r; x_{kn}) + \zeta_n(1; j-r; x_{kn}) + \zeta_n(v-1; r; x_{kn}) \zeta_n(1; j-r; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}$. If we put

$$(4.10) \quad \psi_j(v) = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{1}{2(j-r)+1} \binom{2j}{2r} \psi_r(v-1), \quad j=0, 1, \dots,$$

then $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ satisfies the required condition. q.e.d.

We rewrite the relation (4.10) in the form

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned}
 \psi_0(v) &= 1, \quad v=1, 2, \dots, \\
 \psi_j(v) - \psi_j(v-1) &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} \psi_r(v-1), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, v=2, 3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

For every j , we will introduce an auxiliary polynomial determined by $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$. This polynomial will play an important role in estimating $e_{v-1}(v; k, n)$ from below.

LEMMA 11. (i) For $j=0, 1, \dots$, there exists a unique polynomial $\Psi_j(y)$ of degree j such that $\Psi_j(v) = \psi_j(v)$, $v=1, 2, \dots$.

(ii) $\Psi_0(y) = 1$, and $\Psi_j(0) = 0$, $j=1, 2, \dots$.

PROOF. Since a polynomial $\Psi_j(y)$ satisfying $\Psi_j(v) = \psi_j(v)$, $v=1, 2, \dots$ is unique, it is enough to show the existence, which we will show by induction on j . In case $j=0$, we can choose $\Psi_0(y) = 1$. Suppose that $\Psi_0(y), \Psi_1(y), \dots, \Psi_{j-1}(y)$ are chosen. By (4.11), we have

$$\psi_j(v) = \psi_j(1) + \sum_{i=2}^v \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} \Psi_r(i-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2j+1} \left\{ v + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} \Psi_r(i) \right\}.$$

If $j=1$, then the last sum is assumed to be zero. Suppose $\Psi_r(y) = \sum_{s=1}^r c_s(r)y^s$, $r=1, 2, \dots, j-1$. Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(v) &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \left\{ v + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} \sum_{s=1}^r c_s(r) \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} i^s \right\}, \\ &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \left\{ v + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} \sum_{s=1}^r c_s(r) \frac{\varphi_{s+1}(v)}{s+1} \right\}, \\ &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \left[v + \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \left\{ \sum_{r=s}^{j-1} \binom{2j+1}{2r} c_s(r) \right\} \frac{\varphi_{s+1}(v)}{s+1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_s(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials of degree s defined by the equation $t(e^{xt}-1)(e^t-1)^{-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varphi_s(x)t^s/s!$. Let $\Psi_1(y) = y/3$ and $\Psi_j(y)$, $j=2, 3, \dots$ be the functions defined by the last formula with y instead of v . Then, since $\varphi_s(0) = 0$, $s \geq 2$, we see that $\Psi_j(y)$ has the desired condition. q.e.d.

Since $\Psi_j(y)$ is a polynomial of degree j , we can replace $\psi_j(v)$ in (4.10) with $\Psi_j(y)$, that is,

$$(4.12) \quad \Psi_j(y) = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{1}{2(j-r)+1} \binom{2j}{2r} \Psi_r(y-1)$$

for arbitrary real y and $j=0, 1, \dots$. We use the notation $F_{kn}(x, y) = \{l_{kn}(x)\}^y$ which coincides with l_{kn}^y if y is an integer. Since $l_{kn}(x_{kn}) = 1$, we have $l_{kn}(x) > 0$ for x in a neighborhood of x_{kn} . Thus, $F_{kn}(x, y)$ is well-defined for x in the neighborhood of x_{kn} and arbitrary real y .

We will show that $(\partial/\partial x)^j F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most j with respect to y for $j=0, 1, \dots$, where $(\partial/\partial x)^j F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y)$ is the j -th partial derivative of F_{kn} with respect to x at (x_{kn}, y) . We prove these facts by induction on j . The case $j=0$ is trivial. Suppose that the case j holds. To simplify notation, fix y and let $F(x) = F_{kn}(x, y)$ and $l(x) = l_{kn}(x)$. Then, $F'(x)l(x) = y l'(x)F(x)$. By Leibniz's rule, we easily see that

$$(4.13) \quad F^{(j+1)}(x_{kn}) = - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{s} F^{(s+1)}(x_{kn}) l^{(j-s)}(x_{kn}) + y \sum_{s=0}^j \binom{j}{s} l^{(s+1)}(x_{kn}) F^{(j-s)}(x_{kn}),$$

which shows that $F^{(j+1)}(x_{kn})$ is a polynomial of degree at most $j+1$ with respect to y .

Let $P_{kn}^{[j]}(y)$ be defined by

$$(4.14) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2j} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) = (-1)^j \alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} \Psi_j(y) + P_{kn}^{[j]}(y), \quad j=0, 1, \dots$$

Then $P_{kn}^{[j]}(y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2j$.

LEMMA 12. *Let $j=0, 1, \dots$. Let $M > 0$ and $0 < \theta < \Theta$. Suppose that $\theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$ and $|y| \leq M$. Then,*

$$(i) \quad \left| \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right)^s P_{kn}^{[j]}(y) \right| \leq C q_n^{2j(m-1)-2}, \quad s=0, 1,$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2j+1} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) \right| \leq C q_n^{2j(m-1)},$$

where C is independent of n and k , and may depend on j, θ, Θ, M and m .

PROOF. By (4.9), we have

$$P_{kn}^{[j]}(v) = \psi_j(v) (-1)^j \alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} r_{\psi_n}(v; j; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}, \quad v=1, 2, \dots$$

By Lemma 10, $|P_{kn}^{[j]}(v)| \leq D_v q_n^{2j(m-1)-2}$, $v=1, 2, \dots$, where D_v are positive constants independent of n and k , and may depend on j, θ, Θ, v and m . Since $P_{kn}^{[j]}(y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2j$, we see that $P_{kn}^{[j]}(y)$ coincides with the Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree $2j$ with values $P_{kn}^{[j]}(v)$ at base points $v, v=1, 2, \dots, 2j+1$. Evaluating the Lagrange interpolation polynomial and its derivative on the interval $[-M, M]$, we see that (i) holds with a constant C determined only by D_1, \dots, D_{2j+1} and M .

Next, we prove (ii). Since $(\partial/\partial x)F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) = y l'_{kn}(x_{kn})$, the case $j=0$ follows from Lemma 5. Suppose that (ii) holds for $1, 2, \dots, j-1$. By (4.13), $(\partial/\partial x)^{2j+1} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y)$ is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2j+1} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) &= F^{(2j+1)}(x_{kn}) \\ &= - \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{2j}{2i} F^{(2i+1)}(x_{kn}) l^{(2j-2i)}(x_{kn}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{2j}{2i+1} F^{(2i+2)}(x_{kn}) l^{(2j-2i-1)}(x_{kn}) \right\} \\ &\quad + y \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2j}{2i} l^{(2i+1)}(x_{kn}) F^{(2j-2i)}(x_{kn}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{2j}{2i+1} l^{(2i+2)}(x_{kn}) F^{(2j-2i-1)}(x_{kn}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (i), it follows that $|F^{(2s)}(x_{kn})| \leq C q_n^{2s(m-1)}$, $s=0, 1, \dots$. By Lemma 5, we have $|l^{(2s)}(x_{kn})| \leq C q_n^{2s(m-1)}$ and $|l^{(2s+1)}(x_{kn})| \leq C q_n^{2s(m-1)}$, $s=0, 1, \dots$. From these inequalities and the assumption of induction, it follows that every sums in the formula is bounded by $C q_n^{2j(m-1)}$. q.e.d.

By (i) of the above lemma, we can prove the following lemma which plays an essential role in estimating the lower bound of $e_{v-1}(v; k, n)$.

LEMMA 13. *If $y < 0$, then $\Psi_j(y) \neq 0$ for $j=0, 1, \dots$.*

PROOF. Since $\Psi_0(y)=1$, we may assume $j \geq 1$. Since $\Psi_j(0)=0$, $\Psi_j(y)$ has an expression

$$\Psi_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{j-i} a_i(j) y^i, \quad j=1, 2, \dots$$

Then, it is enough to show that $a_i(j) > 0$, $i=1, 2, \dots, j$. For, if $y = -u$, $u > 0$, then $\Psi_j(-u) = (-1)^j \sum_{i=1}^j a_i(j) u^i \neq 0$.

We will first show that $a_1(j) > 0$, $j=1, 2, \dots$. It follows from (4.14) and $(-1)^{j-1} a_1(j) = (d/dy)\Psi_j(0)$ that

$$-\alpha^{2j} q_n^{2j(m-1)} a_1(j) = \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2j} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) - P_{kn}^{[j]}(y) \right\} \Big|_{y=0}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2j} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, y) \right\} \Big|_{y=0} &= \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{2j} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F_{kn}(x, 0) \right\} \Big|_{x=x_{kn}} \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{2j} \log l_{kn}(x) \Big|_{x=x_{kn}} = -(2j-1)! \sum_{s \neq k} \frac{1}{(x_{kn} - x_{sn})^{2j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the expression (3.1). Thus, we have

$$a_1(j) = \alpha^{-2j} q_n^{-2j(m-1)} \left\{ (2j-1)! \sum_{s \neq k} \frac{1}{(x_{kn} - x_{sn})^{2j}} + \frac{d}{dy} P_{kn}^{[j]}(0) \right\}.$$

If n is large enough, then there exists a number $k(n)$ such that $1 \leq x_{k(n)n} \leq 2$. From Lemma 12, (i) with $\theta=1$ and $\Theta=2$, it follows that $|(d/dy)P_{k(n)n}^{[j]}(0)| \leq Cq_n^{2j(m-1)-2}$, where C is a positive constant independent of n . From this and (D), (ii), we have $a_1(j) \geq \alpha^{-2j} q_n^{-2j(m-1)} \{ C(2j-1)! q_n^{2j(m-1)} - Cq_n^{2j(m-1)-2} \} \geq \{ C(2j-1)! \alpha^{-2j} - Cq_n^{-2} \}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $a_1(j) > 0$.

Next, we treat the other coefficients. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (l_{kn}^{2v})^{(2j+2)}(x_{kn}) &= \sum_{r=0}^{j+1} \binom{2j+2}{2r} (l_{kn}^v)^{(2r)}(x_{kn}) (l_{kn}^v)^{(2j+2-2r)}(x_{kn}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{j+1} \binom{2j+2}{2r-1} (l_{kn}^v)^{(2r-1)}(x_{kn}) (l_{kn}^v)^{(2j+2-2r+1)}(x_{kn}), \quad v=1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 10, it follows that the leading term on the left-hand side of the equation is $\psi_{j+1}(2v)(-1)^{j+1} \alpha^{2(j+1)} q_n^{2(j+1)(m-1)}$. The leading term of the first sum on the right-hand side is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{j+1} \binom{2j+2}{2r} \psi_r(v) \psi_{j+1-r}(v) (-1)^{j+1} \alpha^{2(j+1)} q_n^{2(j+1)(m-1)}.$$

Since $|(l_{kn}^v)^{(2t-1)}(x_{kn})| \leq Cq_n^{(2t-2)(m-1)}$, $t=1, 2, \dots$ by Lemma 5, the second sum is bounded by $Cq_n^{2j(m-1)}$. Thus, we have

$$\psi_{j+1}(2v) = \sum_{r=0}^{j+1} \binom{2j+2}{2r} \psi_r(v) \psi_{j+1-r}(v),$$

and thus

$$\psi_{j+1}(2v) - 2\psi_{j+1}(v) = \sum_{r=1}^j \binom{2j+2}{2r} \psi_r(v) \psi_{j+1-r}(v), \quad v=1, 2, \dots$$

This leads to

$$(4.15) \quad \Psi_{j+1}(2y) - 2\Psi_{j+1}(y) = \sum_{r=1}^j \binom{2j+2}{2r} \Psi_r(y) \Psi_{j+1-r}(y).$$

We replace $\Psi_{j+1}(y)$ in (4.15) by the expression $\Psi_{j+1}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} (-1)^{j+1-i} a_i(j+1)y^i$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} (-1)^{j+1-i} (2^i - 2) a_i(j+1) y^i = \sum_{r=1}^j \binom{2j+2}{2r} \Psi_r(y) \Psi_{j+1-r}(y).$$

If we assume $a_i(j) > 0$, $i=1, 2, \dots, j$, then we see that the right-hand side of the equation is a polynomial of degree $j+1$ whose coefficients are alternating. Thus, we have $(2^i - 2)a_i(j+1) > 0$, which implies $a_i(j+1) > 0$, $i=2, 3, \dots, j+1$. This completes the proof since we have already obtained $a_1(j) > 0$, $j=1, 2, \dots$ q.e.d.

For $v=1, 2, \dots$, define $\eta_{kn}(v; s)$ by the relation

$$(4.16) \quad e_{2s}(v; k, n) = \frac{1}{(2s)!} (-1)^s \Psi_s(-v) \alpha^{2s} q_n^{2s(m-1)} (1 + \eta_{kn}(v; s) q_n^{-2}).$$

LEMMA 14. *We have*

$$(4.17) \quad |\eta_{kn}(v; s)| \leq C$$

for k with $0 < \theta \leq |x_{kn}| \leq \Theta$ and $s=0, 1, \dots, \tilde{v}$, where C is independent of n and k , and may depend on v, s, θ, Θ and m , and \tilde{v} is the largest integer not exceeding $(v-1)/2$.

PROOF. We prove (4.17) by induction on s . Since $e_0(v; k, n) = 1$ by (3.3) and $\Psi_0(y) = 1$, (4.7) holds for $s=0$. Assume it holds until $s-1$. Using (3.3), we write $e_{2s}(v; k, n)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2s}(v; k, n) = & -\frac{1}{(2s)!} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \frac{(2s)!}{(2s-2r)!} e_{2r}(v; k, n) (l_{kn}^v)^{(2s-2r)}(x_{kn}) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{r=1}^s \frac{(2s)!}{(2s-2r+1)!} e_{2r-1}(v; k, n) (l_{kn}^v)^{(2s-2r+1)}(x_{kn}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $|(l_{kn}^v)^{(2s-2r+1)}| \leq Cq_n^{(2s-2r)(m-1)}$ by Lemma 5 and $|e_{2r-1}(v; k, n)| \leq Cq_n^{(2r-2)(m-1)}$ by Lemma 6. The second sum $\sum_{r=1}^s$ is bounded by $Cq_n^{(2s-2)(m-1)}$. We have by (4.16) and (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} &= \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \frac{(2s)!}{(2s-2r)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(2r)!} (-1)^r \Psi_r(-v) \alpha^{2r} q_n^{2r(m-1)} (1 + \eta_{kn}(v; r) q_n^{-2}) \\ &\quad \cdot \psi_{s-r}(v) (-1)^{s-r} \alpha^{2(s-r)} q_n^{2(s-r)(m-1)} (1 + \zeta_n(v; s-r; x_{kn}) q_n^{-2}) \\ &= (-1)^s \alpha^{2s} q_n^{2s(m-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v) \psi_{s-r}(v) (1 + \rho_n q_n^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_n = \eta_{kn}(v; r) + \zeta_n(v; s-r; x_{kn}) + \zeta_n(v; s-r; x_{kn}) \eta_{kn}(v; r) q_n^{-2}$. Since $|\rho_n| \leq C$ by Lemma 10 and the assumption of induction, it is enough to show that $\sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v) \psi_{s-r}(v) = -\Psi_s(-v)$, $s=1, 2, \dots, v=1, 2, \dots$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v) \psi_{s-r}(v) = 0$.

Let $C_s(y) = \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-y) \Psi_{s-r}(y)$. It suffices to show that $C_s(v) = 0$, $s=1, 2, \dots, v=1, 2, \dots$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (l_{kn}^{-1+1})^{(2s)}(x_{kn}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2s} \binom{2s}{i} (l_{kn}^{-1})^{(i)}(x_{kn}) l_{kn}^{(2s-i)}(x_{kn}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2r} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, -1) l_{kn}^{(2s-2r)}(x_{kn}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \binom{2s}{2r+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2r+1} F_{kn}(x_{kn}, -1) l_{kn}^{(2s-2r-1)}(x_{kn}) \end{aligned}$$

for every s . By (4.8), (4.14) and Lemma 12, (i), we see that the first sum $\sum_{r=0}^s$ has the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^s = (-1)^s \alpha^{2s} q_n^{2s(m-1)} \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-1) \psi_{s-r}(1) + \xi_n q_n^{2s(m-1)-2},$$

where $|\xi_n| \leq C$. By Lemma 5 and Lemma 12, (ii), the second sum $\sum_{r=0}^{s-1}$ is bounded by $Cq_n^{(2s-2)(m-1)}$. Thus, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$0 = \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-1) \psi_{s-r}(1) = C_s(1)$$

for every s . Suppose $C_s(v) = 0$ for every s . We will show that $C_s(v+1) = 0$ for every s . Using (4.12) and changing the order of summations, we have

$$C_s(v+1) = \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v-1) \sum_{p=0}^{s-r} \frac{1}{2(s-r-p)+1} \binom{2s-2r}{2p} \Psi_p(v)$$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^s \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{s-p} \frac{1}{2(s-r-p)+1} \binom{2s-2r}{2p} \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v-1) \right\} \Psi_p(v).$$

By the relation

$$\binom{2s-2r}{2p} \binom{2s}{2r} = \binom{2s}{2p} \binom{2s-2p}{2r}$$

and (4.12), we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{s-p} \frac{1}{2(s-r-p)+1} \binom{2s-2r}{2p} \binom{2s}{2r} \Psi_r(-v-1) = \binom{2s}{2p} \Psi_{s-p}(-v),$$

which leads to $C_s(v+1) = C_s(-v)$. We are done, since we easily see $C_s(-v) = C_s(v)$.

q.e.d.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2. We find a lower bound for the Lebesgue constants $A_n(v; [a, b]) = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \sum_{k=1}^n |h_{kn}(v; x)|$ with a positive odd order v and a given interval $[a, b]$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. A simple observation allows us to assume $a > 0$. By the expression (3.2), we have

$$|h_{kn}(v; x)| \geq |l_{kn}^v(x) e_{v-1}(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^{v-1}| - |l_{kn}^v(x) \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} e_i(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^i|.$$

Let $c = b + (b - a)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(v; [a, b]) &\geq \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \sum_{k: a \leq x_{kn} \leq c} |l_{kn}^v(x) e_{v-1}(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^{v-1}| \\ &\quad - \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \sum_{k: a \leq x_{kn} \leq c} |l_{kn}^v(x) \sum_{i=0}^{v-2} e_i(v; k, n)(x - x_{kn})^i|, \\ &= \max_{a \leq x \leq b} F_n(x) - \max_{a \leq x \leq b} G_n(x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Remark in the proof of Theorem 1 that $\max_{a \leq x \leq b} G_n(x) \leq C$ with C independent of n . Thus, it is enough to show that $\max_{a \leq x \leq b} F_n(x) \geq C \log n$. Let $\Gamma(x; j)$ be the set of numbers defined by

$$\Gamma(x; j) = \left\{ k; a \leq x_{kn} \leq c, j - \frac{C_2 q_n}{n} \leq x_{kn} - x < (j+1) \frac{C_2 q_n}{n} \right\},$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, where C_2 is the constant in (D), (ii). We have

$$F_n(x) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \Gamma(x; j)} |l_{kn}^v(x)|^v |e_{v-1}(v; k, n)| (x_{kn} - x)^{v-1}.$$

Let n_0 be a number such that $c \leq q_{n_0} \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\}$, where κ_1, κ_2 and κ_3 are the

constants in (D), (ii), (E) and (F), respectively. By (B), (E) and (F), we have

$$|I_{kn}(x)| = \frac{|p_n(x)| w(x)}{(x_{kn} - x) |p'_n(x_{kn})| w(x_{kn})} \frac{w(x_{kn})}{w(x)} \geq C \frac{|x - x_{(x,n)}|}{(x_{kn} - x)} w(c) w^{-1}(a) \geq C \frac{|x - x_{(x,n)}|}{(x_{kn} - x)},$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$, $n \geq n_0$ and $a \leq x_{kn} \leq c$, where C is independent of k , n and x . From this and Lemma 14, it follows that

$$F_n(x) \geq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \Gamma(x;j)} \frac{|x - x_{(x,n)}|^v}{(x_{kn} - x)} |e_{v-1}(v; k, n)| \geq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \Gamma(x;j)} \frac{n}{jq_n} |x - x_{(x,n)}|^v \cdot \frac{1}{(v-1)!} |\Psi_{(v-1)/2}(-v)| \alpha^{v-1} q_n^{(v-1)(m-1)} (1 - Dq_n^{-2})$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$ and $n \geq n_0$ with some positive constant D independent of x and n . Let n_1 be a number such that $1 - Dq_n^{-2} > 1/2$. Let n_2 be a number satisfying $(b - a) > 2C_2 q_{n_2} / n_2$ and $c \leq x_{1n_2}$, where C_2 is the constant in (D), (ii). Then, for every $n \geq n_2$, there exist at least two successive zeros x_{k_0-1n} , x_{k_0n} in the interval (a, b) , and so there exists a point $x[n]$ such that $a \leq x[n] \leq b$ and $|x[n] - x_{(x[n],n)}| \geq C_1 q_n / n$ for $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_2\}$, where C_1 is the constant in (D), (ii). Therefore, we have

$$\max_{a \leq x \leq b} F_n(x) \geq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \Gamma(x[n];j)} j^{-1}$$

for $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1, n_2\}$, where C is independent of n . Let $N(n)$ be the number such that $N(n) \leq (c - b) / (C_2 q_n / n) < N(n) + 1$. Then, $\Gamma(x; j) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in [a, b]$, $1 \leq j \leq N(n)$ and $n \geq n_2$. Therefore, $\max_{a \leq x \leq b} F_n(x) \geq C \log N(n) \geq C \log n$ for $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1, n_2\}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[Ba] W. C. BAULDRY, Estimates of asymmetric Freud polynomials on the real line, *J. Approx. Theory* 63 (1990), 225–237.
 [BC] S. S. BONAN AND D. S. CLARK, Estimates of the Hermite and the Freud polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 63 (1990), 210–224.
 [Fr1] G. FREUD, Über die $(C, 1)$ -Summen der Entwicklungen nach orthogonalen Polynomen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 14 (1963), 197–208.
 [Fr2] G. FREUD, On weighted polynomial approximation on the whole real axis, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 20 (1969), 223–225.
 [Fr3] G. FREUD, Lagrangesche Interpolation über die Nullstellen der Hermiteschen Orthogonalpolynome, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 4 (1969), 179–190.

- [Ki] O. KIS, Lagrange interpolation and the zeros of Sonin-Markov polynomials (in Russian), *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 23 (1972), 389–417.
- [Kn] A. KNOPFMACHER, Pointwise convergence of Lagrange interpolation based at the zeros of orthonormal polynomials with respect to weights on the whole real line, *J. Approx. Theory* 51 (1987), 231–253.
- [Mh] H. N. MHASKAR, Bounds for certain Freud-type orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 63 (1990), 238–254.
- [Na] I. P. NATANSON, *Constructive function theory, Vo. III. Interpolation and approximation quadratures*, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1965.
- [Ne1] P. NEVAI, On convergence of Lagrange interpolation based on Laguerre nodes (in Russian), *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 20 (1973), 235–239.
- [Ne2] P. NEVAI, Notes on interpolation (in Russian), *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 25 (1974), 123–144.
- [Ne3] P. NEVAI, Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions. A case study, *J. Approx. Theory* 48 (1986), 3–167.
- [Ri] T. J. RIVLIN, *An introduction to the approximation of functions*, Dover, New York, 1981.
- [Sa1] R. SAKAI, Hermite-Fejér interpolation prescribing higher order derivatives, *Progress in approximation theory* (P. Nevai and A. Pinkus, ed.), Academic Press Inc., 1991, 731–759.
- [Sa2] R. SAKAI, Certain unbounded Hermite-Fejér interpolatory polynomial operators, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 59 (1992), 111–114.
- [Sa3] R. SAKAI, Multiple Hermite-Fejér interpolation on infinite intervals, submitted for publication.
- [Sa4] R. SAKAI, Hermite-Fejér interpolation II, submitted for publication.
- [SV] R. SAKAI AND P. VÉRTESI, Hermite-Fejér interpolations of higher order. III., to appear in *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*
- [Sz] G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS
 KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY
 KANAZAWA 920-11
 JAPAN

ANJO-HIGASHI SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
 10 ODOZUKA, KITAYAMAZAKI-CHO
 ANJO, AICHI 446
 JAPAN