

Pointwise surjective presentations of stacks

Avraham Aizenbud and Nir Avni

December 25, 2019

Abstract

We show that any stack \mathfrak{X} of finite type over a Noetherian scheme has a presentation $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by a scheme of finite type such that $X(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(F)$ is onto, for every finite or real closed field F . Under some additional conditions on \mathfrak{X} , we show the same for all perfect fields. We prove similar results for (some) Henselian rings.

We give two applications of the main result. One is to counting isomorphism classes of stacks over the rings \mathbb{Z}/p^n ; the other is about the relation between real algebraic and Nash stacks.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Formulation of the main results	2
1.2	Applications	3
1.3	Sketch of the proof of the main results	4
1.4	Acknowledgments	5
2	Almost onto presentations of stacks (Proof of Theorem B)	5
3	Onto presentations of stacks (Proof of Theorem A)	10
3.1	Internal Hom	12
3.2	Improving a presentation	13
3.3	Proof of Proposition 3.1	16
A	Group schemes and their classifying spaces	17
A.1	The generic point	17
A.2	Group algebraic spaces	19
A.2.1	Stratification of QCA stacks	19
A.2.2	Quotients of group schemes	19

1 Introduction

Let \mathfrak{X} be an algebraic stack. By definition, there exist a scheme X_0 and a submersive smooth map $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$; such a map is called a presentation of \mathfrak{X} . Let $X_1 = X_0 \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X_0$ be the fiber product. Note that, in general, X_1 is an algebraic space. The two projections $s, t : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$, together with the diagonal $\Delta : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ and the composition map $c : X_1 \times_{s, X_0, t} X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ give a structure of a

groupoid object $(X_0, X_1, s, t, \Delta, c)$ in algebraic spaces: here X_0 is the space of objects, X_1 is the space of morphisms, s and t are the source and target maps, Δ is the identity map, and c is the composition map.

The groupoid object $(X_0, X_1, s, t, \Delta, c)$ is closely related to \mathfrak{X} . In particular, for any field F , there is a natural and fully faithful functor from $(X_0(F), X_1(F), s, t, \Delta, c)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(F)$. For algebraically closed fields, this functor is an equivalence of groupoids. However, this is false in general: taking \mathfrak{X} to be the classifying space of the group C_2 and X_0 to be a point, we have that X_1 is a pair of points and, for every field F , the groupoid $(X_0(F), X_1(F), s, t, \Delta, c)$ has only one object, whereas the isomorphism classes in $\mathfrak{X}(F)$ are in bijection with the square class group of F .

In this paper we show that every algebraic stack has a presentation such that the above functor is an equivalence of groupoids, for any finite or real-closed field F . We also show that, under some condition on the stack \mathfrak{X} , there is a presentation such that the above functor is an equivalence of groupoids, for any perfect field F . The results also extend to Henselian rings with residue fields of the above form.

We give two applications of the main result. The first is to the study of the sequence $|\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}/n))|$, where \mathfrak{X} is a stack defined over \mathbb{Z} and $\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}/n))$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$. The second is to show that, for any algebraic stack \mathfrak{X} defined over \mathbb{R} , the groupoid $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R})$ has a structure of a Nash groupoid.

1.1 Formulation of the main results

We fix a Noetherian scheme S . All the schemes/group schemes/algebraic spaces/algebraic stacks we will consider will be of finite type over S unless stated otherwise.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be presentation of an algebraic stack and let $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$ be a scheme.*

1. *A T -point $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is π -liftable if it factors through some map $T \rightarrow X$ (up to isomorphism).*
2. *We say that π is T -onto if every T point of \mathfrak{X} is π -liftable.*
3. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Sch}/_S$ be a full subcategory of the overcategory of S . We say that π is \mathcal{S} -onto if it is T -onto, for every object of \mathcal{S} .*

Definition 1.2. *We denote:*

- *by $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Sch}/_S$ the category of spectra of fields,*
- *by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}} \subset \mathcal{F}$ the category of spectra of perfect fields,*
- *by $\mathcal{F}_f \subset \mathcal{F}$ the category of spectra of finite fields,*
- *by $\mathcal{F}_r \subset \mathcal{F}$ the category of spectra of real closed fields,*
- *by $\mathcal{H} \subset \text{Sch}/_S$ the category of Henselian schemes (i.e. spectra of Henselian local rings),*
- *and by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{H}_f, \mathcal{H}_r$ the categories of Henselian schemes whose closed points are in $\mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{F}_r$ respectively.*

Definition 1.3 (cf. [DG13, Definition 1.1.8]).

- Let \mathfrak{X} be a stack, let T be a scheme, and let $x \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ be a T -point. For any T -scheme $R \rightarrow T$, define $\text{Aut}(x)(R) := \text{Aut}(y)$ where y is the R -point of \mathfrak{X} defined by the composition $R \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.
- We say that \mathfrak{X} is QCA if for any separably closed field F and for any F -point x of \mathfrak{X} , the functor $\text{Aut}(x)$ is represented by a linear algebraic group

The main result in this paper is the following:

Theorem A (See §3). *Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a finite type stack over S . Then*

1. *There is a presentation $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ which is \mathcal{H}_f -onto and \mathcal{H}_r -onto.*
2. *If \mathfrak{X} is QCA, then there is a presentation $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ which is $\mathcal{H}_{\text{perf}}$ -onto.*

We will deduce this theorem from a statement that any surjective presentation of a stack satisfies some weaker condition that we define now:

Definition 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\phi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of stacks. We say that ϕ is (n, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto if, for every $\text{Spec } F \in \mathcal{S}$ and any F -point $u : \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$, there is a separable field extension E/F of degree at most n such that the composition $\text{Spec } E \rightarrow \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ factors through ϕ (up to isomorphism).*

Theorem B (See §2). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a surjective map of finite type between a scheme X and a stack \mathfrak{X} . Then there exists n such that*

1. *π is (n, \mathcal{F}_f) -almost onto.*
2. *If \mathfrak{X} is QCA, then π is (n, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto.*

1.2 Applications

Theorem A implies:

Corollary C. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a stack of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

1. *For every p , the power series $\sum |\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n))|t^n$ is a rational function of t .*
2. *The Dirichlet series $\sum_n |\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}/n))|n^{-s}$ has a rational abscissa of convergence.*

Proof. By Theorem A, there is a presentation $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that, for every finite ring R , the map $X(R) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(R))$ is onto. Again, by Theorem A, there is a presentations $Y \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X$ such that, for every finite ring R , the map $Y(R) \rightarrow (X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X)(R)$ is onto. Denote the composition $Y \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X \rightarrow X \times X$ by f . Then $f(Y(R))$ is an equivalence relation on the set $X(R)$ and $|\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(R))| = |X(R)/f(Y(R))|$, for every finite ring R . [HMRC18, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4] imply the corollary. \square

Let \mathfrak{X} be a smooth algebraic stack of finite type defined over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$. In [Sa16, Appendix A], Sakellaridis defines a stack $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{Nash}}$ on the site of Nash manifolds and asks whether it is always a Nash stack (i.e., is there a smooth presentation of $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{Nash}}$ by a Nash manifold). A criterion for $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{Nash}}$ being a Nash stack is given in [Sa16, Proposition A.1.4]. Using this criterion and Theorem A, we get

Corollary D. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a smooth algebraic stack of finite type defined over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ in the sense of [Sa16, §2.3]¹ and assume that the diagonal map $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ is schematic and separated. Then $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Nash}}$ is a Nash stack.*

1.3 Sketch of the proof of the main results

We prove Theorem A using Theorem B. Unlike Theorem A, Theorem B can be proved by stratifying \mathfrak{X} and proving the theorem for each stratum.

We prove Theorem B by analyzing a sequence of special cases:

- Case 1. \mathfrak{X} is a scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is quasi-finite. In this case the number n is obtained from the degree of the fibers of π .
- Case 2. \mathfrak{X} is a scheme. This case follows from the previous one. This case allow us, for a fixed stack \mathfrak{X} , to deduce the theorem for arbitrary surjection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ from knowing it for one surjection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$
- Case 3. \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space. We may assume that π is an etale presentation of \mathfrak{X} . Then \mathfrak{X} can be viewed as a quotient of X by an etale equivalence relation. The number n comes from the size of the equivalence classes.
- Case 4. $\mathfrak{X} = BG$ for an algebraic group G . The statement can be reformulated as a statement about Galois cohomology. In the case of a finite field we use Lang's theorem, and the number n comes from the number of components of G . In the case of a QCA stack, the group G is linear and thus can be embedded into GL_n . Here the proof is based on Hilbert 90 and on Case Case 2. applied to the map $GL_n \rightarrow GL_n/G$
- Case 5. $\mathfrak{X} = BG$, for a group scheme G over a base scheme Y . Over the generic point it looks like the previous case. From this we deduce the theorem for an open dense subset in X and proceed by Noetherian induction.
- Case 6. \mathfrak{X} is a gerbe over an algebraic space. This follows from the previous case and Case Case 3..
- Case 7. The general case. This follows from the previous case using the fact that any stack can be stratified into gerbes.

In order to deduce Theorem A, we introduce a construction that starts with an almost onto presentation $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and gives an onto one. This is done in the following steps:

- Step 1. Given two S -schemes X and Y , one can define the internal hom X^Y over S as a pre-sheaf on the category of S -schemes. This pre-sheaf is often not representable, but under some restrictive conditions on X, Y it is representable by an algebraic space and, under more restrictive conditions, by a scheme.
- Step 2. More generally given two diagrams of S -schemes D_1 and D_2 of the same shape, we define internal hom $D_1^{D_2}$ over S as a pre-sheaf on the category of S -schemes. Again it will be representable under some conditions

¹The definition in [Sa16, §2.3] is slightly more restrictive, though we believe the result is true without the restriction.

Step 3. Given a presentation $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ one can construct a simplicial scheme $[\pi]_{\bullet}$ called the Čech nerve of π by taking the fiber powers of X over X . The diagram $[\pi]_{\bullet}$ is an infinite diagram, but, since $[\pi]_{\bullet}$ is coskeletal, its behavior is determined by a finite sub-diagram (the first three levels).

Step 4. Given a presentation $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and an étale covering $\tau : S' \rightarrow S$, we construct a new presentation

$$f_{\pi,\tau} : [\pi]_{\bullet}^{[\tau]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}.$$

This presentation tends to be more onto than π . For example if $\tau : \text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ is a finite field extension, then if a composition $\text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is π -liftable then the map $\text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is $f_{\pi,\tau}$ -liftable.

Step 5. For an integer n we construct an étale map $\tau_n : \mathbb{U}'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_n$ that packages all separable field extensions of degree $\leq n$. Namely, for any separable field extension E/F of degree $\leq n$, there is an F point of \mathbb{U}_n whose fiber is $\text{Spec } E$.

Step 6. We combine the last two steps. Namely, given an n -onto presentation $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ we consider the presentation $\pi_n : X \times \mathbb{U}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and then the presentation

$$f_{\pi_n,\tau_n} : [\pi_n]_{\bullet}^{[\tau_n]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}.$$

Step 7. Denote $X_n := [\pi_n]_{\bullet}^{[\tau_n]}$. The obtained presentation $X_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an onto one, however it might be that X_n is not a scheme, but an algebraic space. In order to complete the construction we present X_n by an affine scheme Y and repeat the construction for the presentation $Y \rightarrow X_n$. The composition of the obtained presentation with f_{π_n,τ_n} is an onto presentation by a scheme.

1.4 Acknowledgments

We thank Shahar Carmeli, Raf Cluckers, and Ofer Gabber for fruitful discussions. We thank Angelo Vistoli for answering a question of ours on MathOverflow, proving Lemma 3.2. A.A. was partially supported by ISF grants 687/13, and grant 249/17. N.A. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1902041. Both authors was partially supported by BSF grants 2012247 and 2018201.

2 Almost onto presentations of stacks (Proof of Theorem B)

In some stages of the proof we will stratify of the stack \mathfrak{X} and prove the claims for the strata. The following allows us to do that.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} := \bigsqcup \mathfrak{X}_i$ be a finite stratification of an algebraic stack (See [SP, 97.28]). Then for any field F , any F -point $x : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ factors through one of the \mathfrak{X}_i (up to an isomorphism).*

Proof. Consider the base-change of x to $\bigsqcup \mathfrak{X}_i$. This is a non-trivial closed immersion $\text{Spec } F \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \bigsqcup \mathfrak{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$, so it is invertible. This implies that x factors through $\text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_i$, for some i . \square

The following will allow us to replace an arbitrary surjective morphism by a quasi-finite one:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism of finite type between Noetherian schemes. Then there is a morphism $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ \varphi : Z \rightarrow Y$ is surjective and quasi-finite.*

Proof. By Noetherian induction on Y , it is enough to find a map $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$ such that the map $\pi \circ \varphi$ is quasi-finite and its image contains a non-empty open set. We can assume that Y is affine and irreducible. Since the claim depends only on the underlying topological space, we can assume that Y is reduced. Let η be the generic point of Y and let $X_\eta = \pi^{-1}(\eta)$. By the assumption that π is surjective, X_η is non-empty. Since π is locally of finite type, there are affine open sets $\text{Spec } A \subset Y$, and $\text{Spec } B \subset X \times_Y \text{Spec } A$ such that B is a finitely generated A -algebra, $\eta \in \text{Spec } A$, and $\text{Spec}(B \otimes_A k(\eta)) \neq \emptyset$.

It follows that there is a finite extension L of $k(\eta)$ and a non-trivial map $\nu : B \otimes_A k(\eta) \rightarrow L$. Fix generators b_1, \dots, b_n of B over A . Let $a \in A$ be the product of the denominators of the coefficients of the minimal polynomials of $\nu(b_i \otimes 1)$ over $k(\eta) = \text{Frac}(A)$. We obtain that $\nu(B \otimes 1)[a^{-1}]$ is an integral extension of $A[a^{-1}]$. Taking $Z = \text{Spec } \nu(B \otimes 1)[a^{-1}]$, we get a map $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$ that $\pi \circ \varphi : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } A[a^{-1}]$ is finite (and hence quasi-finite) and surjective. \square

The proof of Theorem B is based on subsequent analysis of its special cases:

Lemma 2.3. *Theorem B holds if \mathfrak{X} is a scheme.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, there is a morphism $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\zeta := \pi \circ \varphi$ is surjective and quasi-finite. It is well known that, for quasi-finite maps, there exists m such that $[k(z) : k(\zeta(z))] < m$, for every schematic point $z \in Z$. It is easy to see that π is (m, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a (Noetherian) stack and let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $s : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a surjective (n, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto map, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be surjective map. Then there is N such that π is (N, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto.*

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & Y \\ \downarrow s^* & & \downarrow s \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array}$$

Since π is surjective, by definition, the map π^* is surjective. Let $p : Z \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} Y$ be an étale cover of the algebraic space $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} Y$ by a scheme Z . We get that $\pi^* \circ p$ is surjective so, by Lemma 2.3, $\pi^* \circ p$ is (m, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto, for some m and, therefore, so is π^* . It follows that the composition $s \circ \pi^* = \pi \circ s^*$ is (nm, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto, which implies that π is (nm, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Theorem B holds if \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space and $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is étale.*

Proof. [LMB00, Proposition 1.2] states that the following are true:

1. The two projections $p_{1,2} : X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X \rightarrow X$ are étale and the subscheme $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X \subset X \times X$ is an equivalence relation.
2. \mathfrak{X} is the coequalizer of $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X \rightrightarrows X$ (as sheaves on the big étale site of S). In particular, for any field F , $\mathfrak{X}(F^{sep}) = X(F^{sep})/X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X(F^{sep})$.

Note also that, for any Galois extension $F \subset L$, there is an injective map $i_{F,L} : \mathfrak{X}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L)$ and the image is the collection of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ -invariants.

Statement (1) and the assumption that X is Noetherian imply that there is N such that, for any field F , the sizes of the equivalence classes of $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X(F^{sep})$ are at most N . We will show that π is (N, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto.

Suppose that F is a field and $x \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$. By (2), there is $y \in X(F^{sep})$ such that $i_{F,F^{sep}}(x)$ is the equivalence class $[y]$ of y . Since Gal_F preserves $[y]$, it follows that there is a closed subgroup $H \subset \text{Gal}_F$ of index at most N such that H fixes y . Let $L = (F^{sep})^H$. Then $[L : F] \leq N$ and $y \in X(L)$. Finally, since $i_{L,F^{sep}}(\pi(y)) = [y] = i_{F,F^{sep}}(x) = i_{L,F^{sep}}(i_{F,L}(x))$, it follows that $\pi(y) = i_{F,L}(x)$. \square

Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.4 give the following:

Corollary 2.6. *Theorem B holds if \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let F be a field, let $i : C \rightarrow X$, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms of F -schemes, and denote the structure map of C by $\kappa : C \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$. Suppose that f is surjective and that, for some finite extension $F \subset L$, there is $\eta : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_L & \xrightarrow{i_L} & X \\ \downarrow \kappa_L & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Y \end{array}$$

a pullback diagram (here, i_L and κ_L are the base-changes of i and κ respectively). Then there is $\zeta : \text{Spec } F \rightarrow Y$ such that $\zeta_L = \eta$ and such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } F & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram.

In other words, if the fiber under a surjective morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of an L point η is defined over F then it is in fact a fiber of an F -point.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$ be the map corresponding to the inclusion $F \subset L$. Taking the base change of α and κ , we get $\alpha_C : C_L \rightarrow C$. Define $\alpha_X : X_L \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha_Y : Y_L \rightarrow Y$ similarly.

Let $R = L \otimes_F L$. We have two maps $a, b : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ such that $\alpha \circ a = \alpha \circ b$. Let $\kappa_R : C_R \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ be the base change of κ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{a} & \text{Spec}(L) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Y \\ & \xrightarrow{b} & & & \\ & \searrow & \eta \circ a & \nearrow & \\ & & \eta \circ b & & \end{array}$$

We will show that $\eta \circ a = \eta \circ b$. By faithfully flat descent this would imply that η factor through an F -point $\zeta : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow Y$. This will give a morphism $C \rightarrow f^{-1}(\zeta)$ that becomes an isomorphism

after extending scalars to L . Since $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$ is faithfully flat this implies $C = f^{-1}(\zeta)$ as required.

Consider the following Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_R^a & \xrightarrow{a_C} & C_L & \xrightarrow{i_L} & X \\ \downarrow \kappa_R & & \downarrow \kappa_L & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{a} & \text{Spec}(L) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Y \end{array} .$$

We obviously have $C_R^a \cong C_R := C \times_{\text{Spec}(F)} \text{Spec}(R)$. Applying the same argument to b we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i_L \circ a_C & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ & & i_L \circ b_C & & \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ C_R & \xrightarrow{a_C} & C_L & \xrightarrow{i_L} & X \\ \downarrow \kappa_R & \xrightarrow{b_C} & \downarrow \kappa_L & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{a} & \text{Spec}(L) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Y \\ & \xrightarrow{b} & & & \\ & & \eta \circ a & & \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & \eta \circ b & & \end{array} .$$

Since i_L factor through $i : C \rightarrow X$, we obtain that the upper two arrows coincide (namely $i_L \circ a_C = i_L \circ b_C$). The surjectivity of f implies that κ_R is surjective. Thus we obtain that the lower two arrows coincide (namely $\eta \circ a = \eta \circ b$), as required. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a flat group algebraic space over a scheme X , let $\mathfrak{X} = [X/G]$ be the classifying space of G (see [SP, 89.13]), and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be the neutralizing map. Then Theorem B holds for $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.*

Proof. By Proposition A.6 and Lemma 2.1 we can assume that G is a group scheme. Let F be a field. By [SP, Lemma 89.15.4], a point $u : \text{Spec } F \rightarrow [X/G]$ is a pair (x, P) , where $x \in X(F)$ and P is a G_x -torsor in the fppf topology, i.e., a G_x -space that becomes trivial after base-change to the algebraic closure of F . The point u factors through X iff P is a trivial G_x -torsor. Therefore, it is enough to show that there is a constant N such that, for any finite field F (in case \mathfrak{X} is QCA F can be taken to be arbitrary), any $x \in X(F)$ and any G_x -torsor P , there is a field extension $E \supset F$ of degree at most N such that $P \times_{\text{Spec } F} \text{Spec } E$ is trivial. We show this holds for the two cases of the theorem.

1. Finite field case:

Let $n := \max \#\pi_0(G_s)$ where s ranges over all geometric points of X (this maximum exists because $s \mapsto \#\pi_0(G_s)$ is constructible, see [Gro67, Proposition 9.7.8]). We will show that, for any finite field $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ and any algebraic group H over F with at most n connected components, any H -torsor has a trivialization over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{(n!)^2}}$. Since finite fields are perfect, any fppf torsor is an etale one. Hence, we need to show that the map $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, H) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{(n!)^2}}, H)$ is trivial. By Lang's theorem, it is enough to show that $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, \pi_0 H) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{(n!)^2}}, \pi_0 H)$ is trivial. This map is the composition of $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, \pi_0 H) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n!}}, \pi_0 H)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n!}}, \pi_0 H) \rightarrow$

$H^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{(n!)^2}}, \pi_0 H)$, so it is enough to show that the second map is trivial. Note that the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{n!}})$ on $\pi_0 H$ is trivial, so any 1-cocycle is a homomorphism $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{n!}}) \rightarrow \pi_0 H$, but any such becomes trivial when restricted to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{(n!)^2}})$.

2. QCA stack case:

Assume now that $\mathfrak{X} = [X/G]$ is QCA. By Proposition A.7, there is a stratification $X = \cup X_i$ such that $G|_{X_i^{red}}$ can be embedded as a closed subgroup in $\text{GL}_n \times X_i^{red}$, for some n . Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we can assume that X is reduced and G is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n \times X$. Similarly, using Proposition A.10, we can assume that the quotient $Z := \text{GL}_n \times X/G$ exists. Since the quotient map $\pi : \text{GL}_n \times X \rightarrow Z$ is onto, Lemma 2.3 implies that there is a natural number N such that, for every field F and every $p \in Z(F)$, there is an extension $E \supset F$ of degree at most N such that the composition $\text{Spec } E \rightarrow \text{Spec } F \xrightarrow{p} Z$ factors through $\text{GL}_n \times X$. We will show that, for any field F , and $x \in X(F)$ and every G_x -torsor P defined over F , there is a field extension $E \supset F$ of degree at most N such that $P \times \text{Spec } E$ is trivial.

Let F be a field, let $x \in X(F)$, and let P be a G_x -torsor defined over F . The quotient $P \times \text{GL}_n / G_x$ (where G_x acts diagonally on $P \times \text{GL}_n$) is a GL_n -torsor over F . By Hilbert 90, this torsor is trivial. This means that there is a GL_n -equivariant isomorphism $P \times \text{GL}_n / G_x \rightarrow \text{GL}_n$. Composing this isomorphism with the map $P \rightarrow P \times \text{GL}_n / G_x$ that sends p to $(p, 1)_{G_x}$, we get a morphism $i : P \rightarrow \text{GL}_n$ which is G_x -equivariant. Since P is a torsor, for some finite extension $L \supset F$, the base change $P \times \text{Spec } L$ is trivial, so by Lemma A.9 we have $i(P \times \text{Spec } L) = \pi^{-1}(w)$, for some $w \in Z(L)$. Applying Lemma 2.7, $i(P) = \pi^{-1}(z)$, for some $z \in Z(F)$. By the definition of N , there is a field extension $E \supset F$ of degree at most N and a point $g \in i(P)(E)$. It follows that P is trivial over E , which is what we wanted to prove.

□

Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.4 give the following:

Corollary 2.9. *Theorem B holds if $\mathfrak{X} = [X/G]$, where X is a scheme and G is a flat group scheme over X .*

In the following, by a gerbe, we mean a gerbe in the fppf topology, see [SP, Definition 95.27.1].

Lemma 2.10. *Theorem B holds if \mathfrak{X} is a gerbe over an algebraic space $[\mathfrak{X}]$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be \mathcal{F} if \mathfrak{X} is QCA and \mathcal{F}_f otherwise. Let $\tau : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{X}]$ be the structure map. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ X & \xrightarrow{\tau \circ \pi} & [\mathfrak{X}] \end{array}$$

The following hold:

1. The map $\tau \circ \pi : X \rightarrow [\mathfrak{X}]$ is surjective. By Corollary 2.6, it is (N_1, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto, for some N_1 .

2. $\tau : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{X}]$ is a gerbe, and so $\mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X \rightarrow X$ is a gerbe by [SP, Lemma 95.27.3]. The map $(\pi, id) : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$ is a section, so $\mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$ is isomorphic to the classifying stack $[G/X]$, for some flat group algebraic space G over X , by [SP, Lemma 95.27.6]. If \mathfrak{X} is QCA, then so is $\mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$.
3. The map $X \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$ is surjective. By the previous claim and Lemma 2.9, it is (N_2, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto, for some N_2 .

We will prove that the map $X \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is $(N_1 N_2, \mathcal{S})$ -almost onto. This is enough by Corollary 2.4. Let F be a field and let $pt : \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an F -point. There is a field extension $K \supset F$ of degree at most N_1 such that the composition $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{X}]$ factors through a map $q : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$. The map (pt, q) defines a map $pt' : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$. By 3, there is a field extension $E \supset K$ of degree at most N_2 such that the composition $\text{Spec } E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$ factors through a map $r : \text{Spec } E \rightarrow X \times_{[\mathfrak{X}]} X$. It follows that the composition $\text{Spec } E \rightarrow \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ factors through $\rho \circ r : \text{Spec } E \rightarrow X$.

□

Proof of Theorem B. By [SP, 95.28], there is a stratification of \mathfrak{X} by locally closed substacks \mathfrak{X}_i such that \mathfrak{X}_i are fppf gerbes over some algebraic spaces. Since \mathfrak{X} is Noetherian, there are only finitely many \mathfrak{X}_i . The assertion now follows from Lemmas 2.10 and 2.1.

□

3 Onto presentations of stacks (Proof of Theorem A)

The proof of Theorem A is based on Theorem B and the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}$ and let \mathfrak{X} be a stack.*

1. *If there is an (n, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto presentation of \mathfrak{X} by a scheme, then there is an \mathcal{S} -onto presentation of \mathfrak{X} by an algebraic space.*
2. *If \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space and there is an (n, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto presentation of X by a scheme, then there is an \mathcal{S} -onto presentation by a scheme.*

The proof of Proposition 3.1 will be given in §3.3; the proof uses several auxiliary results which we prove in §3.3 and §3.2. We now show how to deduce Theorem A from Proposition 3.1.

We will need the following:

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a local ring, I an ideal in A such that (A, I) is a Henselian pair (see [SP, 15.11]). Then the embedding $\text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ has the left lifting property with respect to smooth maps of schemes, i.e., for any commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(A/I) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

such that X, Y are schemes and the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, there is a map $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(A/I) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Denote the map $X \rightarrow Y$ by ϕ . There is a Zariski open cover $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that $\phi|_{U_i}$ factors as the composition of an etale map $\psi_i : U_i \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^n$ and the projection $Y \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Y$. Since A/I is local, we can replace X by some U_i , so it is enough to prove the claim in the following cases:

1. ϕ is the projection $Y \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Y$. The claim follows since the map $A \rightarrow A/I$ is onto.
2. ϕ is etale. The claim follows from the definition of Henselian pair.

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a local ring and let I be an ideal in A such that (A, I) is a Henselian pair. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a $\{\text{Spec}(A/I)\}$ -onto presentation. Assume that, for any algebraic space \mathfrak{B} and any A/I -point $r : \text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, there is a presentation $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ such that r is ψ -liftable. Then ϕ is $\{\text{Spec}(A)\}$ -onto.*

Proof. Suppose that $q : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an A -point. Since ϕ is $\{\text{Spec}(A/I)\}$ -onto, we can lift the composition $\text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A) \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{X}$ to a map $\text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow X$. This gives a map $r : \text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \text{Spec}(A)$. By assumption, there is a scheme B and a presentation $\psi : B \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} \text{Spec}(A)$ such that r is ψ -liftable. Let $r' : \text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow B$ be a lift of r . Applying Lemma 3.2 to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(A/I) & \xrightarrow{r'} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(A) \end{array}$$

we get a map $s : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow B$. The composition of s and the projection to X is a lift of q . □

We can now prove Theorem A:

Proof of Theorem A. We first show the claim replacing $\mathcal{H}_f, \mathcal{H}_r, \mathcal{H}_{\text{perf}}$ by $\mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{F}_r, \mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}$. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a presentation of \mathfrak{X} by a scheme X . By Theorem B, there exists an integer n such that ϕ is (n, \mathcal{F}_f) -almost onto (or (n, \mathcal{F}) -almost onto if \mathfrak{X} is QCA). By definition, ϕ is also $(2, \mathcal{F}_r)$ -almost onto. By Proposition 3.1(1), there exists an algebraic space X' and a presentation $\psi : X' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ which is $(\mathcal{F}_r \cup \mathcal{F}_f)$ -onto ($\mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}$ -onto if \mathfrak{X} is QCA). Let $X'' \rightarrow X'$ be a presentation of X' by a scheme X'' . Since X' is QCA, applying Theorem B and Proposition 3.1(2), we obtain a scheme X''' and an $\mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}$ -onto presentation $\psi : X''' \rightarrow X'$. The composition $\phi \circ \psi : X''' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a presentation which is $(\mathcal{F}_r \cup \mathcal{F}_f)$ -onto ($\mathcal{F}_{\text{perf}}$ -onto if \mathfrak{X} is QCA).

The claim of the theorem for $\mathcal{H}_f, \mathcal{H}_r, \mathcal{H}_{\text{perf}}$ follows now by Corollary 3.3. □

3.1 Internal Hom

Definition 3.4. Let X, Y be S -schemes. Let $X_S^\wedge Y$ be the contravariant functor from the category of S -schemes to the category of sets defined by

$$(X_S^\wedge Y)(T) := \text{Mor}(Y \times_S T, X).$$

If the base scheme S is clear from the context we will omit it from the notation or simply denote this functor by X^Y

Lemma 3.5.

1. If $Y \rightarrow S$ is finite and etale, then $X_S^\wedge Y$ is representable by an algebraic space (of finite type).
2. If $Y \rightarrow S$ is finite and etale, and X is quasi-projective, then $X_S^\wedge Y$ is representable by a scheme (of finite type).

Although the statement is standard, we did not find a complete proof in the literature, so we deduce it from a similar statement appearing in [DG70, I §1 6.6]. For another version, see [Ols06]. For the proof we will need the following simple lemmas:

Lemma 3.6. Let S be a connected scheme and let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite etale map. Then there exists an etale cover $\eta : T \rightarrow S$ such that

$$T \times_S S' \cong T \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T,$$

as T -schemes.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the degree d of the map $S' \rightarrow S$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that S' is connected. the base $d = 0$ is obvious. Without loss of generality we can assume that $S' \rightarrow S$ is a cover. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta S' & \longrightarrow & S' \times_S S' & \longrightarrow & S' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & S' & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

Let $U := S' \times_S S' \setminus \Delta S'$. The map $U \rightarrow S'$ is finite etale map of degree $d - 1$. Thus by induction assumption there is an etale cover $T \rightarrow S'$ such that

$$T \times_{S'} U \cong T \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T.$$

Composing $T \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S$ we obtain the required cover. □

Lemma 3.7 (See e.g. [SP, Lemma 34.20.10]). *The property of being of finite type is local in the fppf topology on the target. Namely, let $X \rightarrow S$ be a (not necessarily finite type) S -scheme and $\phi : T \rightarrow S$ be a faithfully flat morphism of finite type. Assume that $X \times_S T$ is of finite type over T . Then, $X \rightarrow S$ is of finite type.*

Proof of Lemma 3.5.

1. By faithfully flat descent, $X^\wedge Y$ is a sheaf in the fpqc topology and in particular in the etale topology. Thus, we need to find a scheme A together with an etale cover $A \rightarrow X^\wedge Y$. Without loss of generality we can assume that S is connected. By Lemma 3.6 there exists an etale cover $T \rightarrow S$ such that $T \times_S Y \cong \underbrace{T \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T}_{n \text{ copies}}$ as T -schemes. Since $T \rightarrow S$ is an etale cover, the map $X_S^\wedge Y \times_S T \rightarrow X_S^\wedge Y$ is an etale cover. Since

$$X_S^\wedge Y \times_S T = (X \times_S T)_T^\wedge (Y \times_S T) = (X \times_S T)_T^\wedge (T \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T),$$

we have that $X_S^\wedge Y \times_S T$ is representable by $(X \times_S T)^n$.

2. By [DG70, I §1 6.6] $X_S^\wedge Y$ is representable by a scheme which is not a-priori of finite type. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be the etale cover from the previous part and consider the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times_S T)^n & \longrightarrow & X_S^\wedge Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrows are etale covers, and the morphism $(X \times_S T)^n \rightarrow T$ is of finite type. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7, so is the morphism $X_S^\wedge Y \rightarrow S$.

□

Corollary 3.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a finite category and let $D_1, D_2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{RamiASch}/_S$ be two functors. Let $D_1 \hat{\wedge}_S D_2$ be the contravariant functor from the category of S -schemes to the category of sets defined by*

$$(D_1 \hat{\wedge}_S D_2)(T) := \text{Mor}(D_2 \times T, D_1),$$

where $D_2 \times T$ is the composition of product with T and D_2 . Assume that the image of D_2 consists of schemes which are finite and etale over S . Then

1. $D_1 \hat{\wedge}_S D_2$ is representable by an algebraic space.
2. If the image of D_1 consist of quasi-projective schemes then $D_1 \hat{\wedge}_S D_2$ is representable by a scheme.

Proof. We first prove (1). $D_1 \hat{\wedge}_S D_2$ is a limit of a finite diagram of functors, each represented by an algebraic space. By the Yoneda Lemma, any morphism between such functors comes from a morphism of algebraic spaces. The asertion follows now from the fact that the category of algebraic spaces is closed under finite limits. Part (2) is proved in a similar way. □

In the rest of the section, we will not distinguish between representable functors and their representing objects.

3.2 Improving a presentation

Notation 3.9. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a presentation of an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S . Denote by $[\phi]_\bullet$ the simplicial scheme given by $[\phi]_1 := X$, $[\phi]_n := X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X_{n-1}$ with the standard boundary and degeneration maps. Denote by $[\phi]_{\bullet \leq 3}$ be the full subdiagram of $[\phi]_\bullet$ with vertices $[\phi]_1, [\phi]_2, [\phi]_3$.*

Note that for two maps ϕ and ϕ' as above we have a canonical isomorphism $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\phi']\bullet} \cong [\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\phi']\bullet \leq 3}$. The goal of this subsection is to prove the following:

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S , let X be a scheme over S , let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a presentation and let $\psi : S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite etale and onto map. Then the functor $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]\bullet}$ is representable by algebraic space and there is a presentation $f_{\phi,\psi} : [\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]\bullet} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that, if T is an S -scheme and $x : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is such that the natural map $T \times_S S' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is ϕ -liftable, then x is $f_{\phi,\psi}$ -liftable.*

Moreover, if \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space and X quasi-affine, then $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]\bullet}$ is a scheme.

In order to build $f_{\phi,\psi}$ we need to discuss the notion of descent data.

Definition 3.11. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S . Let $\psi : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be an etale map of S -schemes. A descent datum for \mathfrak{X} with respect to ψ (or a ψ -descent datum for \mathfrak{X}) is a map $s : Y' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and an isomorphism F between $s \circ d_1$ and $s \circ d_2$ (where $d_i : [\psi]_2 \rightarrow [\psi]_1$ are the boundary maps) satisfying the cocycle condition $(F \circ d_{12})(F \circ d_{23}) = F \circ d_{13}$ (see [SP, 8.3, 8.4]).*

The collection of all ψ -descent data forms a groupoid. We have a natural functor from $\mathfrak{X}(Y)$ to this groupoid. \mathfrak{X} being a stack implies that this functor is an equivalence.

Notation 3.12. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S . Let $\psi : S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite etale map. Define a functor $\mathfrak{X}_{S'/S}$ from S -schemes to groupoids by*

$$\mathfrak{X}_{S'/S}(Y) = \{ \text{descent data for } \mathfrak{X} \text{ with respect to } Y \times_S S' \rightarrow Y \}.$$

By the discussion above, there is a natural equivalence of functors $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{S'/S}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{X}_{S'/S}$ is a stack naturally identified with \mathfrak{X} , for any such S' .

Definition 3.13. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a presentation of an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S . Let $\eta : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be an etale map of S -schemes. An explicit descent datum for a map from Y to \mathfrak{X} with respect to ϕ and η is a morphism of diagrams $[\eta]_{\bullet \leq 3} \rightarrow [\phi]_{\bullet \leq 3}$.*

Any explicit descent datum for a map from Y to \mathfrak{X} with respect to ϕ and η gives a descent datum for a map from Y to \mathfrak{X} with respect to ϕ . We are now ready to define $f_{\phi,\psi}$.

Definition 3.14. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a presentation of an algebraic stack defined over a scheme S and let $\psi : S' \rightarrow S$ be a finite etale and onto map. In view of the discussion above we obtain a natural map $[\phi]_{\bullet \leq 3}^{[\psi]\bullet \leq 3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{S'/S}$. This gives us the map $f_{\phi,\psi} : [\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]\bullet} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$*

In order to prove that $f_{\phi,\psi}$ is a presentation, we will use the following

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of S -schemes and T be an S -scheme.*

1. *If $\phi \times_S T : X \times_S T \rightarrow Y \times_S T$ and $T \rightarrow S$ are surjective morphisms, then so is ϕ*
2. *If $\phi \times_S T : X \times_S T \rightarrow Y \times_S T$ is smooth and $T \rightarrow S$ is surjective and smooth, then ϕ is smooth.*
3. *Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is a stack over S , $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an S -morphism, and $T \rightarrow S$ is a surjective and smooth morphism. If $\psi \times_S T$ is a presentation, then so is ψ .*

Proof.

1. We denote the underlying topological space of a scheme A by $|A|$. By definition, a map $A \rightarrow B$ is surjective iff $|A| \rightarrow |B|$ is surjective.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_S T & \xrightarrow{\phi \times_S T} & Y \times_S T \\ \downarrow pr_X & & \downarrow pr_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \end{array}$$

It gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & |Y| \times_{|S|} |T| \\ & & \downarrow \\ |X \times_S T| & \xrightarrow{|\phi \times_S T|} & |Y \times_S T| \\ \downarrow |pr_X| & & \downarrow |pr_Y| \\ |X| & \xrightarrow{|\phi|} & |Y| \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \curvearrowright \\ pr_{|Y|} \end{array}$$

Since the map $T \rightarrow S$ is surjective, so is $|T| \rightarrow |S|$ and thus so is $pr_{|Y|}$. This implies that $|pr_Y|$ is surjective. Together with the fact that $|\phi \times_S T|$ is surjective this implies that $|\phi| \circ |pr_X|$ is surjective, which implies the assertion.

2. As before pr_X is surjective. Also, since $T \rightarrow S$ is smooth so is pr_X . Thus by [SP, Lemma 34.11.4] it is left to show that $\phi \circ pr_X$ is smooth. This follows from the fact that $\phi \times_S T$ and pr_Y are smooth.
3. This follows from the previous claims.

□

Corollary 3.16. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a morphism of an S -scheme to an S -stack and let $T \rightarrow S$ be a surjective smooth morphism of schemes. Assume that $\phi \times_S T : X \times_S T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_S T$ is a presentation. then so is ϕ .*

We will also need the following:

Lemma 3.17. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes such that for any scheme T , the map $\phi(T) : X(T) \rightarrow Y(T)$ is 1-1. Then ϕ is quasi-affine.*

Proof. We may assume Y is separated. The assumption implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta X & \longrightarrow & X \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta Y & \longrightarrow & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

is cartesian, and, therefore,

$$\Delta(X) = (\phi \times \phi)^{-1}(\Delta Y).$$

This implies that X is separated. The assumption also implies that ϕ is quasi finite. Thus by [SP, Lemma 36.38.2], X is quasi-affine. □

Corollary 3.18. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a presentation of an algebraic space by a quasi-affine scheme. Then $[\phi]_i$ are quasi-affine.*

Proof. The two restriction maps give a morphism $[\phi]_2 \rightarrow [\phi]_1 \times [\phi]_1 = X \times X$. This morphism satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.17 and, thus, it is quasi-affine. This implies that $[\phi]_2$ is quasi-affine. Since, for $i > 2$, we have $[\phi]_i = [\phi]_{i-1} \times_X [\phi]_2$, we obtain by induction that $[\phi]_i$ is also quasi-affine. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Since $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet}} \cong [\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet} \leq 3}$, Corollary 3.8 implies that $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet}}$ is representable by an algebraic space. It follows from the definitions that, if T is an S -scheme and $x : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is such that the natural map $T \times_S S' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is ϕ -liftable, then x is $f_{\phi, \psi}$ -liftable.

If \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space and X is quasi-affine, then ϕ_i are quasi-affine, and, by Corollary 3.8, $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet}}$ is a scheme of finite type.

It remains to prove that $f_{\phi, \psi}$ is a presentation.

Case 1: $S' = S \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S$ and ψ is the projection.

In this case it is easy to see that $[\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet}} \cong X \times_X [\phi]_2 \times_X \cdots \times_X [\phi]_2$ where the maps $[\phi]_2 \rightarrow X$ are the first boundary maps, and the number of appearances of \times_Y and \sqcup is the same. It is also easy to see that under this identification the map $f_{\phi, \psi}$ is the composition of the projection to X and the ϕ . This proves the assertion.

Case 2: The general case

By Lemma 3.6 there exists an étale cover $\eta : T \rightarrow S$ such that $T \times_S S' \cong T \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T$ as an S' scheme. By Lemma 3.15 it is enough to show that $f_{\phi, \psi} \times_S T : [\phi]_{\bullet}^{[\psi]_{\bullet}} \times_S T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_S T$ is a presentation. Equivalently we have to show that

$$f_{\phi \times T, \psi \times T} : ([\phi \times T]_{\bullet})^{\wedge}_T ([\psi \times T]_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times T$$

is a presentation. This follows from the previous case. \square

3.3 Proof of Proposition 3.1

Notation 3.19. *Let n be a positive integer. Let $\mathbb{U}_n \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{A}^n$ be the \mathbb{Z} -scheme of separable monic polynomials of degree at most n and let $\mathbb{U}'_n = \{(f, a) \in \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \mid f(a) = 0\}$. Note that there is an obvious finite étale and onto map $\mathbb{U}'_n \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_n$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an (n, \mathcal{S}) -almost onto presentation of a stack by a scheme. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X is affine.

Let $S_n = S \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{U}_n$, $S'_n = S \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{U}'_n$, $\mathfrak{X}_n := \mathfrak{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{U}_n$, and $X_n := X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{U}_n$. Applying Lemma 3.10 to $(S_n, S'_n, \mathfrak{X}_n, X_n)$ instead of (S, S', \mathfrak{X}, X) , we get a presentation

$$([\phi_n]_{\bullet})^{\wedge}_{S_n} ([\psi_n]_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n$$

of S_n -stacks. The composition

$$([\phi_n]_{\bullet})^{\wedge}_{S_n} ([\psi_n]_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

is an \mathcal{S} -onto presentation by an algebraic space. This proves part 1. Since \mathbb{U}_n is quasi-affine so is X_n . Thus if \mathfrak{X} is an algebraic space then $([\phi_n]_{\bullet})^{\wedge}_{S_n} ([\psi_n]_{\bullet})$ is a scheme. This proves part 2. \square

A Group schemes and their classifying spaces

In this appendix we will deduce some statements about group algebraic spaces from the corresponding statements for algebraic groups. The statements are about existence of some stratification of the base, so the transition between algebraic groups and group algebraic spaces is standard. We included it for completeness, since we could not find them in the literature for the generality of group schemes.

A.1 The generic point

Notation A.1. For any scheme X denote by $\text{Alg}(X)$ the category of algebraic spaces of finite type over U . We consider the assignment $X \mapsto \text{Alg}(X)$ as a contravariant 2-functor to the 2-category of categories.

Proposition A.2. Assume that S is irreducible and reduced, and let η be its generic point. Then the natural functor

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ U \subset S}} \text{Alg}(U) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\eta)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

The affine case follows from a standard argument:

Lemma A.3. For a scheme X , let $\text{Aff}(X)$ be the category of schemes affine over X . If S is a reduced and irreducible scheme with generic point η , then the natural functor

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ U \subset S}} \text{Aff}(U) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(\eta)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Lemma A.4. Let X, Y be algebraic spaces and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be two morphisms. Then there are affine schemes \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} , etale covers $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, \pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$, and morphisms $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_1} & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_X & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_2} & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_X & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & Y \end{array}$$

commute.

Proof. Let $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be an etale cover of Y by an affine scheme. For $i = 1, 2$, let $X_i = \tilde{Y} \times_Y X$, where the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is φ_i . Let $X_3 = X_1 \times_X X_2$, let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_3$ be an etale cover of X_3 by an affine scheme, and let $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the composition $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow X$. It is easy to see that π_X is etale and that there are $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ as requested by the lemma. \square

The following is standard.

Lemma A.5. If a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes is an etale (respectively, Zariski) cover over the generic point, then it is an etale (respectively, Zariski) cover over an open set.

Proof of Proposition A.2.

Faithful: Let $U \subset S$ be an open set, $X, Y \in \text{Alg}(U)$, and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms such that $\varphi_1|_\eta : X_\eta \rightarrow Y_\eta$ is equal to $\varphi_2|_\eta : X_\eta \rightarrow Y_\eta$. We need to show that there is an open $U' \subset U$ such that $\varphi_1|_{U'} = \varphi_2|_{U'}$. Apply Lemma A.4 to get the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_1} & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_X & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_2} & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_X & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & Y \end{array} .$$

By Lemma A.3, there is an open set $U' \subset U$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_1|_{U'} = \tilde{\varphi}_2|_{U'}$. Since $\pi_X|_{U'}$ is an etale cover, it is an epimorphism, and therefore $\varphi_1|_{U'} = \varphi_2|_{U'}$.

Full: Let $U \subset S$ be open, $X, Y \in \text{Alg}(U)$, and $\varphi : X_\eta \rightarrow Y_\eta$. We need to show that there is an open $U' \subset U$ and $\psi : X_{U'} \rightarrow Y_{U'}$ such that $\psi|_\eta = \varphi$. Let $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be etale covers by affine schemes. We have maps $\tilde{X}_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta \rightarrow Y_\eta$. Let $p_Z : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\eta \times_{Y_\eta} \tilde{Y}_\eta$ be an etale cover by an affine scheme. By Lemma A.3, there is an open subset $U' \subset U$, a scheme $Z \in \text{Aff}(U')$, morphisms $\alpha : Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $\beta : Z \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$, and an isomorphism $Z_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\alpha|_\eta$ is equal to the composition $Z_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\eta \times_{Y_\eta} \tilde{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\eta$ and $\beta|_\eta$ is equal to the composition $Z_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\eta \times_{Y_\eta} \tilde{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\eta$. By lemma A.5, there is an open subset $U'' \subset U'$ such that the restriction $\alpha|_{U''} : Z_{U''} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{U''}$ is etale. Let γ be the composition $Z \rightarrow \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$, and let $p_1, p_2 : Z \times_X Z \rightarrow Z$ be the two projections. Since $(\gamma \circ p_1)|_\eta = (\gamma \circ p_2)|_\eta$, the faithfulness implies that there is an open subset $U''' \subset U''$ such that $(\gamma \circ p_1)|_{U'''} = (\gamma \circ p_2)|_{U'''}$. By faithfully flat descent, there is a morphism $\psi : X_{U'''} \rightarrow Y_{U'''}$ such that the composition $Z \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y$ is equal to $\gamma|_{U'''}$. Since $Z_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is epimorphism, this implies that $\psi|_\eta = \varphi$.

Essentially surjective: We divide the proof to the following steps

1. We prove that if \mathcal{X} is a separated scheme over η , then there is an open $U \subset S$ and a scheme X over U such that $X_\eta = \mathcal{X}$:
Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a Zariski cover by an affine scheme, and let $\mathcal{R} := \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that \mathcal{R} is an affine scheme, the two projections $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ are Zariski covers, and the embedding $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ makes \mathcal{R} into an equivalence relation. By Lemmas A.3 and A.5, there is an open set $U \subset S$, U -schemes R, \tilde{X} , and a monomorphism $R \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ such that the two projections $R \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are Zariski covers, R is an equivalence relation on \tilde{X} , and the map $R_\eta \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\eta \times \tilde{X}_\eta$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Let X be the gluing of \tilde{X} along R . Then X is a scheme and X_η is isomorphic to \mathcal{X} .
2. We prove that if \mathcal{X} is an arbitrary scheme over η , then there is an open $U \subset S$ and a scheme X over U such that $X_\eta = \mathcal{X}$:
The proof is similar. The only difference is that, in this case, \mathcal{R} is separated by not necessarily affine. Instead of using Lemma A.3, we use the previous step and the fully faithfulness.
3. We prove that if \mathcal{X} is an algebraic space over η , then there is an open $U \subset S$ and an algebraic space X over U such that $X_\eta = \mathcal{X}$:
The proof is similar to the previous step, replacing Zariski covers by etale covers.

□

A.2 Group algebraic spaces

Proposition A.6 ([Beh03, 5.1.1]²). *Let X be a scheme and G be a group algebraic space over it. Then there exists a stratification $X = \bigcup X_i$ such that $G|_{X_i^{\text{red}}}$ is a group scheme. Here X_i^{red} is the reduction of X_i .*

A.2.1 Stratification of QCA stacks

Proposition A.7. *Let X be a scheme and G be a group scheme over it. Assume that $\mathfrak{X} := (BG)_{fppf}$ is a QCA stack. Then there exists a stratification $X = \bigcup X_i$ such that $G|_{X_i^{\text{red}}}$ can be embedded as a closed subgroup in $GL_n \times_{\text{spec}\mathbb{Z}} X_i^{\text{red}}$ for some n .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X is reduced, irreducible and affine. By Noetherian induction, it is enough to prove that there exist open $U \subset X$ and an integer n such that $G|_U$ can be embedded as a closed subgroup in $GL_n \times_{\text{spec}\mathbb{Z}} U$. Let η be the generic point of X . Denote the composition $\eta \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ by x . By definition, the group $\text{Aut}(x)$ is G_η . Therefore G_η is linear. Thus we have a closed embedding morphism $G_\eta \rightarrow GL_n \times_{\text{spec}\mathbb{Z}} \eta$. By Proposition A.2, we can extend this embedding to an embedding $\phi : G_V \rightarrow GL_n \times_{\text{spec}\mathbb{Z}} V$ for some affine open $V \subset X$, as required. □

A.2.2 Quotients of group schemes

Definition A.8 (Quotient of group schemes). *Let $H \subset G$ be an embedding of group schemes and let Y be a scheme. A morphism of schemes $G \rightarrow Y$ is a quotient iff the map $G \times H \rightarrow G \times_Y G$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma A.9. *Let X be a scheme, let $H \subset G$ be group schemes over X such that the quotient $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ exists, and let $f : H \rightarrow G$ be an H -equivariant map. Denote the structure map of H by $s_H : H \rightarrow X$ and the identity map of H by $1_H : X \rightarrow H$. Let $\nu := p \circ f \circ 1_H : X \rightarrow G/H$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\ \downarrow s_H & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\nu} & G/H \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that f is the group embedding. We get the desired square by composing the following two:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_X H & \xrightarrow{(g,h) \mapsto gh} & G \\ \downarrow (g,h) \mapsto g & & \downarrow p \\ G & \xrightarrow{p} & G/H \end{array}$$

²The proof there uses implicitly [SP, 60.13.2]

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H & \xrightarrow{h \mapsto (1, h)} & G \times_X H \\
 \downarrow (g, h) \mapsto g & & \downarrow (g, h) \mapsto g \\
 X & \xrightarrow{1_G} & G
 \end{array}$$

□

Proposition A.10. *Let X be a scheme, let G be a smooth and affine group algebraic space over X , and let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup algebraic space over X . Then there exists a stratification $X = \bigcup X_i$ such that $G|_{\bar{X}_i}$ and $H|_{\bar{X}_i}$ are group schemes and the quotients $G|_{\bar{X}_i}/H|_{\bar{X}_i}$ exist.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that X is reduced, irreducible and affine. Using Proposition A.6, we can assume that G is a group scheme. By Noetherian induction, it is enough to prove that there exists open $U \subset X$ such that the quotient $G|_U/H|_U$ exists. Let η be the generic point of X . By [Con, Corollary 1.2], the quotient $Y := G|_\eta/H|_\eta$ exists. The assertion now follows from Proposition A.2.

□

References

- [Beh03] Behrend, Kai A. *Derived ℓ -adic categories for algebraic stacks*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (2003), no. 774, viii+93 pp.
- [Con] Conrad, B. *Notes from a course on algebraic groups* available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/252Page/handouts/qtformalism.pdf>
- [DG70] Demazure, Michel; Gabriel, Pierre *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970. xxvi+700 pp.
- [DG13] Drinfeld, Vladimir; Gaitsgory, Dennis *On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), no. 1, 149–294.
- [Gro67] Grothendieck, A. *Elements de géométrie algébrique. IV. Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 32 1967 361 pp.
- [HMRC18] Hrushovski, Ehud; Martin, Ben; Rideau, Silvain *Definable equivalence relations and zeta functions of groups*. With an appendix by Raf Cluckers. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 20 (2018), no. 10, 2467–2537.
- [LMB00] Laumon, Gerard; Moret-Bailly, Laurent *Champs algébriques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 39. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. xii+208 pp.
- [Ols06] Olsson, Martin C. *Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars*. Duke Math. J. 134 (2006), no. 1, 139–164.
- [Sa16] Sakellaridis, Yiannis *The Schwartz space of a smooth semi-algebraic stack*. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 22 (2016), no. 4, 2401–2490.
- [SP] *The Stacks Project* <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>