Polar Localizing Class V Myosin Chitin Synthases Are Essential during Early Plant Infection in the Plant Pathogenic Fungus Ustilago maydis

Isabella Weber,¹ Daniela Aßmann, Eckhard Thines,² and Gero Steinberg³

Max-Planck-Institut für Terrestrische Mikrobiologie, D-35043 Marburg, Germany

Fungal chitin synthases (CHSs) form fibers of the cell wall and are crucial for substrate invasion and pathogenicity. Filamentous fungi contain up to 10 CHSs, which might reflect redundant functions or the complex biology of these fungi. Here, we investigate the complete repertoire of eight CHSs in the dimorphic plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. We demonstrate that all CHSs are expressed in yeast cells and hyphae. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions to all CHSs localize to septa, whereas Chs5-GFP, Chs6-GFP, Chs7-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), and Myosin chitin synthase1 (Mcs1)-YFP were found at growth regions of yeast-like cells and hyphae, indicating that they participate in tip growth. However, only the class IV CHS genes *chs7* and *chs5* are crucial for shaping yeast cells and hyphae ex planta. Although most CHS mutants were attenuated in plant pathogenicity, $\Delta chs6$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ mutants were drastically reduced in virulence. $\Delta mcs1$ showed no morphological defects in hyphae, but Mcs1 became essential during invasion of the plant epidermis. $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae entered the plant but immediately lost growth polarity and formed large aggregates of spherical cells. Our data show that the polar class IV CHSs are essential for morphogenesis ex planta, whereas the class V myosin-CHS is essential during plant infection.

INTRODUCTION

Chitin, the β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-linked polymer of *N*-acetylglucosamine, provides strength to the fungal cell wall and is therefore essential for the morphogenesis and survival of fungi (Ruiz-Herrera et al., 2002). The synthesis of chitin is mediated by membrane-bound chitin synthases (CHSs) that locate to specialized transport vesicles, the chitosomes (Bracker et al., 1976; Leal-Morales et al., 1994; Sietsma et al., 1996) or to the plasma membrane (Duran et al., 1979; Leal-Morales et al., 1988; Martinez and Gozalbo, 1994). The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae contains three CHSs that have cell cycle-specific functions in septum formation and during budding (Cabib et al., 2001). By contrast, the genome of filamentous fungi encodes up to 10 CHSs (Miyazaki and Ootaki, 1997) grouped in five classes, with class III and class V typical for filamentous fungi (Munro and Gow, 2001; Ruiz-Herrera et al., 2002). It was suggested that numerous CHSs cooperate in the fungal cell to support certain processes, such as septation and hyphal growth (Roncero, 2002). This model is based on the observation that mutants in single CHS genes of class I, class II,

[™]Online version contains Web-only data.

and class IV are often without obvious defects (Motoyama et al., 1994, 1996; Specht et al., 1996), whereas double mutants show mutant phenotypes (Motoyama et al., 1996; Fujiwara et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2001; Ichinomiya et al., 2002) or are not viable (Shaw et al., 1991). Alternatively, it is believed that filamentous fungi contain numerous CHSs to obtain a certain plasticity to explore different ecological niches and cope with their complex life cycle (Ruiz-Herrera et al., 2002). This concept is supported by the fact that certain CHSs are active during defined developmental stages of *Aspergillus nidulans* (Lee et al., 2004).

Pathogenic development of the maize (Zea mays) smut fungus Ustilago maydis is accompanied by numerous morphological transitions (Banuett and Herskowitz, 1996). In the yeast stage, haploid yeast-like cells grow by polar budding. Upon pheromonedependent recognition, the fungus switches to hyphal tip growth and forms conjugation tubes that grow toward the mating partner. Subsequently, these cells fuse to build up a dikaryotic hypha that invades the plant tissue, where the fungus proliferates and causes the symptoms of maize smut disease. At present, six CHS genes have been reported in U. maydis, and null mutants in these were without significant phenotype (Gold and Kronstad, 1994; Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1996, 1997), whereas mutants in the class V chs6 were slightly thicker and showed reduced pathogenicity, indicating that this CHS is most important in U. maydis (Garcerá-Teruel et al., 2004). Here, we report the identification of two additional CHSs, chs7, a second class IV CHS gene, and myosin chitin synthase1 (mcs1), another class V CHS with an N-terminal myosin motor domain in U. maydis. To further analyze the role of all CHSs in dimorphic switch, we obtained the published CHS null mutant strains ($\Delta chs1$ and a plasmid for the deletion of chs2 obtained from J. Kronstad, and $\Delta chs3$ to $\Delta chs6$ kindly provided by J. Ruiz-Herrera). However, DNA gel

¹ Current address: Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Im Neuenheimer Feld 280, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

² Current address: Institut für Biotechnologie und Wirkstoff-Forschung, Erwin-Schrödinger-Strasse 56, D-67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany.

³To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail gero.steinberg@ staff.uni-marburg.de; fax 49-6421-178-599.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Gero Steinberg (gero.steinberg@staff.uni-marburg.de).

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.105.037341.

blot experiments and analytic PCR demonstrated that in the putative $\Delta chs3$, $\Delta chs4$, and $\Delta chs5$ strains, the corresponding gene was neither deleted nor disrupted. Therefore, we generated these strains and included them in our analysis.

Here, we describe an extensive analysis of the role of all eight CHSs in the morphogenesis of *U. maydis* yeast-like cells, pheromone-induced mating tubes, and dikaryotic hyphae. In addition, we generated yellow fluorescent protein/green fluorescent protein (YFP/GFP) fusion proteins and correlated their localization in yeast and hyphae with their importance in morphology and plant pathogenicity. We found that only Chs5, Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 localize to the growth region, which corresponds with essential functions of these CHSs in certain stages of the pathogenic development of *U. maydis*.

RESULTS

The Genome of U. maydis Encodes Eight CHSs

To identify additional CHS genes in U. maydis, we screened the recently published genome (see Methods for URL). This led to the identification of eight CHSs (Chs1 to Chs7 and Mcs1). Fragments of the sequences of chs1 (accession number M82958) and chs2 (accession number M82959) were published by Gold and Kronstad (1994). In addition, Xoconostle-Cazares et al. (1996, 1997) published the open reading frames of chs3 (accession number X87748), chs4 (accession number X87749), chs5 (accession number AF030553), and chs6 (accession number AF030554). However, we compared these sequences with the genomic sequence provided by Bayer CropScience and the Broad Institute (see Methods for URL). This analysis revealed major discrepancies for chs4, starting at base pair 1812. In addition, we determined the 3' ends of all CHS genes (see Methods). This information, together with the sequence provided by the Broad Institute, led to new sequence predictions for the whole repertoire of CHSs in U. maydis, including the two new genes mcs1 and chs7 (Figure 1A). Comparison with other CHSs showed that Chs1 to Chs7 and Mcs1 belong to five classes (Figure 1A) (classification was done according to Munro and Gow [2001] and Mellado et al. [2003]). Chs3 and Chs4 group in class I and are 47% identical to each other, whereas Chs5 and Chs7 are 29% identical and belong to class IV. The sizes of the predicted proteins range from 880 amino acids for Chs2 to 2005 amino acids for Mcs1, which contains an N-terminal myosin-like domain that shows 22% sequence identity with the motor domain of Myo5, a myosin V from U. maydis (Weber et al., 2003) (Figure 1B). All CHSs contain a core region of 308 to 341 amino acids that is 15 to 64% identical to the defined conserved core region of ScChs2p from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Nagahashi et al., 1995) (Figure 1B). In addition, each gene contains numerous putative transmembrane domains (Figure 1B).

The Class IV CHSs Chs5 and Chs7 Are Required for the Proper Morphology of Yeast-Like Cells

In axenic culture, *U. maydis* grows as a yeast-like cell that forms a polar bud. Upon initiation of pathogenic development, compatible cells switch to filamentous growth and form conjugation hyphae that fuse and give rise to a dikaryotic hypha, which enters the plant to complete the life cycle. To check whether CHSs are specifically expressed in yeast or hyphal cells, we isolated RNA from haploid yeast-like cells of wild-type strain FB2 and performed RNA gel blot analysis. We found that all CHSs were expressed at this stage (Figure 2A), suggesting that all of the corresponding gene products participate in wall formation of yeast-like cells. To obtain quantitative data on the expression level of CHS genes in *b*-dependent hyphae versus yeast-like cells, we performed real-time PCR. We found a transcriptional upregulation of chs1 (~11.5-fold) and chs4 (~13.5-fold) in hyphae of U. maydis, whereas no or only minor induction was found for the other CHS genes (Figure 2B). This could indicate that Chs1 and Chs4 are of particular importance for the filamentous growth of U. maydis. To gain further insights into the role of CHSs in U. maydis, we generated null mutants of chs2, chs3, chs4, chs5, chs7, and mcs1 (see Methods; strain details are listed in Table 1). In addition, we included in our analysis the previously published mutant strains of chs1 (Gold and Kronstad, 1994) and chs6 (Garcerá-Teruel et al., 2004). None of these mutants showed impaired growth in axenic cultures, and doubling times ranged from 1.9 \pm 0.04 h (Δ *chs4*) to 2.2 \pm 0.06 h ($\Delta chs2$); for control strain FB2, it was 2.1 \pm 0.01 h. Consistently, most CHSs were of minor importance for the morphology of yeast-like cells (Figure 3A). $\Delta chs1$ cells were found to be slightly shorter, and $\Delta chs6$ and $\Delta mcs1$ mutant cells were significantly thicker than control cells of strain FB2 (Figure 3A). Only mutants in the class IV genes chs5 and chs7 exhibited drastic morphological defects in yeast-like cells. $\Delta chs5$ cells were elongated and had an irregular shape (Figure 3B, inset), with 11% of all cells showing a tendency to form cell chains (Figure 3B). By contrast, $\Delta chs7$ mutants displayed a cell separation defect, were slightly thicker, and occasionally lost the normal cell shape (Figure 3C). It was reported previously that $\Delta chs5$ mutants have no morphological defect, although the pathogenicity of these mutants was attenuated (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1997).

To gain further support for our findings, we confirmed the absence of the chs5 mRNA in our Δ chs5 mutant strains (Figure 3D). Moreover, we rescued the morphology defects of $\Delta chs5$ and also $\Delta chs7$ mutants (data not shown) with the wild-type copy of the gene on a self-replicating plasmid (Figure 3D; Δ Chs5 + pCHS5), whereas the empty plasmid had no positive effect on the mutant phenotype (Figure 3D; Δ Chs5 + empty plasmid). Finally, we obtained more direct indications for defects in chitin organization in $\Delta chs5$ and $\Delta chs7$ mutant cells by staining with rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin, which specifically binds to N-acetylglucosamine (Nagata and Burger, 1974). Chitin was intensively stained in the growth region of control cells (Figure 3E, control, arrows) and at the bud scar (arrowhead), whereas lateral walls were only weakly stained. This staining pattern was found in most CHSs, suggesting that CHSs have overlapping functions in growth and septation. By contrast, in $\Delta chs5$ mutants no chitin cap was seen at growth regions and only patchy accumulations were detected (Figure 3E, Δ Chs5, arrows). The lateral walls were significantly less fluorescent (Figure 3F), suggesting that the irregular shape of $\Delta chs5$ mutants is a consequence of defects in chitin incorporation. $\Delta chs7$ mutant cells also showed reduced chitin in the bud. However,




Figure 1. Sequences of CHSs in U. maydis.

(A) Comparison of the eight CHSs that were identified in the genomic sequence of *U. maydis* (see Methods for URL). Fragments of UmChs1 and UmChs2 were published by Bowen et al. (1992). Partial sequence information for UmChs3, UmChs4 UmChs5, and Umchs6 was reported previously (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1996, 1997). In addition, the *U. maydis* genome encodes a class IV CHS (Chs7, hypothetical protein UM05480; and the myosin-CHS Mcs1, hypothetical protein UM3204_1). Dendrograms are based on the complete amino acid sequence (see Supplemental Table 1 online for sequence alignment). Af, *Aspergillus fumigatus*; An, *Aspergillus nidulans*; Ao, *Aspergillus oryzae*; Aq, *Ampelomyces quisqualis*; Bg, *Blumeria graminis*; Ca, *Candida albicans*; Ci, *Coccidioides immitis*; Ed, *Exophiala dermatiditis*; Gg, *Glomerella graminicola*; Mg, *Magnaporthe grisea*; Nc, *Neurospora crassa*; Pb, *Paracoccioides brasiliensis*; Pc, *Phanerochaete crysosporium*; Rm, *Rhizopus microsporus*; Sc, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; Sp, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Note that only the 3' ends of all CHSs were experimentally determined; the start of all genes is predicted based on comparison with published CHS gene sequences from other species.

(B) Domain organization of the CHSs from *U. maydis*. The predicted gene products share 15 to 73% sequence identity in the central CHS core region containing parts that most likely provide the enzymatic activity. In addition, numerous transmembrane domains are predicted. Mcs1 contains an N-terminal domain that shows significant homology with the motor domain of myosins. The domain organization of Chs2p from *S. cerevisiae* is given for comparison. aa, amino acids.




Figure 2. Expression Profile of CHS Null Mutants in Liquid Culture.

(A) RNA gel blot of RNA isolated from yeast-like cells of *U. maydis*. All CHS genes are expressed in sporidia.

(B) Quantitative real-time PCR revealed that *chs1* and *chs4* are transcriptionally upregulated in hyphae of *U. maydis* (strain AB33). Note that $\Delta chs1$ and $\Delta chs4$ mutant hyphae are without phenotype, whereas *chs7*, which is transcriptionally not induced, is of high importance for the filamentous growth of *U. maydis*. Values are given as means \pm SE (n = 3).

compared with control cells, even stronger staining was detected in the mother cells (Figures 3F and 3G), suggesting that Chs7 participates in wall synthesis in the bud but also influences the composition of the lateral cell wall of the mother cell. In summary, these data indicate that Chs5 and Chs7 have important roles in wall formation and the morphology of yeast-like cells.

Nikkomycin Z Affects Tip Morphology in Yeast-Like Sporidia

Nikkomycin Z is a competitive inhibitor of CHSs (Gaughran et al., 1994), and we next analyzed the effect of this drug on our mutant strains. Surprisingly, treatment of wild-type cells with 5 µM Nikkomycin Z led to a swelling of the bud tip (Figure 4A, arrows), indicating that the inhibitor blocks the activity of polar CHSs at the growing end of the cell. Finally, cells formed large vacuoles and died from this treatment (Figure 4A, NZ, 2h), suggesting that inhibition of CHSs blocked all growth, thereby arresting the cell cycle and killing the cells. Calcofluor staining revealed this polar swelling in large-budded cells that had formed a primary septum, suggesting that the cells continued polar cell wall synthesis during septum formation and cytokinesis in the G1 phase (Figure 4A, septum marked by an asterisk). We next determined a concentration of Nikkomycin Z at which wild-type cells were able to survive on plates but were impaired in growth (Figure 4B). At 1 µM Nikkomycin Z, no difference between wild-type strain FB2 and $\Delta chs2$, $\Delta chs3$, and $\Delta chs4$ cells was found. However, growth of $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs6$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ was inhibited (Figure 4B). Considering our observation that Nikkomycin Z inhibits cell wall synthesis at the growing tip of the cell, these findings might indicate that the inhibited Chs1, Chs5 to Chs7, and Mcs1 participate in cell wall synthesis at the growing cell pole. However, Nikkomycin Z treatment in liquid culture also led to a slight increase in the swelling of mother cells in $\Delta chs6$ mutants (Figure 4C), and many dead $\Delta chs6$ mutant cells were found after 1 h of 5 μ g/mL Nikkomycin Z incubation. Notably, wild-type cells were still viable in these conditions (Figure 4A). The reason for this high sensitivity of $\Delta chs6$ mutants to Nikkomycin Z is not known.

All CHSs Localize to the Septa of Yeast-Like Cells and Hyphae, whereas Chs5 to Chs7 and Mcs1 Are Also Found at the Growing Bud and Hyphal Tips

To gain further support for the notion that some CHSs are active at the growth region, we fused GFP or YFP to the 3' ends of all CHS genes and integrated these constructs into the endogenous loci. Applying this strategy, we were able to visualize Chs3-GFP, Chs4-GFP, Chs5-GFP, Chs6-GFP, Chs7-YFP, and Mcs1-YFP, but we failed to detect Chs1-GFP and Chs2-GFP. Using anti-GFP antibodies, we were able to detect a band of the right size of Chs1-GFP in protein gel blots, but no Chs2-GFP was found, suggesting that the levels of Chs1-GFP were too low to be visualized, whereas Chs2-GFP might be expressed below the detection limits of protein gel blots.

Surprisingly, we found that GFP/YFP fusion proteins of all CHSs localized to the septa of yeast-like cells (Figures 5A and 5C), indicating that they all participate in septum formation. Only Chs5-GFP, Chs6-GFP, Chs7-YFP, and Mcs1-YFP localized to the growing bud tip (Figures 5A and 5B), and preliminary evidence from inhibitor studies suggests that their localization depends on the actin cytoskeleton (data not shown). The same distribution pattern was found in mating tubes (data not shown) and dikaryotic hyphae, where Chs5-GFP, Chs6-GFP, and Mcs1-YFP showed a tip-ward gradient (Figures 5D and 5E) or, in the case of Chs7-YFP, a distinct and pointed localization at the hyphal apex (Figure 5E). This local concentration of Chs7 suggests that its activity is restricted to the tip of the hypha, whereas the other CHSs might synthesize chitin within the whole hyphal apex. All CHSs localized to the basal septum that separates the living tip cell from the vacuolated part of the hypha (Figure 5F). These data clearly demonstrate that CHSs specifically localize to sites of exocytosis in yeast-like cells and hyphae, where they might cooperate to support septum formation or polar cell growth.

The Polar CHSs Chs5, Chs6, and Chs7 Are Important for Mating Tube and Dikaryotic Hyphae Formation

The pathogenic development of *U. maydis* is initiated by the recognition of the pheromone secreted by a mating partner. This results in cell cycle arrest and the formation of conjugation hyphae (Garcia-Muse et al., 2003). To investigate the role of CHSs in this important developmental stage, we made use of synthetic pheromone. Pheromone treatment of cells in liquid culture results in the formation of long conjugation hyphae (Szabo et al., 2002), and this allowed us to quantify tube formation in the CHS null mutant strains (Figure 6A). Our analysis revealed that only $\Delta chs2$ and $\Delta mcs1$ reacted normally to pheromone, whereas $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs3$, $\Delta chs4$, and $\Delta chs6$ formed

Strains/PlasmidsGenotypeReferenceFB1a1 b1Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB2a2 b2Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB6aa2 b1Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB6ba1 b2Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)SG200a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble ^P Bölker et al. (1995)SG1a2 b2 Achs1, hyg ^A Gold and Kronstad (1994)W 248a2 b1 Achs1, hyg ^A Gold and Kronstad (1994)W 249a1 b2 Achs1, hyg ^A This studyAChs2a2 b2 Achs1, hyg ^A This studyW 253a1 b2 Achs2, ble ^A This studyW 254a2 b1 Achs2, ble ^A This studyW 101a2 b1 Achs2, ble ^A This studyW 102a1 b2 Achs3: ble ^A This studyW 84a2 b2 Achs4: hyg ^A This studyW 85a1 b1 Achs2: ble ^A This studyW 84a2 b2 Achs4: hyg ^A This studyW 85a1 b1 Achs2: ble ^A This studyW 85a1 b1 Achs2: hyg ^A This studyW 85a1 b1 Achs2: hyg ^A This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs5: hyg ^A This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs5: hyg ^A This studySG20AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs5: hyg ^A This study	Table 1. Strains and Plasmids Used in This Study		
FE2 $a2 b2$ Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB6a $a2 b1$ Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB7b $a1 b2$ Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)SG200 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble24$ Gold and Krostad (1994)W 248 $a2 bL achts1, hygA$ Gold and Krostad (1994)W 248 $a2 bL achts1, hygA$ This studyW 249 $a1 b2 achts2, hygA$ This studyW 249 $a1 b2 achts2, bleA$ This studyW 253 $a1 b2 achts2, bleA$ This studyW 254 $a2 b1 achts2, bleA$ This studyAChts3 $a2 b2 achts3: bleA$ This studyW 102 $a2 b2 achts3: bleA$ This studyW 101 $a2 b1 achts3: bleA$ This studyW 84 $a2 b2 achts4: hygA$ This studyW 85 $a1 b1 achts4: hygA$ This studyW 86 $a1 b1 achts4: hygA$ This studyW 89 $a1 b1 achts5: hleA$ This studySQ200Achts6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 achts5: hlgA$ This studySQ200Achts6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 achts5: hlgA$ This studyFB1AChts6 $a1 b1 achts6: hygA$ Garcora ^A Teruel et al. (2004)SQ200Achts6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 achts5: hlgA$ This studyFB1AChts6 $a1 b1 achts6: hygA$ This studyFB1AChts6 $a1 b1 achts6: hygA$ This studyFB1AChts6 $a1 b1 achts6: hygA armcs1: hlgA$ This studyFB1AChts6 $a1 b1 achts6: hygA armcs1: hlgAThis studyFB1AChts6a1 b1 achts6: hygA armcs1: hlg$	Strains/Plasmids	Genotype	Reference
FB6aa2 b1Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)FB6ba1 b2Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)SG200a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble ^R Bolker et al. (1995)SG1a2 b2 bchs1, hyg ^R Gold and Kronstad (1994)IW 248a2 b1 bchs1, hyg ^R This studyIW 249a1 b2 bchs1, hyg ^R This studyW 253a1 b2 bchs2, ble ^R This studyIW 254a2 b1 bchs2, ble ^R This studyLW 254a2 b2 bchs3:ble ^R This studyUW 101a2 b2 bchs3:ble ^R This studyUW 102a1 b2 bchs3:ble ^R This studyUW 84a2 b2 bchs3:ble ^R This studyUW 85a1 b1 bchs4:hyg ^R This studyUW 84a2 b2 bchs3:ble ^R This studyUW 84a2 b2 bchs4:hyg ^R This studyUW 85a1 b1 bchs4:hyg ^R This studyUW 86a1 b1 bchs4:hyg ^R This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 bchs5:ble ^R This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 bchs5:hyg ^R This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 bchs7:hyg ^R This studySG200AChe5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 bchs7:hyg ^R This studySG200AChe5a1 b bchs7;hyg ^R hchs1:hyg ^R <td>FB1</td> <td>a1 b1</td> <td>Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)</td>	FB1	a1 b1	Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)
FB6ba1 b2Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)SG200a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble ^R Gold and Kronstad (1994)SG1a2 b2 $\lambda chs1, hyg^R$ Gold and Kronstad (1994)IW 248a2 b1 $\lambda chs1, hyg^R$ This studyW 249a1 b2 $\lambda chs1, hyg^R$ This studyAChs2a2 b2 $\lambda chs2, ble^R$ This studyW 253a1 b2 $\lambda chs2, ble^R$ This studyW 254a2 b1 $\lambda chs2, ble^R$ This studyQChs3a2 b2 $\lambda chs2, ble^R$ This studyW 101a2 b1 $\lambda chs3: ble^R$ This studyW 101a2 b1 $\lambda chs3: ble^R$ This studyW 84a2 b2 $\lambda chs4: hyg^R$ This studyW 85a1 b1 $\lambda chs3: ble^R$ This studyW 86a1 b1 $\lambda chs3: ble^R$ This studySG200AChs5a2 b2 $\lambda chs4: hyg^R$ This studySG200AChs5a1 b1 $\lambda chs5: ble^R$ This studySG200AChs6a1 b1 $\lambda chs5: hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GG20AChs6a1 b1 $\lambda chs5: hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GG20AChs7a1 b1 $\lambda chs5: hyg^R$ This studyFB1AChs6a1 b1 $\lambda chs7: hyg^R$ This studyFB1AChs7a1 b1 $\lambda chs7: hyg^R$ Th	FB2	a2 b2	Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)
SG200a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble ^A Bölker et al. (1995)SG1a2 b2 \achs1, hyg ^A Gold and Kronstad (1994)W 248a2 b1 \achs1, hyg ^A This studyW 249a1 b2 \achs1, hyg ^A This studyQChs2a2 b2 \ach2, ble ^A This studyW 253a1 b2 \achs2, ble ^A This studyW 254a2 b1 \achs2, ble ^A This studyQChs2a1 b2 \achs2, ble ^A This studyW 102a1 b2 \achs2, ble ^A This studyW 104a2 b1 \achs2, ble ^A This studyW 105a1 b2 \achs3::ble ^A This studyW 106a2 b1 \achs3::ble ^A This studyW 84a2 b2 \achs3::ble ^A This studyW 85a1 b1 \achs5::ble ^A This studyW 86a1 b1 \achs5::ble ^A This studyG200AChs5a1 b1 \achs5::ble ^A This studyG200AChs5a1 b1 \achs5::ble ^A This studyG200AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs5::hyg ^A This studyG200AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \achs6::hyg ^A This studyG30AChs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \a	FB6a	a2 b1	Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)
SG1a2 b2 bchs1, hygRGold and Kronstad (1994)IW 248a2 b1 bchs1, hygRThis studyIW 249a1 b2 bchs2, hygRThis studyAChs2a2 b2 bch2, bleRThis studyW 253a1 b2 bchs2, bleRThis studyIW 254a2 b1 bchs2, bleRThis studyW 102ab b2 bchs2, bleRThis studyW 101a2 b1 bchs3; bleRThis studyW 101a2 b1 bchs3; bleRThis studyW 84a2 b2 bchs4; hygRThis studyIW 85a1 b1 bchs4; hygRThis studyW 84a2 b2 bchs4; hygRThis studyW 85a1 b1 bchs5; bleRThis studyIW 88a1 b1 bchs5; bleRThis studySG200bchs5a1 b1 bchs5; hygRThis studyFB1bChs6a1 b1 bchs6; hygRGarcera-Teruel et al. (2004)GS200bchs6a1 b1 bchs7; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs7; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB1bChs6a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB2bchs7a2 b2 bchs6; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB1bChs6a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB2bchs7a2 b2 bchs6; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB2bchs7a2 b2 bchs6; hygRThis studySG200bchs7a1 b1 bchs6; hygRThis studyFB2bchs7a2 b2 bchs6; hygR <td>FB6b</td> <td>a1 b2</td> <td>Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)</td>	FB6b	a1 b2	Banuett and Herskowitz (1989)
IW 248 $a2 b1 \Delta chs1, hyg^A$ This studyIW 249 $a1 b2 \Delta chs1, hyg^A$ This studyIW 249 $a1 b2 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyW 253 $a1 b2 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyIW 254 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyW 254 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyW 254 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyW 101 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, bb^A$ This studyW 102 $a1 b2 \Delta chs3: bb^A$ This studyW 101 $a2 b1 \Delta chs3: bb^A$ This studyM 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4: hyg^A$ This studyW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4: hyg^A$ This studyChs5 $a2 b2 \Delta chs5: bb^A$ This studySG200 Achs5 $a1 b1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs6 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 b1 \Delta chs5: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^A Ansc1: bb^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs7: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs7: hyg^A$ This studySG200 Achs7 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Achs7: hyg^A$ This study<	SG200	a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1, ble ^R	Bölker et al. (1995)
IW 249af b2 $\Delta chs1, hyg^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs2$ a2 b2 $\Delta ch2, ble^{P}$ This studyW 253a1 b2 $\Delta chs2, ble^{P}$ This studyW 254a2 b1 $\Delta chs3, ble^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs3$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This studyW 102a1 b2 $\Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This studyW 101a2 b1 $\Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^{P}$ This studyW 85a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^{P}$ This studyW 89a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^{P}$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GQ2a2 b2 $\Delta chs5:hyg^{P}$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GQ2a2 b2 $\Delta chs5:hyg^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5:hyg^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5:hyg^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^{P}$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^{P} + pChs7$ This studySG200 ΔCh	SG1	a2 b2 $\Delta chs1$, hyg ^R	Gold and Kronstad (1994)
$\Delta Chs2$ $a2 b2 \Delta ch2, ble^{P}$ This studyIW 253 $a1 b2 \Delta chs2, ble^{P}$ This studyW 254 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, ble^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs3$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This studyW 102 $a1 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This studyW 101 $a2 b1 \Delta chs3::ble^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This studyW 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This studyW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4::hyg^{P}$ This studyW 86 $a1 b1 \Delta chs5::ble^{P}$ This studySG200AChs5 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^{P}$ This studySG200AChs6 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^{P}$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^{P}$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200AChs6 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^{P}$ This studyFB1AChs7 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^{P}$ This studyFB2AChs7 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^{P}$ This studySG200AChs6 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^{P}$ This studyFB2AChs7 $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^{P} Amcs1::hg^{P}$ This studyFB2AChs7 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^{P}$ This studySG200AChs1 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 Amcs1::hg^{P}$ This studyFB1AChs7 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 Amcs1::hg^{P}$ This studySG200AChs1 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 Amcs1::hg^{P}$ This studyFB1AChs7 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 Amcs1::hg^{P}$ This studySG200AChs1 $a1 mta 2 bW2 bE1 Amcs1::hg^{P}$ <t< td=""><td>IW 248</td><td>a2 b1 ∆chs1, hyg^R</td><td>This study</td></t<>	IW 248	a2 b1 ∆chs1, hyg ^R	This study
IW 253a1 b2 Δchs^2 , ble^R This studyIW 254a2 b1 Δchs^2 , ble^R This studyAChs3a2 b2 $\Delta chs^3:ble^R$ This studyIW 102a1 b2 $\Delta chs^3:ble^R$ This studyIW 101a2 b1 $\Delta chs^3:ble^R$ This studyAChs4a2 b2 $\Delta chs^4:hyg^R$ This studyW 84a2 b2 $\Delta chs^4:hyg^R$ This studyW 85a1 b1 $\Delta chs^3:ble^R$ This studyChs5a2 b2 $\Delta chs^4:hyg^R$ This studyW 86a1 b1 $\Delta chs^3:ble^R$ This studyGS200 $\Delta chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs^3:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GS200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This study </td <td>IW 249</td> <td>a1 b2 ∆chs1, hyg^R</td> <td>This study</td>	IW 249	a1 b2 ∆chs1, hyg ^R	This study
IW 254 $a2 b1 \Delta chs2, ble^R$ This study $\Delta Chs3$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This studyW1 02 $a1 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This studyIW 101 $a2 b1 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyW 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerà-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R \Delta cs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R \Delta cs1::ble^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6,hyg^R \Delta cs1::hyg^R + Dchs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6,hyg^R \Delta cs1::hyg^R + Dchs7$ This study <td>$\Delta Chs2$</td> <td>a2 b2 Δch2, ble^R</td> <td>This study</td>	$\Delta Chs2$	a2 b2 Δ ch2, ble ^R	This study
$\Delta Chs3$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This studyIW 102 $a1 b2 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This studyW 101 $a2 b1 \Delta chs3::ble^R$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyW 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyChs5 $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6: hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6: hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs6:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6;hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6;hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ Ahcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 m$	IW 253	a1 b2 Δ chs2, ble ^R	This study
IW 102a1 b2 $\Delta chs^3::ble^R$ This studyIW 101a2 b1 $\Delta chs^3::ble^R$ This studyAChs4a2 b2 $\Delta chs^3::ble^R$ This studyIW 84a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 85a1 b1 $\Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyAChs5a2 b2 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studyQChs5a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)GG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R + Dchs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R + Dchs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7;hyg^R + Dchs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7;hyg^R + Dchs7$	IW 254	a2 b1 Δ chs2, ble ^R	This study
IW 101a2 b1 $\Delta chs3::ble^R$ This study $\Delta Chs4$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 84a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 85a1 b1 $\Delta chs2::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs2::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7pChs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7pChs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7pChs7$	$\Delta Chs3$	a2 b2 Δ chs3::ble ^R	This study
$\Delta Chs4$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studyIW 89 $a1 b1 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 ma2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcera ⁻ Teruel et al. (2004)A62 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcera ⁻ Teruel et al. (2004)A62 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 ma2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7,hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 ma2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R/pOGMcs1$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 ma2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R/pOGMcs1$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R + pChs7$ This study </td <td>IW 102</td> <td>a1 b2 $\Delta chs3::ble^R$</td> <td>This study</td>	IW 102	a1 b2 $\Delta chs3::ble^R$	This study
IW 84 $a2 b2 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This studyIW 85 $a1 b1 \Delta chs4::hyg^R$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004) $\Delta G2$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004) $\Delta G2$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ Dhes7 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 ΔCh	IW 101	a2 b1 Δ chs3::ble ^R	This study
IW 85a1 b1 $\Delta chs^{4::hyg^R}$ This study $\Delta Chs5$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studyIW 89a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6$, hyg^RGarcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6 \Delta Mcs1$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6 \Delta Mcs1$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6 \Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R \Delta mcs1:ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R/pOGMcs1$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs5$ pNEBUHa2 b2 $\Delta chs5::he^R + pNEBUC$ This study <tr< td=""><td>$\Delta Chs4$</td><td>a2 b2 ∆chs4::hyg^R</td><td>This study</td></tr<>	$\Delta Chs4$	a2 b2 ∆chs4::hyg ^R	This study
$\Delta Chs5$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs5:ble^R$ This studyIW 89 $a1 b1 \Delta chs5:ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5:hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs5::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6 \Delta Mcs1$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7:hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs6$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs7$ $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7Dchs7$ $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::heR + pChs7$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs5Dchs5$	IW 84	a2 b2 $\Delta chs4::hyg^R$	This study
IW 89a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6$, hyg^RGarcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6$, hyg^RGarcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta Chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs6$, hyg^R $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs6; hyg^R \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ pChs7a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ + pChs7This studyFB1 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ + pChs7This studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 $\Delta Chs7$ pNEBUCa2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ + pNEBUCThis study	IW 85	a1 b1 Δ chs4::hyg ^R	This study
IW 89a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs5$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs5::hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta chs7$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs1$ a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta chs6$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs5$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$ a2 b2 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studyW46a2 b2 $\Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs7This studySG200 $\Delta Mcs1$ a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs5This studyFB2 $\Delta chs7$, hygR + pChs5This study <td>$\Delta Chs5$</td> <td>a2 b2 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$</td> <td>This study</td>	$\Delta Chs5$	a2 b2 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$	This study
SG200 Δ Chs5a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs5::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs6::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUH <td>IW 89</td> <td>a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$</td> <td>-</td>	IW 89	a1 b1 $\Delta chs5::ble^R$	-
FB1 Δ Chs6a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)AG2a2 b2 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs6::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a2 b2 Δ ms7::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R /pOGMcs1This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R /pOGMcs1This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2 Δ Chs2GFPa2 b2 Δ chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2 Δ Chs2GFPa2 b2 Δ chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 Δ chs3-gf	SG200∆Chs5	a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 ∆chs5::hyq ^R	This study
AG2 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R$ Garcerá-Teruel et al. (2004)SG200 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs6::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs7a1 b1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs7 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 $\Delta chs7::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 b1 $\Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs1 $a1 b1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB2 Δ Mcs1 $a2 b2 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1 $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1 $a1 b1 \Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R hpCMcs1This studySG200\DeltaMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R hpCMcs1This studySG200\DeltaMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1:hyg^R hpCMcs1This studyFB1\DeltaChs7pChs7a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7This studyFB2\Delta$,,,	-
SG200 Δ Chs6a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs6:hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs10a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR		, ,,,	
FB1 Δ Chs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs5This studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Chs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studyW46a2 b2 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs10GMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygR/pOGMcs1This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUHThis studyFB2 Δ Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study			
SG200 Δ Chs7a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ chs7::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studyW46a2 b2 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::heR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study		· · · _	-
FB1 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studyIW46a2 b2 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R /pOGMcs1This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studyFB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studyIW46a2 b2 Δ chs6, hygR Δ mcs1::bleRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygR/pOGMcs1This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study			
FB1 Δ Chs6 Δ Mcs1a1 b1 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studyIW46a2 b2 Δ chs6, hyg ^R Δ mcs1::ble ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R /pOGMcs1This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
IW46 $a2 b2 \Delta chs6, hyg^R \Delta mcs1::ble^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R$ This studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1 $a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 \Delta mcs1::hyg^R/pOGMcs1$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7 $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7 $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pChs7$ This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUC $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pNEBUC$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUC $a1 b1 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pNEBUC$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUC $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pNEBUC$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUC $a2 b2 \Delta chs7::hyg^R + pNEBUC$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUC $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R + pChs5$ This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUH $a2 b2 \Delta chs5::ble^R + pNEBUH$ This studyFB2Chs1GFP $a2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble^R$ This studyFB2Chs2GFP $a2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble^R$ This studyFB2Chs3GFP $a2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble^R$ This study			
SG200 Δ Mcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygRThis studySG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hygR/pOGMcs1This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study			
SG200 Δ Mcs1OGMcs1a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1 Δ mcs1::hyg ^R /pOGMcs1This studyFB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Chs7pChs7a2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hygR + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pChs5a2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::bleR + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study			
FB1 Δ Chs7pChs7a1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pChs7This studyFB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pChs5a2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB1 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa1 b1 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::hyg ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pChs5a2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study	-		
FB2 Δ Chs7pNEBUCa2 b2 Δ chs7::h/g ^R + pNEBUCThis studyFB2 Δ Chs5pChs5a2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Chs5pChs5a2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pChs5This studyFB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Chs5pNEBUHa2 b2 Δ chs5::ble ^R + pNEBUHThis studyFB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study	-		
FB2Chs1GFPa2 b2 chs1-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, ble ^R This studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2Chs2GFPa2 b2 chs2-gfp, bleRThis studyFB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study	-		
FB2Chs3GFPa2 b2 chs3-gfp, bleRThis study			
			-
017			
FB2Chs5GFP a2 b2 chs5-gfp, ble ^R This study FB2Chs6GFP a2 b2 chs6-gfp, ble ^R This study			
FB2Chs04FP $a2 b2 chs04gp$, ble^{th} This studyFB2Chs7YFP $a2 b2 chs74gp$, hyg^R This study			-
AB33Chs7YFP a2 b2 chs7-yip, hyg ^{re} This study AB33Chs7YFP a2 b2 Pnar1:bW2, bE1 pchs7-yfp, hyg ^R This study		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
FB2Mcs1YFP $a2 b2 mcs1-yfp, hyg^R$ This study FB2Mcs1YFP $a2 b2 mcs1-yfp, hyg^R$			
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
AB33Mcs1YFP a2 b2 Pnar1:bW2, bE1pmcs1-yfp, hyg ^R This study			
FB2 Δ Adr1a2 b2 Δ adr1::nat ^R This studyFD2 Δ Adr1 Δ Mas1a2 b2 Δ adr1/unst ^B Δ mas1/ub/m ^B This study			5
FB2 Δ Adr1 Δ Mcs1a2 b2 Δ adr1::nat ^R Δ mcs1::hyg ^R This studyCOM s1Bateford result of a BThis study			
pOGMcs1 Potef-egfp-mcs1, cbx ^R This study	•		
pChs5 Pchs5-chs5-Tchs5, hyg ^R , Uars This study	•		
pChs7 Pchs7-chs7-tchs7, cbx ^R , Uars This study	•	_ , ,	
pNEBUC <i>cbx^R, Uars</i> Weinzierl (2001)	•		
pNEBUH hyg ^R , Uars Weinzierl (2001)	PNEBUH	nyg⊓, Uars	Weinzierl (2001)

a, b, mating-type loci; Δ , deletion; P, promoter; ::, homologous replacement; -, fusion; +, free-replicating plasmid; hyg^R, hygromycin resistance; ble^R, bleomycin resistance; cbx^R, carboxin resistance; nat^R, nourseothricin resistance; /, ectopically integrated; E1, W2, genes within the b mating-type locus; egfp, enhanced green fluorescent protein; yfp, yellow fluorescent protein; T, terminator; Uars, U. maydis autonomously replicating sequence.




Figure 3. Morphology of Yeast-Like CHS Null Mutants.

(A) The deletion of most CHSs had no or only minor effects on the shape of haploid yeast-like cells. $\Delta chs6$ mutant mother cells were thicker (arrow), and a small portion of $\Delta mcs1$ cells showed swelling of the bud tip and, occasionally, also of the mother cell. Bar = 5 μ m.

(B) $\Delta chs5$ mutants grow irregularly (see inset) and often lose the neck constriction that separates the mother and the daughter cells. Furthermore, $\sim 11\%$ of all cells formed cell chains, indicating that the activity of Chs5 is essential for the proper morphology of yeast-like cells. Bars = 5 μ m.

(C) Deletion of the newly identified *chs7* led to a significant swelling of mother cells (see inset) and resulted in a cell separation defect. Bars = 5 μ m. (D) The phenotype of $\Delta chs5$ mutants was in contradiction to previous reports (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1997). However, RNA gel blot analysis confirmed the absence of the *chs5* message in deletion strains. Moreover, the morphology phenotype could be rescued by expression of Chs5 from a self-replicating plasmid ($\Delta Chs5 + pCHS5$), whereas the empty plasmid ($\Delta Chs5 + empty$ plasmid) was without effect. Bars = 5 μ m.

(E) Chitin staining with rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin demonstrates that chitin is deposited at the growing bud (control, arrows). In addition, the bud scar is detected (control, arrowhead). In $\Delta chs5$ mutants, chitin staining is strongly reduced and no buds are detected ($\Delta Chs5$). Instead, chitin accumulates in patches at the cell poles ($\Delta Chs5$, arrows). Surprisingly, $\Delta chs7$ mutants had almost no chitin staining in the growing buds ($\Delta Chs7$, arrows) but an unusually strong staining of the mother cell wall. This indicates that the absence of this CHS leads to defects in chitin depositioning or maturation of the cell wall. Bar = 5 μ m.

(F) Quantitative analysis of the rhodamine wheat germ agglutinin signal intensity in the lateral cell wall demonstrates that deletion of *chs5* reduced the chitin content (Δ Chs5), whereas deletion of Chs7 increased the wheat germ agglutinin signal (Δ Chs7). Values are given as means ± SE (n = 12 to 18).

significantly fewer tubes (Figure 6A). Both Chs5 and Chs7 activity was essential for tube formation, as mutants in both CHSs were strongly impaired in the formation of conjugation hyphae (Figure 6A). This finding suggests that Chs5 and Chs7 have a role in the initial polar growth of tubes. However, at present, we cannot exclude the unlikely possibility that both CHSs participate in pheromone sensing, which would lead to a similar phenotype. In both mutants, a small number of tubes were still formed. Although these looked almost normal in $\Delta chs5$ strains (Figure

6B), $\Delta chs7$ mutants formed only very thick and irregular extensions (Figure 6B), indicating that Chs7 is needed not only for the formation but also for the morphology of conjugation hyphae.

Mating tube growth is followed by cell fusion and the formation of a dikaryotic hypha. This reaction can be visualized on charcoal-containing plates (Holliday, 1974), where haploid yeast-like cells appear grayish, whereas successful mating leads to the formation of a white and fuzzy colony consisting of dikaryotic hyphae (Figure 6C, control; compatible partners are






Figure 4. Effect of the CHS Inhibitor Nikkomycin Z on Wild-Type and CHS Null Mutant Strains.

(A) Incubation of growing cells with 5 μ M Nikkomycin Z (NZ), a specific inhibitor of CHS, for 1 h leads to a swelling of the growing tip of the cell (arrows). Interestingly, this effect was also seen in large-budded cells that form septa, as indicated by Calcofluor staining (asterisk). After longer periods of drug treatment, cells formed large vacuoles (arrow-heads) and died. Note that these cells did not burst, even when transferred into water, indicating that Nikkomycin Z-mediated inhibition of CHS at the growing cell pole only partially weakened the cell wall. Bars = 10 μ m.

(B) Plate growth of wild-type cells was only slightly inhibited at 1 μ M Nikkomycin Z. However, at this concentration, growth of $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ cells was impaired, whereas $\Delta chs6$ mutants did not form any colonies. Because Nikkomycin Z leads to swelling of the tip (see above), the hypersensitivity of $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs6$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ mutants might reflect activity of these CHSs at the growth region.

(C) Incubation of $\Delta chs6$ mutants in 5 μ g/mL Nikkomycin Z killed most cells and led to slight swelling of the mother cells. Note that control cells were still viable under these conditions.

indicated by a1b1 and a2b2, and the fuzzy colony is indicated by a1b1 × a2b2). The ability to form dikaryotic hyphae was tested by crossing compatible CHS null mutant strains on charcoal plates (Figure 6C). Impaired formation of a fuzzy colony was observed for $\Delta chs5 \times \Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs7 \times \Delta chs7$, and, to a minor extent, for $\Delta chs6 \times \Delta chs6$ (Figure 6C). However, dikaryotic hyphae formation requires the fusion of conjugation tubes; therefore, we considered it possible that this phenotype is a secondary consequence of the described defect in conjugation hyphae formation of $\Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs6$, and $\Delta chs7$. To circumvent the need for cell fusion, we generated the respective mutations in

the solopathogenic strain SG200 (Bölker et al., 1995). Because of autocrine pheromone stimulation as well as the presence of an active bE/bW heterodimer, a transcription factor that controls filamentous growth and pathogenicity (reviewed in Feldbrügge et al., 2004), SG200 is able to form dikaryotic hyphae without a mating partner (Figure 6C, bottom row). Filament formation of SG200 Δ Chs5 and SG200 Δ Chs7 was attenuated after 24 h (Figure 6C, bottom row, Δ Chs5 and Δ Chs7), which strongly indicated that both CHSs have important additional roles in growth after cell fusion. After 2 d on charcoal, *\(\Delta chs5\)* mutants recovered and formed hyphae that showed normal morphology (Figure 6D; see control for comparison). By contrast, neither compatible Achs7 mutants nor SG200AChs7 strains formed a fuzzy colony, and short and irregular hyphae were rarely found (Figure 6E). These $\Delta chs7$ hyphae form empty sections (Figure 6E, SG200 Δ Chs7) but were thicker and much shorter, indicating that Chs7 is required for proper hyphal growth. These data demonstrate that Chs5 and Chs7 are critical for efficient conjugation tube formation, but only Chs7 appears to be crucial for filamentous hyphal growth.

The Myosin-CHS Is Not Required for Hyphal Morphology ex Planta

In other fungal systems, the deletion of Mcs1-like myosin-CHSs leads to defects in hyphal morphology (Horiuchi et al., 1999). Surprisingly, SG200AMcs1 hyphae were without any significant phenotype (Figures 6C and 6E), although it was expressed in the hyphal stage (Figure 2B) and localized to the growth region (Figure 5D). In contrast with other fungi, U. maydis encodes a second class V CHS, Chs6 (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1997); therefore, we assumed that both class V enzymes substitute for each other. Consequently, we generated a $\Delta mcs1 \Delta chs6$ double mutant and checked its morphology. Although single mutant yeast-like cells occasionally showed only minor defects (Figure 6F, ΔMcs1, arrow), the double mutant was much more swollen, which was most likely attributable to a weakening of the lateral cell walls (Figure 6F, Δ Mcs1 Δ Chs6). Indeed, we were able to almost completely restore the mutant phenotype with 500 mM sorbitol (data not shown). By contrast, dikaryotic double mutant hyphae did not show any obvious defect (Figure 6G), indicating that class V CHSs are not essential for the proper hyphal growth of U. maydis.

Dikaryotic and SG200-derived hyphae are cell cycle arrested in G2 phase (Garcia-Muse et al., 2003) and consist of a single tip cell that leaves vacuolated sections behind (Steinberg et al., 1998). Thus, we considered it possible that Mcs1, although expressed in hyphae, is not active in these G2-arrested cells and therefore no phenotype is observed. Consequently, a hypha that is not cell cycle arrested could show the expected morphological phenotype. To test this possibility, we deleted adr1, a catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (Dürrenberger et al., 1998) in the wild type and the $\Delta mcs1$ mutant background. Deletion of adr1 leads to continuous hyphal growth without an arrest in the cell cycle (Dürrenberger et al., 1998). Indeed, strains FB2AAdr1 and FB2AAdr1AMcs1 formed long hyphae that consisted of numerous cellular compartments with individual nuclei (Figures 7A and 7B, arrowheads). Occasionally, we observed highly condensed and closely spaced nuclei, suggesting that




Figure 5. Localization of CHS-GFP/YFP Fusion Proteins in Haploid Yeast-Like Cells and Dikaryotic Hyphae.

(A) GFP or YFP fused to the 3' end of endogenous CHS genes resulted in fluorescent fusion proteins that localized to septa and in some cases the growing tip of the bud (Msc1-YFP is given as an example). In large-budded cells, fusion protein was detected at the primary septum as well as at the bud tip (arrows), indicating that the cell still expands while it forms septa, a result that was also indicated by the Nikkomycin Z experiments (see Figure 4A). Bar = 3 μ m. (B) Fluorescent fusion proteins of Chs5, Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 localize to the growing bud. Note that Chs7-YFP forms a distinct point at the tip, whereas the other CHSs cover a broader region of the apex. Bar = 3 μ m.

(C) All CHSs localize to secondary septa. Note that no signal was seen for Chs1-GFP, although small amounts were detected in protein gel blots (data not shown). Chs2-GFP was not detected on protein gel blots or by microscopy. Bar = $3 \mu m$.

(D) In dikaryotic hyphae, most CHSs localized to the growing tip and septum that separates the living tip cell from the vacuolated older part of the hyphae (Mcs1-YFP is given as an example). Arrows mark the poles of the growing tip cell. Bar = $10 \mu m$.

(E) Fluorescent fusion proteins of Chs5, Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 localize to the hyphal apex. Again, Chs7-YFP shows a very pointed localization. Bar = $3 \mu m$. (F) Similar to the situation in yeast-like cells, all CHS-GFP/YFP fusion proteins are found at the septa. Note that the CHS-GFP/YFP fusion proteins were functional, as they restored the phenotype of $\Delta mcs1$ mutants (see also Figure 7D) or did not cause the typical phenotypes when introduced into the endogenous locus of *chs5*, *chs6*, and *chs7*. Bar = $3 \mu m$.

these cells are in mitosis (Figure 7A, asterisks). Consistent with the observations in the cell cycle–arrested *b*-dependent hyphae, deletion of *mcs1* had no significant effect on the morphology of these nonarrested hyphae, although some hyphae grew slightly irregularly (Figure 7B, bottom panel). Thus, we conclude that the absence of a clear mutant phenotype in $\Delta mcs1$ dikaryotic hyphae is not the result of a cell cycle–dependent regulation of the activity of Mcs1.

Finally, we checked whether $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae show alterations in the distribution of chitin in their cell wall. Treatment of control hyphae with 0.2 µg/mL rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin for 10 min weakly labeled the lateral cell walls but




Figure 6. The Role of CHS in the Formation and Morphology of Conjugation and Dikaryotic Hyphae.

(A) In medium supplemented with synthetic pheromone, \sim 80% of wild-type cells form long conjugation hyphae (see [B], control). Under the same conditions, $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs3$, $\Delta chs4$, and $\Delta chs6$ mutants showed significantly fewer hyphae, whereas the activity of Chs5 and Chs7 is crucial for tube formation. Values are means \pm SE (n = 2 to 3 experiments, and at least 100 cells per experiment were analyzed).

(B) After 11 h of pheromone treatment, some $\Delta chs5$ conjugation hyphae were found that showed almost normal morphology. By contrast, $\Delta chs7$ mating tubes grew irregularly, had additional septa, and were much thicker. Bar = 10 μ m.

(C) Crossing of compatible strains on charcoal-containing plates resulted in the formation of a fuzzy colony that is covered by white aerial hyphae (the genotype of control strains is indicated as an example). The formation of these filaments was attenuated in $\Delta chs5$ and $\Delta chs6$ strains and completely abolished in crossings of compatible $\Delta chs7$ mutants (arrows). Solopathogenic SG200 mutants form filaments without fusion to a mating partner, which results in a white colony (bottom row). However, SG200 Δ Chs5 and SG200 Δ Chs7 still did not form hyphae, indicating that both CHSs are important for hyphal growth.

(D) After 2 d on charcoal, compatible $\Delta chs5$ and $\Delta chs6$ strains form fuzzy colonies, indicating that filament formation is delayed in these mutants. Note that $\Delta chs6 \times \Delta chs6$ colonies did not become white and fuzzy. Insets show larger magnifications of the colony edge.

(E) Control hyphae of crossings of compatible wild-type strains ($a1b1 \times a2b2$) and solopathogenic SG200 are indistinguishable from $\Delta chs5$ ($\Delta chs5 \times \Delta chs5$), $\Delta chs6$ (SG200 Δ Chs6), and $\Delta mcs1$ (SG200 Δ Chs7) mutant hyphae. Only $\Delta chs7$ mutants show severely altered morphology (SG200 Δ Chs7). Bar = 30 μ m. (F) Deletion of mcs1 and chs6 led to minor defects in the morphology of yeast-like cells. Occasionally in $\Delta mcs1$, the growing bud was swollen (Δ Mcs1, arrow) and the mother cells were slightly thicker. By contrast, deleting both class V CHSs led to more drastic swellings of the $\Delta mcs1 \Delta chs6$ mutants (Δ Mcs1 Δ Chs6, arrow). Note that this mutant phenotype varied between experiments and that extreme examples are shown. Bar = 3 μ m.

(G) In contrast with yeast-like cells, deletion of *mcs1* and *chs6* did not affect the morphology of dikaryotic hyphae, indicating that both class V CHSs have minor roles in hyphal growth ex planta. Bar = 10 μ m.

brightly stained the hyphal apex (Figure 7C, SG200, arrows). Line-scan analysis of the fluorescence intensity along the apical 30 μ m confirmed the existence of the apical chitin cap in control hyphae (Figure 7D, SG200, arrow). Chitin staining of $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae was reduced slightly (Figures 7C and 7D, SG200∆Mcs1). Most strikingly, a prominent apical chitin cap was never found in mutant hyphae, suggesting that Mcs1 participates in chitin synthesis at the hyphal apex. However, this activity is not essential to maintain hyphal growth and morphology.




Figure 7. Δmcs1 Mutant Phenotype of cAMP-Dependent Filaments and Chitin Distribution in b-Dependent Hyphae.

(A) Deletion of *adr1*, which encodes the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent kinase (Dürrenberger et al., 1998), results in continuous filamentous growth of the haploid cells. Staining of nuclei with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole reveals that these hyphae contain numerous nuclei (arrowheads). Occasionally, condensed and closely spaced nuclei are seen (asterisks) that are indicative of a mitotic event, which demonstrates that cAMP-dependent hyphae are not cell cycle arrested. Bar = $20 \mu m$.

(B) $\Delta mcs1 \Delta adr1$ double mutants also form multinucleated hyphae (nuclei indicated by arrowheads). Hyphal morphology is almost normal, although some hyphae appear thicker and less regular (asterisk). Thus, Mcs1 is dispensable for hyphal growth of continuously growing cAMP hyphae. Bars = 20 μ m. (C) Staining of chitin with low amounts of rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin weakly labeled the lateral cell walls and a prominent chitin cap at the hyphal apex (SG200, arrows). Note that this chitin cap corresponds well with the localization of Mcs1 (see Figure 6). By contrast, this polar chitin cap is absent from $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae (SG200 Δ Mcs1). Bars = 10 μ m.

(D) Quantitative line-scan analysis of the intensity of rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin along the apical 30 μ m of control (SG200) and $\Delta mcs1$ (SG200 Δ Mcs1) hyphae demonstrates that the amount of chitin in the lateral cell wall is reduced and that the apical chitin cap (arrow in top graph) is missing from mutant hyphae. These data suggest that Mcs1 participates in wall synthesis of *U. maydis* hyphae but that its activity is not crucial for hyphal morphology.

The Polar CHSs Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 Are Essential for Pathogenicity

Under natural conditions, mating and the formation of dikaryotic hyphae occur on the surface of the plant epidermis. To complete the pathogenic phase, *U. maydis* hyphae invade the plant tissue and undergo numerous developmental steps, including hyphae proliferation, fragmentation, and spore formation (Feldbrügge et al., 2004). The infection alternates plant growth, induces tumor formation, and eventually leads to the death of the plant (Figure 8A). To investigate the need for CHSs during pathogenic development, we infected maize seedlings and counted plants that had formed tumors \sim 14 d after infection. Surprisingly, these

experiments revealed that most CHSs, including Chs5, were attenuated in pathogenic development (Figure 8C), which was best illustrated by the reduced average fresh weight of tumors induced by CHS mutants (Figures 8B and 8E). Moreover, Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 were found to be crucial for the formation of tumors (Figures 8A and 8C). The results obtained for Chs6 confirm previous reports on a pathogenicity defect of $\Delta chs6$ mutants (Garcerá-Teruel et al., 2004). The solopathogenic strain SG200 Δ Chs7 showed increased pathogenicity compared with the crossing of compatible $\Delta chs7$ mutant strains (Figure 8D), indicating that the observed conjugation tube formation defect of $\Delta chs7$ is a major cause of the reduced virulence of the mutants. However, in the absence of Chs7, mutant hyphae were




Figure 8. Pathogenicity of CHS Null Mutants.

(A) Infection of maize plants with compatible control or CHS mutant strains led to tumor formation in most inoculated plants and eventually killed the host. By contrast, compatible $\Delta mcs1$ mutant strains showed no symptoms, indicating that the deleted CHS is of great importance for plant infection. (B) The solopathogenic strain SG200 Δ Chs5 showed ~60% of the virulence of control strains, and SG200 Δ Chs7 was able to infect ~30% of all plants. However, in both mutants, the resulting tumors were much smaller than those of control plants.

(C) Quantitative analysis of at least two experiments counting infected plants that formed tumors 14 to 16 d after infection revealed that Chs1 to Chs4 activity was of no or minor importance for plant infection (black bars), whereas $\Delta chs6$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ were nonpathogenic (black bars). Values are given as means \pm SE (n = 2 to 3 experiments and >63 plants). Under improved plant growth conditions, the virulence of control strains was attenuated (data not shown) and $\Delta chs4$ mutants also did not induce tumor formation (gray bars).

(D) In the solopathognic strain SG200 Δ Chs7, virulence was partially restored, indicating that the mating defect of Δ *chs7* mutants is largely responsible for the reduced pathogenicity, Values are given as means \pm SE (n = 2 to 3 experiments and >55 plants). However, pathogenicity was at 25.7%, which argues for important roles of Chs7 in planta. SG200 Δ Mcs1 was still nonpathogenic, and virulence was restored by expression of a Mcs1-GFP fusion protein.

(E) Fourteen days after infection, tumors of plants infected with control cells and $\Delta chs1$ to $\Delta chs5$ were harvested and their fresh weight per plant was determined. Although CHS mutants formed tumors, the size of these tumors was drastically reduced, suggesting that all CHSs participate in pathogenic development.

still attenuated in virulence, and the observed tumors, induced by $\Delta chs7$ mutants, were significantly smaller than those of control plants, demonstrating that Chs7 is required for full virulence (Figure 8B).

In a second round of experiments, we made use of a newly built greenhouse that provided optimal conditions for the host plants. Under these improved environmental conditions, tumors appeared 5 to 6 d after infection in control and all CHS mutant strains tested, but only \sim 45% of all plants infected with wild-type cells developed tumors (normal rates are 70 to 90%), suggesting that the plants were less susceptible to the infection. Consequently, the damage caused by mutants in CHSs was also reduced. However, compared with the control, $\Delta chs2$ and $\Delta chs3$

were even less successful in these assays (Figure 8C, gray bars), and $\Delta chs4$ did not induce any tumors (Figure 8C, gray bars). Moreover, tumors were much smaller, as illustrated by the average fresh weight per infected plant (Figure 8E). These data demonstrate that the polar CHSs (Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1) are essential for fungal virulence under various conditions, whereas Chs2, Chs3, and Chs4 become essential only under growth conditions that support the plant and that lead to reduced susceptibility to infections.

Amcs1 Mutants Fail to Invade the Plant Epidermis

We found that $\Delta mcs1$ and $\Delta chs6$ mutants are nonpathogenic, indicating that class V CHSs play a crucial role in plant infection.

236 The Plant Cell

It has been described that $\Delta chs6$ mutants failed to colonize the plant tissue, which might be attributable to a defect in the early steps of infection (Garcerá-Teruel et al., 2004). To learn more about the pathogenicity defect of $\Delta mcs1$ mutants, we investigated the initial infection steps on fixed and KOH-cleared plant material, in which the fungus was visualized by chlorazole black staining (Brundett et al., 1996; Brachmann et al., 2003). Analysis of *Z* axis stacks revealed that 1 d after infection, control hyphae enter the plant epidermis without major morphological changes (Figure 9A, control; the depth of the optical plane is indicated in the bottom left corner). By contrast, even after 3 d, $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae reached only into the upper epidermal layer, where they formed large swellings (Figure 9A, $\Delta Mcs1$) that were approximately four times thicker than control hyphae (Figure 9C)

[arrowheads indicate the wall of a mesophyll cell] and 9D). These swellings are found inside the plant epidermis (Figures 9A [-10μ m] and 9B), whereas more straight hyphae were found on the plant surface (Figure 9A, 0 μ m), suggesting that $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae lost the ability to grow in a directed manner after they entered the host epidermis. Instead, invading hyphae became apolar, indicating that Mcs1 is crucial for hyphal growth inside the plant. It was found recently that mutants in Mcs1-like CHSs of *Fusarium oxysporum* failed to invade tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) plants because of a hypersensitivity to H₂O₂ (Madrid et al., 2003). Therefore, we checked our $\Delta mcs1$ and $\Delta mcs1 \Delta chs6$ strains on CM-G (for complete medium supplemented with 1% glucose) plates supplemented with low amounts of H₂O₂. Both the wild type and $\Delta mcs1$ were highly susceptible to oxidative stress.




Figure 9. Defects of $\Delta mcs1$ Hyphae in Early Plant Infection.

(A) Series of Z axis projections showing the initial infection state of the wild type (control) and $\Delta mcs1$ mutants. One day after infection, wild-type hyphae enter the plant epidermis without obvious morphological differentiation (arrowhead, $-10 \mu m$). By contrast, even at 3 d after infection, most $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae fail to invade the host tissue or they reach just into the upper layer (arrowhead, $-10 \mu m$). Note that $\Delta mcs1$ mutants are heavily swollen at the infection site. Distance in the Z-direction is given in micrometers. Bars = 20 μm .

(B) Those hyphae that entered the plant tissue did not continue directed growth but formed large aggregates of rounded and swollen cells. Distance in the Z-direction is given in micrometers. Bar = $20 \ \mu m$.

(C) Invading mutant hyphae are able to grow inside plant cells (the plant cell wall is indicated by arrowheads) but are thicker than control cells. This indicates that Mcs1 is not needed for invasion but becomes essential for hyphal morphogenesis and directed growth. Bar = 5 μ m.

(D) Quantitative analysis of the diameter of control and $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae in planta. In the absence of Mcs1, the hyphal diameter is increased significantly, indicating that cells are able to grow but have lost their polarity. Values are given as means \pm se (n = 10 to 11 appressoria).

(E) On agar plates, the growth of wild-type FB2 cells, $\Delta mcs1$, and $\Delta mcs1 \Delta chs6$ mutants was not different. In the presence of $\sim 0.0035\%$ (v/v) H₂O₂ oxidative stress, the growth of control cells and mutants was impaired, but again no strong difference between control and mutant strains was detected.

However, at inhibitory sublethal concentrations (~0.03%, v/v), mutants and control strains showed similar growth inhibition (Figure 9E). This indicated that the absence of virulence of $\Delta mcs1$ mutants is not the result of a plant defense reaction. In summary, these data suggest that Mcs1 is required for directed hyphal growth inside plants, a result that is most surprising considering that $\Delta mcs1$ mutants did not show any polarity or growth defects in yeast-like cells, mating tubes, and dikaryotic hyphae ex planta.

DISCUSSION

U. maydis Contains Eight CHSs

Previous work on CHS in U. maydis led to the description and partial characterization of six CHSs (Gold and Kronstad, 1994; Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1996, 1997; Garcerá-Teruel et al., 2004) that covered all five classes of these enzymes. In this study, we made use of the recently published genome of U. maydis and identified two additional CHSs, which raises the number of CHS genes to eight. Such high numbers appear to be typical for filamentous fungi (Miyazaki and Ootaki, 1997; Choquer et al., 2004), whereas the yeasts Schizosaccharomyces pombe and S. cerevisiae have only two and three CHSs, respectively (Cabib et al., 2001; Matsuo et al., 2004). It was argued that the high number of CHSs in filamentous and dimorphic fungi reflects their more complex life cycles and developmental programs, by which they colonize very different ecological niches ranging from soil to host tissue. However, Candida albicans grows in three different morphologies (Sudbery et al., 2004) but contains only four CHSs (Munro et al., 2003). Therefore, the number of CHSs does not necessarily reflect morphological complexity. Indeed, our data show that all eight CHSs of U. maydis are expressed in yeast-like cells and in hyphae. Most CHSs localized to the septa of yeast-like cells and hyphae, whereas at least four CHSs were concentrated at the growth region. This clearly suggests that CHSs cooperate in yeast-like cells, hyphae, and most likely during plant infection, but the importance of individual CHSs varies depending on the developmental stage. Such a concept is supported by the fact that deletion of single CHS genes often has no obvious phenotypes, whereas double or triple mutants have major phenotypes (Wang et al., 2001), and the increased morphological defects of the double mutant in class V CHSs (AMcs1 AChs6) argue similarly. On the other hand, double mutants in U. maydis chs3 and chs4 were without prominent phenotypes (Chavez-Ontiveros et al., 2000). However, our localization data indicate that at least six CHSs participate in septation in yeast-like cells and hyphae and at least four CHSs cooperate to support polar growth. This strongly suggests that multiple CHSs share similar functions and substitute for each other. Such cooperation could explain why mutants in chs4 and chs1, for which transcription is induced by >11-fold in hyphae, are without any phenotype in hyphae, although $\Delta chs4$ mutants are attenuated in virulence. Further genetic analysis of mutants deleted in both chs1 and chs4 and even more CHS mutants will be needed to gain deeper insight into the functional relationship of CHSs in U. maydis.

The Polar Class VI CHSs Chs5 and Chs7 Are Essential for the Morphology of Yeast-Like Cells, Mating Tubes, and Dikaryotic Hyphae

Fungal morphology depends on the integrity of the rigid cell wall that resists internal osmotic pressure (Harold, 2002). Chitin confers stability to the cell wall; therefore, it is not surprising that CHS mutants are often defective in cell morphology (Shaw et al., 1991; Borgia et al., 1996; Munro et al., 2001; Muller et al., 2002). We describe here that Chs5 and Chs7 are most important for the morphology of yeast-like cells, conjugation hyphae, and dikaryotic hyphae of *U. maydis* (Figure 10). Moreover, both Chs5




Figure 10. Overview of the Importance of CHSs in Different Life Cycle Stages of *U. maydis*.

The cellular importance of each CHS is reflected by the size of its name. **(A)** Haploid yeast-like cells grow by polar budding, and Chs5 is essential for their morphology. $\Delta chs7$ cells show an additional cell separation defect, and $\Delta mcs1$ mutants are often thicker and show polar swellings, indicating that these CHSs also participate in morphogenesis. Finally, $\Delta chs1$, $\Delta chs5$, $\Delta chs6$, $\Delta chs7$, and $\Delta mcs1$ are hypersensitive against Nikkomycin Z, which apparently inhibits CHS activity at the growing tip. This finding suggests that these CHSs support the tip growth of yeast-like cells.

(B) Pathogenic development is initiated by the formation of conjugation hyphae. Chs5 and Chs7 are essential for the formation of these tubes, whereas Chs1, Chs3, and Chs4 are of minor importance. However, only Chs7 activity is required for the proper morphology of mating tubes.

(C) Chs7 is essential for the proper morphology and growth of dikaryotic hyphae, whereas Δ Chs5 shows a significant delay in the growth of dikaryotic hyphae. Again, Chs6 participates in hyphal growth, but its activity is of minor importance.

(D) Only Chs6, Chs7, and Mcs1 are essential during the infection process, whereas Chs2, Chs3, Chs4, and Chs5 play only minor roles that become crucial when the plant grows under optimal conditions. In part, the pathogenicity of $\Delta chs7$ mutants could be restored when solopathogenic strains were used, indicating that the reduced virulence is partially attributable to the described mating defects. By contrast, Mcs1 activity becomes crucial when the fungus enters the plant.

and Chs7 show reduced virulence, although null mutants were still able to induce small tumors. Both genes belong to class IV CHSs, suggesting that members of this class are essential for fungal morphogenesis. Indeed, it was shown that class IV CHSs also have important roles in S. cerevisiae (Shaw et al., 1991) and C. albicans (Mio et al., 1996), which emphasizes the importance of this class of CHS in fungi. However, in Neurospora crassa, deletion of class IV CHSs was without significant effect (Din et al., 1996). This raises doubts about specific cellular functions of individual classes of CHS. Indeed, it was reported that class III CHSs are not important in *U. maydis* (Gold and Kronstad, 1994) and apparently do not even exist in Phycomyces blakesleeanus (Miyazaki and Ootaki, 1997), whereas this class has crucial functions in morphogenesis in Aspergillus oryzae (Muller et al., 2002) and Aspergillus nidulans (Borgia et al., 1996). The situation is even more complicated by the fact that CHSs of different classes cooperate to mediate conidiation and hyphal growth (Motoyama et al., 1996; Fujiwara et al., 2000) or fungal virulence (Wang et al., 2001). Therefore it appears impossible to assign common cellular importance to CHSs that belong to the same class. However, our data demonstrate that U. maydis contains four CHSs, two of class IV and two of class V, that perform important roles in morphogenesis and pathogenicity, whereas class I, II, and III are of minor importance (Figure 10).

The Class V CHS Mcs1 Is Essential for Penetration of the Host Epidermis

Members of class V CHSs usually contain a large N-terminal domain that has significant homology with myosin motor domains, and only Chs6 in U. maydis lacks this region (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1997). Here, we report the existence of mcs1, a second class V gene in U. maydis that encodes a complete myosin-CHS. Interestingly, myosin-CHSs are found in filamentous fungi (Aufauvre-Brown et al., 1997; Fujiwara et al., 1997), including the plant pathogens F. oxysporum (Madrid et al., 2003) and Botrytis cinerea (Choquer et al., 2004), as well as human pathogens, such as Paracoccidioides brasiliensis (Nino-Vega et al., 2004) and Wangiella dermatitidis (Liu et al., 2004), but they are absent from the yeast S. cerevisiae and S. pombe. This suggests that myosin-CHSs may be of particular importance for fungal hyphae (Roncero, 2002). However, Mcs1 participates in morphogenesis of the yeast-like stage, as $\Delta mcs1 \Delta chs6$ double mutants have a significant defect in cell morphology. This suggests that both class V CHSs have overlapping functions in U. maydis. Neither Chs6 nor Mcs1 was important for the hyphal stage of U. maydis, namely, the conjugation and dikaryotic hyphae (Figure 10). This is surprising, because deletion in class V CHSs in other fungi led to swelling of the hypha (Horiuchi et al., 1999; Madrid et al., 2003) or the hyphal tip (Amnuaykanjanasin and Epstein, 2003) and irregular septation (Horiuchi et al., 1999). One could argue that the lack of a morphology phenotype in $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae was attributable to a cell cycle-specific inactivation in the *b*-dependent hyphae, which are arrested in G2 phase. However, cAMP-dependent $\Delta adr1$ hyphae that grow filamentously but are not arrested in the cell cycle (Dürrenberger et al., 1998) also show no obvious mutant phenotype. Interestingly, quantitative staining of chitin in control strain SG200 and SG200AMcs1 revealed that mutant hyphae lack an apical chitin cap, suggesting that Mcs1 indeed participates in wall synthesis in hyphae. However, this activity is not essential for hyphal morphology, suggesting that other CHSs compensate for the loss of Mcs1 in hyphae. This notion is supported by a recent report on a triple CHS-deletion mutant in A. nidulans that suggests that the myosin-CHS csmA has a functional overlap with a class I and a class II CHS (Yamada et al., 2005). In summary, our results demonstrate that myosin-CHS is not essential for hyphal morphology in U. maydis, in contrast with reports on other fungi. Notably, these fungi belong to the ascomycetes, whereas U. maydis is a basidiomycete. This raises the possibility that the role of Mcs1 in hyphal growth is taxonspecific. Further studies on other basidiomycete fungi will be required to gain support for this notion. Interestingly, Mcs1 is crucial for the initial steps of plant infection. Ex planta, $\Delta mcs1$ hyphae grew straight (Figure 6).

Consistently, straight hyphae were found on the plant surface (Figure 9A, Δ Mcs1, 0 μ m), whereas mutant hyphae swell markedly after entering the epidermis and form large globular aggregates that are unable to invade deeper layers of the host tissue. A similar role of class V CHSs in plant pathogenicity was recently described in F. oxysporum (Madrid et al., 2003). Null mutants in ChsV are able to invade tomato plants, but the infection does not proceed, apparently because of a hypersensitivity of the mutant hyphae against early plant defense reactions, including oxidative stress and chemical attack by a phytoanticipin (Madrid et al., 2003). However, neither $\Delta mcs1$ nor $\Delta mcs1$ $\Delta chs6$ yeast-like cells exhibit a higher sensitivity to H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress. Thus, we do not find support for the idea that Mcs1 activity protects the fungal cell from plant defense. Alternatively, we consider it possible that dikaryotic hyphae modify their cell walls after invading the plant epidermis and that Mcs1 activity could become essential at this stage. In other words, $\Delta mcs1$ invading hyphae might have a weaker cell wall, which could result in the observed swellings and the inability to further invade the host. This notion is supported by a recent study on another class V CHS in the human pathogen W. dermatitidis (Liu et al., 2004). AWdCHS5 strains show reduced virulence, but this was restored by decreased osmotic stress, suggesting that defects in the integrity of the cell wall are responsible for the decreased pathogenicity of these mutants. The crucial role of Mcs1 in the morphology of hyphae in planta implies that U. maydis undergoes major cell wall rearrangements upon contact with the host plant. Interestingly, it was recently reported that in several plant pathogenic fungi, the cell wall is modified upon entry into the host tissue, and it was speculated that the de-N-acetylation of chitin is part of a defense strategy that protects the fungal cell from plant attack (Deising and Siegrist, 1995; Gueddari et al., 2002). Therefore, we consider it possible that de novo synthesis of chitin by Mcs1 participates in wall modification in early infection steps of U. maydis. It will be a fascinating future challenge to further our understanding of the morphological transition during plant invasion.

Polar Localization Correlates with Cellular Importance

Fungi typically grow at the hyphal tip, where cell expansion and cell wall synthesis occur (Gow, 1995). Therefore, it is expected

that CHSs are concentrated at the growing apex, but direct evidence for such localization in fungal hyphal tips is rare (Archer, 1977; Sietsma et al., 1996). We fused GFP to the endogenous copy of all CHSs and show here that the fusion proteins of the class IV enzymes Chs5 and Chs7, as well as the class V members Chs6 and Mcs1, are concentrated at the growing tip of yeast-like cells and hyphae, whereas Chs3 and Chs4, as well as Chs5-, Chs6-, Chs7-, and Mcs1-GFP/YFP fusion proteins localized to septa, where new cell wall is synthesized. Indeed, the GFP fusion proteins either rescued the deletion phenotype (Mcs1-GFP) or did not induce any morphological defect (Chs5-GFP, Chs6-GFP, and Chs7-GFP), suggesting that they are biologically functional. Thus, it is likely that their localization indicates the region of their activity. However, it is important to note that the polar CHSs have additional roles in other parts of the cell, as deletion of chs5, chs6, and mcs1 led to morphological alterations in the mother cell, whereas $\Delta chs7$ mutants showed aberrant chitin distribution in the mother cell and cell separation defects. This suggests that these CHSs participate in wall synthesis in the mother cell. Nevertheless, the strong concentration of CHSs at the growing tip indicates that their major function is to support polar fungal growth. Interestingly, our data also demonstrate that the polar localization correlates with high cellular importance. Class IV and class V CHSs were found at the growing tips, and these CHSs are most important for the morphology of yeast cells and hyphae as well as later stages during plant infection (Figure 10).

Conclusions

The genome of U. maydis encodes eight CHSs that are expressed during yeast-like and hyphal growth. Six CHSs are located at the septa, whereas four CHSs that belong to class IV and V are concentrated at the tip. Interestingly, the class IV CHSs are important for the morphology of yeast and hyphal cells, whereas the two class V CHSs are crucial for pathogenicity (Figure 10). The unsuccessful plant infection of $\Delta mcs1$ mutants is apparently attributable to a severe swelling of the hypha during early infection. This loss of directed hyphal growth is surprising, because $\Delta mcs1$ dikaryotic hyphae were without obvious defects. However, our results demonstrate that yeast-like cells require Chs5 for proper morphology, whereas hyphal stages were not affected by deletion of chs5. These results suggest that tip growth of yeast-like cells, dikaryotic hyphae, and hyphal growth inside the plant are supported by different CHSs. Thus, we conclude that hyphal growth inside and outside of the plant is not the same, indicating that the developmental stages of U. maydis are characterized by unrecognized molecular and structural differences.

METHODS

Strains, Plasmids, and Growth Conditions

Strains FB1 (*a1b1*), FB2 (*a2b2*), FB6a (*a2b1*), FB6b (*a1b2*), and SG200 (*a1 mfa2 bW2 bE1*) have been described (Banuett and Herskowitz, 1989; Bölker et al., 1995) (details of strain genotypes are listed in Table 1). Strain SG1 ($\Delta chs1$) and the plasmid pUC18-chs2 were obtained from J. Kronstad, and mating-compatible strains FB1 Δ Chs6 and AG2 ($\Delta chs6$) were kindly provided by J. Ruiz-Herrera. Deletion of *chs3*, *chs4*, *chs5*, *chs6*, *chs7*, and *mcs1* was done by homologous replacement of the

corresponding gene with either a bleomycin or a hygromycin resistance cassette in strains FB1, FB2, SG200, FB1AChs6, and AG2 according to described protocols (Brachmann et al., 2004; Kämper, 2004). This resulted in strains FB2AChs3, FB2AChs4, FB2AChs5, FB1AChs7, FB2AChs7, FB1AMcs1, FB2AMcs1, FB1AChs6AMcs1, IW 46 (Achs6 $\Delta mcs1$), SG200 Δ Chs5, SG200 Δ Chs6, SG200 Δ Chs7, and SG200 Δ Mcs1. The compatible deletion strains of chs1 (IW 248 and IW 249), chs2 (IW 253 and IW 254), chs3 (IW 101 and IW 102), chs4 (IW 84 and IW 85), and chs5 (IW 89) were generated by segregation analysis of teliospores after plant infection with FB1 (a1b1) and the corresponding deletion strain. The mating type was determined by monitoring filamentous growth in crossings with the wild-type strains FB1 (a1b1), FB2 (a2b2), FB6a (a2b1), and FB6b (a1b2). For the in vivo localization of CHS, the 3' end of the gene was determined by nested PCR on a \gt10 cDNA library that contained oligo(dTT)-primed 3' end sequences (Schauwecker et al., 1995). Plasmids pChs1GFP, pChs2GFP, pChs3GFP, pChs4GFP, pChs5GFP, pChs6GFP, pChs7YFP, and pMcs1YFP were constructed by fusing 500 to 800 bp of the 3' end of the corresponding gene to the N terminus of gfp or yfp flanked by a bleomycin resistance cassette. This was followed by 500 to 800 bp of the 3' untranslated region of the genes. Flanking regions were generated by PCR from genomic DNA. Successful cloning was confirmed by sequencing. These plasmids were integrated into the endogenous loci of FB2 by homologous recombination, resulting in strains FB2Chs3GFP, FB2Chs4GFP, FB2Chs5GFP, FB2Chs6GFP, FB2Chs7YFP, and FB2Mcs1YFP. pChs7YFP and pMcs1YFP have also been integrated into strain AB33, which grows filamentously in nitratecontaining medium (Brachmann et al., 2001), resulting in strains AB33Chs7YFP and AB33Mcs1YFP. Successful homologous recombination was confirmed by DNA gel blotting. Strain SG200∆Mcs1OGMcs1 was generated by ectopic integration of the plasmid pOGMcs1, containing mcs1-gfp under the control of the constitutive otef promoter. The *\(\Delta dr1\)* strains (Table 1) were generated by replacing adr1 with the nourseothricin resistance cassette. To rescue the deletion phenotype of $\Delta chs5$ strains, the plasmid pChs5 was cloned, harboring the backbone of the autonomous replication plasmid pNEBUH with the chs5 gene under the control of the native promoter, resulting in strain FB2//Chs5pChs5. Control cells contained the empty vector pNEBUH. To rescue the morphology defects of $\Delta chs7$ cells and the defects in filamentous growth of compatible $\Delta chs7$ strains, the plasmid pChs7 was cloned harboring the backbone of the autonomous replication plasmid pNEBUC with the chs7 gene under the control of the native promoter, resulting in strains FB1/2Chs7pChs7 and FB2Chs7pChs7. Control cells contained the empty vector pNEBUC. Strains were grown at 28°C in CM-G (Holliday, 1974). Solid medium contained 2% (w/v) bacto-agar. Strains were crossed on potato dextrose plates containing 1% charcoal (Holliday, 1974) and observed after 1 and 2 d. To localize Chs1GFP, Chs2GFP, Chs3GFP, Chs4GFP, Chs5GFP, and Chs6GFP in dikaryotic hyphae, the compatible strains FB2Chs1GFP, FB2Chs2GFP, FB2Chs3GFP, FB2Chs4GFP, FB2Chs5GFP, and FB2Chs6GFP were mixed with FB1 and incubated on charcoal plates for 1 to 2 d. Filamentous growth of AB33Chs7YFP and AB33Mcs1YFP was induced by shifting cells grown in CM-G to nitrate minimal medium/ 1% glucose (Holliday, 1974), followed by 8 to 12 h of growth at 28°C. To determine the growth rate, CHS deletion strains were grown overnight in CM-G and subsequently adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.5$. Fourteen milliliters of fresh medium was inoculated with 1 mL of cell suspension, and cells were cultivated for an additional 2 h at 28°C, followed by OD₆₀₀ measurements every hour. Doubling times were calculated after nonlinear regression using the program Prism (GraphPad).

DNA and RNA Procedures and Real-Time PCR

Standard molecular techniques were used. Transformation of *U. maydis* was done as described (Schulz et al., 1990). Isolation of *U. maydis* DNA was done according to published protocols (Hoffman and Winston, 1987).

RNA was isolated from the filamentously growing strain AB33 that expresses a compatible bE/bW heterodimer (a transcription factor that regulates hyphal growth) and therefore forms a dikaryotic filament (Brachmann et al., 2001). The expression data of AB33 were compared with those of the control strain AB34 that produces noncompatible b factors and therefore does not form hyphae (Brachmann et al., 2001). RNA was prepared from liquid cultures using Trizol reagents (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA gel blots were probed using radioactively labeled PCR products amplified with open reading frame-specific primers from genomic DNA. Radioactive labeling was performed with the NEBlot kit (New England Biolabs). Membranes were stained for 5 min in 200 mg/mL methylene blue in 300 mM sodium acetate and subsequently washed with water to assess equal loading and transfer. A PhosphorImager (Storm 840; Molecular Dynamics) and the program ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics) were used for visualization and quantification of radioactive signals. Real-time PCR was performed on a Roche LightCycler system (Roche). Relative gene expression levels were determined using a one-step QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR assay (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. One hundred nanograms of the RNA samples was used as a template for the reaction. Before RT-PCR assays, quantitative and qualitative analyses of the RNA samples were performed using a Bioanalyzer with an RNA 6000 Nano LabChip kit (Agilent). Cycling reactions were performed three times, and negative controls (in the absence of template) were included. First-strand synthesis was performed at 50°C for 20 min, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles for 20 s at 59 to 65°C, and extension at 70°C for 40 s. After each PCR, the specificity of the amplifications was verified and the threshold cycle above background was calculated using the Roche LightCycler software. To verify the specificity of the RT-PCR, the size of the amplicons was analyzed by high-resolution sizing of DNA fragments using a Bioanalyzer 2100 system and a LabChip DNA 100 assay (Agilent).

Phylogenetic Analysis

Alignments were made with ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997). Phylogenetic dendrograms were constructed using MEGA 2.1 (www.megasoftware.net) (Kumar et al., 2001), with the minimum evolution algorithms using 1000 bootstrap replications. The actual alignment is given in Supplemental Table 1 online. Analysis of transmembrane domains was done using Sosui (www.expasy.ch). The sequences of all CHSs were obtained from the genome sequence of *U. maydis* initially provided by Bayer CropScience and recently published by the Broad Institute (www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/ustilago_maydis/index.html). Sequences of myosin-CHS of *Phanerochaete crysogenum* were obtained from the Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/neurospora).

Drug Treatment and Pheromone Stimulation Experiment

Nikkomycin Z (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to growing cultures at a final concentration of 5 μ M. After 1 to 2 h at 28°C, cells were microscopically analyzed. Inhibitory effects on growth at 28°C for 2 d were analyzed on CM-G plates supplemented with 0.1 to 5 μ M Nikkomycin Z. For pheromone response studies, synthetic pheromone (Szabo et al., 2002) was added to 500 μ L of cell suspension (OD₆₀₀ between 0.4 and 1) in a 2-mL reaction tube and incubated at 20°C and 200 rpm for 8 or 14 h, followed by microscopic analysis.

Microscopy, Live Cell Observation, and Staining Procedures

Light microscopy was done using a Zeiss Axioplan II microscope (Zeiss). For epifluorescence, standard fluorescein isothiocyanate, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, and rhodamine filter sets were used. Digital images or sequences were captured with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (CoolSNAP HQ; Roper Scientific). Z axis imaging stacks were generated by acquiring images with 100-nm step intervals using a Cool-SNAP-HQ charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics) and the motorized stage of the Axioplan II microscope, both controlled by the imaging software MetaMorph (Universal Imaging). For observation of cells from liquid culture, logarithmically growing cells were embedded in 1% low-melt agarose and mounted on slides. Microscopic preparations were observed no longer than 10 min to prevent defects attributable to oxygen depletion. Rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin and Calcofluor staining was done as described previously (Wedlich-Söldner et al., 2000). All measurements and image processing, including adjustment of brightness, contrast and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ values, and two-dimensional deconvolution, were performed with MetaMorph (Universal Imaging) and Photoshop (Adobe). Statistical analysis by two-tailed t test at α : 0.05 was performed using Prism (GraphPad). All values are given as means \pm SD unless stated otherwise.

Plant Pathogenicity Assays and Observation of Fixed Fungal Hyphae in Plant Tissue

Plant infection was performed as described (Gillissen et al., 1992). The percentage of the maize (*Zea mays*) plants having one or more tumors that were recognized by touching was counted at 12 to 14 d after infection in an initial experiment regardless of tumor size. In a second set of experiments, a newly built greenhouse was used and plants were infected as before. Tumor development was monitored on a daily basis, and the fresh weight of tumors was determined after 14 d. Chlorazole black E staining was done as described previously (Brachmann et al., 2003). In brief, infected plant material was harvested 1 and 3 d after infection, incubated in ethanol and KOH to clear the material, and subsequently stained with chlorazole black.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers M82958 for *chs1*, M82959 for *chs2*, X87748 for *chs3*, X87749 for *chs4*, AF030553 for *chs5*, AF030554 for *chs6*, XP_761627 for *chs7*, and XP_754288 for *mcs1*.

Supplemental Data

The following material is available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Table 1. Sequence Alignment of CHSs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Petra Happel, Uta Fuchs, Isabel Manns, and Jan Heiko Lenz for technical help. Regine Kahmann is acknowledged for helpful comments on the manuscript. We also thank Jim Kronstad, Jose Ruiz-Herrera, and Michael Feldbrügge for providing strains and plasmids. Finally, we thank the anonymous referees for excellent suggestions.

Received August 25, 2005; revised October 5, 2005; accepted October 20, 2005; published November 28, 2005.

REFERENCES

Amnuaykanjanasin, A., and Epstein, L. (2003). A class V chitin synthase gene, chsA is essential for conidial and hyphal wall strength in the fungus *Colletotrichum graminicola* (*Glomerella graminicola*). Fungal Genet. Biol. **38**, 272–285.

- Archer, D.B. (1977). Chitin biosynthesis in protoplasts and subcellular fractions of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Biochem. J. **164**, 653–658.
- Aufauvre-Brown, A., Mellado, E., Gow, N.A.R., and Holden, D.W. (1997). Aspergillus fumigatus chsE: A gene related to CHS3 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and important for hyphal growth and conidiophore development but not pathogenicity. Fungal Genet. Biol. 21, 141–152.
- Banuett, F., and Herskowitz, I. (1989). Different a alleles of Ustilago maydis are necessary for maintenance of filamentous growth but not for meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 5878–5882.
- Banuett, F., and Herskowitz, I. (1996). Discrete developmental stages during teliospore formation in the corn smut fungus, *Ustilago maydis*. Development **122**, 2965–2976.
- Bölker, M., Genin, S., Lehmler, C., and Kahmann, R. (1995). Genetic regulation of mating and dimorphism in *Ustilago maydis*. Can. J. Bot. 73, 320–325.
- Borgia, P.T., Iartchouk, N., Riggle, P.J., Winter, K.R., Koltin, Y., and Bulawa, C.E. (1996). The chsB gene of *Aspergillus nidulans* is necessary for normal hyphal growth and development. Fungal Genet. Biol. **20**, 193–203.
- Brachmann, A., Konig, J., Julius, C., and Feldbrugge, M. (2004). A reverse genetic approach for generating gene replacement mutants in Ustilago maydis. Mol. Genet. Genomics 272, 216–226.
- Brachmann, A., Schirawski, J., Muller, P., and Kahmann, R. (2003). An unusual MAP kinase is required for efficient penetration of the plant surface by *Ustilago maydis*. EMBO J. **22**, 2199–2210.
- Brachmann, A., Weinzierl, G., Kämper, J., and Kahmann, R. (2001). Identification of genes in the bW/bE regulatory cascade in *Ustilago maydis*. Mol. Microbiol. 42, 1047–1063.
- Bracker, C.E., Ruiz-Herrera, J., and Bartnicki-Garcia, S. (1976). Structure and transformation of chitin synthetase particles (chitosomes) during microfibril synthesis in vitro. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 73, 4570–4574.
- **Brundett, M., Bougher, N., Dell, B., Grove, T., and Malajcuk, N.** (1996). Working with Mycorrhizas in Forestry and Agriculture. (Canberra, Australia: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research), Monograph 32.
- Cabib, E., Roh, D.-H., Schmidt, M., Crotti, L.B., and Varma, A. (2001). The yeast cell wall and septum as paradigms of cell growth and morphogenesis. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 19679–19682.
- Chavez-Ontiveros, J., Martinez-Espinoza, A.D., and Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2000). Double chitin synthetase mutants from the corn smut fungus *Ustilago maydis.* New Phytol. **146**, 335–341.
- Choquer, M., Boccara, M., Goncalves, I.R., Soulie, M.C., and Vidal-Cros, A. (2004). Survey of the *Botrytis cinerea* chitin synthase multigenic family through the analysis of six euascomycetes genomes. Eur. J. Biochem. 271, 2153–2164.
- Deising, H.B., and Siegrist, J. (1995). Chitin deacetylase activity of the rust *Uromyces viciae-fabae* is controlled by fungal morphogenesis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **127**, 207–212.
- Din, A.B., Specht, C.A., Robbins, P.W., and Yarden, O. (1996). Chs-4, a class IV chitin synthase gene from *Neurospora crassa*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 250, 214–222.
- Duran, A., Cabib, E., and Bowers, B. (1979). Chitin synthetase distribution on the yeast plasma membrane. Science 203, 363–365.
- Dürrenberger, F., Wong, K., and Kronstad, J.W. (1998). Identification of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit required for virulence and morphogenesis in *Ustilago maydis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95**, 5684–5689.
- Feldbrügge, M., Kämper, J., Steinberg, G., and Kahmann, R. (2004). Regulation of mating and pathogenic development in *Ustilago maydis*. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **7**, 666–672.

- Fujiwara, M., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A., and Takagi, M. (1997). A novel fungal gene encoding chitin synthase with a myosin motor-like domain. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 236, 75–78.
- Fujiwara, M., Ichinomiya, M., Motoyama, T., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A., and Takagi, M. (2000). Evidence that the *Aspergillus nidulans* class I and class II chitin synthase genes, *chsC* and *chsA*, share critical roles in hyphal wall integrity and conidiophore development. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) **127**, 359–366.
- Garcerá-Teruel, A., Xoconostle-Cazares, B., Rosas-Quijano, R., León-Ramírez, C., Specht, C.A., Sentandreu, R., and Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2004). Loss of virulence in Ustilago maydis mutants affected in the chitin synthase gene umchs6. Res. Microbiol. 155, 87–97.
- Garcia-Muse, T., Steinberg, G., and Perez-Martin, J. (2003). Pheromoneinduced G2 arrest in the phytopathogenic fungus Ustilago maydis. Eukaryot. Cell 2, 494–500.
- Gaughran, J.P., Lai, M.H., Kirsch, D.R., and Silverman, S.J. (1994). Nikkomycin Z is a specific inhibitor of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chitin synthase isozyme Chs3 in vitro and in vivo. J. Bacteriol. **176**, 5857–5860.
- Gillissen, B., Bergemann, J., Sandmann, C., Schröer, B., Bölker, M., and Kahmann, R. (1992). A two-component regulatory system for self/non-self recognition in *Ustilago maydis*. Cell 68, 647–657.
- Gold, S., and Kronstad, J. (1994). Disruption of two genes for chitin synthase in the phytopathogenic fungus *Ustilago maydis*. Mol. Microbiol. **11**, 897–902.
- Gow, N.A.R. (1995). Tip growth and polarity. In The Growing Fungus, N.A.R. Gow and G.M. Gadd, eds (London: Chapman & Hall), pp. 277–299.
- Gueddari, N.E.E., Rauchhaus, U., Moerschbacher, B.M., and Deising,
 H.B. (2002). Developmentally regulated conversion of surfaceexposed chitin to chitosan in cell walls of plant pathogenic fungi.
 New Phytol. 156, 103–112.
- Harold, F.M. (2002). Force and compliance: Rethinking morphogenesis in walled cells. Fungal Genet. Biol. **37**, 271–282.
- Hoffman, C.S., and Winston, F. (1987). A ten-minute DNA preparation from yeast efficiently releases autonomous plasmids for transformation of *Escherichia coli*. Gene **57**, 267–272.
- Holliday, R. (1974). Ustilago maydis. In Handbook of Genetics, R.C. King, ed (New York: Plenum Press), pp. 575–595.
- Horiuchi, H., Fujiwara, M., Yamashita, S., Ohta, A., and Takagi, M. (1999). Proliferation of intrahyphal hyphae caused by disruption of *csmA*, which encodes a class V chitin synthase with a myosin motorlike domain in *Aspergillus nidulans*. J. Bacteriol. **181**, 3721–3729.
- Ichinomiya, M., Horiuchi, H., and Ohta, A. (2002). Different functions of the class I and class II chitin synthase genes, chsC and chsA, are revealed by repression of chsB expression in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Curr. Genet. 42, 51–58.
- Kämper, J. (2004). A PCR-based system for highly efficient generation of gene replacement mutants in *Ustilago maydis*. Mol. Genet. Genomics 271, 103–110.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I.B., and Nei, M. (2001). MEGA2: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software. Bioinformatics 17, 1244–1245.
- Leal-Morales, C.A., Bracker, C.E., and Bartnicki-Garcia, S. (1988). Localization of chitin synthetase in cell-free homogenates of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Chitosomes and plasma membrane. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 8516–8520.
- Leal-Morales, C.A., Bracker, C.E., and Bartnicki-Garcia, S. (1994). Subcellular localization, abundance and stability of chitin synthetases 1 and 2 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiology **140**, 2207–2216.
- Lee, J.I., Choi, J.H., Park, B.C., Park, Y.H., Lee, M.Y., Park, H.M., and Maeng, P.J. (2004). Differential expression of the chitin synthase

genes of *Aspergillus nidulans*, chsA, chsB, and chsC, in response to developmental status and environmental factors. Fungal Genet. Biol. **41**, 635–646.

- Liu, H., Kauffman, S., Becker, J.M., and Szaniszlo, P.J. (2004). Wangiella (Exophiala) dermatitidis WdChs5p, a class V chitin synthase, is essential for sustained cell growth at temperature of infection. Eukaryot. Cell **3**, 40–51.
- Madrid, M.P., Di Pietro, A., and Roncero, M.I. (2003). Class V chitin synthase determines pathogenesis in the vascular wilt fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* and mediates resistance to plant defence compounds. Mol. Microbiol. 47, 257–266.
- Martinez, J.P., and Gozalbo, D. (1994). Chitin synthetases in *Candida albicans*: A review on their subcellular distribution and biological function. Microbiologia **10**, 239–248.
- Matsuo, Y., Tanaka, K., Nakagawa, T., Matsuda, H., and Kawamukai,
 M. (2004). Genetic analysis of *chs1*⁺ and *chs2*⁺ encoding chitin synthases from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 68, 1489–1499.
- Mio, T., Yabe, T., Sudoh, M., Satoh, Y., Nakajima, T., Arisawa, M., and Yamada-Okabe, H. (1996). Role of three chitin synthase genes in the growth of *Candida albicans*. J. Bacteriol. **178**, 2416–2419.
- Miyazaki, A., and Ootaki, T. (1997). Multiple genes for chitin synthase in the zygomycete fungus *Phycomyces blakesleeanus*. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 43, 333–340.
- Motoyama, T., Fujiwara, M., Kojima, N., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A., and Takagi, M. (1996). The *Aspergillus nidulans* genes *chsA* and *chsD* encode chitin synthases which have redundant functions in conidia formation. Mol. Gen. Genet. **251**, 442–450.
- Motoyama, T., Kojima, N., Horiuchi, H., Ohta, A., and Takagi, M. (1994). Isolation of a chitin synthase gene (*chsC*) of *Aspergillus nidulans*. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. **58**, 2254–2257.
- Muller, C., Hjort, C.M., Hansen, K., and Nielsen, J. (2002). Altering the expression of two chitin synthase genes differentially affects the growth and morphology of *Aspergillus oryzae*. Microbiology **148**, 4025–4033.
- Munro, C.A., and Gow, N.A. (2001). Chitin synthesis in human pathogenic fungi. Med. Mycol. 39 (suppl. 1), 41–53.
- Munro, C.A., Whitton, R.K., Hughes, H.B., Rella, M., Selvaggini, S., and Gow, N.A. (2003). CHS8–A fourth chitin synthase gene of *Candida albicans* contributes to in vitro chitin synthase activity, but is dispensable for growth. Fungal Genet. Biol. 40, 146–158.
- Munro, C.A., Winter, K., Buchan, A., Henry, K., Becker, J.M., Brown, A.J., Bulawa, C.E., and Gow, N.A. (2001). Chs1 of *Candida albicans* is an essential chitin synthase required for synthesis of the septum and for cell integrity. Mol. Microbiol. **39**, 1414–1426.
- Nagahashi, S., Sudoh, M., Ono, N., Sawada, R., Yamaguchi, E., Uchida, Y., Mio, T., Takagi, M., Arisawa, M., and Yamada-Okabe,
 H. (1995). Characterization of chitin synthase 2 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 13961–13967.
- Nagata, Y., and Burger, M.M. (1974). Wheat germ agglutinin. Molecular characteristics and specificity for sugar binding. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 3116–3122.
- Nino-Vega, G.A., Carrero, L., and San-Blas, G. (2004). Isolation of the CHS4 gene of *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* and its accommodation in a new class of chitin synthases. Med. Mycol. **42**, 51–57.
- Roncero, C. (2002). The genetic complexity of chitin synthesis in fungi. Curr. Genet. 41, 367–378.
- Ruiz-Herrera, J., Gonzalez-Prieto, J.M., and Ruiz-Medrano, R. (2002). Evolution and phylogenetic relationships of chitin synthases from yeasts and fungi. FEMS Yeast Res. 1, 247–256.

- Schauwecker, F., Wanner, G., and Kahmann, R. (1995). Filamentspecific expression of a cellulase gene in the dimorphic fungus Ustilago maydis. Biol. Chem. Hoppe Seyler 376, 617–625.
- Schulz, B., Banuett, F., Dahl, M., Schlesinger, R., Schafer, W., Martin, T., Herskowitz, I., and Kahmann, R. (1990). The *b* alleles of *U. maydis*, whose combinations program pathogenic development, code for polypeptides containing a homeodomain-related motif. Cell **60**, 295–306.
- Shaw, J.A., Mol, P.C., Bowers, B., Silverman, S.J., Valdivieso, M.H., Duran, A., and Cabib, E. (1991). The function of chitin synthases 2 and 3 in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell cycle. J. Cell Biol. 114, 111–123.
- Sietsma, J.H., Beth Din, A., Ziv, V., Sjollema, K.A., and Yarden, O. (1996). The localization of chitin synthase in membranous vesicles (chitosomes) in *Neurospora crassa*. Microbiology **142**, 1591–1596.
- Specht, C.A., Liu, Y., Robbins, P.W., Bulawa, C.E., lartchouk, N., Winter, K.R., Riggle, P.J., Rhodes, J.C., Dodge, C.L., Culp, D.W., and Borgia, P.T. (1996). The *chsD* and *chsE* genes of *Aspergillus nidulans* and their roles in chitin synthesis. Fungal Genet. Biol. 20, 153–167.
- Steinberg, G., Schliwa, M., Lehmler, C., Bölker, M., Kahmann, R., and McIntosh, J.R. (1998). Kinesin from the plant pathogenic fungus Ustilago maydis is involved in vacuole formation and cytoplasmic migration. J. Cell Sci. 111, 2235–2246.
- Sudbery, P., Gow, N., and Berman, J. (2004). The distinct morphogenic states of *Candida albicans*. Trends Microbiol. **12**, 317–324.
- Szabo, Z., Tonnis, M., Kessler, H., and Feldbrugge, M. (2002). Structure-function analysis of lipopeptide pheromones from the plant pathogen Ustilago maydis. Mol. Genet. Genomics 268, 362–370.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D.G. (1997). The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. **25**, 4876–4882.
- Wang, Z., Zheng, L., Liu, H., Wang, Q., Hauser, M., Kauffman, S., Becker, J.M., and Szaniszlo, P.J. (2001). WdChs2p, a class I chitin synthase, together with WdChs3p (class III) contributes to virulence in Wangiella (Exophiala) dermatitidis. Infect. Immun. 69, 7517–7526.
- Weber, I., Gruber, C., and Steinberg, G. (2003). A class-V myosin required for mating, hyphal growth, and pathogenicity in the dimorphic plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. Plant Cell **15**, 2826–2842.
- Wedlich-Söldner, R., Bolker, M., Kahmann, R., and Steinberg, G. (2000). A putative endosomal t-SNARE links exo- and endocytosis in the phytopathogenic fungus *Ustilago maydis*. EMBO J. **19**, 1974–1986.
- Weinzierl, G. (2001). Isolierung und Charakterisierung der b-vermittelten Regulationskaskade in *Ustilago maydis.* PhD dissertation (Marburg, Germany: Philipps-Universität).
- Xoconostle-Cazares, B., Leon-Ramirez, C., and Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1996). Two chitin synthase genes from *Ustilago maydis*. Microbiology **142**, 377–387.
- Xoconostle-Cazares, B., Specht, C.A., Robbins, P.W., Liu, Y., Leon, C., and Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1997). Umchs5,a gene coding for a class IV chitin synthase in *Ustilago maydis*. Fungal Genet. Biol. 22, 199–208.
- Yamada, E., Ichinomiya, M., Ohta, A., and Horiuchi, H. (2005). The class V synthase gene *csmA* is crucial for growth of the *chsA chsC* double mutant in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 69, 87–97.