

Polynomial Averages in the Kontsevich Model

P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson, and J.-B. Zuber

Service de Physique Théorique de Saclay*, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France

Received June 22, 1992

Abstract. We obtain in closed form averages of polynomials, taken over hermitian matrices with the Gaussian measure involved in the Kontsevich integral, and prove a conjecture of Witten enabling one to express analogous averages with the full (cubic potential) measure, as derivatives of the partition function with respect to traces of inverse odd powers of the external argument. The proofs are based on elementary algebraic identities involving a new set of invariant polynomials of the linear group, closely related to the general Schur functions.

1. Introduction

In their papers on intersection theory on moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, Witten [1] and Kontsevich [2] discussed certain identities on matrix integrals. We provide here algebraic proofs for these statements which read as follows.

Let X, Y, Λ, \dots denote $N \times N$ hermitian matrices. For Λ positive definite, hence Λ^{-1} well defined, introduce the measure

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_{\Lambda}^{(N)}(Y) &= (2\pi)^{-N^2/2} \prod_{i=1}^N dY_{ii} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} d\operatorname{Re} Y_{ij} d\operatorname{Im} Y_{ij} \exp -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \Lambda Y^2 \\ &= dY \exp -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \Lambda Y^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Proposition (K). *For any polynomial P in the traces of odd powers of Y (“odd traces” for short), there exists a polynomial Q in odd traces of Λ^{-1} , such that, independently of N large enough*

$$\langle P \rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}) = \frac{\int d\mu_{\Lambda}^{(N)}(Y) P(Y)}{\int d\mu_{\Lambda}^{(N)}(Y)} = Q(\Lambda^{-1}). \tag{1.2}$$

* Laboratoire de la Direction des Sciences et de la Matière du Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique

In Sect. 2 we exhibit more precisely the surjective map $K : P \rightarrow Q$ (Proposition (K')) which turns out to be defined over \mathbb{Q} , the rationals, and obtain its kernel. Upon applying Wick's theorem to the computation of $\langle P \rangle$, i.e. performing a "fat graph" expansion [1,2], the proposition amounts to intricate algebraic identities, since each graph contributes a symmetric rational function of the eigenvalues of Λ . In a previous paper [3], two of the authors proved what amounts to a special case of this conjecture (P was a polynomial in $\text{tr} Y^3$ only) by a detailed but painful analysis, which admitted however a generalization to more general integrals not considered here.

It follows from (K) that the integral

$$\Xi_N(\Lambda^{-1}) = \frac{\int d\mu_\Lambda^{(N)}(Y) \exp \frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3}{\int d\mu_\Lambda^{(N)}(Y)} = \left\langle \exp \frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 \right\rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}) \quad (1.3)$$

admits as $N \rightarrow \infty$ an asymptotic expansion $\Xi(\theta)$, each term of which is a polynomial in the normalized odd traces

$$\theta_{2k+1} = -\frac{2}{2k+1} \text{tr} \Lambda^{-2k-1} \quad (1.4)$$

which become independent variables. For a more accurate definition see [3]. Similarly for P a polynomial in the odd traces of Y , the quantity

$$\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle_{(N)} = \langle P(Y) \exp \frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 \rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}) \quad (1.5)$$

admits an asymptotic expansion $\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle(\theta)$, each term of which is independent of N for N large enough. We then have the second proposition conjectured by Witten and discussed in Sect. 3.

Proposition (W). *For each P as above there exists a polynomial R in the derivatives $\partial_\theta \equiv \{\partial_{\theta_1}, \partial_{\theta_3}, \dots\}$ such that*

$$\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle(\theta) = R(\partial_\theta) \Xi(\theta). \quad (1.6)$$

The invertible mapping $P \leftrightarrow R$, defined over \mathbb{Q} , is given explicitly by Proposition (W').

The idea underlying these proofs is simple enough as it reduces to compare calculations for matrices of size differing by a finite amount. To make this rigorous, we have to follow an indirect path which unfortunately tends to obscure the proofs in a maze of cumbersome notations. On our way we are led to introduce a set of polynomials denoted $f_+(\theta)$, in infinitely many variables $\theta \equiv \{\theta_1, \theta_3, \dots, \theta_{2k+1}, \dots\}$, closely related to the generalized Schur functions, in terms of which our results are most simply expressed [see Eqs. (2.18) and (2.27)]. We tabulate the first few f_+ 's as well as some expressions obtained in the text (Tables 1–4).

The reader might rightly wonder about the meaning of such results. The answer is that both (K) and (W) enable one to construct general "observables," or topological invariants, in the combinatorial treatment of moduli spaces [1,2].

This work was prompted by questions raised by E. Witten and M. Kontsevich [4].

2. Proof of Proposition (K)

2.1 Preparation

For X a generic $N \times N$ hermitian matrix with eigenvalues x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , and u a complex variable, the rational function

$$F_1(u; X) = \det \frac{1 - uX}{1 + uX} \tag{2.1}$$

admits for u in the disk $D(X) \equiv \left\{ |u| < \frac{1}{\sup_i |x_i|} \right\}$ a convergent power series

$$F_1(u; X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u^k p_k(X). \tag{2.2}$$

Each p_k is a polynomial in the odd traces of X , normalized in this section as

$$\theta_{2n+1}(X) = -2 \frac{\text{tr } X^{2n+1}}{2n+1}, \tag{2.3}$$

homogeneous of degree k , by assigning degree $2n+1$ to θ_{2n+1} , as follows from identifying

$$F_1(u; X) = \exp \left(-2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{2n+1} \text{tr} \frac{X^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u^k p_k(X). \tag{2.4}$$

Considered as a polynomial in the infinitely many variables θ , we therefore have

$$p_k(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{\nu_j \geq 0, j \text{ odd} \\ k = \nu_1 + 3\nu_3 + \dots}} \prod_{j=1,3,\dots} \frac{\theta_j^{\nu_j}}{\nu_j!}. \tag{2.5}$$

By abuse of language we will call these functions Schur polynomials, although they are obtained from the standard ones by setting all the even variables θ_{2j} to zero. We also extend the standard definition by setting $p_k = 0$ for $k < 0$.

More generally, for k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n non-negative integers

$$\text{ch}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{k_1-n+1} & p_{k_1-n+2} & \dots & p_{k_1} \\ p_{k_2-n+1} & p_{k_2-n+2} & \dots & p_{k_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{k_n-n+1} & p_{k_n-n+2} & \dots & p_{k_n} \end{vmatrix} (\theta) \tag{2.6}$$

is a polynomial in the odd traces θ , antisymmetric in its indices, of degree

$$d_{\{k\}} = \sum_{r=1}^n k_r - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \tag{2.7}$$

which has to be non-negative for ch to be non-vanishing (observe that $\text{ch}_{k_1, \dots, k_n, 0} = \text{ch}_{k_1-1, \dots, k_n-1}$). Again we extend the definition by requiring ch to vanish if any of

its indices is negative. Expressed in terms of θ_i 's, ch_\cdot is akin to an ordinary character of the linear group when we let the even θ 's vanish.¹

We introduce the following generating function

$$F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X) = \phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \det \frac{1 - u_i X}{1 + u_i X}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j}; \quad \phi_1 = \phi_0 = 1$$

such that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (u_i + u_j) F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} |u^{k_1}, \dots, u^{k_n}| \text{ch}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(X), \tag{2.9}$$

where the short-hand notation stands for

$$|u^{k_1}, \dots, u^{k_n}| = \begin{vmatrix} u_1^{k_1} & \dots & u_1^{k_n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ u_n^{k_1} & \dots & u_n^{k_n} \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.10}$$

and $F_0 = 1, F_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. We can then think of F_n as a function antisymmetric in the n variables u_i and of infinitely many variables θ_i , by substituting for ch_\cdot in the series expansion its expression as a polynomial in the odd θ_i 's. The following enables us to replace in the statement of Proposition (K) any polynomial P in the θ_i 's by a polynomial in the $\text{ch}_\cdot(\theta)$.

Lemma 1. *Any homogeneous polynomial in the θ_i 's admits an expansion in terms of ch_\cdot 's of the same degree with ordered positive indices.*

Indeed if to $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_n$ we let correspond the Young tableau T with $k_1 - n + 1$ boxes in the first row, $k_2 - n + 2$ in the second ..., the standard Frobenius duality relation takes in our case the following form:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} ((2j+1)\theta_{2j+1})^{\nu_{2j+1}} = \sum_{T; |T| = \sum_j (2j+1)\nu_{2j+1}} \chi_T([1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots]) \text{ch}_T(\theta). \tag{2.11}$$

So on the one hand the definition (2.6) of ch_\cdot yields its expression in terms of θ 's through Schur polynomials, and on the other hand the above relation, where $\chi_T([1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots])$ denotes the character of the symmetric group on $|T|$ symbols evaluated on the corresponding class (involving only odd cycles), yields reciprocally an expression of any monomial in the θ 's as combination of ch_\cdot 's. We remark that all coefficients involved are rational since χ_T takes only integer values.²

¹ Of course for the standard characters one sets $\theta_n = \text{tr} \frac{X^n}{n}$ for any positive n

² The lemma does not imply that the $\text{ch}_\cdot(\theta)$ are linearly independent for if \tilde{T} is the Young tableau dual to T , $\text{ch}_{\tilde{T}}(\theta) = \text{ch}_T(\theta)$ as a consequence of the fact that χ_T and $\chi_{\tilde{T}}$, which differ only by the signature of the permutation, are equal on classes involving only odd cycles, the latter corresponding to even permutations. Unfortunately, these are not the only relations on the $\text{ch}_T(\theta)$ which form an overcomplete system of generators. For a better choice, see below

To understand the origin of the property expressed by (K), consider the Gaussian integral

$$Z_N(\Lambda) = \int d\mu_\Lambda^{(N)}(Y) = \frac{1}{(\det \Lambda)^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ are the positive eigenvalues of Λ and we use the positive square root of $\det \Lambda$. After performing the ‘‘angular’’ average over the argument Y [5], this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_N(\Lambda) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) Z_N(\Lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\det \Lambda)^{1/2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{N(N-1)/2}}{N!} \int \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{dy_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \det[e^{-\frac{\lambda_l y_m^2}{2}}]_{1 \leq l, m \leq N} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{y_i - y_j}{y_i + y_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

The integral over the eigenvalues y_i of Y is well defined since for $i \neq j$ as $y_i + y_j \rightarrow 0$ the determinant in the numerator vanishes, whereas the exponential factors (for $\Lambda > 0$) ensure convergence at infinity. Both sides are antisymmetric in the λ 's and up to factors we see that the (antisymmetric) Gaussian transform of $\prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)/(y_i + y_j)$ is a similar expression in the λ^{-1} 's.

This suggests to compare Z_{N+n} to Z_n with an argument of the form $\Lambda' \oplus \Lambda$, where Λ' is an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n$ and Λ as above. We can also split the integration variable $Y' \oplus Y$ in the diagonal form occurring in (2.13). We integrate separately over Y and Y' to get averages over functions F_n of the argument Y in terms of similar functions of Λ^{-1} . Both admit expansions in odd traces of Λ'^{-1} and are at the origin on the property expressed in Proposition (K).

For analytic reasons it is however difficult to carry out this program directly. Therefore we take an indirect route based on the same idea which can be summarized as follows.

We have first traded polynomials in odd traces (of Y or Λ^{-1}) for linear combinations of $\text{ch}_T(\theta)$. In a second step we will substitute for $\text{ch}_T(\theta)$ an equivalent complete set denoted $f_s(\theta)$ indexed by positive integers, also antisymmetric in its indices, and shall prove the main result of this section ($(-1)!! = 1$)

Proposition (K'). *Any polynomial in odd traces admits a unique expansion in terms of f_s 's and vice versa. Moreover, independently of N large enough*

$$\langle f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{if at least one of the } k_i \text{ is odd} \tag{2.14a}$$

$$\langle f_{2k_1, \dots, 2k_n} \rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}) = \prod_{s=1}^n (2k_s - 1)! (-1)^{k_s} f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta_s(\Lambda^{-1})) \tag{2.14b}$$

We have therefore (i) to define the f 's (ii) to show their equivalence with the ch 's and linear independence (iii) to obtain the integrals (2.14a–b). Completing these three steps will prove the proposition.

2.2 Definition of the $f(\theta)$

For X hermitian $N \times N$, let x_1, \dots, x_N be its eigenvalues assumed all distinct. We define X_a as hermitian $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$, with eigenvalue x_a omitted, similarly for $X_{a_1, a_2, \dots}$.

As a meromorphic function in the variable u_i^{-1} , $F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X)$ defined in (2.8) can be expanded as a sum over its simple poles plus a contribution at infinity (arguments with a hat are to be omitted)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X) &= (-1)^{n-1} F_{n-1}(\hat{u}_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; X) \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{u_1 x_a}{1 + u_1 x_a} \frac{F_{n-1}(\hat{u}_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; X_a)}{F_1(x_a^{-1}; X_a)} \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=2}^n (-1)^l \frac{u_1}{u_1 + u_l} F_{n-2}(\hat{u}_1, u_2, \dots, \hat{u}_l, \dots, u_n; X). \tag{2.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Upon iteration, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-2)^r \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} \phi_{n-r}(u_1, \dots, \hat{u}_{i_1}, \dots, \hat{u}_{i_r}, \dots, u_n) (-1)^{\mathcal{P}\{i\}} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{1 \leq a_1 < \dots, a_r \leq N} \frac{(-1)^{r(r-1)/2}}{F_r(x_{a_1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{a_r}^{-1}; X_{a_1, \dots, a_r})} \det \left[\frac{u_{i_s} x_{a_t}}{1 + u_{i_s} x_{a_t}} \right]_{1 \leq s, t \leq r}. \tag{2.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $(-1)^{\mathcal{P}\{i\}}$ is the signature of the permutation $(1, \dots, n) \rightarrow (i_1, \dots, i_r, 1, \dots, \hat{i}_1, \dots, \hat{i}_r, \dots, n)$ and ϕ is defined in (2.8). The first term ($r = 0$) on the right-hand side is $\phi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(-1)^{r(r-1)/2}}{F_r(x_{a_1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{a_r}^{-1}; X_{a_1, \dots, a_r})} \\
 &= \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq r} \frac{x_{a_s} + x_{a_t}}{x_{a_s} - x_{a_t}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq r \\ l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_r, \dots, N\}}} \frac{x_{a_s} + x_l}{x_{a_s} - x_l}. \tag{2.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $n, k_i > 0$ define

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(X) = 2^n (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_n} \sum_{1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_n \leq N} \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{F_n(x_{a_1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{a_n}^{-1}; X_{a_1, \dots, a_n})} \det[x_{a_s}^{k_t}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n} \quad (2.18)$$

and extend this definition to $n = 0$ by setting $f(X) = 1$. These functions (antisymmetric in k_1, \dots, k_n) appear at first as rational symmetric functions of X . We shall soon see that they are in fact polynomials in $\theta(X)$ and thus still well defined when some eigenvalues coincide. Let us insist on the fact that we assume all indices positive, otherwise let $f = 0$. We can now compare the two expansions of $\prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j) F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X)$ on the polydisk $u_i \in D(X)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} |u^{k_1} \dots u^{k_n}| \text{ch}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(X)) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (u_i - u_j) + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r > 0} f_{k_1, \dots, k_r}(X) \\ & \times \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} (-1)^{\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (u_i + \epsilon_{ij} u_j) \begin{vmatrix} u_{i_1}^{k_1} & \dots & u_{i_1}^{k_r} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ u_{i_r}^{k_1} & \dots & u_{i_r}^{k_r} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (2.19) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, \hat{i}_1, \dots, \hat{i}_r, \dots, n\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

We need a few extra notations. Let the generalized antisymmetric Kronecker symbol be

$$\delta_{k_1, \dots, k_r; m_1, \dots, m_r} = \det[\delta_{k_i, m_j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \quad (2.21)$$

and define a shift operator by

$$g_m \rightarrow (P^l g)_m \equiv g_{m+l}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.22)$$

Finally we write $I \cup J$ for a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into two disjoint ordered sets $I \equiv \{i_1, \dots, i_{|I|}\}$ and $J \equiv \{j_1, \dots, j_{|J|}\}$, $|I| + |J| = n$, and denote by $(-1)^{\mathcal{A}_{I, J}}$ the signature of the permutation $(1, \dots, n) \rightarrow (i_1, \dots, i_{|I|}, j_1, \dots, j_{|J|})$.

Identifying the antisymmetric coefficient of $|u^{k_1} \dots u^{k_n}|$ in the previous equality yields the desired linear relation between ch 's and f 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(X)) &= \sum_{I \cup J} (-1)^{\mathcal{A}_{I, J}} \left\{ \prod_{r < s \in I} (P_{k_r}^{-1} + P_{k_s}^{-1}) \prod_{i \in I; j \in J} (P_{k_i}^{-1} + P_{k_j}^{-1}) \right\} \\ & \times f_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}}}(X) \delta_{k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_{|J|}}; |J|-1, |J|-2, \dots, 0}. \quad (2.23) \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly for $n = 1, 2, 3$ this reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ch}_k(X) &= p_k(X) = \delta_{k,0} + f_k(X), \\
 \text{ch}_{k_1, k_2}(X) &= \delta_{k_1, k_2; 1, 0} + f_{k_1-1}(X)\delta_{k_2, 0} + f_{k_1}(X)\delta_{k_2, 1} \\
 &\quad - f_{k_2-1}(X)\delta_{k_1, 0} - f_{k_2}(X)\delta_{k_1, 1} + f_{k_1-1, k_2}(X) + f_{k_1, k_2-1}(X), \\
 \text{ch}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}(X) &= \delta_{k_1, k_2, k_3; 2, 1, 0} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\text{cycl.}} (f_{k_1-2}(X)\delta_{k_2, k_3; 1, 0} + f_{k_1-1}(X)\delta_{k_2, k_3; 2, 0} + f_{k_1}(X)\delta_{k_2, k_3; 2, 1}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\text{cycl.}} ([f_{k_1-1, k_2}(X) + f_{k_1, k_2-1}(X)]\delta_{k_3, 2} \\
 &\quad + [f_{k_1-2, k_2-1}(X) + f_{k_1-1, k_2-2}(X)]\delta_{k_3, 0} \\
 &\quad + [f_{k_1-2, k_2}(X) + 2f_{k_1-1, k_2-1}(X) + f_{k_1, k_2-2}(X)]\delta_{k_3, 1}) \\
 &\quad + f_{k_1-2, k_2-1, k_3}(X) + f_{k_1-2, k_2, k_3-1}(X) \\
 &\quad + f_{k_1, k_2-2, k_3-1}(X) + f_{k_1-1, k_2-2, k_3}(X) \\
 &\quad + f_{k_1-2, k_2, k_3-1}(X) + f_{k_1, k_2-1, k_3-2}(X) + 2f_{k_1-1, k_2-1, k_3-1}(X).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

While k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n are non-negative on the l.h.s. of (2.23), we recall once more that on the right-hand side any f with a negative or zero index is set equal to zero.³

We can rewrite (2.23) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{ch}_{k_1+n-1, k_2+n-2, \dots, k_n}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{I \cup J} (-1)^{\mathcal{P}_{I, J}} \left\{ \prod_{r < s \in I} (1 + P_{k_r} P_{k_s}^{-1}) \prod_{i \in I; j \in J} (1 + P_{k_i} P_{k_j}^{-1}) \times \prod_{p < q \in J} P_{k_p} \right\} \\
 &\quad \times f_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}}}(X) \delta_{k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_{|J|}}; |J|-1, \dots, 0}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

The operator $(1 + Q)$, $Q = P_k P_l^{-1}$ admits as formal inverse $(1 + Q)^{-1} = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-Q)^r$ or $-\sum_{r \leq -1} (-Q)^r$. When acting on both sides of (2.25), either form yields equal finite sums as the reader will check. We can therefore invert (2.25) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + P_{k_i} P_{k_j}^{-1})^{-1} \text{ch}_{k_1+n-1, \dots, k_n}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{I \cup J} f_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}}}(X) \prod_{r < s \in J} P_{k_r} (1 + P_{k_r} P_{k_s}^{-1})^{-1} \delta_{k_{j_1}, \dots, k_{j_{|J|}}; |J|-1, \dots, 0}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

For $k_1, \dots, k_n > 0$ the only non-vanishing contribution on the r.h.s. of (2.26) corresponds to $J = \emptyset$. Indeed when $J \neq \emptyset$, the antisymmetric Kronecker symbols

³ Equation (2.24) suggests a way of extending the definition of f 's to zero and negative indices. For instance we could have defined $\varphi_m = f_m$ for $m \geq 1$, and $\varphi_0 = 1$ to get $\text{ch}_k = \varphi_k = p_k$ for all $k \geq 0$. In the more interesting case with two indices, one can extend $\varphi_{k_1, k_2} = f_{k_1, k_2}$ for $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$, to $\varphi_{k_1, 0} = f_{k_1}$ for $k_1 \geq 1$, $\varphi_{0, k_2} = -f_{k_2}$ for $k_2 \geq 1$, $\varphi_{0, 0} = 1$, and finally $\varphi_{-1, 1} = -2$, in order to get $\text{ch}_{k_1, k_2} = \varphi_{k_1-1, k_2} + \varphi_{k_1, k_2-1}$ for all $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$. For a general expression for φ see Appendix A

For $k_1, \dots, k_n > 0$ the only non-vanishing contribution on the r.h.s. of (2.26) corresponds to $J = \emptyset$. Indeed when $J \neq \emptyset$, the antisymmetric Kronecker symbols always contain the constraint that at least one of the k_i be zero or negative. Consequently, with \mathbf{r} an antisymmetric \mathbb{Z} -valued $n \times n$ matrix, if we mean by $\mathbf{r} \geq 0$ the conditions $r_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i < j$, we find the inversion formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{\mathbf{r} \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_{i < j} r_{ij}} \text{ch}_{k_1+n-1+\sum_j r_{1j}, k_2+n-2+\sum_j r_{2j}, \dots, k_n+\sum_j r_{nj}}(X).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.27}$$

The sums over the r 's on the r.h.s. are finite, since $\text{ch}_\cdot(X)$ vanishes whenever $k_p + n - p + \sum_j r_{pj} < 0$. We see that f_\cdot is indeed a polynomial in $\theta_\cdot(X)$ and since no reference is made to the size of the matrix X , the two inverse formulas (2.23), (2.26) can be thought of as relating two families of polynomials in infinitely many variables $\theta_1, \theta_3, \dots$. Furthermore,

$$\text{deg } f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta_\cdot) = \sum_{s=1}^n k_s
 \tag{2.28}$$

we have therefore

Lemma 2. *Any polynomial in $\theta_1, \theta_3, \dots$ admits a unique expansion in terms of $f \equiv 1, f_k, k > 0, f_{k_1, k_2}, k_1 > k_2 > 0, \dots$*

Uniqueness is a consequence of a dimensional argument. The dimension of the vector space of polynomials of degree $n > 0$ in $\theta_1, \theta_3, \dots$ is equal to the number of partitions of n in odd integers, while the dimension of the linear span of f_\cdot 's such that $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_r > 0, \sum_{1 \leq s \leq r} k_s = n, r > 0$, is the number of partitions of n into unequal parts. The two are equal by virtue of Euler's identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{n>0} (1 + q^n) &= \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{2n+1})} \\
 &= 1 + q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^4 + 3q^5 + 4q^6 + 5q^7 + 6q^8 + \dots,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.29}$$

and of course all coefficients in f_\cdot 's are again rational.

The reader will find in Appendix A some relations enabling to compute f_\cdot 's efficiently. We illustrate the change of basis from f_\cdot 's to monomials in θ_\cdot in Tables

1 and 2, where we use the notation $\theta_{[1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots]}$ for the monomial $\frac{\theta_1^{\nu_1}}{\nu_1!} \frac{\theta_3^{\nu_3}}{\nu_3!} \dots$

Table 1. The f polynomials up to degree 8. The notations $\theta_{[1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots (2k+1)^{\nu_{2k+1}} \dots]}$ is a shorthand for $\frac{\theta_1^{\nu_1} \theta_3^{\nu_3} \dots \theta_{2k+1}^{\nu_{2k+1}}}{\nu_1! \nu_3! \dots \nu_{2k+1}!} \dots$

f_1	$= \theta_{[1^1]}$
f_2	$= \theta_{[1^2]}$
f_3	$= \theta_{[1^3]} + \theta_{[3^1]}$
$f_{2,1}$	$= \theta_{[1^3]} - 2\theta_{[3^1]}$
f_4	$= \theta_{[1^4]} + \theta_{[1^1 3^1]}$
$f_{3,1}$	$= 2\theta_{[1^4]} - \theta_{[1^1 3^1]}$
f_5	$= \theta_{[1^5]} + \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} + \theta_{[5^1]}$
$f_{4,1}$	$= 3\theta_{[1^5]} - 2\theta_{[5^1]}$
$f_{3,2}$	$= 2\theta_{[1^5]} - \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[5^1]}$
f_6	$= \theta_{[1^6]} + \theta_{[1^3 3^1]} + \theta_{[1^1 5^1]} + \theta_{[3^2]}$
$f_{5,1}$	$= 4\theta_{[1^6]} + \theta_{[1^3 3^1]} - \theta_{[1^1 5^1]} - 2\theta_{[3^2]}$
$f_{4,2}$	$= 5\theta_{[1^6]} - \theta_{[1^3 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[3^2]}$
$f_{3,2,1}$	$= 2\theta_{[1^6]} - \theta_{[1^3 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[1^1 5^1]} - 4\theta_{[3^2]}$
f_7	$= \theta_{[1^7]} + \theta_{[1^4 3^1]} + \theta_{[1^2 5^1]} + \theta_{[1^1 3^2]} + \theta_{[7^1]}$
$f_{6,1}$	$= 5\theta_{[1^7]} + 2\theta_{[1^4 3^1]} - \theta_{[1^1 3^2]} - 2\theta_{[7^1]}$
$f_{5,2}$	$= 9\theta_{[1^7]} - \theta_{[1^2 5^1]} + 2\theta_{[7^1]}$
$f_{4,3}$	$= 5\theta_{[1^7]} - \theta_{[1^4 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[1^1 3^2]} - 2\theta_{[7^1]}$
$f_{4,2,1}$	$= 7\theta_{[1^7]} - 2\theta_{[1^4 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[1^2 5^1]} - 2\theta_{[1^1 3^2]}$
f_8	$= \theta_{[1^8]} + \theta_{[1^5 3^1]} + \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} + \theta_{[1^2 3^2]} + \theta_{[1^1 7^1]} + \theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$
$f_{7,1}$	$= 6\theta_{[1^8]} + 3\theta_{[1^5 3^1]} + \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} - \theta_{[1^1 7^1]} - 2\theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$
$f_{6,2}$	$= 14\theta_{[1^8]} + 2\theta_{[1^5 3^1]} - \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} - \theta_{[1^2 3^2]} + 2\theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$
$f_{5,3}$	$= 14\theta_{[1^8]} - \theta_{[1^5 3^1]} - \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} + 2\theta_{[1^2 3^2]} - \theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$
$f_{5,2,1}$	$= 16\theta_{[1^8]} - 2\theta_{[1^5 3^1]} + \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} - 2\theta_{[1^2 3^2]} + 2\theta_{[1^1 7^1]} - 2\theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$
$f_{4,3,1}$	$= 12\theta_{[1^8]} - 3\theta_{[1^5 3^1]} + 2\theta_{[1^3 5^1]} - 2\theta_{[1^1 7^1]} + 2\theta_{[3^1 5^1]}$

Table 2. Expression of θ monomials in terms of f_i 's up to degree 8

$\theta_{[1^1]} = f_1$
$\theta_{[1^2]} = f_2$
$\theta_{[1^3]} = \frac{1}{3}[2f_3 + f_{2,1}]$ $\theta_{[3^1]} = \frac{1}{3}[f_3 - f_{2,1}]$
$\theta_{[1^4]} = \frac{1}{3}[f_4 + f_{3,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^1 3^1]} = \frac{1}{3}[2f_4 - f_{3,1}]$
$\theta_{[1^5]} = \frac{1}{15}[2f_5 + 3f_{4,1} + 2f_{3,2}]$ $\theta_{[1^2 3^1]} = \frac{1}{3}[2f_5 - f_{3,2}]$ $\theta_{[5^1]} = \frac{1}{5}[f_5 - f_{4,1} + f_{3,2}]$
$\theta_{[1^6]} = \frac{1}{45}[2f_6 + 4f_{5,1} + 5f_{4,2} + f_{3,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^3 3^1]} = \frac{1}{9}[4f_6 + 2f_{5,1} - 2f_{4,2} - f_{3,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^1 5^1]} = \frac{1}{5}[2f_6 - f_{5,1} + f_{3,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[3^2]} = \frac{1}{9}[f_6 - f_{5,1} + f_{4,2} - f_{3,2,1}]$
$\theta_{[1^7]} = \frac{1}{315}[4f_7 + 10f_{6,1} + 18f_{5,2} + 10f_{4,3} + 7f_{4,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^4 3^1]} = \frac{1}{9}[2f_7 + 2f_{6,1} - f_{4,3} - f_{4,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^2 5^1]} = \frac{1}{5}[2f_7 - f_{5,2} + f_{4,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^1 3^2]} = \frac{1}{9}[2f_7 - f_{6,1} + 2f_{4,3} - f_{4,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[7^1]} = \frac{1}{7}[f_7 + f_{5,2} - f_{6,1} - f_{4,3}]$
$\theta_{[1^8]} = \frac{1}{315}[f_8 + 3f_{7,1} + 7f_{6,2} + 7f_{5,3} + 4f_{5,2,1} + 3f_{4,3,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^5 3^1]} = \frac{1}{45}[4f_8 + 6f_{7,1} + 4f_{6,2} - 2f_{5,3} - 2f_{5,2,1} - 3f_{4,3,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^3 5^1]} = \frac{1}{15}[4f_8 + 2f_{7,1} - 2f_{6,2} - 2f_{5,3} + f_{5,2,1} + 2f_{4,3,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^2 3^2]} = \frac{1}{9}[2f_8 - f_{6,2} + 2f_{5,3} - f_{5,2,1}]$ $\theta_{[1^1 7^1]} = \frac{1}{7}[2f_8 - f_{7,1} + f_{5,2,1} - f_{4,3,1}]$ $\theta_{[3^1 5^1]} = \frac{1}{15}[2f_8 - 2f_{7,1} + 2f_{6,2} - f_{5,3} - f_{5,2,1} + f_{4,3,1}]$

2.3 Averages

To complete the proof of (K') it is now sufficient to perform the averages $\langle f, \theta(Y) \rangle_{(N)}$. For this we insert the original definition (2.18), taking the size of the matrices large enough (in particular $N \geq n$, the number of indices). Of course $n > 0$, since for $n = 0$ we have nothing to prove. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{N(N-1)/2} 2^n (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}}{N! Z_N(\Lambda)} \int \prod_{a=1}^N \frac{dy_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \det[e^{-\frac{y_a^2 \lambda_b}{2}}]_{1 \leq a, b \leq N} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq N} \frac{y_a - y_b}{(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)(y_a + y_b)} \sum_{1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_n \leq N} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{y_{a_r} + y_{a_s}}{y_{a_r} - y_{a_s}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq t \leq n} \frac{y_{a_t} + y_l}{y_{a_t} - y_l} \det[y_{a_m}^{k_p}]_{1 \leq m, p \leq n} \cdot \quad (2.30) \\
&\quad l \in \{1, \dots, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_n, \dots, N\}
\end{aligned}$$

We expand the $N \times N$ determinant $\det[e^{-\frac{y_a^2 \lambda_b}{2}}$ according to Lagrange's formula as an alternating sum of products of determinants of size n and $N - n$ respectively, take signs carefully into account and note vast cancellations, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{N(N-1)/2} 2^n (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}}{N! Z_N(\Lambda)} \int \prod_{a=1}^N \frac{dy_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_n \leq N \\ 1 \leq b_1 < \dots < b_n \leq N}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{a, a' \in \{1, \dots, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_n, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \frac{y_a - y_{a'}}{y_a + y_{a'}} \det[e^{-\frac{y_a^2 \lambda_b}{2}}]_{\substack{a \in \{1, \dots, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_n, \dots, N\} \\ b \in \{1, \dots, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_n, \dots, N\}}} \\
&\quad \times \det[e^{-\frac{y_{a_s}^2 \lambda_{b_t}}{2}}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n} \det[y_{a_s}^{k_t}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n} \cdot \quad (2.31)
\end{aligned}$$

For each set $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 \dots < a_n \leq N$ the integral over the corresponding y 's yields equal results while the integral over the remaining y 's yields a factor $Z_{N-n}(\Lambda_{b_1, \dots, b_n})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n(2N-n-1)/2} 2^n (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq b_1 < \dots < b_n \leq N} \frac{Z_{N-n}(\Lambda_{b_1, \dots, b_n})}{Z_N(\Lambda)} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \frac{\prod_{b < b' \in \{1, \dots, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_n, \dots, N\}} (\lambda_b - \lambda_{b'})}{\prod_{1 \leq b < b' \leq N} (\lambda_b - \lambda_{b'})} \\
&\quad \times \int \prod_{t=1}^n \frac{dy_t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \det[e^{-\frac{y_s^2 \lambda_t}{2}}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n} \det[y_s^{k_t}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n} \cdot \quad (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

The last integral vanishes whenever one of the k_i at least is odd, hence we get (2.14a)

$$\langle f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta.(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} = 0 \quad \text{if at least one } k_i \neq 0 \pmod{2}. \quad (2.33)$$

whereas using $\int dy y^{2k} e^{-\lambda y^2/2} = \sqrt{2\pi/\lambda} (2k-1)!! \lambda^{-k}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f_{2k_1, \dots, 2k_n}(\theta.(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} \\ &= 2^n (-1)^{n(2N-n-1)/2} \prod_{s=1}^n (2k_s - 1)!! \sum_{1 \leq b_1 < \dots < b_n \leq N} \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq N} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\lambda_{b_s} + \lambda_{b_t}}{\lambda_{b_s} - \lambda_{b_t}} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq n} \frac{\lambda_{b_s} + \lambda_b}{\lambda_{b_s} - \lambda_b} \det[\lambda_{b_t}^{k_s}]_{1 \leq s, t \leq n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

$b \in \{1, \dots, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_n, \dots, N\}$

Since $(\lambda_b + \lambda_{b'})/(\lambda_b - \lambda_{b'}) = -(\lambda_b^{-1} + \lambda_{b'}^{-1})/(\lambda_b^{-1} - \lambda_{b'}^{-1})$, comparing with the definition of $f.(\theta.(A^{-1}))$, we obtain (2.14b) in the form

$$\langle f_{2k_1, \dots, 2k_n}(\theta.(Y)) \rangle_{(N)} = (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_n} \prod_{s=1}^n (2k_s - 1)!! f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta(A^{-1})) \quad (2.35)$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of Proposition (K'). We add a few comments.

- (i) As shown along the way the map $K : P(\theta.) \rightarrow Q(\theta.)$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} .
- (ii) Since $f.$'s generate all polynomials in odd traces, this map is surjective. Its kernel is the linear span

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(K) &= \{ \text{linear span of } f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta.), \quad n > 0, \\ & \quad \text{such that at least one of the } k_i \text{ is odd} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed any $P(\theta.)$ is a finite sum

$$P(\theta.) = a_0 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_n > 0} a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta.) \quad (2.36)$$

if $K(P) = 0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_n > 0} a_{2k_1, \dots, 2k_n} f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta.) = 0 \Rightarrow a_{2k_1, \dots, 2k_n} = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

Therefore if $d(n)$ denotes the dimension of the vector space of polynomials of degree n in $\theta.$'s and $d_0(n)$ the dimension of the subspace annihilated by K , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(n)q^n &= \prod_{n>0} (1 + q^n) \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_0(n)q^n &= \prod_{n>0} (1 + q^n) - \prod_{n>0} (1 + q^{2n}) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{2n+1})} \left(1 - \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 + q^{2n+1})} \right) \\ &= q + 2q^3 + q^4 + 3q^5 + 2q^6 + 5q^7 + 4q^8 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

3. Proof of Proposition (W)

Notations being as before, we consider the integral (1.3),

$$\Xi_N(\Lambda^{-1}) = \frac{\int d\mu_\Lambda^{(N)}(Y) \exp \frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3}{\int d\mu_\Lambda^{(N)}(Y)} = \left\langle \exp \frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 \right\rangle_{(N)}(\Lambda^{-1}), \quad (3.1)$$

which admits an asymptotic expansion, each term of which is for N sufficiently large an N -independent polynomial in the odd traces of Λ^{-1} . We keep the normalization (2.3)

$$\theta_{2k+1}(\Lambda^{-1}) = -\frac{2}{2k+1} \text{Tr} \Lambda^{-2n-1}, \quad (3.2)$$

As N tends to infinity these become independent variables and the asymptotic expansion is denoted $\Xi(\theta)$. For any polynomial in odd traces $P(Y) \equiv P(\text{tr} Y, \text{tr} Y^3, \dots)$ set

$$\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle_N = \left\langle P(Y) \exp \left(\frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 \right) \right\rangle_N(\Lambda^{-1}). \quad (3.3)$$

From Sect. 2 it admits an N -independent asymptotic expansion $\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle(\theta)$ in the odd traces $\theta_{2k+1}(\Lambda^{-1})$. Explicit calculations performed by Witten [1] suggest that one can express this average as

$$\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle = R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \Xi(\theta). \quad (3.4)$$

where R is again a polynomial (with constant coefficients) in the derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2k+1}}$, and conversely that for any such R there exists a P . Using techniques developed in [3], one can for instance derive closed expressions for R a monomial in θ_1 or θ_3 (see Appendix B)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \right)^k \Xi(\theta) &= \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 0 \\ 3n+m=k}} \frac{(3n+m)!}{6^n n! m!} \left\langle\left\langle \left(\text{tr} \frac{Y}{2i} \right)^m \right\rangle\right\rangle \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_3} \right)^k \Xi(\theta) &= ((1 + 3y/4)^3 \partial_y)^k (1 + 3y/4)^{\frac{1}{12}} \langle\langle e^{y \text{tr}(\frac{Y}{2i})^3} \rangle\rangle|_{y=0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and a more cumbersome, although perfectly explicit formula for R being any polynomial in both ∂_{θ_1} and ∂_{θ_3} (see Appendix B for details).

The proof of Proposition (W) is based as before on the comparison of the integral over $N \times N$ matrices Ξ_N , defined in (3.1), to the same integral Ξ_{N+n} over $(N+n) \times (N+n)$ matrices. Indeed it is easy to see for $n = 1$ that in the expansion of $\Xi_{N+1}(\lambda^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1})$, where λ is a real positive number and Λ a positive definite diagonal $N \times N$ matrix, the terms of degree $3k \leq N$ are obtained from those of the same degree in the expansion of $\Xi_N(\Lambda^{-1})$ by translating the variables $\theta(\Lambda^{-1})$ according to

$$\theta_{2j+1}(\Lambda^{-1}) \rightarrow \theta_{2j+1}(\lambda^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) = \theta_{2j+1}(\Lambda^{-1}) - \frac{2}{2j+1} \lambda^{-2j-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore in the usual $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\lambda^{-1} \oplus A^{-1}) &= \exp \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} -\frac{2}{2j+1} \lambda^{-2j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2j+1}} \Xi(A^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} p_k(\partial) \Xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We see that the power series in λ^{-1} on the r.h.s. is a generating function for the Schur polynomials (defined in (2.5)) of derivatives ∂ .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2j+1} &\equiv -\frac{2}{2j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2j+1}} \\ \partial_{2j} &\equiv 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

acting on Ξ , as a function of the infinitely many variables θ_{2j+1} . Increasing n amounts to iterating this process, and we get in general a generating function for any product of Schur polynomials of ∂ acting on Ξ . These products span the whole space of polynomials in the variables θ_{2j+1} .

We are left with the task of computing the l.h.s. of (3.7) and its generalizations. Proposition (W) will follow if we can find expressions of the former as generating functions for expectation values of polynomials of the form (1.5). This last step turns out to be elementary, and leads to explicit expressions for the aforementioned polynomials. It is summarized in the following

Lemma 3. *Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2$ be the decomposition of the diagonal matrix $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N+n})$ into the direct sum of two diagonal matrices $\Lambda_1 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\Lambda_2 = \text{diag}(\lambda_{n+1}, \dots, \lambda_{N+n})$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \Xi_{n+N}(A_1^{-1} \oplus A_2^{-1}) \\ &= \int \prod_{k=1}^n d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \prod_{1 \leq m < p \leq n} \frac{2i(\lambda_m - \lambda_p) + y_m - y_p}{2i(\lambda_m + \lambda_p) + y_m + y_p} \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^n \det \left(\frac{2i\lambda_l + y_l - Y_2}{2i\lambda_l + y_l + Y_2} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_N (A_2^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $d\nu_{\lambda}(y) = (\lambda/2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(iy^3/6 - \lambda y^2/2) dy$ is the measure of integration over the eigenvalues y adapted to our problem, and the double bracket denotes the integral over the $N \times N$ matrix Y_2 as defined in (3.3).

The lemma will be used to expand both sides of (3.9), when $N \rightarrow \infty$, as formal series in λ_j^{-1} , $1 \leq j \leq n$, for large λ 's. On the l.h.s. of (3.9) we get as coefficients of this series polynomials in the derivatives w.r.t. θ (A_2^{-1}), whereas on the r.h.s. one gets averages of polynomials in the odd traces of Y_2 , which completes the proof of

Proposition (W). Before proving Lemma 3, let us illustrate the mechanism in the case $n = 1$, where (3.9) reduces to

$$\Xi_{N+1}(\lambda^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) = \int d\nu_\lambda(y) \left\langle \left\langle \det \left(\frac{y + 2i\lambda - Y}{y + 2i\lambda + Y} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_N. \quad (3.10)$$

Expanding both sides in powers of λ^{-1} , using

$$\Xi_{N+1}(\lambda^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) = \int d\nu_\lambda(y) \left\langle \left\langle \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\lambda - \frac{iy}{2} \right)^{-m} p_m \left(\theta \cdot \left(\frac{Y}{2i} \right) \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_N \quad (3.11)$$

with $N \rightarrow \infty$, and integrating term by term over y , we can identify the coefficient of λ^{-k} in (3.7) as

$$\boxed{p_k(\partial) \Xi(\theta) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq [k/3]} (-1)^s c_{s,k} \left\langle \left\langle p_{k-3s} \left(\theta \cdot \left(\frac{Y}{2i} \right) \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_N} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$c_{s,k} = \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \frac{1}{2^l} \frac{(k - 3s + l - 1)! (6s - 2l - 1)!!}{l!(k - 3s - 1)! 6^{2s-l}(2s - l)!} \quad (3.13)$$

and $[x]$ denotes the integral part of x . For $k = 0$, (3.12) reduces to the identity $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = \Xi(\theta)$. The general case with $n > 1$ will be dealt with below. Let us turn to the proof of Lemma 3.

At first the matrices $\Lambda, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ involve diagonal real positive elements, but if we introduce a cut in the complex plane along the negative real axis, the integrals make sense for each eigenvalue having a positive real part – as absolutely convergent integrals; as semi-convergent ones we can even extend them to the imaginary axis except the origin. To give a meaning to the following operations we will first continue analytically the λ_j to imaginary non-vanishing values. Similar techniques were implicit in both [2] and [3]. With this proviso in mind we return to

$$\Xi_{n+N}(\Lambda^{-1}) = \frac{1}{Z_{n+N}(\Lambda)} \int dY e^{\frac{i}{6} \text{tr}(Y^3) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Lambda Y^2)}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $Z_{n+N}(\Lambda)$ is defined in (2.12). We perform the change of variables $Z = Y + 2i(\Lambda_1 \oplus 0)$, with the obvious definition for the $(n + N) \times (n + N)$ matrix $\Lambda_1 \oplus 0 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, 0, \dots, 0)$. Due to the relation

$$(\Lambda_1 \oplus 0)(0 \oplus \Lambda_2) = (0 \oplus \Lambda_2)(\Lambda_1 \oplus 0) = 0,$$

the trace in the exponential becomes

$$\frac{i}{6} \text{tr}(Y^3) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Lambda Y^2) = \frac{i}{6} \text{tr}(Z^3) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[(0 \oplus \Lambda_2) - (\Lambda_1 \oplus 0)]Z^2 + \frac{2}{3} \text{tr}(\Lambda_1^3). \quad (3.15)$$

We see that except for a constant term, the form of the exponential term is conserved, up to the substitution $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda} = (0 \oplus \Lambda_2) - (\Lambda_1 \oplus 0)$. We can now perform

the ‘‘angular’’ average over Z [5], which results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_{n+N}(\Lambda^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+n} \frac{\lambda_j + \lambda_i}{\tilde{\lambda}_j - \tilde{\lambda}_i} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n+N} d\nu_{\tilde{\lambda}_k}(z_k) \prod_{p=1}^n e^{\frac{2}{3}\lambda_p^3} \prod_{1 \leq l < m \leq N+n} \frac{z_l - z_m}{z_l + z_m}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where the $\tilde{\lambda}$'s are the diagonal elements of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, i.e. $\tilde{\lambda}_k = -\lambda_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\tilde{\lambda}_k = \lambda_k$ for $n + 1 \leq k \leq n + N$ (recall that the $\tilde{\lambda}$'s are purely imaginary, so that the minus sign causes no harm in the integral). As usual the antisymmetry of the integrand in z 's in (3.16) automatically takes care of the denominators $z_l + z_m$, by antisymmetrizing the measure. We now perform the opposite change of variables, but this time on the eigenvalues z by setting

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= y_k + 2i\lambda_k & 1 \leq k \leq n \\ z_k &= y_k & n + 1 \leq k \leq n + N \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_{n+N}(\Lambda_1^{-1} \oplus \Lambda_2^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \prod_{n+1 \leq l < m \leq n+N} \frac{\lambda_l^{-1} + \lambda_m^{-1}}{\lambda_l^{-1} - \lambda_m^{-1}} \\ & \times \int \prod_{k=1}^{N+n} d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \prod_{1 \leq l < m \leq n} \frac{y_l - y_m + 2i(\lambda_l - \lambda_m)}{y_l + y_m + 2i(\lambda_l + \lambda_m)} \\ & \times \prod_{n+1 \leq i < j \leq n+N} \frac{y_i - y_j}{y_i + y_j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq n \\ n+1 \leq j \leq n+N}} \frac{y_l + 2i\lambda_l - y_j}{y_l + 2i\lambda_l + y_j} \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

and amounts to the statement of Lemma 3 since

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n+1 \leq l < m \leq n+N} \frac{\lambda_l^{-1} + \lambda_m^{-1}}{\lambda_l^{-1} - \lambda_m^{-1}} \int \prod_{k=n+1}^{N+n} d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \\ & \times \prod_{n+1 \leq i < j \leq n+N} \frac{y_i - y_j}{y_i + y_j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq n \\ n+1 \leq j \leq n+N}} \frac{y_l + 2i\lambda_l - y_j}{y_l + 2i\lambda_l + y_j} \\ &= \left\langle \left\langle \prod_{1 \leq l \leq n} \det \left(\frac{y_l + 2i\lambda_l - Y_2}{y_l + 2i\lambda_l + Y_2} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_N (\Lambda_2^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Remarks. (i) Once we are through with the proof, the lemma remains valid as a statement on asymptotic series for real positive eigenvalues λ 's.

(ii) The lemma enables us to give several expressions for the same Ξ_N by splitting the N eigenvalues of Λ into two sets $N = N_1 + N_2$. For instance we find for $N = 2$

the various expressions with $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$,

$$\Xi_2(\Lambda^{-1}) = \int \prod_{k=1,2} d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \frac{y_1 - y_2 + 2i(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{y_1 + y_2 + 2i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \times \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \quad (3.20a)$$

$$= \int \prod_{k=1,2} d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \frac{y_1 + 2i\lambda_1 - y_2}{y_1 + 2i\lambda_1 + y_2} \quad (3.20b)$$

$$= \int \prod_{k=1,2} d\nu_{\lambda_k}(y_k) \frac{y_1 - y_2}{y_1 + y_2} \times \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \quad (3.20c)$$

where (3.20b) admits an alternative expression with $\lambda_1 \leftrightarrow \lambda_2$ and (3.20c) is understood after antisymmetrization of the measure. It is interesting to check that the difference of any two of these expressions can be written as the integral of a total derivative (and therefore vanishes)!

With Lemma 3 in hand, we can now obtain the most general combination of derivatives acting on $\Xi(\theta)$. The l.h.s. of (3.9) for $N \rightarrow \infty$ converges as an asymptotic series in θ (Λ^{-1}) to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \Xi(\lambda_1^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_n^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \sum_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-r_i} p_{r_1}(\partial) \dots p_{r_n}(\partial) \Xi(\theta(\Lambda^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Expanding the prefactor as a power series in the domain $\lambda_1^{-1} > \lambda_2^{-1} > \dots > \lambda_n^{-1}$ and performing a similar expansion on the r.h.s. of (3.9), it is possible to rearrange the series in terms of our f_j 's. More precisely, it is simpler to use the extension φ of f described in Appendix A, to zero or negative indices, generated by the series

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1}; X) &= \sum_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-m_k} \varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(\theta(X)) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^{-1} - \lambda_j^{-1}}{\lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1}} \sum_{n_i \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-n_i} p_{n_i}(\theta(X)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

expanded in the domain $\lambda_1^{-1} > \dots > \lambda_n^{-1}$. The l.h.s. of (3.9) reads therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^{-1} - \lambda_j^{-1}}{\lambda_i^{-1} + \lambda_j^{-1}} \Xi(\lambda_1^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_n^{-1} \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{m_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-m_k} \varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(\partial) \Xi(\Lambda^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

To perform such an expansion on the r.h.s. of (3.9) we should similarly order the arguments $\lambda_k + (y_k/2i)$ (in modulus). This looks at first unreasonable since the y 's run along the whole real axis. However we recall that we look for an asymptotic expansion of an absolutely convergent integral over y_1, \dots, y_n , as each $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ goes to infinity. With an exponentially small error we can therefore bound the domain of

integration in the y 's and assume the λ 's large enough so that the $|\lambda_k + (y_k/2i)|$ remain ordered. This means that in the sense of *asymptotic series* we can perform an expansion similar to the above (3.23) but this time with $\varphi_*(Y/2i)$ in the integrand. Integrating on the y 's as we did before, we can identify the coefficient of $\lambda_1^{-m_1} \dots \lambda_n^{-m_n}$, $m_1 > \dots > m_n > 0$ in the resulting asymptotic expansion. We obtain the main result of this section in the form of

Proposition (W') *Any polynomial in derivatives acting on $\Xi(\theta_*)$ can be expressed as an average over a polynomial in odd traces of Y and vice versa. More precisely for $m_1 > \dots > m_n > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(\partial_*) \Xi(\theta_*) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_n \geq 0 \\ 3s_j \leq \sum_{k \leq n} m_k}} \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{s_i} c_{s_i, m_i} \langle\langle \varphi_{m_1-3s_1, \dots, m_n-3s_n}(\theta_*(Y/2i)) \rangle\rangle_N. \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

We recall the notations and make a few comments.

(a) $\partial_* = \left\{ -\frac{2}{2k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2k+1}} \right\}$.

(b) The φ_* 's are reduced to f_* 's with positive indices according to the rules (i)–(iv) of Appendix A.

(c) $c_{s,m} = \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \frac{1}{2^l} \binom{m-3s+l-1}{l} \frac{(6s-2l-1)!!}{6^{2s-l}(2s-l)!}$, where the combinatorial factor can be seen as a polynomial in the variable $m-3s$ with integral coefficients, hence remains integral and well defined for $m-3s < 0$. It is easy to rewrite

$$c_{s,m} = \frac{1}{(12)^{2s}} \sum_{l=0}^{2s} 6^l \frac{(6s-2l-1)!!}{(4s-2l-1)!!} \binom{4s-2l}{2s-l} \binom{m-3s+l-1}{l}$$

exhibiting $(12)^{2s} c_{s,m}$ as an integer. Since the φ_* 's are linear combinations of f_* 's with integral coefficients (see Appendix A), the relation (3.24) involves at most rational fractions with denominators $(12)^{2s_i}$ as coefficients.

(d) The “leading” term in (3.24) corresponds to $s_1 = \dots = s_n = 0$ and $c_{0,m} = 1$, hence for $m_1 > \dots > m_n > 0$,

$$f_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(\partial_*) \Xi(\theta_*) = \langle\langle f_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(\theta_*(Y/2i)) \rangle\rangle_N + \text{lower } \dots, \tag{3.25}$$

where by lower we mean averages over polynomials with smaller degree in Y . Therefore the system of equations (3.24) is triangular and can be inverted, vindicating the statement (W').

(e) In Table 3 we have recorded explicitly the first few cases of (3.24) up to degree 8 (the argument in the average is $\theta_*(Y/2i)$). The reader can check – as we did in Table 4 – that these data are in agreement with formulas (3.5) of this section, expressing derivatives w.r.t θ_1 and θ_3 . Also one can make contact with earlier results by E. Witten [1] expressed in terms of variables t_* related to our θ_* 's (1.4) through

$$t_k = \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2} \theta_{2k+1}. \tag{3.26}$$

(f) There exist simple cases of (3.24) when successive m 's differ by 3 and the last one is 1 or 2, where, due to the antisymmetry of f 's, the relation (3.24) reduces to

$$f_{a+3k, a+3(k-1), \dots, a+3, a}(\partial) \Xi(\theta) = \langle\langle f_{a+3k, a+3(k-1), \dots, a+3, a}(\theta \cdot (Y/2i)) \rangle\rangle_N \quad (3.27)$$

for $a = 1, 2$.

Appendix A. Calculation of f 's

To make the computation of the polynomials f simple and explicit, let us use the definition of the characters ch in terms of our Schur polynomials p , (2.5) to rewrite (2.27) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta) &= \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - P_{k_i} P_{k_j}^{-1}}{1 + P_{k_i} P_{k_j}^{-1}} \right\} p_{k_1}(\theta) p_{k_2}(\theta) \dots p_{k_n}(\theta) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{r} \geq 0} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_{r_{ij}} \right) p_{k_1 + \sum_j r_{1j}}(\theta) \dots p_{k_n + \sum_j r_{nj}}(\theta), \quad (\text{A.1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_r = (-1)^r (2 - \delta_{r,0})$ is the coefficient of y^r in the small y expansion of $(1-y)/(1+y)$, and the sum over \mathbf{r} (defined as in (2.27)) is finite ($k_p + \sum_j r_{pj} \geq 0$).

The second line of (A.1) makes it straightforward to extend the definition of f 's to φ 's including negative or zero indices. Namely define for $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n} = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \geq 0} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_{r_{ij}} \right) p_{m_1 + \sum_i r_{1i}} \dots p_{m_n + \sum_i r_{ni}} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with the convention that $p_m = 0$ as soon as $m < 0$, then one has obviously

$$\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n} = f_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \quad \text{for } m_1, \dots, m_n > 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

This definition enables one to rewrite the expression (2.23), (2.24) for the characters in a very simple way,

$$\text{ch} = \prod_{i < j} (P_i^{-1} + P_j^{-1}) \varphi. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The generating function (2.19) for characters also simplifies drastically. Namely in the region $|u_1| > |u_2| > \dots > |u_n|$ of the polydisk $D(X)^n$, we can expand F defined in (2.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(u_1, \dots, u_n; X) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - (u_j/u_i)}{1 + (u_j/u_i)} \prod_{k=1}^n \det \frac{1 - u_k X}{1 + u_k X} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{r} \geq 0} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_{r_{ij}} (u_j/u_i)^{r_{ij}} \prod_{m=1}^n u_m^{k_m} p_{k_m + \sum_j r_{mj}}(X) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n u_i^{m_i} \right) \varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(X). \quad (\text{A.5}) \end{aligned}$$

Table 3. The derivatives of the Kontsevich partition function with respect to the θ_i 's expressed as averages over polynomials in odd traces. The notation ∂_i stands for $\left\{ -\frac{2}{2k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2k+1}} \right\}$,

$\theta_i \equiv \theta(\Lambda^{-1})$, while on the r.h.s. the matrix argument of f_i 's is $Y/2i$

$f_1(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_2(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_3(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_3 - \frac{5}{24} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{2,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{2,1} - \frac{1}{12} \rangle\rangle$
$f_4(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_4 - \frac{17}{24} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{3,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{3,1} + \frac{5}{24} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_5(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_5 - \frac{35}{24} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{4,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{4,1} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{3,2}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{3,2} + \frac{5}{24} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_6(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_6 - \frac{59}{24} f_3 + \frac{385}{1152} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{5,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{5,1} - \frac{35}{24} f_{2,1} + \frac{35}{576} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{4,2}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{4,2} + \frac{17}{24} f_{2,1} - \frac{35}{576} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{3,2,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{3,2,1} - \frac{5}{24} f_{2,1} - \frac{1}{12} f_3 + \frac{5}{288} \rangle\rangle$
$f_7(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_7 - \frac{89}{24} f_4 + \frac{1801}{1152} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{6,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{6,1} - \frac{59}{24} f_{3,1} - \frac{385}{1152} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{5,2}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{5,2} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{4,3}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{4,3} + \frac{17}{24} f_{3,1} - \frac{5}{24} f_4 + \frac{85}{576} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{4,2,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{4,2,1} - \frac{1}{12} f_4 - \frac{1}{576} f_1 \rangle\rangle$
$f_8(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_8 - \frac{125}{24} f_5 + \frac{5005}{1152} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{7,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{7,1} - \frac{89}{24} f_{4,1} \rangle\rangle$
$f_{6,2}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{6,2} - \frac{59}{24} f_{3,2} - \frac{385}{1152} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{5,3}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{5,3} + \frac{35}{24} f_{3,2} - \frac{5}{24} f_5 + \frac{175}{576} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{5,2,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{5,2,1} - \frac{1}{12} f_5 + \frac{35}{576} f_2 \rangle\rangle$
$f_{4,3,1}(\partial_i)\Xi$	$= \langle\langle f_{4,3,1} + \frac{5}{24} f_{4,1} \rangle\rangle$

Table 4. Monomials in the derivatives acting on the Kontsevich integral expressed as averages of polynomials. The notation $\theta_{[\dots(2k+1)\nu_{2k+1}\dots]}(\partial_{\theta_{\cdot}})$ stands for

$$\dots \frac{1}{\nu_{2k+1}!} \partial_{\theta_{2k+1}}^{\nu_{2k+1}} \dots \equiv \frac{1}{\nu_{2k+1}!} (- (2/2k + 1) \partial_{\theta_{2k+1}})^{\nu_{2k+1}} \dots$$

$\theta_{[1^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^2]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^3]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^3]} - \frac{1}{6} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[3^1]} - \frac{1}{24} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^4]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^4]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^1 3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^1 3^1]} - \frac{13}{24} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^5]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^5]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^2 3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} - \frac{25}{24} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[5^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[5^1]} - \frac{1}{4} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^6]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^6]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^3]} + \frac{1}{72} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^3 3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^3 3^1]} - \frac{37}{24} \theta_{[1^3]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[3^1]} + \frac{25}{144} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^1 5^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^1 5^1]} - \frac{3}{4} \theta_{[1^3]} - \frac{3}{2} \theta_{[3^1]} + \frac{1}{8} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[3^2]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[3^2]} - \frac{19}{24} \theta_{[3^1]} + \frac{25}{1152} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^7]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^7]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^4]} + \frac{1}{72} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^4 3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^4 3^1]} - \frac{49}{24} \theta_{[1^4]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^1 3^1]} + \frac{37}{144} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^2 5^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^2 5^1]} - \frac{3}{2} \theta_{[1^4]} - \frac{3}{2} \theta_{[1^1 3^1]} + \frac{5}{8} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^1 3^2]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^1 3^2]} - \frac{31}{24} \theta_{[1^1 3^1]} + \frac{481}{1152} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[7^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[7^1]} - \frac{3}{4} \theta_{[1^1 3^1]} + \frac{1}{5} \theta_{[1^1]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^8]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^8]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^5]} + \frac{1}{72} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^5 3^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^5 3^1]} - \frac{61}{24} \theta_{[1^5]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} + \frac{49}{144} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^3 5^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^3 5^1]} - \frac{5}{2} \theta_{[1^5]} - \frac{3}{2} \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} - \frac{1}{6} \theta_{[5^1]} + \frac{7}{6} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^2 3^2]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^2 3^2]} - \frac{43}{12} \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} + \frac{1225}{1152} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[1^1 7^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[1^1 7^1]} - \frac{3}{2} \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} - \frac{5}{2} \theta_{[5^1]} + \frac{5}{4} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$
$\theta_{[3^1 5^1]}(\partial_{\cdot})\Xi = \langle\langle \theta_{[3^1 5^1]} - \frac{1}{4} \theta_{[1^2 3^1]} - \frac{61}{24} \theta_{[5^1]} + \frac{49}{96} \theta_{[1^2]} \rangle\rangle$

But as shown in Sect. 2, the f_i 's form a basis of the vector space of polynomials in the variable θ_i , therefore the extension φ_i is overcomplete. In fact as already apparent on the r.h.s. of (2.19), the φ_i 's can be expressed simply in terms of f_i 's only: we get the following set of rules easily derived from the definition (A.2),

- (i) $\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n} = f_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$, for all $m_i > 0$.
- (ii) $\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n, 0} = \varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$, for all $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (iii) $\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n, a} = 0$, whenever $a < 0$.
- (iv) $\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_{j+1}, m_j, \dots, m_n} = \varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_j, m_{j+1}, \dots, m_n} + 2(-1)^{m_j} \delta_{m_j+m_{j+1}, 0} \varphi_{m_1, \dots, \widehat{m_j}, \widehat{m_{j+1}}, \dots, m_n}$.

The only non-trivial rule is the last one, which follows from the identity

$$\sum_{0 \leq r \leq m} (-1)^r \alpha_r \alpha_{m-r} = \delta_{m,0}.$$

Starting from some $\varphi_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$, to reexpress it in terms of f_i 's one has to use the rule (iv) repeatedly to “push” the negative indices to the right, which results in either (ii) or (iii), and ends up with an expression of f through (i). Note that in this way φ_i 's are expressed as linear combinations of the f_i 's with relative integer coefficients. We have for instance

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{m_1, -m_2, m_3} &= 2(-1)^{m_2} \delta_{m_2, m_3} f_{m_1} & m_1, m_2, m_3 > 0, \\ \varphi_{-m_1, m_2, m_3} &= 2(-1)^{m_1} [\delta_{m_1, m_2} f_{m_3} - \delta_{m_1, m_3} f_{m_2}] & m_1, m_2, m_3 > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

which should be compared with (2.24).

We now turn to the actual computation of the f_i 's in terms of θ_i 's. From the last equality in (A.1), we get a recursion relation for f_i 's,

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}}(\theta_i) = \sum_{s=0}^{k_{n+1}} p_{k_{n+1}-s} \sum_{\substack{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0 \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = s}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{r_i} \right) f_{k_1+r_1, \dots, k_n+r_n}(\theta_i). \tag{A.7}$$

Let us use the shorthand notation

$$\theta_{\{\nu\}} = \theta_{[1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots]} = \prod_{\text{odd } j > 0} \frac{\theta_j^{\nu_j}}{\nu_j!} \tag{A.8}$$

for any set $\{\nu\} = \nu_1, \nu_3, \dots$ of non-negative integers (or alternatively any permutation $[1^{\nu_1} 3^{\nu_3} \dots]$ of $\sum_{\text{odd } j} j \nu_j$ elements with odd cycles only), then the coefficients A in the expansion

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(\theta_i) = \sum_{\substack{\nu_j \geq 0, j \text{ odd} \\ \nu_1 + 3\nu_3 + \dots = k_1 + \dots + k_n}} A_{k_1, \dots, k_n}^{\{\nu\}} \theta_{\{\nu\}} \tag{A.9}$$

satisfy the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}}^{\{\nu\}} = & \sum_{\substack{\mu_j \geq 0, j \text{ odd} \\ \mu_j \leq \nu_j; (\nu_1 - \mu_1) + 3(\nu_3 - \mu_3) + \dots \leq k_{n+1}}} \prod_{j \text{ odd}} \binom{\nu_j}{\mu_j} \\
 & \times \sum_{\substack{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0 \\ r_1 + \dots + r_n = k_{n+1} + (\mu_1 - \nu_1) + 3(\mu_3 - \nu_3) + \dots}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{r_i} \right) A_{k_1 + r_1, \dots, k_n + r_n}^{\{\mu\}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Considering that only integer coefficients enter the recursion relation and that $A_k^{\{\nu\}} = 1$ for $\sum_{j \text{ odd}} j\nu_j = k$, we deduce that all A 's are integers. It is now easy to compute the first few A 's, we find (the multi-index superscript $\{\nu\}$ is always related to the indices through $\sum_j j\nu_j = \sum k_i$).

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k^{\{\nu\}} &= 1, \\
 A_{k,1}^{\{\nu\}} &= \nu_1 - 2, \\
 A_{k,2}^{\{\nu\}} &= \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 4)}{2!}, \\
 A_{k,3}^{\{\nu\}} &= \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 2)(\nu_1 - 6)}{3!} + \nu_3, \\
 A_{k,4}^{\{\nu\}} &= \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 2)(\nu_1 - 3)(\nu_1 - 8)}{4!} + (\nu_1 - 2)\nu_3, \\
 A_{k,5}^{\{\nu\}} &= \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 2)(\nu_1 - 3)(\nu_1 - 4)(\nu_1 - 10)}{5!} \\
 &+ \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 4)}{2!} \nu_3 + \nu_5, \\
 A_{k,2,1}^{\{\nu\}} &= \frac{\nu_1(\nu_1 - 4)(\nu_1 - 5)}{3!} - 2\nu_3, \\
 A_{k,3,1}^{\{\nu\}} &= 2 \frac{\nu_1(\nu_1 - 2)(\nu_1 - 5)(\nu_1 - 7)}{4!} - (\nu_1 - 2)\nu_3, \\
 A_{k,4,1}^{\{\nu\}} &= 3 \frac{\nu_1(\nu_1 - 2)(\nu_1 - 3)(\nu_1 - 6)(\nu_1 - 9)}{5!} - 2\nu_5, \\
 A_{k,3,2}^{\{\nu\}} &= 2 \frac{\nu_1(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 4)(\nu_1 - 7)(\nu_1 - 8)}{5!} - \frac{(\nu_1 - 1)(\nu_1 - 4)}{2!} \nu_3 + 2\nu_5.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Table 1 is obtained by using these expressions.

Appendix B. Two Illustrative Cases

For any invariant polynomial $f(Y)$, i.e. depending only on the eigenvalues of Y , one may write following the steps of [3]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle\langle f(Y + i\Lambda) \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \prod_i \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \int dY f(Y + i\Lambda) e^{\frac{i}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} Y^2 \Lambda} \\
 &= \prod_i \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) e^{\frac{1}{6} \text{tr} \Lambda^3} \int dY f(Y) e^{i(\frac{1}{6} \text{tr} Y^3 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} Y \Lambda^2)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_i \frac{dy_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f(y_i) e^{\frac{1}{3} \sum \lambda_i^3 + i \sum \frac{1}{6} y_i^3 + \frac{1}{2} y_i \lambda_i^2} \prod_i \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i < j} \left(\frac{y_i - y_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_i \frac{dy_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(f \left(-2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j^2} \right) e^{i \sum \frac{1}{6} y_i^3 + \frac{1}{2} y_i \lambda_i^2} \right) e^{\frac{1}{3} \sum \lambda_i^3} \prod_i \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N! \Delta(\lambda)} f \left(i \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{3} \lambda_j^3} \left(-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j^2} \right) \lambda_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{3} \lambda_j^3} \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \int \prod_i \frac{dy_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{3} \lambda_i^3} \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j) e^{i \sum \frac{1}{6} y_i^3 + \frac{1}{2} y_i \lambda_i^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda)} f(iD) \Delta(\lambda) \Xi, \tag{B.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the double bracket denotes the weighted average (1.5), $\Delta(\lambda)$ the Vandermonde determinant of the λ 's and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_i &= \lambda_i + \frac{2}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \\
 &= -e^{\frac{1}{3} \lambda_i^3} \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{3} \lambda_i^3}. \tag{B.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (B.1) is obtained by a translation $Y \rightarrow Y - i\Lambda$ for an analytic continuation to pure imaginary Λ (see Sect. 3). The next one follows from an angular integration.

The above relation is in particular true for arbitrary powers of $\text{tr}(Y + i\Lambda)$

$$\left\langle\left\langle \left[\text{tr} \left(\frac{Y}{i} + \Lambda \right) \right]^p \right\rangle\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda)} [\text{tr} D]^p \Delta(\lambda) \Xi. \tag{B.3}$$

One forms a generating function for the averages of powers of $\text{tr} Y$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle\langle e^{\text{str} \frac{Y}{i}} \rangle\rangle &= e^{-\text{str} \Lambda} \langle\langle e^{\text{str} (\frac{Y}{i} + \Lambda)} \rangle\rangle \\
 &= e^{-\text{str} \Lambda} \frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda)} e^{\text{str} D} \Delta(\lambda) \Xi \\
 &= e^{-\text{str} \Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta(\lambda)} e^{\frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \Lambda^3} \det \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{-2s \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^2} (\det \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \Lambda^3} \Delta(\lambda) \Xi)}. \tag{B.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator $e^{-2s \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^2}}$ shifts all variables λ_i^2 by $-2s$. Hence, using the expressions displayed in [3], one finds after some algebra

$$\langle\langle e^{\text{str} \frac{Y}{i}} \rangle\rangle = e^{\psi(s)} \exp s \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} \Xi, \tag{B.5}$$

where the variables t_n are related to our θ_n defined in (1.4) through

$$t_k = \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2} \theta_{2k+1} \tag{B.6}$$

and ψ is the function given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) = & \frac{1}{3} \sum \lambda_i^3 - \frac{1}{3} \sum (\lambda_i^2 - 2s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \sum_{i < j} \ln \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 - 2s} + \sqrt{\lambda_j^2 - 2s}} - \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\lambda_i^2 - 2s}{\lambda_i^2} - s \sum \lambda_i. \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

The differential operator l_{-1} that appears in the exponential of the r.h.s. of (B.5) is part of a Virasoro operator that annihilates the function Ξ , namely $L_{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} + \frac{1}{2} t_0^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0}$. This may be used to rewrite (B.5) as

$$\langle\langle e^{\text{str} \frac{Y}{i}} \rangle\rangle = e^{\psi(s)} e^{s l_{-1}} e^{-s L_{-1}} \Xi. \tag{B.8}$$

Denoting $K(s) = e^{\psi(s)} e^{s l_{-1}} e^{-s L_{-1}}$, one finds that $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} K(s) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} - \frac{1}{2} s^2 \right) K(s)$ whence

$$\langle\langle e^{\text{str} \frac{Y}{i}} \rangle\rangle = e^{s \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} - \frac{s^3}{6}} \Xi \tag{B.9}$$

or equivalently

$$e^{-2s \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}} = \langle\langle e^{s \theta_1 (\frac{Y}{2i}) - \frac{s^3}{6}} \rangle\rangle \tag{B.10}$$

in terms of our θ_n 's. Therefore in this particular case, we have a very explicit expression of correlation functions in terms of derivatives of Ξ , vindicating the general Proposition (W).

The averages of powers of $\text{tr} Y^3$ may also be treated simply. By a rescaling of the integration variable Y , it is easy to derive

$$\langle\langle e^{y \text{tr} (\frac{Y}{2i})^3} \rangle\rangle = e^{\frac{2}{3} \ln(1+(3y/4)) \sum (2n+1) t_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} \Xi. \tag{B.11}$$

Here too, the differential operator $l_0 = \sum (2n+1) t_n \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}$ in the exponential is a part of the Virasoro generator $L_0 = l_0 + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ that annihilates Ξ . As above, we define $K'(z) = e^{2z l_0} e^{-2z L_0}$ and compute that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} K'(z) = \left(3e^{-3z} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} - \frac{1}{8} \right) K'(z).$$

Thus $K'(z) = \exp - \left[(e^{-3z} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \frac{z}{8} \right]$. This leads to

$$\langle\langle e^{y \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{Y}{2i} \right)^3} \rangle\rangle = (1 + (3y/4))^{-\frac{1}{12}} e^{-[(1+(3y/4))^{-2}-1] \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \Xi}, \tag{B.12}$$

showing again that any $\langle\langle \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{Y}{2i} \right)^3 \right]^p \rangle\rangle$ is given by a polynomial in the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ acting on Ξ . The two special cases (B.9) and (B.12) may be combined into

$$\langle\langle e^{\operatorname{str} \frac{Y}{i} + y \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{Y}{2i} \right)^3} \rangle\rangle = \exp \left(\tilde{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_0} - \frac{\tilde{s}^3}{6} \right) \Xi(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}) \tag{B.13}$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda} = (y/8)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \Lambda$, hence $\tilde{t}_n = (y/8)^{\frac{2}{3}(2n+1)} t_n$ and $\tilde{s} = (y/8)^{-\frac{1}{3}} s$.

References

1. Witten, E.: On the Kontsevich model and other models of two dimensional gravity. preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/24
2. Kontsevich, M.: Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves. Funk. Anal. & Prilozh. **25**, 50–57 (1991); Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Lecture at the Arbeitstagung, Bonn, June 1991 and Commun. Math. Phys. **147**, 1–23 (1992) 1
3. Itzykson, C., Zuber, J.-N.: Combinatorics of the modular group. II. The Kontsevich integrals. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 5661–5705 (1992)
4. Witten, E., Kontsevich, M.: Private communication
5. Harish-Chandra: Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra. Am. J. Math. **79**, 87–120, (1957)
Itzykson, C., Zuber, J.-B.: The planar approximation. II. J. Math. Phys. **21**, 411–421 (1980)

Communicated by K. Gawedzki

