Ivan Chajda Polynomially determined tolerances

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 30 (1980), No. 3, 470-473,474

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101695

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## POLYNOMIALLY DETERMINED TOLERANCES

IVAN CHAJDA, Přerov

(Received November 6, 1978)

By a tolerance T on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  we mean a reflexive and symmetric binary relation on A satisfying the Substitution Property with respect to all operations from F, i.e. for each n-ary  $f \in F$  the validity of  $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle \in T$  (i = 1, ..., n) implies  $\langle f(a_1, ..., a_n), f(b_1, ..., b_n) \rangle \in T$ . Denote by  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$  the set of all tolerances on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Evidently,  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$  is an algebraic lattice with respect to the set inclusion (see [2]).

The concept of a polynomially determined congruence was introduced in [5] and [6]. The aim of this paper is to generalize this concept for tolerances and to give examples of such algebras.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra and p(x, y) a binary polynomial over F. A tolerance  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  is called (p, e)-determined if there exists an element  $e \in A$  such that

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in T$$
 if and only if  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ .

**Remark.** Since every congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a tolerance on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , every (p, e)-determined congruence is a (p, e)-determined tolerance by the definition in [5], p. 65 (for e = p(f, f)). Thus, every tolerance on a group  $\mathfrak{G}$  is (p, e)-determined for  $p(x, y) = x \cdot y^{-1}$ ,  $e = x \cdot x^{-1}$ , because every tolerance on  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a congruence (see [4], [7], [8]) and every congruence on a group is (p, e)-determined (see [5]). The next example introduces an algebra with a (p, e)-determined tolerance which is not a congruence.

**Example 1.** Let  $G = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \{\circ\})$  be a groupoid prescribed by the table:

0	a	b	С
a	a	b	с
b	b	b	b
с	с	b	а

Let  $T = \{\langle a, a \rangle, \langle b, b \rangle, \langle c, c \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle, \langle b, a \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle c, b \rangle\}$ . Evidently,  $T \in cLT(\mathfrak{G})$  and T is not a congruence because  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ ,  $\langle b, c \rangle \in T$  but  $\langle a, c \rangle \notin T$ . Let  $p(x, y) = x \circ y$ . Choose e = a. Evidently,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  implies p(x, y) = a or

ŝ,

470

p(x, y) = b, thus  $\langle p(x, y), e \rangle \in T$ . If  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin T$ , then  $\{x, y\} = \{a, c\}$  and p(x, y) = p(a, c) = c. Hence  $\langle p(x, y), e \rangle = \langle c, a \rangle \notin T$ . Accordingly, T is a (p, e)-determined tolerance on  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra and  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . We call  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \neq \emptyset$ , a block of T if

- (i)  $x, y \in B$  implies  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$ , i.e.  $B \times B \subseteq T$ ,
- (ii) B is a maximal subset of A with respect to (i).

For the properties of relational blocks the reader is referred to [1].

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra, p(x, y) a binary polynomial over F and  $e \in A$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  is (p, e)-determined,
- (2)  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$  if and only if there exists a block B of T containing e such that  $p(x, y) \in B$ .

Proof. The implication  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  is evident. Prove  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . If T is (p, e)-determined and  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ , then  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ . Since T is symmetric and reflexive, we have also  $\langle e, p(a, b) \rangle \in T$ ,  $\langle e, e \rangle \in T$  and  $\langle p(a, b), p(a, b) \rangle \in T$ , thus the twoelement set  $\{e, p(a, b)\}$  satisfies (i). By Zorn's lemma, there exists a block B of T such that  $\{e, p(a, b)\} \subseteq B$ . Conversely, if  $p(a, b) \in B$ , where B is a block of T containing e and T is (p, e)-determined, then  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$  implies  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra, p(x, y) a binary polynomial over F and  $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq A$ . The set M is said to be (p, e)-admissible on  $\mathfrak{A}$  if there exists a (p, e)-determined  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  such that

 $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$  if and only if  $p(a, b) \in M$ .

**Example 2.** Let G, p, T, e be the same as in Example 1. Then  $M = \{a, b\}$  is (p, e)-admissible.

The following theorem gives a characterization of (p, e)-admissible sets.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra, p(x, y) a polynomial over F,  $e \in A$  and  $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq A$ . A subset M is (p, e)-admissible on  $\mathfrak{A}$  if and only if:

- (1) For each  $a \in A$ ,  $p(a, a) \in M$ ;
- (2)  $p(a, b) \in M$  implies  $p(b, a) \in M$ ;
- (3) for every n-ary  $f \in F$ ,  $p(a_i, b_i) \in M$  (i = 1, ..., n) implies  $p(f(a_1, ..., a_n), f(b_1, ..., b_n)) \in M$ ;
- (4)  $p(p(a, b), e) \in M$  if and only if  $p(a, b) \in M$ .

Proof. Let  $M \subseteq A$  satisfy (1), (2), (3) and (4). Define a binary relation T on A such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$  if and only if  $p(a, b) \in M$ . Then T is reflexive by (1) and sym-

metric by (2). The condition (3) implies the Substitution Property and thus  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Further,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T$  if and only if  $p(x, y) \in M$  which is equivalent to  $p(p(x, y), e) \in M$  by (4), i.e.  $\langle p(x, y), e \rangle \in T$ . Hence T is (p, e)-determined which implies that M is (p, e)-admissible.

Conversely, let M be (p, e)-admissible and let  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  be the corresponding (p, e)-determined tolerance with  $p(a, b) \in M$  and only if  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ . Thus  $M = \{p(a, b); \langle a, b \rangle \in T\}$ . Clearly (1), (2), (3) are valid because T is reflexive, symmetric and has the Substitution Property. Further,  $p(p(a, b), e) \in M$  is equivalent to  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ , i.e.  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$  (since T is (p, e)-determined), which means  $p(a, b) \in M$ . Thus (4) holds, too.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = (B, F')$  be algebras of the same type,  $\varphi$  a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}$ , M a (p, e)-admissible set on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\{M_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  a system of (p, e)-admissible subsets on  $\mathfrak{A}$  for some binary polynomial p(x, y) over F and  $e \in A$ . Denote by  $p^*$  the polynomial over F' corresponding to p in  $\varphi$ . Then:

- (a)  $\cap \{M_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a (p, e)-admissible set on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (b)  $\varphi(M)$  is a  $(p^*, \varphi(e))$ -admissible set on  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

Proof. The first statement is clear. Prove (b). Put  $e^* = \varphi(e)$ , then  $e^* \in \varphi(M)$ If  $b \in B$ , there exists  $b' \in A$  such that  $b = \varphi(b')$ . Since  $p(b', b') \in M$ , also  $p^*(b, b) = p^*(\varphi(b'), \varphi(b')) = \varphi(p(b', b')) \in \varphi(M)$  and thus (1) of Theorem 1 is valid for  $\varphi(M)$  and  $e^*$ . The condition (2) of Theorem 1 is evident and (3) can be proved in the same way as (1). Prove (4). Let  $a, b \in B$  and  $p^*(p^*(a, b), e^*) \in \varphi(M)$ . Then there exist  $a', b' \in A$  with  $\varphi(a') = a, \varphi(b') = b$ . Suppose  $p^*(a, b) \notin \varphi(M)$ . Then  $p(a', b') \notin \emptyset$ , i.e.  $p(p(a', b'), e) \notin M$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, this implies  $p^*(p^*(a, b), e^*) \notin \varphi(M)$  is a contradiction. Thus  $p^*(a, b) \in \varphi(M)$ . By Theorem 1,  $\varphi(M)$  is a p-admissible set on B.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra, p(x, y) a binary polynomial over F and  $e \in A$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has (p, e)-determined tolerances if each  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  is (p, e)-determined.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  be an algebra,  $x, y \in A$ . Denote  $T(x, y) = \bigcap \{T \in LT(\mathfrak{A}); \langle x, y \rangle \in T \}$ . Clearly,  $T(x, y) \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  and it is called the *principal tolerance on*  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by  $\langle x, y \rangle$  (see [3]). It is a generalization of the principal congruence on  $\mathfrak{A}$  (see [5]).

We give a characterization of  $\mathfrak{A}$  having (p, e)-determined tolerances:

**Theorem 3.** An algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$  has (p, e)-determined tolerances (for a binary polynomial p(x, y) over F and  $e \in A$ ) if and only if:

\$

- (1) p(a, a) = e for each  $a \in A$ ,
- (2)  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T(p(a, b), e)$  for each  $a, b \in A$ .

Proof. Denote by  $\Delta$  the identity relation on A. Clearly  $\Delta$  is the least element in the lattice  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  has (p, e)-determined tolerances, then also  $\Delta$  is (p, e)-determined, i.e.  $\langle a, a \rangle \in \Delta$  if and only if  $\langle p(a, a), e \rangle \in \Delta$ . Since  $\langle a, a \rangle \in \Delta$  for each  $a \in A$ , we have  $\langle p(a, a), e \rangle \in \Delta$  which means p(a, a) = e. Thus (1) is proved. Since  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T(p(a, b), e)$  for each  $a, b \in A$ , and for each  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  we have  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$  if and only if  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ , we conclude  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T(p(a, b), e)$  and also (2) is proved.

Conversely, let (1), (2) be true and  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Suppose  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ . By the Substitution Property, also  $\langle p(a, b), p(a, a) \rangle \in T$  and, by (1),  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ . If, conversely,  $\langle p(a, b), e \rangle \in T$ , then  $T(p(a, b), e) \subseteq T$  and, by (2), also  $\langle a, b \rangle \in T$ . Thus T is (p, e)-determined.

**Remark.** Clearly every congruence on a group  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a (p, e)-determined tolerance for  $p(x, y) = x \cdot y^{-1}$ ,  $e = x \cdot x^{-1}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  has no tolerance different from a congruence [8],  $\mathfrak{G}$  is an example of an algebra with (p, e)-determined tolerances. The next example introduces an algebra with (p, e)-determined tolerances some of which are not congruences.

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{G} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ ,  $F = \{\circ\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{G} = (G, F)$  be a groupoid with the table

•	e	a	b	с	d
e	e	с	b	а	d
a	с	e	d	d	c
b	b	d	е	d	b
с	а	d	d	е	a
d	d	с	b	а	e

1°. Prove 
$$T(a, e) = G \times G$$
. Clearly  $\langle a, e \rangle, \langle e, a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ . Further  
 $\langle c, e \rangle = \langle a \circ e, e \circ e \rangle \in T(a, e)$ , i.e. also  $\langle e, c \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle a, c \rangle = \langle c \circ e, e \circ a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle c, d \rangle = \langle e \circ a, c \circ a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle b, d \rangle = \langle e \circ b, c \circ b \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle a, d \rangle = \langle e \circ c, a \circ c \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle b, a \rangle = \langle e \circ b, c \circ d \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle c, b \rangle = \langle a \circ e, b \circ e \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle b, e \rangle = \langle a \circ e, b \circ e \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle b, e \rangle = \langle b \circ e, a \circ a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle b, e \rangle = \langle b \circ e, a \circ a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ ,  
 $\langle e, d \rangle = \langle a \circ a, b \circ a \rangle \in T(a, e)$ .

Since T(a, e) is symmetric and reflexive, we conclude  $T(a, e) = G \times G$ . 2°. Since

$$\langle a, e \rangle = \langle c \circ e, e \circ e \rangle$$
 and  $\langle a, e \rangle = \langle d \circ e, e \circ e \rangle$ ,

it is also  $\langle a, e \rangle \in T(c, e)$ ,  $\langle a, e \rangle \in T(d, e)$  and, by 1°,  $T(c, e) = T(d, e) = G \times G$ .

473

3°. Clearly  $\langle b, e \rangle$  and  $\langle e, b \rangle \in T(b, e)$ . Hence

$$\langle c, d \rangle = \langle e \circ a, b \circ a \rangle \in T(b, e), \quad \langle d, b \rangle = \langle b \circ c, b \circ d \rangle \in T(b, e).$$

4°. Put  $p(x, y) = x \circ y$  and let e be an element of G. To prove that (5 has (p, e))-determined tolerances, it suffices, by Theorem 4, only to prove

(\*) 
$$\langle x, y \rangle \in T(x \circ y, e)$$
 for each  $x, y \in G$ ,

because  $x \circ x = e$  is evident.

If  $p(x, y) = x \circ y = b$ , then either  $\{x, y\} = \{b, e\}$  or  $\{x, y\} = \{d, b\}$ . By 3°,

$$\langle b, e \rangle \in T(b, e), \quad \langle e, b \rangle \in T(b, e),$$
  
 $\langle d, b \rangle \in T(b, e), \quad \langle b, d \rangle \in T(b, e);$ 

thus (\*) is true for these x, y.

If  $\{x, y\} \neq \{b, e\}$  and  $\{x, y\} \neq \{b, d\}$ , then  $p(x, y) \neq b$ . In this case 1° or 2° implies (\*) trivially. Thus **G** has (p, e)-determined tolerances.

5°. Let  $T = \Delta \cup \{ \langle e, d \rangle, \langle d, e \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle, \langle b, a \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle c, b \rangle \}$ . Then, clearly,  $T = T(a, b) = T(b, c) \in LT(\mathfrak{G})$ . However, T is not a congruence, because  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $\langle b, c \rangle \in T$  but  $\langle a, c \rangle \notin T$ .

## References

- Chajda I.: Partitions, coverings and blocks of binary relations, Glasnik Matematički (Zagreb), 14 (1979), 21-26.
- [2] Chajda I., Zelinka B.: Lattices of tolerances, Časop. pěst. matem. 102 (1977), 89-96.
- [3] Chajda I., Zelinka B.: Minimal compatible tolerances on lattices, Czech. Math. J., 27 (1977), 452-459.
- [4] Pondělíček B.: On tolerances on periodic semigroups, Czech. mat. J. 29 (1979), to appear.
- [5] Schmidt E. T.: Kongruenzrelationen algebraischer Strukturen, Berlin 1969.
- [6] Slominski J.: On the determining of the form of congruences in abstract algebras with equationally definable constant elements, Fund. Math. 48 (1960), 325-341.
- [7] Zelinka B.: Tolerance in algebraic structures, Czech. Math. J. 20 (1970), 281-292.
- [8] Zelinka B.: Tolerance in algebraic structures II, Czech. Math. J., 25 (1975), 157-178.

Author's address: 750 00 Přerov, třída Lidových milicí 22, ČSSR.