

1.

Sindh Univ. Res. Jour. (Sci. Ser.) Vol. 45 (2) 213-218 (2013)



# Population, Host Preference and Feeding Potential of *Chrysoperla Carnea* (Stephens) on Different Insect Hosts in Cotton and Mustard Crops

A. W. SOLANGI<sup>++</sup> A. G. LANJAR, N. BALOCH\*\* M. UL N. RAIS\*, S. A. KHUHRO

Department. of Entomology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam.

Received 24th July 2012 and Revised 22th May 2013

Abstract: Studies on the population, host preference and feeding potential of Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) on different insect

Abstract: Studies on the population, nost preference and reeding potential of *Chrysoperia Carnea* (Stephens) on different insect hosts were conducted in field and laboratory conditions in the year 2011. The results revealed that the maximum numbers of *Bemisia tabaci* 19.84 (±2.46), *Aphis gossypii* 23.14 (±0.84), *Amrasca devastan* 13.42 (±2.10) and mealybug 50.62 (±5.44) per leaf were found on cotton crop. Similarly, maximum population of *B. tabaci*, *A. devastan*, and eggs of *Bagarada Picta* was recorded as 9.44 (±1.14), 6.44 (±0.74), 38.13 (±1.44) and 22.144 (±7.83), respectively on mustard crop. More activities of *C. carnea* (0.32±0.10) per plant were recorded on mustard as compared to cotton (0.32±0.14). The population of *C. canea* was negatively correlated with *B. tabaci* population (-0.019 NS) and positively with *A. devastan* (0.145 NS), *A. gossypii* (0.700\*\*) and mealybug (0.834\*\*), respectively on cotton. Similarly, negative correlation with *B. tabaci* population (-0.193 NS) and positive correlations with *A. devastan* (0.202NS), *L. erysimi* (0.923\*\*) and *B. picta* (0.925\*\*), respectively were recorded on mustard crop. The 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae voraciously fed on 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymphs of all sucking insects. They consumed 66.14 (±2.18) *A. gossypii*, 61.14 (±1.06) *P. solinopsis*, 32.78 (±1.32) *B. tabaci* and 19.66 (±1.34) *A. devastan*, respectively. The green lacewing fed 30.37 (±1.51) aphid day<sup>-1</sup>, followed by mealy bug (12.30± 1.48), whiteflies (1.94± 0.30), and jassid (0.43±0.095). It is concluded from the results that *C. carnea* displayed its maximum activities when pest population were at their peaks. The third instar grubs were voracious on 3rd instars of all prey hosts. *A. gossypii* was the most preferred host in cotton and *L. erysimi* in mustard crop. *B. tabaci* had no impact on population activities of *C. carnea*.

Keywords: Prey, C. carnea, population, host preference, feeding potential.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Chrysoperla carnea (Chrysopidae: Neuroptera) is a common predator of soft bodied insect pests in Pakistan (Muzmil et al. 2007). Adults feed on flower nectar and pollen (Kareim, 1998). Saminathan and Baskaran (1999) reported that before inflorescence, they eat honeydue excretion of A. gossypii as their diet. Its larvae are voracious on A. gossypiis and consume all life stages. Besides A. gossypii, they also feed on different insect pests. Complete destruction of A. gossypii colonies was recorded by (Jagadish and Jayaramaiah, 2004). The predatory range of C. carnea is more than seventy insects and non-insect species (Hoftman, and Frodsham, 1993). The larvae of C. carnea were used as bio controlling agent, which effectively controls B. tabaci on cotton in Pakistan (Muzmil et al., 2007). Similarly, Chakraborty and Korat (2010) reported that A. gossypii is the most preferred host of C. carnea, followed by Uroleucon compsoitae, Lipaphis erysimi (Kalt.), Brevicoryne brassicae Linn. Aphis craccivora Koch. and Aphis nerii. Rana and Srivastava (1998) tested C. carnea consumption on different aphid species; the larval voracity in decreasing order was recorded as L. erysimi, A. craccivora, and B. brassicae. Bansod et al. (2001) reported that the larvae of this predator consumed more A. gossypii than U.

*compositae.* Similarly, Liu and Chen (2001) revealed that the predatory larvae consumed more *A. gossypii* than *L. erysimi. C. arnea* can be utilized more efficiently in cotton ecosystem than other predators as it feeds not only on *A. gossypiis* and other sucking pests but also on bollworm eggs and neonate larvae (Aijun *et al.*, 2004). Ahmed *et al.* (2011) conserved *C. carnea* by food supplements for suppression of all sucking insect pest in cotton crop, with special reference to cotton mealybug. Looking at its predatory range and varicosity on different insect hosts, the experiments were conducted on its population, host preference and feeding potential on cotton and mustard crops in the field conditions and laboratory as well.

## 2. <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>

Studies on population, host preference and feeding potential of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) on different hosts were carried out in field and laboratory conditions at Entomology Section, Agriculture Research Institute Tandojam during 2011. The data was recorded on field population of insect pests and the predator in summer. For this purpose cotton variety NIAB- 78 was sown on one acre land. The population of insect pests was recorded on 50 randomly selected leaves of cotton plants. The leaves were examined from different strata

<sup>++</sup>Corresponding author: Abdul Waheed Solangi Email: solangiwaheed@gmail.com

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Sindh, Jamshoro.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Agriculture Economics, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam

of 50 randomly selected plants. The same plants were thoroughly examined to sample *C. carnea population*. The same procedure was adopted to record the population of insect pests and predator on Mustard crop in winter season.

#### Host preference (Free Choice)

Experiment on host preference of *C. carnea* was carried out in the laboratory of Entomology Section, ARI, Tandojam. For host preference  $3^{rd}$  instar larvae of *C. carnea* were given  $3^{rd}$  instar nymphs of each of *B. tabaci*, *A. devastans*, *A. gossypii*, *Phenococus solinopsis* for a day (24 hours) in a glass jar (7x3cm). The experiment was repeated twice on various dates with cotton crop and once with mustard crop to observe host preference on *B. tabaci*, *A. devastans*, *L. erysimi and* eggs of *B.picta*. There were 5 replications of each treatment.

# Feeding potential on different nymphal instars of various insects (No choice)

Feeding efficiency of *C. carnea* larval instars was studied on 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> instars of *B. tabac*i, *Amrasca devastans*, *A. gossypii*, *Phenococus solinopsis* separately. Neonate 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of *C. carnea* were placed singly in glass jars (7x3cm) in three sets. Counted numbers of each instar nymphs of prey were provided to larvae of *C. carnea*. Nymphal consumption day<sup>-1</sup> of each instar of *C. carnea* was recorded. The experiment was laid out by using CRD with 5 replications. The temperature and relative humidity were maintained as  $26\pm2^{\circ}$ C and  $65\pm5\%$ , respectively.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Pre-predator interaction on cotton and mustard crops

3.

The data in indicated that C. carnea appeared in the field of cotton crop just after the resurgence of insect pests (Table 1). B. tabaci appeared in very early stage of the crop. Maximum number of B. tabaci  $(19.84\pm2.46)$  was recorded in the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of May, whereas, A. devastan and A. gossypii appeared after 2 weeks of germination of the crop. The population of C. carnea was much synchronized with the pest populations. The maximum population of A. gossypii was recorded (23.14±0.84) in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of May, whereas, the maximum population (13.42±2.10) of A. devastan was recorded in the 1<sup>st</sup> week of May. The mealy bug appeared when the crop was 2 months old. Its population was low in the beginning, which erupted in later stage of the crop and reached to its peak (50.62)  $\pm 5.44$  per leaf) in the 1<sup>st</sup> week of July. During peak activities of A. gossypii and mealy bug, the maximum activities (0.32±0.14 per plant) of C. carnea were also recorded.

The data in Table 2 indicated that C. carnea appeared in the field of mustard crop just after the resurgence of insect pests. B. tabaci appeared with a population of 5.44  $(\pm 1.43)$  in the last week of November when the crop was one week old. Its maximum population  $(9.44\pm1.14)$  per leaf was recorded in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of December, whereas, A. devastan and L. erysimi appeared after 2 weeks of germination of the crop. The maximum populations of A. devastan and L. erysimi was recorded (6.44 $\pm$ 0.74) and (38.13 $\pm$ 1.44) in  $2^{nd}$  week of December and 1<sup>st</sup> week of January, respectively. The eggs of B. picta were found on the crop leaves and twigs from the last week of December to 2<sup>nd</sup> week of February. The maximum number of eggs (22.144±7.83) were found in the last week of January. Like cotton crop C. carnea was synchronizing with prey population. The maximum activities of C. carnea were recorded during peak activities of L. erysimi and B. picta. During this period its population ranged 0.10±0.08 to 0.38±0.10 per plant. However, on cotton crop the population of green lacewing was negatively correlated with B. tabaci population (-0.019 NS) and positively with A. devastan population (0.145 NS). Highly significant correlation was observed between populations of C. carnea and A. gossypii  $(0.700^{**})$  and mealy bug  $(0.834^{**})$ , respectively. Similarly, negative correlations with B. tabaci (-0.193 NS) and positive with A. devastan (0.202NS) population were recorded on mustard crop. Highly significant correlation was observed between the population of C. carnea with L. erysimi (0.923\*\*) and B. picta (0.925\*\*), respectively.

#### Feeding potential of C. carnea (No choice)

Data in Table 4 indicated that C. carnea fed on all nymphal instars of *B. tabaci*, *A. gossypii*, *A. devastans* and mealy bug. However, its 1<sup>st</sup> instar larvae voraciously fed on 3<sup>rd</sup> instars of all the preys, except mealy bug. The 1st instar of C. carnea consumed (27.16±1.82 per day) 3<sup>rd</sup> instars of A. gossypii, followed by A. devastan (6.44±0.56), B. tabaci (6.14±0.78) and 1<sup>st</sup> instars of *P. solinopsis* (27.00±0.40). The second instar of C. carnea also voraciously fed on 3rd instar of all sucking insects. It consumed 45.82 (±2.64) A. gossypiis, followed by mealy bug (40.78±1.32), B. tabaci (18.62±1.44) and A. devastan (10.14±0.76). Similar trend of prey consumption was displayed by 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of C. carnea. It consumed 66.14 (±2.18) 3rd instar A. gossypii, 61.14 (±1.06) P. solinopsis 32.78  $(\pm 1.32)$  B. tabaci and 19.66  $(\pm 1.34)$  A. devastan, respectively. It was concluded from the results that mostly 3<sup>rd</sup> instars of all the preys were preferred by all instars of C. carnea. Among preys A. gossypii was the most preferred host followed by P. solinopsis, B. tabaci and A devastan.

Date of observation	Predator per plant	Prey per leaf					
	C. carnea	B. tabaci	A. gossypii	A. devastans	Mealy bug		
March 22	0.00	3.78±0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00		
April 5	0.00	7.42±1.86	0.84±0.46	1.08±0.64	0.00		
20	0.10±0.06	14.14±1.22	2.28±1.04	9.41±2.14	0.00		
May 5	0.18±0.08	6.44±1.84	5.17±1.63	13.42±2.10	9.44±0.04		
20	0.22±0.06	19.84±2.46	19.44±0.44	10.01±2.46	16.32±3.41		
June 5	0.17±0.11	2.14±0.74	23.14±0.84	2.11±0.64	28.13±0.62		
20	0.22±0.13	2.44±0.41	10.32±1.34	1.32±0.86	44.42±0.98		
July 5	0.32±0.14	1.32±0.46	2.90±1.04	3.42±0.46	50.62±5.44		
20	0.15±0.09	0.44±0.12	1.32±0.84	6.18±1.20	32.44±6.66		
August 5	0.11±0.08	1.14±0.84	0.0±00	2.18±0.64	13.14±3.44		
20	0.06±0.04	00	00	2.11±0.87	22.64±2.48		
Sept. 5	00	00	00	1.48±036	10.16±1.03		

Table 1. Mean population of predator and prey on cotton crop during Summer 2011.

Table 2. Mean population of predator and prey on mustard crop during Winter 2011.

Date of	Predator per plant	Prey per leaf					
observation	C. carnea	B. tabaci	L.erysimi	A. devastans	B. picta egg		
Nov. 11	0.00	5.44±1.43	00	00	00		
Dec. 13	$0.10{\pm}0.08$	3.44±0.42	7.44±1.32	6.44±0.74	00		
28	0.16±0.10	9.44±1.14	20.44±2.46	2.14±0.84	12.01±6.14		
Jan. 12, 2012	0.29±0.12	4.84±1.82	38.13±1.44	0.84±0.43	18.34±6.13		
27	0.38±0.10	1.86±0.94	26.42±1.62	0.36±0.18	22.144±7.83		
Feb. 12	$0.14{\pm}0.08$	0.34±0.22	12.44±2.46	00	8.09±4.01		

# Host preference of C. carnea (Free choice)

(**Table 3**) revealed that *A. gossypii* was highly preferred host of *C. carnea* where as A. *devastan* was the least preferred *host* in free choice preference. The larvae of *C. carnea* consumed maximum ( $30.56\pm3.58$ ) *A. gossypii*, followed by *P. solinopsis* ( $13.48\pm1.64$ ) *B. tabaci* ( $6.43\pm1.03$ ), and ( $4.84\pm1.22$ ) *A. devastans* day<sup>-1</sup>.

During mustard season the most preferred *host* was *L. erysimi* and the least preferred was *B. tabaci. C. carnea* consumed 36.49 ( $\pm$ 2.14) individuals of *L. erysimi* day<sup>-1</sup>. It consumed 10.14 ( $\pm$ 1.44) eggs of *B. picta* eggs day<sup>-1</sup>, followed by *A. devastans* (8.86 $\pm$ 1.18) and *B. tabaci* (4.44 $\pm$ 0.88).

Crop	Date of observation	Insect pest					
		B. tabaci	A. gossypii	A. devastans	P. solinopsis	L. erysimi	B. picta
							eggs
Cotto	April 12-2011	2.44±0.42	24.14±0.89	2.01±0.44	10.17±0.42	-	-
n	June 12-2011	6.43±1.03	30.56±3.58	4.84±1.22	13.48±1.64	-	-
Musta rd	Dec:08-2011	4.44±0.88	-	8.86±1.18	-	36.49±2.14	10.14±1.44

C. carnea larval stage	Prey	Consumption rate on various life stages					
		1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	2 <sup>nd</sup> Instar	3 <sup>rd</sup> Instar	4 <sup>th</sup> instar		
	White fly	$4.2\pm0.38$	3.47±0.72	6.14±0.78	5.44±0.86		
1 <sup>st</sup> Instar	A. devastan	4.01±0.42	6.41±0.34	6.44±0.56			
	A.gossypii	13.74±2.06	22.44 ±2.45	27.16±1.82	6.44±0.74		
	Mealy bug	22.0±0.4	5.32±1.84	3.44±1.64			
	White fly	14.35±2.01	16.42±1.34	18.62±1.44	20.32±1.06		
2 <sup>nd</sup> Instar	A. devastan	9.14±1.74	9.47±0.84	10.14±0.76	9.14±0.59		
	A.gossypii	26.82±3.18	42.66±3.14	45.82±2.64	29.62±0.56		
	Mealy bug	26±4.78	38.74±2.14	40.78±1.32			
	White fly	34.14±3.42	34.44±2.72	32.44±2.14	38.80±1.44		
3 <sup>rd</sup> Instar	A. devastan	17.66±2.44	13.43±0.92	19.66±1.34	17.86±1.22		
	A.gossypii	55.14±4.41	59.11±3.74	66.14±2.18	44.13±0.7		
	Mealy bug	48±3.60	50.42±2.32	61.14±1.06			

#### Table 4. Feeding potential of green lace wing, C. carnea on different hosts in the laboratory condition.

# 4.

#### DISCUSSION

The result showed that the soft bodied insect pests such as B. tabaci, A. devastans, A. gossypii and P. solinopsis appeared at various growing stages of cotton crop in summer, whereas, in winter, L erysimi and B. picta appeared on mustard instead of A. gossypii and P. solinopsis. Dhawan (2000) mentioned A. devastans, A. gossypii and B. tabaci as the important key pests of cotton. A new mealy bug (Phenacoccus solenopsis Tinsley) appeared recently and has attained the status of a serious pest on a wide range of host plants including cotton (Arif et al., 2009). Sahito et al. (2010) reported that L. erysimi and B. picta appeared on mustard crop from seedling to its harvesting. In contrast to the results, Haider (1999) and Balakrishnan (2005) mentioned voracity of C. carnea on A. devastans. The results further indicated that C. carnea fed on all types of soft bodied insect pests and their eggs found in cotton and mustard crops as well. These findings are in agreement with those of Hoftman, and Frodsham (1993). They reported insects and non-insect species as hosts of C. carnea. Aijun et al. (2004) mentioned that the host range of C. carnea extended to all soft body insects including bollworm eggs and neonate larvae. Singh and Kumar (2000) reported that Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) successfully suppressed aphid population in mustard. The population of C. carnea was very synchronizing with the population of host insect. As host population increased, the activities of C. carnea were also increased. Its population was positively correlated with the population of A. devastans A. gossypii and eggs of B. picta. Vennila (1998) reported positive and negative correlation between the population of A. devastan and C. carnea on various cotton varieties. Mari et al. (2007) reported positive correlation with A. gossypii population. Solangi et al.,

(2008) and Mari et al. (2009) also support our results. They mentioned that the populations of some predators including C. carnea were positively correlated with sucking complex on cotton. The result further showed that in free choice A.gossypii and L. erysimi were the most preferred hosts in both the crops and A. devastans was the least preferred host during cotton crop as compared to mustard crop. Chakraborty and Korat (2010) reported that A. gossypii is one of the most preferred hosts of C. carnea among all the aphid species. It strongly preferred A. gossypiis over eggs and neonate 1st instar larvae of Pieris brassicae (Huang and Enkegaard, 2010), while, Sahito et al. (2010) mentioned that C. carnea (Stephens) were seen occasionally feeding on nymphs and adults of the A. devastans. Sattar (2011) reported that C. carnea reduced more than 80 and 50% population of A. devastan and B. tabaci, respectively in cotton. Malleshaiah et al. (2000) reported that the grub of C. carnea consumed more than three thousand eggs, seven hundred nymphs and ninety adult females of citrus mealy bug. The results also revealed that the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of *C.carnea* were highly voracious on almost all later nymphal stages of prey hosts. Gautam and Tesfaye (2002) found that the predatory potential of the predator was higher in the older instars than the younger ones. The estimated handling time was somewhat lower for the third instar because of the higher prey consumption. Nordlund and Correa (1995) studied the same correlation between the predator and its prey and found similar findings as we had. Balakrishnan et al. (2005) mentioned that the final instar grub consumed more number of prevs compared to earlier instars. Syed et al. (2005) reported C. carnea consumed more B. tabaci nymphs (200.5 nymphs) as compared to A. devastans (171.8 nymphs).

**CONCLUSION** 

It is concluded from the results that *C. carnea* was more active on mustard crop than cotton. It fed on all types of sucking insects; however, aphids were more preferred host. Third instar larvae of *C. carnea* voraciously fed on  $3^{rd}$  instar nymphs of most of the sucking insect pests.

### **REFERENCES:**

5.

Ahmed, N., M. Sarwar, M.S. Wagan, R. Muhammad and M. Tofiue, (2011) Conservation of bio-control agents in cotton, *gossypium hirsutum* 1. field by food supplements for insect pests management. The Nucleus 48 (3): 255-260.

Aijun Z., A. Divina, S. Shyam, S.S. Miguel, A.F. Rosa, E.O. James, A.K. Jerome, R.A. Jeffrey, E.M. Dale and L.L. Stephen, (2004) Sex pheromone of the pink hibiscus *P.solinopsis*, Maconellicoccus hirsutus, contains an unusual cyclobutanoid monopterpene. The Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. 101 (**26**): 9601-9606.

Arif, M.I., M. Rafiq and A. Ghaffar, (2009) Host plants of cotton mealybug (*Phenacoccus solenopsis*): a new menace to cotton agroecosystem of Punjab. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, (11): 163–167.

Balakrishnan, N., R.K. MuraLi Baskaran and N.R. Mahadevan, (2005) Development and predatory potential of green lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera : Chrysophidae) on different prey insects. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, 25 (3): 194–197.

Chakraborty, D. and D. M. Korat .(2010) Feeding efficiency of green lace wing , *chrysoperla carnea* (stephens) on different species of *A.gossypiis* . Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 23 (5): 793-794.

Dhawan, A.K. (2000) Cotton pest scenario in India. Current status of insecticides and futur e perspectives. *Agrolook*, (1): 9-26.

Gautam, R.D. and A. Tesfaye, (2002) Potential of green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) in crop pest management. New Agriculturist, 13 (**1**/**2**): 147-158.

Haider, I. (1999) Comparative toxicity of some insecticides on cotton *A. devastan* and its predator *C. carnea* (Steph.). M. Sc. (Hons.) Agric. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Faisalabad, Pakistan.

Henry, C. S., S. J., Brooks, P. Duelli, and J. B. Johnson, (2002) "Discovering the True *Chrysoperla carnea* Insecta: Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) Using Song Analysis, Morphology, and Ecology". *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 95 (2): 172Pp. Hoffmann, M. P. and A. C. Frodsham, (1993) Natural Enemies of Vegetable Insect Pests. Cooperative Extension, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. 63 Pp.

Huang, N. and A. Enkegaard. (2010) Predation capacity and prey preference of *Chrysoperla carnea* on *Pieris brassicae*. Biocontrol 55 (3): 379-385.

Jagadish, K..S. and M. Jayaramaiah, (2004) Biology and predatory potentiality of *Chrysoperla carnea* on the tobacco *A. gossypii, Myzus nicotianae* (Homoptera). J. Ecobiol., 16 (3): 161-167.

Kareim, A. I. (1998) Searching rate and potential of some natural enemies as bio-control agent against the *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). J. Appl. Entomol., (**122**): 487–92.

Malleshaiah, B K Rajagopal, K N M Gowda (2000) Feeding potential of Chrysoperla carnea (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) of different stages of citrus mealy bug, Planococcus citri (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) Crop Res. Hisar. 20 (1): 126-129.

Mari, J. M., S. M. Nizamani and M. K. lohar (2007) Population fluctuation of sucking insect pests and predators in cotton ecosystem. 8<sup>th</sup> African crop Sci. Conf. Proceeding. 8: 27-31 October, 2007, Faculty of Agriculture Minia, Minia University, Minia Egypt. 929-934.

Mari, J. M., S. M. Nizamani and M. K. lohar (2009) Population of lacewing, *chrysoperla carnea* (stephens) in relation to sucking insectpests in cotton ecosystem. Pak. J. Agri., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci., 25 (2): 53-57.

Nordlund, D. A. and J. A. correa (1995a). Improvement in the predation system for green lacewings: An adult feeding and oviposition unit and hot wire egg harvesting system. Biol. Control, 5 vol. (2): 179-188.

Rana, B. S. and R. C. Srivastava (1998) Feeding potential and growth rate index of aphid lion, *Chrysoperla carnea* on different species of aphid. Paper presented In Nation. Sem. Entomol. 21th Century Maharana partap University of Agri. And Technology, Udaipur Rajasthan. April 30- May 2 1998.

Sahito, H.A., I. Haq, MAG. Sulehria, A.A. Nahiyoon and R. Mahmood (2010). Preliminary studies on egg parasitoids of cotton *A. devastan*, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (Ishida). <u>www.icac.org/tis reginal\_network/</u> 5/Pap Sahito H A -et al. pdf. Fef. 2013.

Sahito, H.A., A.G. Lanjar, and B. Mal (2010) Studies on population dynamics of sucking insect pests of mustard

crop (Brassica campestris). Pak. J. of Agri. Agri Eng. Vetern. Sci. 26 (1): 66-74.

Saminathan, V. R. and R. K. M. Baskaran (1999) Biology and predatory potential of green lacewing, Chrysoperla carnea (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on different insect hosts. Indian Agri Sci., 69 (7): 502-505.

Saminathan, V. R., N. R. Mahadevan and N. Muthukrishnan. (2003) Influence of prey density on the predatory potential and development of *Chrysoperla carnea*. Indian J. Ent. 65 (1): 1Pp.

Sattar, M., G. H. Abro and T. S. Syed (2011) Effect of different hosts on biology of *Chrysoperlra carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae") in laboratory conditions. Pak. J. Zool. (43): 1049-1054.

Singh, N. N. and M. Kumar (2000) Potentiality of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) in suppression of

mustard aphid population. Indian J. of Entomol. (62): 323-326.

Solangi, G. S., G. M. Mahar and F. C. Oad (2008). Presence and abundance of different insect predators against sucking insect pest of cotton . J Entomol. 5 (1): 31-37.

Syed, A.N., M. Ashfaq and S. Khan (2005) Comparison of development and predation of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on different densities of two hosts (*Bemisia tabaci*, and *Amrasca devastans*). *Pak. Entomol.* 27 (1): 41-44.

Vennila, S. (1998) Relationship between sucking pests (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula, A.gossypii*) and their predators (*Cheilomenes sexmaculata, Chrysoperla carnea*) on cotton cultivars. J. Entomol. Res. 22 (4): 349-353.