# Population Structure of the Endangered Franciscana Dolphin (*Pontoporia blainvillei*): Reassessing Management Units

# Haydée A. Cunha<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Bruna V. Medeiros<sup>1</sup>, Lupércio A. Barbosa<sup>3</sup>, Marta J. Cremer<sup>4</sup>, Juliana Marigo<sup>5,6</sup>, José Lailson-Brito<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre F. Azevedo<sup>2</sup>, Antonio M. Solé-Cava<sup>1</sup>

1 Laboratório de Biodiversidade Molecular, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2 Laboratório de Mamíferos Aquáticos e Bioindicadores, Faculdade de Oceanografia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 3 Organização Consciência Ambiental, Vila Velha, Espírito Santo, Brazil, 4 Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade da Região de Joinville, Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil, 5 Laboratório de Patologia Comparada de Animais Selvagens, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 6 Projeto Biopesca, Praia Grande, São Paulo, Brazil

# Abstract

Franciscanas are the most endangered dolphins in the Southwestern Atlantic. Due to their coastal and estuarine habits, franciscanas suffer from extensive fisheries bycatch, as well as from habitat loss and degradation. Four Franciscana Management Areas (FMA), proposed based on biology, demography, morphology and genetic data, were incorporated into management planning and in the delineation of research efforts. We re-evaluated that proposal through the analysis of control region sequences from franciscanas throughout their distribution range (N = 162), including novel sequences from the northern limit of the species and two other previously unsampled localities in Brazil. A deep evolutionary break was observed between franciscanas from the northern and southern portions of the species distribution, indicating that they must be managed as two Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESU). Furthermore, additional FMAs should be recognised to accommodate the genetic differentiation found in each ESU. These results have immediate consequences for the conservation and management of this endangered species.

Citation: Cunha HA, Medeiros BV, Barbosa LA, Cremer MJ, Marigo J, et al. (2014) Population Structure of the Endangered Franciscana Dolphin (*Pontoporia blainvillei*): Reassessing Management Units. PLoS ONE 9(1): e85633. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085633

Editor: Alfred L. Roca, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, United States of America

Received July 30, 2013; Accepted December 5, 2013; Published January 31, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Cunha et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was financially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPq/Brazil (www.cnpq.br) and Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro - FAPERJ (www.faperj.br). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: haydeecunha@yahoo.com.br

# Introduction

The franciscana *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Gervais & d'Orbigny, 1844), is a small dolphin endemic to the Southwestern Atlantic, from the state of Espírito Santo, Brazil ( $\sim 18^{\circ}$ S), to the province of Chubut, Argentina ( $\sim 42^{\circ}$ S) [1]. It belongs to a relict lineage and its closest living relative is the riverine boto, *Inia geoffrensis* [2,3] which occurs in the Amazon and Orinoco river basins.

Franciscanas are the most endangered dolphins in the Southwestern Atlantic [4,5] representing the only South Atlantic dolphin species in the Red List of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (listed as vulnerable, A3d). Due to their coastal and estuarine habits, franciscanas inhabit areas of heavy human activity, which poses several threats to their conservation. For example, franciscanas are the most frequent cetacean species in incidental captures along most of their range [6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13], and, where basic data have been gathered, current levels of bycatch have been shown to be unsustainable [14,15], resulting, in southern Brazil, in a population decrease of more than 30% projected over three generations [14,16,17]. Habitat loss and degradation are other major threats, as much of the species' habitat has been or is expected to be modified in the near future. Where franciscanas still exist in proximity to urban

centers, contamination levels are also a matter of concern [18,19,20,21,22,23].

To help the conservation of *Pontoporia* populations, it is fundamental that their limits be clearly identified. Delimitation is vital to access demographic parameters and, thus, the impact of non-natural mortality. Being demographically independent, populations need to be managed separately. Genetic data have the unrivalled ability to disclose demographically independent units. In conservation, those units are called Evolutionarily Significant Units [24] or Management Units (*sensu* Moritz [25]), depending on the degree of evolutionary divergence among them.

Secchi et al. [26] compiled all available information at the time, including genetic data, and proposed four Franciscana Management Areas (FMA, Fig. 1). The FMA were incorporated into management planning and in the delineation of subsequent research efforts. Since then, more genetic data have accumulated in favour of that proposal [27,28], but recent studies have also argued for finer subdivision within the two southern FMA (III and IV) [28,29,30]. However, none of those studies included samples from the northernmost region of the species distribution, in the state of Espírito Santo. In this study, we analysed control region sequences from franciscanas throughout the species distribution range, including novel sequences from three localities previously



**Figure 1. Franciscana Management Areas (FMA) and sampling.** Sample sizes and localities across the species' distribution (dark grey) and the four FMAs (I to IV) proposed by Secchi et al. (2003). Circles indicate new samples, squares indicate sequences from the literature (Secchi et al. 1998, Lázaro et al. 2004). ES: Espírito Santo; RJN: northern Rio de Janeiro; RJS: southern Rio de Janeiro; SPN: northern São Paulo; SPC: central São Paulo; SPS: southern São Paulo; PR: Paraná; SC: Santa Catarina; RS: Rio Grande do Sul; URU: Uruguay; ARG: Argentina. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085633.q001

unsampled (Espírito Santo, southern Rio de Janeiro and northern Santa Catarina). Our results reformulate the proposal of Secchi et al. [26] and have immediate consequences for the conservation and management of the species.

## Materials and Methods

We collected samples from 68 franciscana carcasses that had washed ashore along the Brazilian coast (Fig. 1). No animals were killed for the purposes of this study. Samples were collected from animals that died on different dates or locations, except for two pairs (an adult female and adult male, and two juvenile males). Therefore, sampling is unlikely to be biased towards related individuals. Sampling permits were issued by the Brazilian Environmental Agencies IBAMA/MMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Renováveis; sampling permits 11495-1, 11980-1 and 25269-1) and ICMBio/MMA (Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade; sampling permits 11579-1 and 20264-5). DNA was isolated through the standard phenol-chloroform procedure with proteinase K [31]. We used the complete mitochondrial genome of Pontoporia blainvillei (GenBank NC005277) to design a new set of primers, flanking 577 base pairs (bp) of the mitochondrial control region, (RCPb-F 5'- CTC CTA AAT TGA AGA GTC TTC G - 3'; RCPb-R 5' - CCA TCG AGA TGT CTT ATT TAA GAG G - 3'). PCR amplification was performed in 25 µL reactions containing 1 unit of GoTaq polymerase (Promega); 0.20 mM dNTPs; 2.5 mM MgCl2; 25 µg BSA and 0.5 µM of each primer. PCR cycling was as follows:

3 min. at 93°C; 30 cycles of 1 min. at 92°C, 1 min. at 50°C and 1 min. at 72°C; plus 5 min. of final extension at 72°C. PCR products were purified and sequenced in both directions in an ABI 3130 automated sequencer. Sequences were edited with program *SeqMan 7* (Lasergene Inc.), visually aligned in *MEGA 4* [32] and submitted to GenBank, under accession numbers KF270687 to KF270692.

Previously published sequences from different localities (N = 94 [27,33]) were included in the alignment, increasing sample size to 162 and covering the species' entire range (Fig. 1). The two sampling sites from Rio de Janeiro (RJS and RJN) came from different sides of a gap in the current distribution of the species.

Haplotype and nucleotide diversities were estimated with *DNASp* 5 [34]. Population differentiation analyses (AMOVA [35]) were conducted in *Arlequin 3.5* [36]. Mismatch distribution analyses and a Mantel test were also performed in *Arlequin 3.5*. A median joining haplotype network was built with *Network 4.611* ([37], www.fluxus-engineering.com).

We investigated the demographic past of the species with a Bayesian skyline plot reconstruction conducted in *BEAST 1.6* [38]. Coalescent reconstructions used a strict molecular clock with the mutation rate for the control region of cetaceans (estimated at 1%/My [39]) and the HKY + I mutation model, as indicated by *jModelTest* [40]. The number of grouped intervals (m) was set to five. Three independent runs of ten million Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) steps each were performed to achieve reliable parameters estimates (ESS>200).

# Results

Due to the shorter length of published sequences, analyses were conducted using an alignment of 455 bp. Thirty-six substitutions were observed, defining 30 haplotypes, of which six had not been reported previously. Haplotype and nucleotide diversities were 0.868 ( $\pm 0.018$ ) and 0.009 ( $\pm 0.00035$ ), respectively. A gradient of haplotype diversity was evident, decreasing from south to north, and all samples from the northernmost sampling area (Espírito Santo) shared the same, exclusive haplotype (Fig. 2, Table S1 and Figure S1).

The most frequent haplotype (H3) was found in all localities south of SPC, and the second most common haplotype (H2) occurred in all localities between SC and RJS (Fig. 2). Haplotype H3 is connected to many other haplotypes, forming a star-shaped topology suggestive of population expansion. Haplotypes from RJN and ES are closely related, but their connection to haplotypes from other localities could not be precisely defined. Haplotype H14 (haplotype E from [33]) was observed in a single individual from the north, but it groups with haplotypes from the south. Since confirmation of that sequence was not made and is not feasible at present (ER Secchi, personal communication), we decided to remove H14 from the analyses.

All biologically plausible groupings of geographically adjacent populations, varying the number of populations (K) from two to seven, were tested using the AMOVA framework (Table S2). The population structure hypotheses tested included those previously proposed ([e.g. 33,41]). Considering all localities, AMOVA gave stronger support ( $\Phi_{CT} = 0.44$ ; P<10<sup>-5</sup>) to a two-population scenario (AR+UR+RS+SC+PR+SP+RJS/RJN+ES; Table 1, Table S2). Overall population structuring was also observed when the highly differentiated samples from RJN and ES were excluded  $(\Phi_{ST} = 0.19; P < 10^{-5})$ . Thus, sub-structuring was further investigated among all localities south of RJS (Table S3). The most likely AMOVA scenario was of three populations ( $\Phi_{CT} = 0.20$ ; P< 10<sup>-5</sup>): ARG+URU+RS/SC+PR+SPS+SPC/SPN+RJS (Table 1). Similarly, the separate analysis of RIN and ES also revealed population subdivision in the northern part of the distribution  $(\Phi_{ST} = 0.72; P < 10^{-5})$  (Table 1, Table S4). Combining all results. our analyses indicate the existence of five franciscana populations (ARG+URU+RS/SC+PR+SPS+SPC/SPN+RJS/RJN/ES), of which RJN and ES are the genetically most differentiated. The existence of isolation by distance in the species was not supported by the Mantel test (P = 0.69, Figure S2).

The population groups detected by AMOVA analyses were evaluated in relation to possible population expansions, and all of them (except ES, which could not be analysed) had mismatch distributions compatible with the sudden population and geographic expansion models (Figure S3). Expansions were dated from around one million years before present (ybp; SPN+RJS and SC+PR+SPS+SPC) to less than 100,000 years ago (RJN) (Figure S3).

The Bayesian skyline plots revealed contrasting demographic histories among the four populations analysed (Figure S4). Very



**Figure 2. Median-joining network of franciscana control region haplotypes.** Relationship among 30 haplotypes determined by analysis of 455 bp, using the software Network. Circle size is proportional to frequency. Branch length reflects molecular distance. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085633.g002

**Table 1.** Detailed AMOVA results of the most likely population structure scenarios including all localities (a) and excluding ES and RJN (b), and of the rejected scenarios of panmixia in the northern (c) and southern (d) parts of the species' range.

Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage variation	$\Phi$ Statistics	Р
80.607	1.74510	42.21651	$0.44(\Phi_{CT})$	10 <sup>-5</sup>
81.996	0.52228	12.63473		
281.211	1.86631	45.14876		
48.861	0.56088	19.97636	0.20 ( $\Phi_{CT}$ )	10 <sup>-5</sup>
24.676	0.14415	5.13397		
270.711	2.10267	74.88967		
8.458	0.68409	58.90411	0.72 (Φ <sub>ST</sub> )	10 <sup>-5</sup>
10.500	0.47727	41.09589		
73.538	0.50129	19.25106	0.19 ( $\Phi_{\text{ST}}$ )	10 <sup>-5</sup>
270.711	2.10267	80.74894		
	Sum of squares           80.607           81.996           281.211           48.861           24.676           270.711           8.458           10.500           73.538           270.711	Sum of squares         Variance components           80.607         1.74510           81.996         0.52228           281.211         1.86631           281.211         1.86631           48.861         0.56088           24.676         0.14415           270.711         2.10267           8458         0.68409           10.500         0.47727           73.538         0.50129           270.711         2.10267	Sum of squares         Variance components         Percentage variation           80.607         1.74510         42.21651           81.996         0.52228         12.63473           281.211         1.86631         45.14876           281.211         1.86631         45.14876           48.861         0.56088         19.97636           24.676         0.14415         5.13397           270.711         2.10267         74.88967           8458         0.68409         58.90411           10.500         0.47727         41.09589           73.538         0.50129         19.25106           270.711         2.10267         80.74894	Sum of squares         Variance components         Percentage variation         φ Statistics           80.607         1.74510         42.21651         0.44(Φ <sub>CT</sub> )           81.996         0.52228         12.63473         281.211           1.86631         45.14876         12.03473           281.211         1.86631         45.14876         1.000000000000000000000000000000000000

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085633.t001

recent demographic trends cannot be determined due to the stochasticity of the coalescent process, which results in large variances [38], but older patterns can be more clearly depicted. The population from RJN may have had a slight increase for the past 125,000. Population SPN+RJS showed stable population size during the last 250,000 years. Estimates from those two populations had larger variances also as a consequence of smaller sample sizes. Population SC+PR+SPS+SPC seems to have experienced a steady decline which began around 100,000 years ago. Population ARG+URU+RS would have begun expanding 250,000 years ago, with a steeper increase 50,000 years ago. Demographic trends should be regarded as preliminary, because they were based on a single *locus* [42].

# Discussion

This is the geographically most comprehensive study on the genetic structure and molecular demography of franciscanas to date. The analyses reveal that the species is subdivided into two Evolutionarily Significant Units, each with a higher number of populations (Franciscana Management Areas) than previously recognised. The corollary is that the four current FMAs are inadequate to ensure the best protection for all populations, thus prompting the need for reassessing FMAs.

#### Population structure

This is the first study to analyse genetic samples from the northernmost population of *Pontoporia*. Interestingly, our results unequivocally show that samples from that area (ES) and those from northern Rio de Janeiro (RJN) comprise populations that are different from each other and much differentiated from those southwards along the South-American coast. Franciscanas from those two areas were provisionally pooled in Franciscana Management Area I (FMAI, [26]), acknowledging the lack of biology and genetic data for the area. However, franciscanas from ES, RJN and SP have been shown to have non-overlapping craniometrical measures [43]. Recently, significant differences

were reported in the external morphology of franciscanas from FMAI (RJN only), FMAII and FMAIII [44]. Combining those results with previous data on genetics, growth, demography and reproduction, Barbato et al. [44] suggested that RJN could be an Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU, *sensu* Ryder [45]).

Here, we provide clear evidence that franciscanas should, indeed, be divided into two ESU, North (ES and RJN) and South (RJS to ARG) (Fig. 3). The concept of ESU was operationally defined by Moritz [25] as a group of individuals showing reciprocal monophyly of DNA lineages. That condition is met by franciscana sequences from North and South when H14 is conservatively removed from analyses. Besides fulfilling the qualitative *criteria* of Moritz [25], North and South are also quantitatively much differentiated ( $\Phi_{\rm CT} = 0.42$  or 0.44, with or without H14, respectively).

Our results also reveal that the North ESU should be split into two Management Units (*sensu* Moritz [25]). For the sake of coherence with the current classification scheme, they will be termed, here, FMAIa (ES) and FMAIb (RJN). However, the highly differentiated status of the North ESU as a whole must not be downplayed (Fig. 3).

The original range of FMAI included the two gaps in the species distribution. This study analysed for the first time genetic samples from within those two gaps. Those samples allowed a more precise delimitation of Management Units and ESUs (Fig. 3). One sample came from the northernmost gap and belonged to population ES (FMAIa), extending its southern limit to Santa Cruz (19°56'S). The two samples from RJS were collected inside the other gap and grouped with SPN, confirming that that population extends further north than previously thought, as already suggested by Azevedo et al. [46].

The scenario of five populations (AR+UR+RS/SC+PR+SPS+ SPC/SPN+RJS/RJN/ES) supported by AMOVA, is at odds with the FMA proposal of Secchi et al. [26] not only because of the distinctiveness of ES and RJN, but also due to an additional subdivision within FMAII, which was also not found by Ott [47]. As we had samples from across the entire coast of the state of São



**Figure 3. Reassessment of the FMA proposal of Secchi et al. (2003) according to the present analyses.** A deep evolutionary break separates franciscanas from North (ES, RJN) and South (RJS to ARG), justifying the recognition of two Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESU). Evidence of genetic differentiation further supports dividing the former FMAI and FMAII. The current proposal includes the subdivision of FMAIII and FMAIV, as suggested by Mendez et al. (2010) and Costa-Urrutia et al. (2012). See text for details. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085633.g003

Paulo, they were split into three localities, thus enabling the test of scenarios where they were part of the same or distinct populations. The most likely AMOVA scenario indicates that FMAII should encompass two Management Units, one including SPN+RJS (FMAIIa) and the other SPC to SC (FMAIIb) (Fig. 3). That conclusion is compatible with contaminants levels, which indicate heterogeneity among franciscanas from SP. Lailson-Brito et al. [20] analysed organochlorine loads and observed differences in  $\Sigma DDT/\Sigma PCB$  between SPN and SPS, but SPC was closer to SPN, while SPS was more similar to PR. It is important to note that some alternative scenarios had  $\Phi_{CT}$  values only slightly lower (Table S3), so the subdivision of FMAII should be regarded as provisional and deserves further scrutiny, using more samples and markers with higher resolution (like microsatellites).

Still concerning FMAII, our results differ from those of Ott [47], who also analysed samples from localities between RJN to URU (except for RJS), both because he did not find genetic differentiation within FMAII, but also because he suggested that southern SC was genetically closer to FMAIII than to FMAII. However, the apparent contradiction between this study and his is an artifact of sampling, because all SC samples that we studied came from the north of the state of Santa Catarina, while Ott [47] used samples from southern Santa Catarina. The existence of genetic differentiation within the state of Santa Catarina was later indicated by a preliminary study using 13 samples [48]. Thus, combining our results and those of Ott [47] and Ott et al. [48], the limit between FMAIIb and FMAIII would lie somewhere at the center of the coast of the state of Santa Catarina (Fig. 3).

Franciscana populations from both sides of the La Plata River have been treated as different Management Units (FMAIII and IV) based on infection levels and diet composition [26]. This differentiation is further supported by analyses of external morphology [44] and of control region haplotype frequencies [27,28]. Contrastingly, sequence-based analyses of the control region failed to detect differences between the two areas [27,28]. In this study, FMA III and FMA IV could not be discriminated by AMOVA of control region sequences, as scenarios that separated them had consistently low or non-significant  $\Phi_{CT}$  values. However, we believe that those Management Units should be maintained based on the precautionary approach, since previous studies with microsatellite data report small scale genetic differentiation within FMA III and IV [29,30], and especially because franciscanas in that region must be managed by three different countries.

Recently, mtDNA and microsatellite data indicated geographic micro-scale differentiation among localities within FMA IV [29], as previously suggested by preliminary mtDNA data [27,28]. Microsatellite data also revealed fine-scale differentiation between franciscanas from the La Plata River and adjacent coastal waters [30]. The degree of differentiation among those local populations is very small compared to the high divergence observed among current FMAs, so studies encompassing the species as a whole (or even considering only sequences from across the South ESU) do

not detect such micro-geographic genetic differences ([e.g. 47], this study).

Micro-scale genetic differentiation is highly relevant to the management of franciscanas, and as such should be investigated across the entire range of the species. The goal of conservation biology is to preserve species in space and time, and that latter axis depends on maintaining the evolutionary potential contained in geographically restricted adaptive variation. Those local populations should be managed independently on a local basis, to avoid the loss of such adaptations. Therefore, we propose that FMA III and IV should also be updated to accommodate micro-scale genetic differentiation, as suggested by previous studies ([27,28,29,30] Fig. 3). The implementation of marine protected areas may be the best way to ensure the viability of local populations.

Although microsatellite data will be helpful to address microscale genetic structure in franciscanas, major patterns of differentiation, as obtained through mitochondrial data, should not change. That conclusion is supported by previous studies that analysed mitochondrial and microsatellite data and observed concordant population structure across markers [28,29,47].

#### Demographic trends

Combining the results of both demographic analyses (mismatch analyses and Bayesian skyline plots) we concluded that the ARG+ URU+RS and the RJN populations were the only ones to experience demographic expansions in the recent past (around 250,000 and 100,000 ybp, respectively). Stable population sizes seem to have been kept by SPN+RJS (last 250,000 years), and SC+ PR+SPS+SPC seems to have suffered a decline from around 100,000 years ago. Older demographic expansions appear to have occurred in all populations, possibly coupled with spatial expansions, as indicated by mismatch analyses. Although Bayesian skyline plots have large variances, it is possible to infer that the RS+URU+ARG population has kept a larger size than the other populations, even before the last demographic expansion. That seems to support the hypothesis that the colonization of the Southwestern Atlantic happened from the south northwards, as already proposed [3]. Franciscanas would have been in the area around the La Plata River for longer than anywhere, explaining their higher genetic diversity there.

#### Relevance to management and conservation

Our results are very relevant to franciscanas' management, by reformulating the FMA proposal of Secchi et al. [26], currently adopted in all conservation plans for the species (e.g. the Brazilian Action Plan for the Conservation of Franciscanas [49]). The main conclusion of this study is the splitting of franciscanas into two Evolutionarily Significant Units, the North and South ESUs. In addition, our data show that both ESU should be further divided to reflect genetic differentiation. The North ESU comprises two FMAs, each in urgent need of specific research and conservation efforts. FMAIa (ES) is the least studied of all FMAs. Although there is no information on its abundance, ES may be a small population, as indicated by the relative low number of incidental captures [7,50,51], few sightings during an aerial survey [52] and extremely low genetic diversity (h = 0; N = 14; Table S1). As stated above, the goal of species conservation is to maintain them in time and space, so the loss of peripheral populations represents both a direct failure (of keeping the original geographical range) and an indirect threat to the species' long term persistence (by the possible reduction of adaptive potential). It is imperative to gather basic data on Pontoporia demography and life history, as well as on human-related mortality, so that the conservation status of ES

(FMAIa) can be evaluated before its maintenance is irreversibly jeopardised. RJN (FMAIb), on the other hand, is a relatively well known population, but there is no data on its abundance. Still, this population has suffered substantial removal through bycatch, of around 110 animals each year [12]. The low level of genetic diversity supports the notion that ES, RJN and RJS+SPN populations are the smallest and most vulnerable.

The genetic discontinuity within FMAII warrants further investigation. Due to the fact that ecotoxicological data [20] seem to support such differentiation, we suggest that FMAII be provisionally split into two FMAs (FMA IIa and FMA IIb). Those two new FMAs appear to be relatively small, especially SPN+RJS, and inhabit a region under heavy human occupation. Thus, much of their original habitat has been lost or degraded by anthropogenic activities, while bycatch is also substantial [11,53]. The analysis of microsatellite data and a larger sample size should clarify the existence of differentiation within FMAII.

Our results do not give support to the existence of more than a single genetic population from RS to ARG. However, we believe that FMAIII and FMAIV should be managed independently, irrespective of their low genetic differentiation. Those populations are the most studied in all aspects, including abundance, population parameters and fishery-related mortality [5,15,54,55,56,57,58,59]. The high quality data acquired to date have enabled the analysis on the population viability of franciscanas [14], and granted the species a "vulnerable" conservation status [17]. Besides, the micro-geographic differentiation recently documented in Argentina (FMAIV) [28,29] and Uruguay (FMAIII) [30] emphasises the need of preserving such local populations and others still to be discovered, as they possibly harbour exclusive adaptive variation. We urge that similar data be gathered for all other FMAs, especially of the North ESU, which may be even more vulnerable due to probably lower abundances. It is important to note that incidental captures may not be the greatest threat to franciscanas from SC northwards, which encompasses half of the species' distribution.

# **Supporting Information**

**Figure S1 Gradient of genetic diversity across the franciscana's geographic range.** Square: haplotype diversity; circle: nucleotide diversity. (TIF)

Figure S2 Mantel test based on control region sequences (N = 162). The x axis is geographic distance (in km) and the y axis is the genetic distance (Rousset's linear  $F_{ST}$ ). (TIF)

**Figure S3 Mismatch distributions of franciscana populations.** a) Sudden demographic expansion model, and b) spatial expansion model. Bars show the observed distribution and the line shows the expected distribution. Observed distributions were not statistically different from those expected under expansion models, as indicated by P values of the sum of squared deviations. "T" indicates time since expansion events, in years. (TIF)

**Figure S4 Bayesian skyline plots (m=5).** Derived from franciscana mtDNA control region sequences from four populations: RJN (N=9), SPN+RJS (N=10), SC+PR+SPS+SPC (N=44) and ARG+URU+RS (N=84). The x axis is in years, and the y axis is equal to Net (the product of the effective population size and the generation length in years). The thick solid line is the mean estimate, and the grey area show the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) limits. Estimated times to most recent

common ancestor (TMRCA) of the populations, in years, are indicated.

(TIF)

Table S1 Genetic diversity in the mtDNA control region of franciscanas. N: sample size; n: number of haplotypes; h: haplotype diversity;  $\pi$ : nucleotide diversity. (PDF)

**Table S2** AMOVA results of all population structure scenarios tested, considering all sampling localities, compared to scenarios proposed previously.

(PDF)

 Table S3
 AMOVA results of all population structure scenarios tested, excluding RJN and ES.

 (PDF)
 (PDF)

References

- Bastida R, Rodríguez D, Secchi ER, da Silva VMF (2007) Mamíferos Acuáticos de Sudamérica y Antártida. Buenos Aires: Vázquez Manzini Editores. 368 p.
- Cassens I, Vicario S, Waddell VG, Balchowsky H, Van Belle D, et al. (2000) Independent adaptation to riverine habitats allowed survival of ancient cetacean lineages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 11343–11347.
- Hamilton H, Caballero S, Collins AG, Brownell RL (2001) Evolution of river dolphins. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 268: 549–556.
- Secchi ER, Ott PH, Danilewicz D (2001) Report of the IV Workshop for the coordinated research and conservation of the Franciscana dolphin (*Pontoporia* blainvillei) in the western south Atlantic. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 11–20.
- Crespo EA (2002) Franciscana *Pontoporia blainvillei*. In: Perrin WP, Wursig B, Thewissen JGM, editors. Encyclopedia of marine mammals San Diego: Academic Press. pp. 484–484.
- Crespo EA, Corcuera J, Lopez Cazorla A (1994) Interactions between marine mammals and fisheries in some fishing areas of the coast of Argentina. Report of the International Whaling Commission Special Issue 15: 269–282.
- Siciliano S (1994) Review of small cetaceans and fishery interactions in coastal waters of Brazil. Report of the International Whaling Commission Special Issue 15: 241–250.
- Praderi R (1997) Análisis comparativo de estadísticas de captura y mortalidad incidental de *Pontoporia blainvillei* en Uruguay durante 20 años. In: Pinedo MC, Barreto AS, editors. 2° Encontro sobre a Coordenação de Pesquisa e Manejamento da Franciscana. Rio Grande, RS, Brazil. pp. 42–53.
- Secchi ER, Zerbini AN, Bassoi M, Dalla-Rosa L, Moller LM, et al. (1997) Mortality of franciscanas *Pontoporia blainvillei* in coastal gillneting in southern Brazil (1994–1995). Report of the International Whaling Commission 47: 653– 658.
- Di Beneditto APM, Ramos RMA, Lima NRW (1998) Fishing activity in northern Rio de Janeiro state (Brazil) and its relation with small cetaceans. Braz Arch Biol Technol 41: 296–302.
- Bertozzi C, Zerbini AN (2002) Incidental mortality of franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) in the artisanal fishery of Praia Grande São Paulo state Brazil. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 153–160.
- Di Beneditto APM (2003) Interactions between gillnet fisheries and small cetaceans in northern Rio de Janeiro Brazil (2001–2002). Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 2: 79–86.
- Secchi ER, Kinas PG, Muelbert M (2004) Incidental catches of franciscana in coastal gillnet fisheries in the Franciscana Management Area III (period 1999– 2000). Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 3: 61–68.
- 14. Secchi ER (2006) Modelling the population dynamics and viability analysis of franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) and Hector's dolphins (*Cephalorhynchus hectori*) under the effects of bycatch in fisheries parameter uncertainty and stochasticity. PhD Thesis. Dunedin: University of Otago.
- Crespo EA, Pedraza SN, Grandi MF, Dans SL, Garaffo GV (2010) Abundance and distribution of endangered Franciscana dolphins in Argentine waters and conservation implications. Mar Mamm Sci 26: 17–35.
- Secchi ER (2010) Review on the threats and conservation Status of Franciscana *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Cetacea, Pontoporiidae). In: Shostell JM, Ruiz-Garcia M, editors. Biology, Evolution and Conservation of River Dolphins within South America and Asia. New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc. pp. 323–339.
- IUCN (2008) Pontoporia blainvillei. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. A global species assessment. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge. Available: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/17978/0.
- Kajiwara N, Matsuoka S, Iwata H, Tanabe S, Rosas FCW, et al. (2004) Contamination by persistent organochlorines in cetaceans incidentally caught along Brazilian coastal waters. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 46: 124–134.
- Lailson-Brito J, Dorneles PR, Azevedo e Silva CE, Marigo J, Bertozzi C, et al. (2007) PCB, DDT and HCB in blubber of franciscana dolphin *Pontoporia blainvillei* from southeastern Brazilian coast. Organohalogen Compounds 69: 1741–1744.

 Table S4
 AMOVA results for scenarios of panmixia.

 (PDF)
 (PDF)

# Acknowledgments

We are grateful to C. Lazoski for drawing the artwork and to D. Ruzzante for suggestions to an early draft of the manuscript. We are also indebted to E.R. Secchi for his contribution, which greatly improved the manuscript.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: HAC AMSC. Performed the experiments: BVM. Analyzed the data: HAC. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: LAB MJC JM AFA JLB AMSC. Wrote the paper: HAC AMSC. Contributed intellectually to the interpretation and discussion of results: HAC LAB MJC JM AFA JLB AMSC.

- Lailson-Brito J, Dorneles PR, Azevedo-Silva CE, Azevedo AD, Vidal LG, et al. (2011) Organochlorine concentrations in franciscana dolphins, *Pontoporia blainvillei*, from Brazilian waters. Chemosphere 84: 882–887.
- Alonso MB, Feo ML, Corcellas C, Vidal LG, Bertozzi CP, et al. (2012) Pyrethroids: A new threat to marine mammals? Environ Int 47: 99–106.
- Alonso MB, Eljarrat E, Gorga M, Secchi ER, Bassoi M, et al. (2012) Natural and anthropogenically-produced brominated compounds in endemic dolphins from Western South Atlantic: Another risk to a vulnerable species. Environ Pollut 170: 152–160.
- Gago-Ferrero P, Alonso MB, Marigo J, Barbosa L, Cremer M, et al. (2013) First Determination of UV Filters in Marine Mammals. Octocrylene Levels in Franciscana Dolphins. Environ Sci Technol 47: 5619–5625.
- Ryman N, Uter F (1987) Population genetics and fisheries management. University of Washington Press. 440 p.
- Moritz C (1994) Defining "Evolutionarily Significant Units" for conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 9: 373–375.
- Secchi ER, Danilewicz D, Ott PH (2003) Applying the phylogeographic concept to identify franciscana dolphin stocks: implications to meet management objectives. J Cetacean Res Manag 5: 61–68.
- Lazaro M, Lessa EP, Hamilton H (2004) Geographic genetic structure in the franciscana dolphin (*Pontoporia blainville*). Mar Mamm Sci 20: 201–214.
- Mendez M, Rosenbaum HC, Bordino P (2008) Conservation genetics of the franciscana dolphin in Northern Argentina: population structure, by-catch impacts, and management implications. Conserv Genet 9: 419–435.
- Mendez M, Rosenbaum HC, Subramaniam A, Yackulic C, Bordino P (2010) Isolation by environmental distance in mobile marine species: molecular ecology of franciscana dolphins at their southern range. Mol Ecol 19: 2212–2228.
- Costa-Urrutia P, Abud C, Secchi ER, Lessa EP (2012) Population Genetic Structure and Social Kin Associations of Franciscana Dolphin, *Pontoporia blainvillei*. J Hered 103: 92–102.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4 Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596–1599.
- Secchi ER, Wang JY, Murray BW, Rocha-Campos CC, White BN (1998) Population differentiation in the franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) from two geographic locations in Brazil as determined from mitochondrial DNA control region sequences. Can J Zool 76: 1622–1627.
- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452.
- Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of Molecular Variance Inferred from Metric Distances among DNA Haplotypes - Application to Human Mitochondrial-DNA Restriction Data. Genetics 131: 479–491.
- Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol Ecol Resour 10: 564–567.
- Bandelt H-J, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 16: 37–48.
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG (2005) Bayesian coalescent inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol Biol Evol 22: 1185–1192.
- Hoelzel AR, Hancock JM, Dover G (1991). Evolution of the cetacean mitochondrial D-loop region. Mol Biol Evol 8: 475–493.
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest Phylogenetic Model Averaging. Mol Biol Evol 25: 1253–1256.
- Pinedo MC (1991) Development and Variation of the Franciscana Pontoporia blainvillei PhD Thesis. Santa Cruz: University of California. 406 p.
- Zemlak TS, Walde SJ, Habit EM, Ruzzante DE (2011) Climate-induced changes to the ancestral population size of two Patagonian galaxiids: the influence of glacial cycling. Mol Ecol 20: 5280–5294.

- Ramos RMA, di Beneditto APM, Siciliano S, Santos MC, Zerbini AN, et al. (2002) Morphology of franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) off southeastern Brazil: sexual dimorphism, growth and geographic variation. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 129–144.
- Barbato BHA, Secchi ER, Di Beneditto APM, Ramos RMA, Bertozzi C, et al. (2012) Geographical variation in franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) external morphology. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 92: 1645–1656.
- Ryder OA (1986) Species Conservation and Systematics the Dilemma of Subspecies. Trends Ecol Evol 1: 9–10.
- Azevedo AF, Fragoso AB, Lailson-Brito JJ, Cunha HA (2002) Records of the franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) in the Southwestern Rio de Janeiro and Northernmost São Paulo State coasts – Brazil. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 191– 192.
- 47. Ott PH (2002) Diversidade genética e estrutura populacional de duas espécies de cetáceos do Atlântico Sul Ocidental: *Pontoporia blainvillei* e *Eubalaena australis*. PhD Thesis. Porto Alegre: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.
- 48. Ott PH, Oliveira LR, Barreto AS, Secchi ER, Almeida RS, et al. (2008) Unidades de Manejo da Toninha *Pontoporia blainvillei* uma avaliação molecular dos limites entre as FMAs II e III. 13<sup>a</sup> Reunión de Trabajo de Especialistas en Mamíferos Acuáticos de América del Sur. Montevideo, Uruguay. pp 81.
- Rocha-Campos CC, Danilewicz D, Siciliano S (2010) Plano de Ação Nacional para a Conservação do Pequeno Cetáceo Toninha *Pontoporia blainvillei*. Brasília: Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade. 75 p.
- Freitas-Netto R, Barbosa LA (2003) Cetaceans and fishery interactions along the Espírito Santo state southeastern Brazil during 1994–2001. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 2: 57–60.
- 51. Freitas-Netto R, Siciliano S (2007) Contribuição ao conhecimento da distribuição da toninha *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Gervais & D'Orbigny 1844) no

estado do Espírito Santo sudeste do Brasil. Boletim do Museu de Biologia Mello Leitão 21: 35–45.

- Moreno IB, Martins CCA, Andriolo A, Engel MH (2003) Sightings of franciscana dolphins (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) off Espírito Santo Brazil. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 2: 131–132.
- Rosas FCW, Monteiro-Filho ELA, Oliveira MR (2002) Incidental catches of franciscana (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) on the southern coast of São Paulo state and the coast of Paraná state Brazil. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 161–167.
- Kinas PG, Secchi ER, Ramos RA, Danilewicz D, Crespo EA (2002) Report of the working group on vital parameters and demography. Lat Am J Aquat Mamm 1: 43–46.
- Danilewicz D, Secchi ER, Ott PH, Moreno IB, Bassoi M, et al. (2009) Habitat use patterns of franciscana dolphins (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) off southern Brazil in relation to water depth. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 89: 943–949.
- Danilewicz D, Moreno IB, Ott PH, Tavares M, Azevedo AF, et al. (2010) Abundance estimate for a threatened population of franciscana dolphins in southern coastal Brazil: uncertainties and management implications. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 90: 1649–1657.
- Secchi ER (2010) Life History and Ecology of Franciscana, *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Cetacea, Pontoporiidae). In: Shostell JM, Ruiz-Garcia M, editors. Biology, Evolution and Conservation of River Dolphins within South America and Asia. Nova Science Publishers Inc. pp. 301–321.
- Botta S, Secchi ER, Muelbert M, Danilewicz D, Negri MF, et al. (2010) Age and growth of franciscana *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Cetacea: Pontoporiidae) incidentally caught off southern Brazil and northern Argentina. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 90: 1493–1500.
- Panebianco MV, Negri MF, Capozzo LH (2012) Reproductive aspects of male franciscana dolphins (*Pontoporia blainvillei*) off Argentina. Anim Reprod Sci 131: 41–48.