## POSITIVE FUNCTIONS ON C*-ALGEBRAS

## W. FORREST STINESPRING

1. Introduction. Let $X$ be any set, let $S$ be a Boolean $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X$, and let $F$ be a function from $S$ to non-negative operators on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K C}$ such that $F(X)=1$ and $F$ is countablyadditive in the weak operator topology. Neumark [2] has shown that there exists a Hilbert space $K$ of which $\mathfrak{H C}$ is a subspace and a spectral measure $E$ defined on $S$ such that $F(S) P=P E(S) P$ for all $S$ in $S$, where $P$ is projection of $K$ on $\mathfrak{H}$. Let us rephrase this situation so that we speak of algebras rather than Boolean algebras and linear functions rather than measures. Thus, we consider, instead of the Boolean $\sigma$-algebra S , the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of all bounded functions on $X$ which are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. A $C^{*}$-algebra is defined as a complex Banach algebra with an involution $x \rightarrow x^{*}$ such that $\left\|x x^{*}\right\|=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x$ in the algebra. The measure $F$ is supplanted by the linear function $\mu$ on $\mathcal{A}$

$$
\mu(f)=\int f(\gamma) d F(\gamma), \quad f \in \mathcal{A},
$$

where the integral is to be taken in the weak sense. The theorem now asserts that $\mu(f) P=P \rho(f) P$, where

$$
\rho(f)=\int f(\gamma) d E(\gamma), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}
$$

In the original formulation, $E$ was an improvement over $F$ because $E$ was a spectral measure; in the reformulation, $\rho$ is an improvement over $\mu$ since $\rho$ is a ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism. When the situation is phrased in this manner, the question naturally occurs: "Is it essential that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ be commutative?" The present paper is devoted to a discussion of this point.
2. The main theorem. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $C^{*}$-algebras and $\mu$ is a linear function from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, we shall say that $\mu$ is positive if $\mu(A) \geqq 0$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \geqq 0$. The algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{A}$ is also a $C^{*}$-algebra, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. By applying $\mu$ to each entry of an element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, we obtain an element of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$; this linear function from $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ to $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ will be denoted by $\mu^{(n)}$. We shall say that $\mu$ is completely positive if $\mu^{(n)}$ is positive for each positive integer $n$.

[^0]Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra with a unit, let $\mathfrak{F e}$ be a Hilbert space, and let $\mu$ be a linear function from $\mathcal{A}$ to operators on $\mathfrak{H}$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that $\mu$ have the form

$$
\mu(A)=V^{*} \rho(A) V \quad \text { for all } A \in \mathcal{A}
$$

where $V$ is a bounded linear transformation from $\mathfrak{F C}$ to a Hilbert space $K$ and $\rho$ is $a^{*}$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$ into operators on $K$, is that $\mu$ be completely positive.

Proof of necessity. Suppose that $\mu(A)=V^{*} \rho(A) V$. Let $M=\left(A_{i j}\right)$ be a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Then $\mu^{(n)}(M)$ is an operator on the direct sum of $\mathfrak{H C}$ with itself $n$ times. What we have to check is that

$$
\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(A_{i j}\right) x_{j}, x_{i}\right) \geqq 0
$$

whenever $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are vectors in $\mathfrak{K}$. Since $\rho$ is a *-representation, the matrix $\left(\rho\left(A_{i j}\right)\right)$ is a non-negative operator on $K \oplus \cdots \oplus K$; and therefore,

$$
\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(A_{i j}\right) x_{j}, x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left(\rho\left(A_{i j}\right) V x_{j}, V x_{i}\right) \geqq 0 .
$$

Proof of sufficiency. Suppose that $\mu$ is completely positive. Consider the vector space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{K}$, the algebraic tensor product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{C}$. For $\xi=\sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes x_{i}$ and $\eta=\sum_{j} B_{j} \otimes y_{j}$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ we define

$$
(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(B_{j}^{*} A_{i}\right) x_{i}, y_{j}\right)
$$

Since $\mu$ was assumed to be completely positive, it follows that

$$
(\xi, \xi)=\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(A_{j}^{*} A_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geqq 0 .
$$

Hence $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a positive Hermitian bilinear form. There is a natural mapping $\rho^{\prime}$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to linear transformations on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ given by

$$
\rho^{\prime}(A) \sum_{i} B_{i} \otimes y_{i}=\sum_{i}\left(A B_{i}\right) \otimes y_{i}
$$

We shall show that for all $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\xi$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho^{\prime}(A) \xi, \rho^{\prime}(A) \xi\right) \leqq\|A\|^{2}(\xi, \xi) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (1) were not universally true, we could find $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\xi=\sum_{i} B_{i} \otimes x_{i}
$$

in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ such that $(\xi, \xi) \leqq 1$ and $\|A\|<1$, but $\left(\rho^{\prime}(A) \xi, \rho^{\prime}(A) \xi\right)>1$.

Then $\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(A^{*} A\right) \xi, \xi\right)>1$, which implies, by the Schwarz inequality, that $\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(A^{*} A\right) \xi, \rho^{\prime}\left(A^{*} A\right) \xi\right)>1$. By continuing in this manner, we find that

$$
\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\left[A^{*} A\right]^{2^{k}}\right) \xi, \xi\right)>1 \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \cdots
$$

Since $\mu$ is positive and $-\|C\| 1 \leqq C \leqq\|C\| 1$ whenever $C$ is a selfadjoint element of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that $-\|C\| \mu(1) \leqq \mu(C) \leqq\|C\| \mu(1)$ and hence that $\|\mu(C)\| \leqq\|C \mid\|\|\mu(1)\|$; this inequality shows that $\mu$ is uniformly continuous on the self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$, and it is easy to see from this that $\mu$ must be uniformly continuous on all of $\mathcal{A}$. The uniform continuity of $\mu$ together with the fact that $\|A\|<1$ shows that

$$
\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\left[A^{*} A\right]^{2^{k}}\right) \xi, \xi\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(B_{j}^{*}\left[A^{*} A\right]^{z^{k}} B_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
$$

converges to 0 . This contradiction proves (1).
Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all $\xi$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ such that $(\xi, \xi)=0$. By the Schwarz inequality, $\mathcal{N}$ is a linear manifold and by (1), $\mathcal{N}$ is invariant under $\rho^{\prime}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, the quotient space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{H} / \mathcal{N}$ is a pre-Hilbert space, and each $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ naturally induces a bounded operator on the completion $K$ of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{H} / \mathcal{N}$. Let

$$
V x=1 \otimes x+\mathcal{N} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathfrak{K}
$$

Then $\|V x\|^{2} \leqq(\mu(1) x, x)$, so that $V$ is a bounded linear transformation from $\mathscr{H}$ to $K$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V^{*} \rho(A) V x, y\right) & =(\rho(A) V x, V y)=\left(\rho^{\prime}(A) 1 \otimes x, 1 \otimes y\right) \\
& =(A \otimes x, 1 \otimes y)=(\mu(A) x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $\mathfrak{H}$, and therefore $\mu(A)=V^{*} \rho(A) V$.
3. Remarks. The operator $\rho(1)$ is a projection. We can take $\rho(1)$ to be 1 , for we can replace $V$ by $\rho(1) V$ and then replace the space $K$ by the subspace $\rho(1) K$. Assuming that this has been done, we have $\mu(1)=V^{*} V$, so that if $\mu(1)=1$, then $V$ is an isometry. Since an isometry can be considered as an embedding, the Neumark theorem follows from Theorem 1, provided we can show that when $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, positivity of $\mu$ implies complete positivity. This fact will be proved in the next section.

It might be thought possibly that positivity always implies complete positivity. We give a counter-example to show that this is not the case. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. We denote by $e_{i j}$ the matrix with 1 in the $i$ th row and $j$ th column and
with zeros elsewhere. The $e_{i j}$ 's are a basis for the vector space $\mathcal{A}$. We define a linear function $\mu$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ by specifying the values of $\mu$ on this basis: $\mu\left(e_{i j}\right)$ is to be a matrix whose $(r, s)$ th entry is $(r-j)(s-i)$. Now $\mu$ is positive; for let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \geqq 0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and let

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be any vector. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu(A) x, x) & =\sum_{i, j, r, s} a_{i j}(r-j)(s-i) x_{r} \bar{x}_{s} \\
& =\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}\left(\sum_{r}(r-j) x_{r}\right)\left(\sum_{s}(s-i) \bar{x}_{s}\right) \geqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A \geqq 0$.
On the other hand, $\mu$ is not completely positive, for we shall show that $\mu^{(n)}$ is not positive, $n$ being as above. Let $E$ be the element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ whose ( $i, j$ ) th entry is the matrix $e_{i j}$. It is easily seen that $E \geqq 0$. But $\mu^{(n)}(E)$ is not $\geqq 0$, for

$$
\sum_{i, j, r, s}(r-j)(s-i) \delta_{i r i} \delta_{j s}=\sum_{i, j}(i-j)(j-i)<0
$$

## 4. Conditions for complete positivity.

Theorem 2. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra of finite type (see [1]), then the center-valued trace $t$ is completely positive.

Proof. Suppose $M=\left(A_{i j}\right)$ is a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and suppose $\epsilon>0$. It is shown in [1] that there exist a finite number $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{r}$ of unitary operators in $\mathcal{A}$ and non-negative numbers $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}$ with $\sum_{k} \alpha_{k}=1$ such that

$$
\left\|t\left(A_{i j}\right)-\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} U_{k}^{*} A_{i j} U_{k}\right\|<\epsilon \quad \text { for } i, j=1, \cdots, n .
$$

But then if $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are any vectors,

$$
\left|\sum_{i, j}\left(t\left(A_{i j}\right) x_{j}, x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i, j, k} \alpha_{k}\left(U_{k}^{*} A_{i j} U_{k} x_{j}, x_{i}\right)\right| \leqq \epsilon \sum_{i, j}\left|\left(x_{j}, x_{i}\right)\right|
$$

and it is clear that

$$
\sum_{i, j, k} \alpha_{k}\left(U_{k}^{*} A_{i j} U_{k} x_{j}, x_{i}\right)=\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \sum_{i, j}\left(A_{i j} U_{k} x_{j}, U_{k} x_{i}\right) \geqq 0
$$

since $M \geqq 0$. It follows that $t^{(n)}(M) \geqq 0$.

Theorem 3. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\mu$ is a positive linear function with complex values, then $\mu$ is completely positive.

Proof. Suppose $M=\left(A_{i j}\right)$ is a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, and $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ are complex numbers. We wish to check that

$$
\sum_{i, j} \mu\left(A_{i j}\right) \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i} \geqq 0
$$

But $\sum_{i, j} \mu\left(A_{i j}\right) \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}=\mu\left(\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)$. Writing $M=N^{*} N$ with $N=\left(B_{i j}\right)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i} & =\sum_{i, i} \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i} \sum_{k} B_{k i}^{*} B_{k j} \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} B_{k i}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{j} B_{k j}\right) \geqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\mu\left(\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}\right) \geqq 0$ since $\mu$ is positive.
Theorem 3 together with Theorem 1 gives the known fact that a state of a $C^{*}$-algebra induces a representation [3].

Theorem 4. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra and $\mu$ is a positive operator-valued linear function on $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mu$ is completely positive.

Proof. We may take $\mathcal{A}$ as the algebra of all continuous complexvalued functions vanishing at $\infty$ on a locally compact Hausdorff space $\Gamma$. Let $M=\left(f_{i j}\right)$ be a non-negative matrix in $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are vectors in the Hilbert space, we wish to verify that

$$
\sum_{i, j}\left(\mu\left(f_{i j}\right) x_{j}, x_{i}\right) \geqq 0
$$

By the Riesz-Markoff theorem, there exists a regular measure $m$ on $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ such that $\sum_{i}\left(\mu(f) x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{r}} f d m$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then by the RieszMarkoff and Radon-Nikodym theorems, there exist measurable functions $h_{i j}$ such that

$$
\left(\mu(f) x_{j}, x_{i}\right)=\int_{\Gamma} f h_{i j} d m \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{A}
$$

Now the matrix ( $h_{i j}(\gamma)$ ) is non-negative almost everywhere; for

$$
\int_{\Gamma} f \sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \lambda_{i} \bar{\lambda}_{j} d m \geqq 0 \quad \text { for all } f \geqq 0 \text { in } \mathcal{A},
$$

and hence $\sum_{i, j} h_{i j}(\gamma) \lambda_{i} \bar{\lambda}_{j} \geqq 0$ for all $r$-tuples $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{r}$ of complex numbers with rational real and imaginary parts and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with the exception of $\gamma \in N$ where $m(N)=0$. Also the matrix $\left(f_{i j}(\gamma)\right)$ is
non-negative for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by Theorem 3. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{i, j} f_{i j}(\gamma) h_{i j}(\gamma) \geqq 0 \quad \text { almost everywhere }
$$

and so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i, i}\left(\mu\left(f_{i j}\right) x_{j}, x_{i}\right)=\int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i, j} f_{i j} h_{i j} d m \geqq 0 . \\
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