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#### Abstract

A non-autonomous discrete Lotka-volterra commensal symbiosis model with Michaelis-Menten type harvesting is proposed and studied in this paper. Under some very simple and easily verified condition, we show that the system admits at least one positive periodic solution.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate the positive periodic solution of the following discrete commensal symbiosis model with Hassell-Varley type functional response

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1}(k+1)= & N_{1}(k) \exp \left\{a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) N_{1}(k)\right. \\
& \left.+c_{1}(k) N_{2}(k)\right\}, \\
N_{2}(k+1)= & N_{2}(k) \exp \left\{a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) N_{2}(k)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) N_{2}(k)}\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{1}(k)$ and $N_{2}(k)$ represent the densities of the first and second species of $k$-generation, respectively. In view of seasonal factors, e.g., mating habits, availability of food, weather conditions, harvesting, and hunting, etc, we assume that the coefficients of the system (1) are all periodic sequences with a common integer period. More precisely, we assume that the coefficients of the system (1) satisfies
$\left(H_{1}\right) \quad\left\{b_{1}(k)\right\},\left\{b_{2}(k)\right\},\left\{m_{1}(k)\right\},\left\{m_{2}(k)\right\}$, $\left\{c_{1}(k)\right\},\{q(k)\},\{E(k)\}$ are all positive $\omega$ periodic sequences, $\omega$ is a fixed positive integer, $\left\{a_{i}(k)\right\}$ are $\omega$-periodic sequences, which satisfies $\bar{a}_{i}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_{i}(k)>0, i=1,2$.

In the past several years, many scholars paid their attention to study the dynamic behaviors of the commensal symbiosis model, see [1]-[30] and the references cited therein. However, only recently
did scholars ([24]-[30]) began to study the influence of harvesting to commensalism model. It is well known that Michaelis-Menten type harvesting ([24]-[26],[29]-[30], [33]-[37]) is more appropriate than the linear harvesting and constant harvesting, and recently, several scholars ( [ 24$]-[26],[29]-[31])$ began to study the influence of Michaelis-Menten type harvesting to commensalism model, however, most of them were studied the autonomous ones, and only Liu et al [31] and Xue et al[30] began to investigate the nonautonomous case.

In [31], Liu et al proposed the following nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra commensalism model with Michaelis-Menten type harvesting

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d N_{1}(t)}{d t}= & N_{1}(t)\left(a(t)-b(t) N_{1}(t)+c(t) N_{2}(t)\right), \\
\frac{d N_{2}(t)}{d t}= & N_{2}(t)\left(d(t)-e(t) N_{2}(t)\right) \\
& -\frac{q(t) E(t) N_{2}(t)}{m_{1}(t) E(t)+m_{2}(t) N_{2}(t)} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the assumption that all the coefficients are continuous positive periodic functions with a common period, the authors obtained a set of sufficient conditions which ensure the existence of at least one positive periodic solution of the system.

It is well known that the discrete time models governed by difference equations are more appropriate than the continuous ones when the populations have nonoverlapping generations. Hence, corresponding to system (2), we propose the discrete type of Lotka-Volterra commensalism model with

Michaelis-Menten type harvesting, i.e., system (1). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the model is proposed. We will focus our attention to the existence of positive periodic solution of system (1).

## 2 Main Result

In the proof of our existence theorem below, we will use the continuation theorem of Gaines and Mawhin([32]).

Lemma 2.1 (Continuation Theorem) Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let $N$ be $L$ compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose
(a).For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, every solution $x$ of $L x=$ $\lambda N x$ is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega$;
(b). $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0
$$

Then the equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution lying in $\operatorname{DomL} \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

Let $Z, Z^{+}, R$ and $R^{+}$denote the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, real unumbers, and nonnegative real numbers, respectively. For convenience, in the following discussion, we will use the notation below throughout this paper:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\omega}=\{0,1, \ldots, \omega-1\}, \quad \bar{g}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} g(k) \\
& g^{u}=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}} g(k), \quad g^{l}=\min _{k \in I_{\omega}} g(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{g(k)\}$ is an $\omega$-periodic sequence of real numbers defined for $k \in Z$.
Lemma 2.2 ${ }^{[40]}$ Let $g: Z \rightarrow R$ be $\omega$-periodic, i. e., $g(k+\omega)=g(k)$. Then for any fixed $k_{1}, k_{2} \in I_{\omega}$, and any $k \in Z$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(k) \leq g\left(k_{1}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)| \\
& g(k) \geq g\left(k_{2}\right)-\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $\bar{a}_{2}>\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}$ hold, any solution $\left(u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}\right)$ of the system of algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}=0 \\
& \bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \leq u_{1}^{*} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{c}_{1}}{\bar{a}_{2}}{\overline{\bar{b}_{2}}}_{1}  \tag{4}\\
& \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{1}}
\end{align*} \leq u_{2}^{*} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}, ~ \$
$$

Proof. From the second equation of (3), it immediately follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\} \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second equation of (3) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}-\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)} \leq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation of system (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\} \leq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation of system (3) and (6), we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}\right\} \\
& =\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{c}_{1} \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{c}_{1} \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2.3.
We now reach the position to establish our main result.
Theorem 2.1 Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{2}>\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, system (1) admits at least one positive $\omega$ periodic solution.

Proof. Let

$$
N_{i}(k)=\exp \left\{u_{i}(k)\right\}, \quad i=1,2
$$

so that system (1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k) \\
= & a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\}+c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
& u_{2}(k+1)-u_{2}(k) \\
= & a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
& -\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
l_{2}=\left\{y=\{y(k)\}, y(k)=\left(y_{1}(k), y_{2}(k)\right)^{T} \in R^{2}\right\} .
$$

For $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{T} \in R^{2}$, define $|a|=$ $\max \left\{\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right\}$. Let $l^{\omega} \subset l_{2}$ denote the subspace of all $\omega$ sequences equipped with the usual normal form $\|u\|=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}}|u(k)|$. It is not difficult to show that $l^{\omega}$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{0}^{\omega}=\left\{u=\{u(k)\} \in l^{\omega}: \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} u(k)=0\right\} \\
l_{c}^{\omega}=\left\{u=\{u(k)\} \in l^{\omega}: u(k)=h \in R^{2}, k \in Z\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

then $l_{0}^{\omega}$ and $l_{c}^{\omega}$ are both closed linear subspace of $l^{\omega}$, and

$$
l^{\omega}=l_{0}^{\omega} \oplus l_{c}^{\omega}, \quad \operatorname{dim} l_{c}^{\omega}=2
$$

Now let us define $X=Y=l^{\omega},(L u)(k)=u(k+$ $1)-u(k)$. It is trivial to see that L is a bounded linear operator and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ker} L=l_{c}^{\omega}, \quad \operatorname{Im} L=l_{0}^{\omega} \\
\operatorname{dimKer} L=2=\operatorname{CodimImL}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it follows that $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Let

$$
N\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)^{T}:=N(u, k)
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
N_{1}= & a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\} \\
& +c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
N_{2}= & a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
& -\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$P x=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} x(s), x \in X, \quad Q y=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} y(s), y \in Y$.
It is not difficult to show that $P$ and $Q$ are two continuous projectors such that
$\operatorname{ImP}=\operatorname{Ker} L \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$.
Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to $L$ ) $K_{p}$ : $\operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} P \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$ exists and is given by

$$
K_{p}(z)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} z(s)-\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}(\omega-s) z(s)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q N x= & \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} N(x, k) \\
K p(I-Q) N x= & \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} N(x, s) \\
& +\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} s N(x, s) \\
& -\left(\frac{k}{\omega}+\frac{\omega-1}{2 \omega}\right) \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} N(x, s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $Q N$ and $K_{p}(I-Q) N$ are continuous. Since $X$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space, it is not difficult to show that $\overline{K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})}$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. The isomorphism $J$ of $\operatorname{Im} Q$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} L$ can be the identity mapping, since $\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{Ker} L$.

Now we are at the point to search for an appropriate open, bounded subset $\Omega$ in $X$ for the application of the continuation theorem. Corresponding to the operator equation $L x=\lambda N x, \lambda \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k) \\
=\quad \lambda\left[a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\}\right. \\
\left.+c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right] \\
u_{2}(k+1)-u_{2}(k) \\
=\quad \lambda\left[a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}}\right] \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $u=\left(u_{1}(k), u_{2}(k)\right)^{T} \in X$ is an arbitrary solution of system (14) for a certain $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Summing on both sides of (14) from 0 to $\omega-1$ with
respect to $k$, we reach

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right]=0 \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\}  \tag{15}\\
= & \bar{a}_{1} \omega+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right. \\
= & \bar{a}_{2} \omega
\end{align*}
$$

From (14) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k)\right| \\
= & \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \mid a_{1}(k)-b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\} \\
& +c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \mid \\
\leq & \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{1}(k)\right| \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}(k)\right\}+c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{1}(k)\right|+\bar{a}_{1} \omega \\
& +2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
= & \left(\bar{A}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1}\right) \omega+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{2}(k+1)-u_{2}(k)\right| \\
&= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[a_{2}(k)-b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{2}(k)\right|+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\}}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{2}(k)\right|+\bar{a}_{2} \omega \\
& \leq\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{A}_{1}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{1}(k)\right|, \quad \bar{A}_{2}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|a_{2}(k)\right|$.
Since $\{u(k)\}=\left\{\left(u_{1}(k), u_{2}(k)\right)^{T}\right\} \in X$, there exist $\eta_{i}, \delta_{i}, i=1,2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\min _{k \in I_{\omega}} u_{i}(k), u_{i}\left(\delta_{i}\right)=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}} u_{i}(k) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (16), we have

$$
\exp \left\{u_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right\} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{2}(k) \leq \bar{a}_{2} \omega
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (18) and (20) that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{2}(k) & \leq u_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{2}(k+1)-u_{2}(k)\right| \\
& \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{b_{2}}+\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (16) we also have
$\exp \left\{u_{2}\left(\delta_{2}\right)\right\} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{2}(k) \geq \bar{a}_{2} \omega-\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(\frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)}\right)$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}\left(\delta_{2}\right) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (18) and (22) that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{2}(k) & \geq u_{2}\left(\delta_{2}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{2}(k+1)-u_{2}(k)\right| \\
& \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{2}}-\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

which together with (21) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|u_{2}(k)\right| \leq & \max \left\{\left|\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{b_{2}}+\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left|\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{2}}-\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right|\right\} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} H_{2} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (17) and (21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k)\right| \\
\leq & \left(\bar{A}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1}\right) \omega+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
\leq & \left(\bar{A}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1}\right) \omega \\
& +2 \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{b_{2}}+\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right\} \\
\leq & \left(\bar{A}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1}\right) \omega \\
& +2 \bar{c}_{1} \bar{a}_{2} \bar{b}_{2} \omega \exp \left\{\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right\} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Gamma_{1}, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (15) and (21) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \bar{a}_{1} \omega+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
\leq & \bar{a}_{1} \omega+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}+\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right\} \\
= & \bar{a}_{1} \omega+\bar{c}_{1} \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \omega \exp \left\{\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \leq \ln \frac{\Delta_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{1}=\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{c}_{1} \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \exp \left\{\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{a}_{2}\right) \omega\right\}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (25) and (26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}(k) & \leq u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k)\right| \\
& \leq \ln \frac{\Delta_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}}+\Gamma_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} M_{1} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} b_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{1}\left(\delta_{1}\right)\right\} \\
\geq & \bar{a}_{1} \omega+\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} c_{1}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}(k)\right\} \\
\geq & \bar{a}_{1} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (25) and (28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}(k) & \geq u_{1}\left(\delta_{1}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|u_{1}(k+1)-u_{1}(k)\right| \\
& \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}}-\Gamma_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} M_{2} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (27) and (29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{1}(k)\right| \leq \max \left\{\left|M_{1}\right|,\left|M_{2}\right|\right\} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} H_{1} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are independent on the choice of $\lambda$. Already, in Lemma 2.3, we had showed that under the assumption (12) hold, any solution $\left(u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}\right)$ of the system of algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}=0 \\
& \bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}}=0 \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \leq u_{1}^{*} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}+\overline{c_{1}} \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}}{\bar{b}_{1}},  \tag{32}\\
& \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}}{\bar{b}_{2}} \leq u_{2}^{*} \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

Let $H=H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}$, where $H_{3}>0$ is taken
sufficiently enough large such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{3}> & \left|\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}}\right|+\left\lvert\, \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{c}_{1}}{\bar{b}_{1}} \overline{\bar{b}}_{2}\right. \\
& +\left|\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}-\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}{\bar{b}_{2}}\right|+\left|\ln \frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\bar{b}_{2}}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and define

$$
\Omega=\left\{u(t)=\left(u_{1}(k), u_{2}(k)\right)^{T} \in X:\|u\|<H\right\}
$$

It is clear that $\Omega$ verifies requirement (a) in Lemma 2.1. When $u \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=\partial \Omega \cap R^{2}, u$ is constant vector in $R^{2}$ with $\|u\|=B$. Then

$$
Q N u=\binom{\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}}{\Delta} \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta= & \bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{q(k) E(k)}{m_{1}(k) E(k)+m_{2}(k) \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to compute the Brouwer degree, let us consider the homotopy

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mu} u=\mu Q N u+(1-\mu) G u, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G u=\binom{\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{1}\right\}+\bar{c}_{1} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{2}\right\}}
$$

From the definition of $H$, it follows that $0 \notin H_{\mu}(\partial \Omega \cap$ $\operatorname{Ker} L$ ) for $\mu \in[0,1]$. In addition, one can easily show that the algebraic equation $G u=0$ has a unique solution in $R^{2}$. Note that $J=I$ since $\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{Ker} L$, by the invariance property of homotopy, direct calculation produces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}(J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0) \\
= & \operatorname{deg}(Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0) \\
= & \operatorname{deg}(G, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0) \\
= & \operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma)=1 \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\bar{b}_{1} \bar{b}_{2} \exp \left\{u_{1}^{*}\right\} \exp \left\{u_{2}^{*}\right\}
$$

and $\operatorname{deg}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the Brouwer degree. By now we have proved that $\Omega$ verifies all requirements in Lemma 2.1. Hence (13) has at least one solution $\left(u_{1}^{*}(k), u_{2}^{*}(k)\right)^{T}$ in $D o m L \cap \bar{\Omega}$. And so, system (1) admits a positive periodic solution $\left(N_{1}^{*}(k), N_{2}^{*}(k)\right)^{T}$, where $N_{i}^{*}(k)=\exp \left\{u_{i}^{*}(k)\right\}, i=1,2$, This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 3. Example

Now let us consider the following example.

## Example 3.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}(k+1) \\
= & N_{1}(k) \exp \{0.5-0.25 \cos (\pi k) \\
& -\left(1+0.5 \sin \left(\pi n+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right) N_{1}(k) \\
& \left.+\left(0.5+0.3 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right) N_{2}(k)\right\} ; \\
& N_{2}(k+1)  \tag{33}\\
= & N_{2}(k) \exp \left\{1.5+0.5 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right. \\
& -\left(1+0.3 \cos \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{6}\right)\right) N_{2}(k) \\
& \left.\frac{0.5+0.2 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)}{2+N_{2}(k)}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, corresponding to system (1), we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}(k) & =0.5-0.25 \cos (\pi k), \\
b_{1}(k) & =1+0.5 \sin \left(\pi n+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\
c_{1}(k) & =0.5+0.3 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \\
a_{2}(k) & =1.5+0.5 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{4}\right), \\
b_{2}(k) & =1+0.3 \cos \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{6}\right) \\
q(k) & =0.5+0.2 \sin \left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \\
E(k) & =1, m_{1}(k)=2, m_{2}(k)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, in system (33)

$$
\bar{a}_{2}=1.5>0.25=\overline{\left(\frac{q}{m_{1}}\right)}
$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that system (33) admits at least one positive 2 -period solution.

## 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a discrete Lotka-volterra commensal symbiosis model with Michaelis-Menten type harvesting, it seems that this is the first time such kind of modelling was proposed. We show that under some suitable condition, the system could admits at least one positive periodic solution, which means that two species could coexistent in a fluctuation state.

We will investigate the persistent property and stability property of the system in the future.
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