

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Potential role of lava lizards as pollinators across the Galápagos Islands

Sandra HERVÍAS-PAREJO,¹ Manuel NOGALES,² Beatriz GUZMÁN,³ María del Mar TRIGO,⁴ Jens M. OLESEN,⁵ Pablo VARGAS,³ Ruben HELENO⁶ and Anna TRAVESET¹

¹Global Change Research Group, Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies, Mallorca, Balearic Islands, Spain, ²Island Ecology and Evolution Research Group, Institute of Natural Products and Agrobiotechnology, Canary Islands, Spain, ³Royal Botanical Garden of Madrid, Madrid, Spain, ⁴Department of Plant Biology, Málaga University, Málaga, Spain, ⁵Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark and ⁶Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Abstract

Lizards have been reported as important pollinators on several oceanic islands. Here we evaluate the potential role of Galápagos lava lizards (*Microlophus* spp.) as pollinators across their radiation. Over 3 years, we sampled pollen transport by 9 lava lizard species on the 10 islands where they are present, including 7 single-island endemics. Overall, only 25 of 296 individuals sampled (8.4%) transported pollen of 10 plant species, the most common being *Prosopis juliflora*, *Exodeconus miersii*, *Sesuvium* sp. and *Cordia leucophlyctis*. At least 8 of these plant species were native, and none were confirmed as introduced to the archipelago. Despite the low overall proportion of individuals carrying pollen, this was observed in 7 of the nine lizard species, and on 8 of the ten main islands (Española, Fernandina, Floreana, Isabela, Marchena, Pinta, Santa Cruz and Santiago), suggesting that this is a widespread interaction. The results reported here support the potential role of lava lizards as pollinators across their radiation, although they may represent a relatively modest contribution when compared with birds and insects. However, we cannot discard that lizards may be ecologically significant for particular plant species and ecosystems given the specific climatic condition and functional diversity of each island.

Key words: flower visitation, *Microlophus* spp., mutualistic interactions, pollination, vertebrate radiation

INTRODUCTION

The Galápagos lava lizards (*Microlophus* spp., Tropiduridae) constitute a remarkable vertebrate radiation

that resulted in 10 currently recognized species, of which 8 are single-island endemics (Benavides *et al.* 2009). Of the 10 species, 3 have already been recorded to visit the flowers of 13 plant species on the islands of Pinta, Española and Daphne Major (Werner 1978; Schluter 1984; East 1995). Floral resources, such as pollen and nectar, can be energetically important for lizards when alternative sources of protein, such as arthropods, are scarce (Olesen & Valido 2003; Rodríguez-Rodríguez *et al.* 2013). By feeding on floral resources, lizards can

Correspondence: Sandra Hervías-Parejo, Global Change Research Group, Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies, Mallorca, Balearic Islands, 07190 Spain.
Email: shparejo@gmail.com

transport pollen and act as pollinators (Traveset & Sáez 1997; Gomes *et al.* 2014). The role of lizards as pollen transporters has never been reported across an entire vertebrate radiation. Therefore, we aimed to quantify pollen transport by all lava lizard species, except one which has been recently discovered (*M. barringtonensis* on Santa Fe island), on the main Galápagos Islands. Studies on plant–animal interactions at an archipelago scale (despite being scarce) are essential to identify key ecological links and drivers of functional shifts in insular biodiversity (Traveset *et al.* 2015; Heinen *et al.* 2018; Hervías-Parejo *et al.* 2018).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten Galápagos Islands (Table 1) were visited between 2014 and 2016, from February to May coinciding with the peak flowering time. Sampling was conducted in the arid vegetation zone (approximately 0–300 m a.s.l.) where lizards are common (Tanner & Perry 2007). This zone is the most biodiverse and most plant species have broad distributions across the archipelago (Heleno & Vargas 2015). An area of approximately 1 km² was prospected on each island by 2 observers until at least 20 individuals were captured, which usually took 3 to 5 h. Captures were done near flowering species during mid-morning and mid-afternoon, when lizards are most active (Stebbins *et al.* 1967). A total of 296 lizards of both sexes were captured by hand with noose poles

and marked with a temporary spot of nail polish (Rosier *et al.* 2011) to avoid resampling the same individual. Each lizard captured was inspected for pollen load by swabbing a single 3-mm³ cube of glycerine jelly stained with fuchsine on their snouts, neck and forehead (Table 1). The gelatine cube was then placed on a microscope slide, covered, melted by a weak heat source and sealed with clear nail polish. Pollen grains were later identified under a light microscope using a reference collection (Jaramillo & Trigo 2011). Results are expressed as the percentage of samples containing at least 5 pollen grains of any plant species and as the number of pollen grains of each plant species. Fewer than 5 pollen grains of the same species was considered contamination (see Banza *et al.* 2015; da Silva *et al.* 2017).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall, 8.4% ($n = 25$) of the individuals sampled were found to transport more than 5 pollen grains of any plant species (mean = 7.4, median = 2, maximum = 126 pollen grains; $n = 296$ samples), of which all except 2 individuals transported pollen grains of just 1 species. The proportion of samples with pollen across islands ranged from zero on Pinzón and San Cristóbal to 33% on Santa Cruz. In total, 521 pollen grains of 10 plant species were identified, of which at least 8 are native. Only 11 pollen grains of 2 species morphotypes, *Chamaesyce* and *Poaceae* spp., could not be classified confidently

Table 1 Number of pollen samples collected from lava lizards (*Microlophus* spp.) on the main Galápagos Islands, from February to May in 2014, 2015 and 2016

Island	Species	<i>n</i> samples		% sample with pollen
		Females	Males	
Española	<i>M. delanonis</i>	15	15	16.67
Fernandina	<i>M. albemarlensis</i>	18	11	3.45
Floreana	<i>M. grayii</i>	13	16	3.45
Isabela	<i>M. albemarlensis</i>	17	17	2.94
Marchena	<i>M. habelii</i>	15	16	16.13
Pinta	<i>M. pacificus</i>	11	10	33.33
Pinzón	<i>M. duncanensis</i>	25	16	0.00
San Cristóbal	<i>M. bivittatus</i>	20	21	0.00
Santa Cruz	<i>M. indefatigabilis</i>	8	12	20.00
Santiago	<i>M. jabobi</i>	8	12	5.00
Total		296		

Samples with fewer than 5 pollen grains of the same species were discarded.

as belonging to native or introduced species, due to the similarity of the pollen grains within these genera. Of the nine lava lizard species, 7 carried pollen on 8 of the 10 islands sampled (Table 2). Pollen of *Prosopis juliflora*, *Exodeconus miersii*, *Sesuvium* sp. and *Cordia leucophlyctis* were the most frequently carried by lizards. During our fieldwork on Pinta we also observed lizards feeding on flowers of species with large pollen grains, namely *Opuntia galapageia* (Fig. 1) and *Ipomea* spp., although we do not know to what extent the pollen of these species can remain attached to the smooth skin of lizards. Size constraints, accessibility and phenological uncoupling shape interaction patterns (Sankamethawee *et al.* 2011) and likely explain why some types of pollen are more frequently carried by lava lizards than others. Phenotypic trait matching in particular deserves attention in future research in the Galápagos as it can be an important driver of plant–pollinator interactions (Biddick & Burns 2018).

Overall, lizards appear to have a relatively modest contribution to pollen transport within the Galápagos flora when compared to birds, the only other class of vertebrate pollen vector in the archipelago (mean = 233, median = 5, maximum = 20.1 pollen grains per individual, 106 plant species dispersed; $n = 769$ samples [Traveset *et al.* 2015]). Even if the contribution of lizards to pollen transport is quantitatively modest, it might still be ecologically important for some plant species. There-

fore, future research should be directed towards evaluating whether pollen transport by lizards results in ef-



Figure 1 The lava lizard *Microlophus pacificus* feeding on pollen and nectar in the flowers of the prickly pear cactus *Opuntia galapageia* on the island of Pinta. Clockwise from upper left: climbing the trunk (photo: MN), nectar feeding (photo: JMO), nectar feeding (photo: RH) and nectar feeding (photo: JMO).

Table 2 Number of pollen grains per plant species transported by lava lizards (*Microlophus* spp.) on the main Galápagos Islands, from February to May in 2014, 2015 and 2016

Island	Pollen species	Family	Origin	n pollen grains	% sample with pollen	
					Females	Males
Española	<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>	Mimosaceae	Native	204	1	3
	<i>Sesuvium edmondstonei</i>	Aizoaceae	Native	5	1	0
Fernandina	<i>Cordia leucophlyctis</i>	Boraginaceae	Endemic	18	0	1
Floreana	<i>Sesuvium</i> sp.	Aizoaceae	Native	5	1	0
Isabela	<i>Maytenus octogona</i>	Celastraceae	Native	10	1	0
Marchena	<i>Cordia leucophlyctis</i>	Boraginaceae	Native	61	1	4
Pinta	<i>Chamaesyce</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae	Unknown	6	1	0
	<i>Exodeconus miersii</i>	Solanaceae	Native	105	3	1
	<i>Poaceae</i>	Poaceae	Unknown	5	0	1
	cf. <i>Bursera graveolens</i>	Burseraceae	Native	6	0	1
Stanta Cruz	<i>Sesuvium</i> sp.	Aizoaceae	Native	90	3	1
Santiago	<i>Heliotropium angiospermum</i>	Boraginaceae	Native	6	1	0
			Total	521	13	12

During our fieldwork on Pinta we also observed lizards feeding on flowers of *Opuntia galapageia* and *Ipomea* spp.; however, these 2 species were not in the pollen samples.

fective cross-pollination or in floral larceny (i.e. the removal of floral reward without provision of pollination service). At least on some oceanic islands with depauperate pollinator faunas, lizards have been reported to contribute to pollination success (Olesen & Valido 2003; Fuster & Traveset 2019). If effective transfer of pollen onto stigmas results in pollination, *M. habellii* on Marchena and *M. pacificus* on Pinta would act as both pollinators and seed dispersers of *Cordia leucophlyctis* and *Bursera graveolens*, respectively (see Hervías-Parejo *et al.* 2018). In other words, we hypothesize that these lizard species could fit into the concept of double mutualism, similar to that already described between some Galápagos birds and plants (Olesen *et al.* 2018).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is framed within the projects CGL2013-44386-P and CGL2015-67865-P funded by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain). We thank the Charles Darwin Foundation, especially Diana Flores and Patricia Jaramillo and the Galápagos National Park, especially Galo Quezada and Carlos Vera (research permit numbers: PC-46-14, PC-20-15, PC-22-16), for offering us information and logistic support. José Benedicto collaborated during lizard captures and Paula Castaño and the organization “Island Conservation” facilitated access to the islets of Pinzón and Santiago.

REFERENCES

- Banza P, Belo AD, Evans DM (2015). The structure and robustness of nocturnal Lepidopteran pollen-transfer networks in a Biodiversity Hotspot. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* **8**, 538–46.
- Benavides E, Baum R, Snell HM, Snell HL, Sites JW Jr (2009). Island biogeography of Galápagos lava lizards (Tropiduridae: *Microlophus*): Species diversity and colonization of the archipelago. *Evolution* **63**, 1606–26.
- Biddick M, Burns K (2018). Phenotypic trait matching predicts the topology of an insular plant–bird pollination network. *Integrative Zoology* **13**, 339–47.
- da Silva LP, Ramos JA, Coutinho AP, Tenreiro PQ, Heleno RH (2017). Flower visitation by European birds offers the first evidence of interaction release in continents. *Journal of Biogeography* **44**, 687–95.
- East KT (1995). Pollen digestion in Galápagos lava lizards. *Noticias de Galápagos* **55**, 8–14.
- Fuster F, Traveset A (2019). Evidence for a double mutualistic interaction between a lizard and a Mediterranean gymnosperm, *Ephedra fragilis* Desf. *AoB PLANTS* **11**, plz001.
- Gomes V, Quirino Z, Machado I (2014). Pollination and seed dispersal of *Melocactus ernestii* Vaupel subsp. *ernestii* (Cactaceae) by lizards: An example of double mutualism. *Plant Biology* **16**, 315–22.
- Heinen JH, van Loon EE, Hansen DM, Kissling WD (2018). Extinction-driven changes in frugivore communities on oceanic islands. *Ecography* **41**, 1245–55.
- Heleno R, Vargas P (2015). How do islands become green? *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **24**, 518–26.
- Hervías-Parejo S, Heleno R, Rumeu B *et al.* (2018). Small size does not restrain frugivory and seed dispersal across the evolutionary radiation of Galápagos lava lizards. *Current Zoology*, zoy066. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoy066>.
- Jaramillo P, Trigo MM (2011). *Guía Rápida de Polen de Las Islas Galápagos*. Fundación Charles Darwin and University of Málaga, Puerto Ayora, Santa Cruz, Galápagos.
- Olesen JM, Valido A (2003). Lizards as pollinators and seed dispersers: An island phenomenon. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **18**, 177–81.
- Olesen JM, Damgaard CF, Fuster F *et al.* (2018). Disclosing the double mutualist role of birds on Galápagos. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 57.
- Rodríguez-Rodríguez MC, Jordano P, Valido A (2013). Quantity and quality components of effectiveness in insular pollinator assemblages. *Oecologia* **173**, 179–90.
- Rosier RL, Langkilde T (2011). Does environmental enrichment really matter? A case study using the eastern fence lizard, *Sceloporus undulatus*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **131**, 71–6.
- Sankamethawee W, Pierce AJ, Gale GA, Hardesty BD (2011). Plant–frugivore interactions in an intact tropical forest in northeast Thailand. *Integrative Zoology* **6**, 195–212.
- Schluter D (1984). Body size, prey size and herbivory in the Galápagos lava lizard, *Tropidurus*. *Oikos* **43**, 291–300.
- Stebbins RC, Lowenstein JM, Cohen NW (1967). A field study of the lava lizard (*Tropidurus albemarlensis*) in the Galápagos Islands. *Ecology* **48**, 839–51.
- Tanner D, Perry J (2007). Road effects on abundance and fitness of Galápagos lava lizards (*Microlophus*

albemarlensis). *Journal of Environmental Management* **85**, 270–8.

Traveset A, Sáez E (1997). Pollination of *Euphorbia dendroides* by lizards and insects: Spatio-temporal variation in patterns of flower visitation. *Oecologia* **111**, 241–8.

Traveset A, Olesen JM, Nogales M *et al.* (2015).

Bird-flower visitation networks in the Galápagos unveil a widespread interaction release. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6376.

Werner DI (1978). On the biology of *Tropidurus delanonis*, Baur (Iguanidae). *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* **47**, 337–95.

Cite this article as:

Hervías-Parejo S, Nogales M, Guzmán B (2020). Potential role of lava lizards as pollinators across the Galápagos Islands. *Integrative Zoology* **15**, 144–8.