

Predicting financial stability of select BSE companies revisiting Altman Z score

Vineet Chouhan^{1,a}, Bibhas Chandra^{2,b}, Shubham Goswami^{1,c}

¹School of Management, Sir Padampat Singhania University, Bhatewar, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India
^{a,c}Mobile: +91-9772778431 , +91-9461347456

²Management Department, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India

^{a,b,c}E-mail address: vineet.chouhan@spsu.ac.in , chandra_bibhas@yahoo.co.in ,
shubham.goswami@spsu.ac.in

ABSTRACT

In the era of globalization, prediction of financial distress is of interest not only to managers but also to external stakeholders of a company. The stakeholders are continuously seeking the optimal solution for performance forecasting, as a way to rationalize the decision-making process. The recent past shows that financial stability of companies is at the stake. Stockholders, Managers, Creditor and employees of the business are always concerned about financial stability of the companies. The most frequently tool for financial analysis is financial ratios. However, financial ratios are no-longer proved appropriate for 'Stockholders' equity position and creditors' claims. Stakeholder's have concerns about the consequences of financial distress for companies, and controls of capital adequacy through the regulatory capital requirement (Mingo, 2000). This shared interest creates persistent investigations and continuing attempts to answer an incessant question that how financial distress can be predicted, or what reveals the credit risk of firms. For this purpose most commonly used tool is Altman Z score, but due to nature of the explanatory variables, financial distress prediction research has not reached an unequivocal conclusion. The primary goal of this paper is to analyze and reexamine the Altman Z score. In order to facilitate the current research, various ratios were taken from Altman's Z score. To fulfill our objective Z score ratios were used to divide sample firms into healthy and unstable among BSE-30 companies. First the Z score is calculated for 10 companies selected for this purpose for a period of 5 years each. And then it is divided as per z scores, later the significant in the changes in the ratio is calculated with the help of One sample Komogrov-Smirnow test, which resulted that the change in the z scores is not significant in case of all the companies.

Keywords: companies; Komogrov-Smirnow; Stockholders; Managers; Creditor; employees of the business

1. INTRODUCTION

Ratio analysis is used in various part of the world for measuring financial accuracy and creditworthiness of the firms, but, Academician seems to be moving toward the elimination of ratio analysis as an analytical technique in assessing the performance of the business enterprise. Theorists downgrade arbitrary rules of thumb, such as company ratio comparisons, widely used by practitioners. Since attacks on the relevance of ratio analysis emanate from many esteemed

members of the scholarly world, which mean that ratio analysis is limited implications and it has the significance of such an approach been unattractively garbed. We have to bridge the gap, between traditional ratio "analysis" and the more rigorous statistical techniques.

The detection of company operating and financial difficulties is a subject which has been particularly susceptible to financial ratio analysis (Chouhan et. al, 2010, 2011^{a, b}). Prior to the development of quantitative measures of company performance, agencies were established to supply a qualitative type of information assessing the creditworthiness of particular merchants. Formal aggregate studies concerned with portents of business failure were evident in the 1930's.

Altman (1968) was the first researcher to apply the Multiple Discriminant Analysis (MDA) approach to the financial distress prediction domain. He developed a Z-score bankruptcy prediction model and determined a cut point of Z-score (2.675) to classify healthy and distressed firms. The results showed that the Z-score model had sound prediction performance one year and two years before financial distress, but did not indicate good prediction utility three to five years before financial distress. A number of authors followed Altman's work, and applied the Z-score model into different markets, different time periods and different industries, such as, Taffler (1982, 1984), Pantalone and Platt (1987), Betts and Belhoul (1987) and Piesse and Wood (1992).

1. 1. Multiple Discriminant Analysis

MDA assumes that the covariance matrices of two populations are identical and both populations need to be described by multivariate normal distribution. Clearly, these assumptions do not always reflect the real world. Deakin (1976) argued that even if after performing the normality transforming process, financial ratio data do not follow normal distribution. Moreover, Hamer (1983) evaluated the sensitivity of financial distress prediction models in terms of four different variable sets from previous research (Altman, 1968; Deakin, 1972; Blum, 1974; Ohlson, 1980) and she pointed out that the covariance matrices in each variable set were statistically different. Beaver (1967) was the first to identify the characteristics of failing firms in comparison to a matched paired sample of healthy firms. Using univariate discrimination test and found that financial ratios are proved to be useful predictors and found that certain financial ratios can be very useful predictors of failure even five years before it happens.

This study can be thought of as the pioneering work which initiated a series of other works in the same area. Following this first study two major statistical techniques, Multiple Discriminant Analysis (MDA) and Regression Analysis (RA), were applied by many authors to predict imminent bankruptcies. E. Altman (1968, 1978) was the first to apply the MDA method to the failure prediction problem and his model (known as Z Score analysis) was 90 % accurate in classifying firms correctly one year prior to failure. In other methods, Regression Analysis was applied by Edmister (1971) who obtained high classification results. However, one major shortcoming was the fact that he did not use the variables in their raw form but, instead, he transformed each. MDA was also applied by Deakin (1972) who found that his models were at least 95 % accurate for the first three years prior to bankruptcy.

The two techniques (MDA and RA) were compared in a study by Collins (1980) who concluded that both methods provided good predictive results. In Japan a number of studies (for example, Nikkei-Business, Takahashi and Ko) obtained high classification performances (85 % or above). Von Stein (1981) in Germany, Weibel (1973) in Switzerland, Taffler et al and Tissaw (1977) and Marais (1979) in England, Bilderbeek (1977) in Netherlands and Altman and Lavalley (1981) in Canada used MDA. In all of these studies the estimated models had

high success rates ranging from 70 % to 90 %. Similar studies by Altman (1973) in France and Castagna and Matolscy (1981) in Australia obtained average results.

Generalized linear models or multiple logistic regression models are also popular. Ohlson's O-Score (Ohlson, 1980) is based on generalized linear models with the logit link function, also referred to as logit analysis. Neural network models are powerful and popular alternatives, with the ability to incorporate a very large number of features in an adaptive nonlinear model, Wilson and Sharda (1994). In India prediction models have been developed by Gupta (1979), Kaveri (1980), Srivastava (1981), and Yadav (1986). Gupta (1979) has made an attempt to examine a variety of ratios and determined the best set of ratios. Yadav (1986) developed discriminant model by using financial ratios which covers the financial characteristics of the firm. Rekha Pai et al (2006) has made a comparison of PCA-MDA model and Neural networks techniques to predict industrial sickness and has proved that the traditional statistical model seem to perform as a better predictive technique than the soft computing model. Regardless of the advantages or the disadvantages of the predictive model, the very idea of developing such models to predict financial distress and failure itself is welcome allover, for a model could help to detect the likelihood of forthcoming sickness and thus facilitate to prevent its onslaught in an early stage. The bankruptcy models can be used as early warning signals, such that, corrective action may be undertaken immediately by the management.

The paper begins with a literature review on the credit risk measure, followed by discussion on the option-based credit risk measure. The paper also describes the data collected, the variables analyzed and the statistical methods adopted in the paper. We conclude, after statistical results for the Altman's Z-score and the comparison with the option-based measure are discussed.

1. 2. Objectives

The main objectives of the current study are enlisted as below:

1. To calculate the prominent ratios of select BSE-30 companies included in Altman Z score.
2. To identify the change in time series data correspond to Altman Z score for each select BSE-30 companies.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The first multivariate study was published by Altman (1968). He has used multivariate discriminant analysis to develop a five-factor model to predict bankruptcy of manufacturing firms. The "*Z-score*", as it was called, predicted bankruptcy if the firm's score fell within a certain range.

Initiated by Beaver (1966), Altman (1968), and Ohlson (1980), academic studies to measure financial vulnerability continued for three decades. Beaver found that the cash flow to debt ratio was the best single ratio predictor of distress in his univariate discriminant analysis. Altman's Z-Score model used multivariate discriminant analysis to select the five most significant variables for measuring the financial distress of firms. Ohlson's O-Score model used a logit analysis to generate a one-year prediction model, and his academic descendants frequently referred to his discrete variables as a proxy for the probability of financial distress.

Altman (1968) collected data from 33 failed firms and 33 matching firms, during the period 1946-1965, to find discriminating variables for bankruptcy prediction. In his seminal

paper, Altman evaluated 22 potentially significant variables of the 66 firms by using multiple discriminant analysis to build the discriminant function with five variables. The discriminant function is as follows:

$$Z = 1.2X_1 + 1.4X_2 + 3.3X_3 + 0.6X_4 + 0.999X_5,$$

where:

$X_1 \equiv$ Working Capital/Total Assets

$X_2 \equiv$ Retained Earnings/Total Assets

$X_3 \equiv$ EBIT/Total Assets

$X_4 \equiv$ Market Value of Equity/Book Value of Total Debt, and

$X_5 \equiv$ Sales/Total assets

X1-Working Capital/Total Assets

The Working capital/Total assets ratio, frequently found in studies of corporate problems, is a measure of the net liquid assets of the firm relative to the total capitalization. Working capital is defined as the difference between current assets and current liabilities. Liquidity and size characteristics are explicitly considered. Ordinarily, a firm experiencing consistent operating losses will have shrinking current assets in relation to total assets. Of the three liquidity ratios evaluated, this one proved to be the most valuable. 22 Inclusion of this variable is consistent with the Merwin study which rated the net working capital to total asset ratio as the best indicator of ultimate discontinuance.

X2-Retained Earnings/Total Assets

This measure of cumulative profitability over time was cited earlier as one of the "new" ratios. The age of a firm is implicitly considered in this ratio. For example, a relatively young firm will probably show a low RE/TA ratio because it has not had time to build up its cumulative profits. Therefore, it may be argued that the young firm is somewhat discriminated against in this analysis, and its chance of being classified as bankrupt is relatively higher than another, older firm. But, this is precisely the situation in the real world. The incidence of failure is much higher in a firm's earlier.

X3-Earnings before Interest and Taxes/Total Assets

This ratio is calculated by dividing the total assets of a firm into its earnings before interest and tax reductions. In essence, it is a measure of the true productivity of the firm's assets, abstracting from any tax or leverage factors. Since a firm's ultimate existence is based on the earning power of its assets, this ratio appears to be particularly appropriate for studies dealing with corporate failure. Furthermore, insolvency in a bankruptcy sense occurs when the total liabilities exceed a fair valuation of the firm's assets with value determined by the earning power of the assets.

X4-Market Value of Equity/Book Value of Total Debt

Equity is measured by the combined market value of all shares of stock, preferred and common, while debt includes both current and long-term. The measure shows how much the firm's assets can decline in value (measured by market value of equity plus debt) before the

liabilities exceed the assets and the firm becomes insolvent. This ratio adds a market value dimension which other failure studies did not consider. It also appears to be a more effective predictor of bankruptcy than a similar, more commonly used ratio: Net worth/Total debt (book values).

X5-Sales/Total Assets

The capital-turnover ratio is a standard financial ratio illustrating the sales generating ability of the firm's assets. It is one measure of management's capability in dealing with competitive conditions. This final ratio is quite important because, as indicated below, it is the least significant ratio on an individual basis. In fact, based on the statistical significance measure, it would not have appeared at all. However, because of its unique relationship to other variables in the model, the Sales/Total assets ratio ranks second in its contribution to the overall discriminating ability of the model.

The Z-Score, which as aforementioned is a survival indicator, classifies companies based on their solvency. The higher the value is, the lower the risk of bankruptcy. A low or negative Z-Score indicates high likelihood of bankruptcy. Altman set critical values between companies based on the survivability indicator which is given in table-1 as under:

Table 1. Critical values of Altman's Model.

Score	Zone	Result
$Z < 1.81$	Distress	likely to be bankrupt
$1.81 < Z < 2.99$	Gray Zone	Stable
$Z > 2.99$	Safe Zone	Safe

Altman finds that the prediction accuracy of the model tapers off for longer prediction horizons such as four- and five-year horizons. Accuracy tapers from 95 % for 1-year and 72 % for 2-year prediction horizon, to 48 % for 3-year, 29 % for 4-year and 36 % for 5-year horizon. Literature on bankruptcy had identified many ratios that were important in predicting bankruptcy. The Contributors to informational inputs used in models predicting bankruptcy is shown in Table 2.

Many Study found better performance of Altman z-model for manufacturing companies (Grice and Ingram: 2001; Christopoulos et al. 2007). Over the past decade, the Z-score models were used as a proxy for bankruptcy risks in such areas as strategic planning (Calandro, 2007), investment decisions (Sudarsanam and Lai, 2001; Lawson, 2008), asset pricing (Griffin and Lemmon, 2002; Ferguson and Shockley, 2003), capital structure (Allayannis et al., 2003; Molina, 2005), credit risk pricing (Kao, 2000; Jayadev, 2006), distressed securities (Altman, 2002: ch. 22; Marchesini et al., 2004) and going-concern research (Citron and Taffler, 2004; Taffler et al., 2004).

Table 2. Reviews of literature.

Author	Sample/ Country	Efficiency & type of ratio	Result
Lifschutz and Jacobi (2010)	Publicly traded companies in Israel between 2000 and 2007	95% accuracy rate one year prior to bankruptcy and with an 85% accuracy rate two years prior to bankruptcy	It predicts that higher index predicts a high likelihood of survival, while a lower index predicts low likelihood of survival
Alkhatib (2011)	Jordanian companies during the five years preceding the bankruptcy	Bankruptcy incident high rates of 75% for the fifth year, 94% for the fourth year and 100% for each of the third, the second and the first.	Main result was percentage rates and prediction frequencies for Altman Z-Score
Wang & Campbell (2010)	China publicly listed companies	The accuracy is above 95% which confirms that delisting is a predictable event.	The models prediction accuracy rate is in general depending on the cutoff point selected models applicable to China using ex-ante data will provide meaningful insights.
Muller, Steyn-Bruwer and Hamman (2009)	South African companies listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange	They found that multiple discriminant analysis and recursive partitioning have the highest prediction accuracy for predicting "failed" companies	Tested the effectiveness of four different techniques used to predict financial distress.
Yu-Chiang and Ansell (2005)	Retail financial distress anticipatory, USA, Europe and Japan, from 2000 to 2004	on five key variables: Debt Ratio, Total Debt / (Total Debt + Market Capitalization), Total Assets, Operating Cash Flow and Government Debt / GDP, Various Ratio	They used Total Assets as Scale Measure and results that results showed that the Z-score model had sound prediction performance one year and two years before financial distress and Has constructed a model based which proved to have sound classification performance
Yair Ingbar (1994)	Israel's Private companies data from the 1980s	93% accuracy in forecasting bankruptcy one year prior to collapse and 73% two years prior to it	Converted the Altman Index to publicly traded companies in Israel on Private companies.
Begley et al. (1996)	Data of 1980, US	Liquidity ratios like current assets on current liabilities; current assets	Used the original Altman Model of 1968, while revising the coefficients The study showed that revising the

		less inventory on current liabilities; current assets less inventory on total assets; funds from operations on total liabilities	coefficients negatively impacted on the forecasting ability compared to the original model.
Aziz and Dar (2006)	reviewed 89 studies on prediction of bankruptcy between 1968-2003	Various Ratio	They found that the multi-variable models (Z-Score) and logit were the most popular in the 89 studies.
Chung et al. (2008)	ten failed finance companies during 2006-2007 in New Zealand	insolvency predictive ability of different financial ratios	They found that four of the five Altman (1968) ratios, one year prior to failure, were superior to other financial ratios for predicting corporate insolvency
Ingbar (1994)	40 publicly traded Israeli companies in 1982-1990.	In terms of the bankrupt companies, warning signs could be identified two and even three years prior to the onset of the crisis at the company	indicated that application of the Altman Model yields good results both for stable and bankrupt companies
Ben-Horin (1996)	Adacom company (which collapsed in 1994) with data of 1992-1994	Various Ratio	Altman Model results significant results
Eden and Meir (2007)	Shamir Salads (which collapsed in 2005) data for 2003 and 2004	Various Ratio	Prove that the Altman indicators clearly show that the companies are in financial distress.
Odipo & Itati (2011)	10 failed firms of Kenyan market	90% successful prediction of the model.	10 non-failed firms analyzed, 9 of them proved that Edward Altman's financial distress prediction model was successful indicating a 90% validity of the model.
Gerantonis, Vergos and Christopoulos (2009)	373 companies out of which 45 were bankrupted, listed on the Athens Stock exchange, period of 1999-2006	Various Ratio	They investigated whether Z-score models can predict bankruptcies for a period up to three years earlier and show that Altman model performs well in predicting failures.

Vergos and Christopoulos et al (2006)	Greek telecom company failures	predictions and company announcements may affect considerably market prices up to 18 months before the announcement of negative financial results, they used Various Ratio.	Something that leads to incorporation of probability of failure in company prices, and respective company Altman z-score that are affected by market price of shares, well before the company will declare bankruptcy. Altman is useful in predicting.
Charitou and Trigeogis (2000)	139 firms that filed bankruptcy between 1983 and 1994	Independently, and tested some other accounting variables in terms of their discriminating power for the default probability of firms of interest.	Significance of each variable of Altman z-score is significant.
Hillegeist et al. (2002)	516 bankruptcy filings between 1979 and 1997	Adjusted with the firm's expected return on assets	Altman's Z-Score by introducing a unique discrete hazard methodology and compared the risk-neutral default probability.
Kim (2007)	They fail to assert that the option-based model performs better than variables in Altman's Z-score model	It is losing its prediction power for long-term prediction, and its accuracy is deteriorating for recent years' data.	Option-based measure provides significant results as a 1-year prediction measure for recent years in individual industries.
Back et al. (1996)	11 papers to reexamine 31 financial ratios	Used three distinctive statistical techniques discriminant analysis, logit regression, and neural networks.	No consensus has been built on the best technique and the most significant explanatory variables.
Altman and Narayanan (1971)	identify financially stressed companies	Various Ratios	No statistical method was consistently dominant
Smith and Winakor (1935)	183 failed firms from a variety of industries	Various Ratio	Working Capital to Total Assets was a far better predictor of financial problems

Smith et al. (1935) concluded that failing firms exhibit significantly different ratio measurements than continuing entities (Merwin, 1942). In addition, another study was concerned with ratios of large asset-size corporations that experienced difficulties in meeting their fixed indebtedness obligation (Hickman 1958). The previous studies involved the analysis of financial ratios in a bankruptcy-prediction context which includes Beaver; 1966, Altman Z score (Altman 1968), ZetaTM Analysis (Altman et al., 1977), Marc Blum (1974), Merton's (1974), Frederikslust (1978), Ohlson (1980), Taffler, (1983), Back et al, (1995), Back et al,

(1997); Spanos et al, (1999), Gupta (1979), Kaveri (1980), Srivastava (1981), and Yadav (1986), etc.

Another stream of financial distress literature has been utilizing various statistical methods to predict the bankruptcy of firms. A few significant methods are: multinomial choice models such as logit and/or probit models (Martin, 1977; Santomero and Vinso, 1977; Ohlson, 1980; Zmijewski, 1984), multiple discriminant analysis (Altman, 1968), recursive partitioning (Frydman, Altman and Kao, 2002), neural networks (Altman, Marco and Varetto, 1994), and discrete hazard models (Hillegeist et al., 2002).

2. 1. S&P BSE SENSEX-The Barometer of Indian Capital Markets

S&P BSE SENSEX is treated as The Barometer of Indian Capital Markets compiled in 1986; it is calculated on a "Market Capitalization-Weighted" methodology of 30 component stocks representing large, well-established and financially sound companies across key sectors. The base year of S&P BSE SENSEX was taken as 1978-79. S&P BSE SENSEX today is widely reported in both domestic and international markets through print as well as electronic media. It is scientifically designed and is based on globally accepted construction and review methodology. Since September 1, 2003, S&P BSE SENSEX is being calculated on a free-float market capitalization methodology. The "free-float market capitalization-weighted" methodology is a widely followed index construction methodology on which majority of global equity indices are based; all major index providers like MSCI, FTSE, STOXX, and Dow Jones use the free-float methodology. The growth of the equity market in India has been phenomenal in the present decade. Right from early nineties, the stock market witnessed heightened activity in terms of various bull and bear runs. In the late nineties, the Indian market witnessed a huge frenzy in the 'TMT' sectors. More recently, real estate caught the fancy of the investors. S&P BSE SENSEX has captured all these happenings in the most judicious manner. One can identify the booms and busts of the Indian equity market through S&P BSE SENSEX. As the oldest index in the country, it provides the time series data over a fairly long period of time (from 1979 onwards). Small wonder, the S&P BSE SENSEX has become one of the most prominent brands in the country.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DATA SOURCE

3. 1. Sample Selection

The importance of Indian Equity market can be identified through S&P BSE SENSEX, as it is the oldest index in the country, it provides the time series data over a fairly long period of time (from 1979 onwards) and became one of the most prominent brands in the country. For the purpose of this research paper 10 companies were selected as a tentative sample on the basis of Convenient Sampling method, which is part of BSE-30 Companies currently operating in India.

3. 2. Data Source

The data required for the present study are the financial records and financial ratios suggested by Altman for the 10 BSE companies which were collected through the original source i.e., Annual Report from official websites of the companies through internet. The data which included various ratios were calculated through the collected data of annual report and were taken into account for further analysis.

3. 3. Hypothesis

Based upon the objectives of the study and supported by extensive literature reviews, the following hypotheses need to be tested:

H₀: The time series data correspond to Altman Z score for each select BSE-30 companies exhibit a no differences during last 5 years.

H₁: The time series data correspond to Altman Z score for each select BSE-30 companies exhibit a significant change during last 5 years.

4. ANALYSIS & RESULT

Table 3. Various ratios and Altman's Z score for sample companies.

Company Name	YEAR	WC/TA	RE/TA	EBIT/TA	MV OF QUITY/ Debt+CL	Sales / TA	Z score
Bajaj Auto Ltd	2010-2011	0.231968	0.392081	0.372995	1.445118	1.477848	4.401599
	2009-2010	0.102327	3.187263	0.272291	0.185396	1.426155	7.019488
	2008-2009	0.169355	2.855013	0.061008	0.053567	1.542381	5.974548
	2007-2008	0.120514	2.920185	0.209805	0.062968	1.995339	6.956357
	2006-2007	0.185389	0.465286	0.148185	0.264322	0.917655	2.438209
Bharti Airtel Ltd	2010-2011	-0.03265	0.57647	0.123599	4.819077	0.817538	4.883924
	2009-2010	-0.05416	0.634433	0.19958	6.368042	0.765883	6.067768
	2008-2009	-0.06756	0.514375	0.172437	5.420249	0.749705	5.209202
	2007-2008	-0.09635	0.444083	0.036644	8.053781	0.656082	6.114713
	2006-2007	-0.15841	0.34234	0.168271	9.583837	0.662725	7.256841
BHEL Ltd	2010-2011	0.220227	0.294001	0.133734	0.443506	0.635997	2.018661
	2009-2010	0.247109	0.317701	0.136034	0.725535	0.691356	2.316209
	2008-2009	0.249616	0.300136	0.115867	0.504984	0.692255	2.096645
	2007-2008	0.262647	0.292919	0.127662	0.761067	0.612278	2.214854
	2006-2007	0.285362	0.321872	0.14166	0.623325	0.709732	2.343551
Cipla Ltd	2010-2011	0.346521	0.663929	0.116668	5.278034	0.651005	5.547505
	2009-2010	0.35076	0.681039	0.151938	6.776222	0.666857	6.607686
	2008-2009	0.41614	0.611076	0.165073	4.326962	0.76934	5.264363
	2007-2008	0.410032	0.6274	0.134227	5.637662	0.738405	5.933613
	2006-2007	0.404282	0.697389	0.17551	10.07357	0.832518	8.916494
Coal India Ltd	2010-2011	0.518373	0.474486	0.171981	25.17092	0.014963	16.97137
	2009-2010	0.504767	0.448318	0.161078	26.3135	0.016824	17.56983
	2008-2009	0.476613	0.400866	0.163594	25.90286	0.012797	17.22751
	2007-2008	0.429801	0.357725	0.130646	28.6371	0.013812	18.64377
	2006-2007	0.414433	0.332879	0.148851	28.50557	0.014777	18.57266
DLF Ltd	2010-2011	0.507799	0.382357	0.040958	4.521714	0.082769	4.075534
	2009-2010	0.534058	0.431439	0.032018	6.994949	0.083563	5.630992
	2008-2009	0.618701	0.478579	0.071882	4.770892	0.112449	4.624533

	2007-2008	0.62109	0.466181	0.132992	19.3614	0.236023	13.68946
	2006-2007	0.50507	0.030961	0.05537	19.99826	0.101158	12.93216
HDFC Bank Ltd	2010-2011	0.527337	0.090364	0.014126	0.817494	0.08729	1.383627
	2009-2010	0.550239	0.095263	0.013276	0.827564	0.089974	1.423889
	2008-2009	0.497977	0.078135	0.012298	0.523337	0.108485	1.169923
	2007-2008	0.429665	0.083944	0.011939	0.958041	0.0925	1.339749
	2006-2007	0.431994	0.067365	0.015141	0.979686	0.091988	1.342378
Hero Motorcorp Ltd	2010-2011	-0.45063	0.268898	0.211179	40.50133	1.789	26.6206
	2009-2010	-0.24657	0.401859	0.309725	77.57622	1.860887	49.69356
	2008-2009	-0.19453	0.618032	0.274091	93.96997	2.030665	59.94692
	2007-2008	-0.19973	0.580715	0.252846	67.4927	2.036396	43.9377
	2006-2007	-0.16355	0.572605	0.266767	74.40819	2.719633	48.84755
Hindalco Industries Ltd	2010-2011	0.036286	0.638619	0.058093	2.61592	0.516433	3.214786
	2009-2010	0.032221	0.661778	0.046405	2.32684	0.466476	2.980405
	2008-2009	0.100888	0.650618	0.070374	0.664627	0.502615	2.165055
	2007-2008	0.088078	0.554643	0.094476	2.918252	0.620118	3.564414
	2006-2007	0.104968	0.492395	0.141264	4.547351	0.732292	4.741456
Hindustan Lever Ltd	2010-2011	-0.10712	0.23647	0.269119	8.038418	1.930576	7.842306
	2009-2010	-0.11731	0.248433	0.289025	7.327954	1.862223	7.417948
	2008-2009	0.008517	0.218067	0.34544	8.583803	2.437809	9.041119
	2007-2008	-0.23738	0.178759	0.306468	8.812814	2.439509	8.701505
	2006-2007	-0.16305	0.329765	0.241355	7.812546	1.807905	7.55611

SPSS-19 software is being used to analyse the above data. To test the above hypothesis the altman Z score of various companies were applied with One sample Kolmororov-Smirnov test, to identify that whetehr during the past 5 years the companies altman's Z score have changed significantly or not. The result of hypothesis testing is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Hypothesis test summery.

Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
The distribution of Bajaj is normal with mean 5.36 and standard deviation 1.95.	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	0.963	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of Bhar_Air is normal with mean 5.91 and standard deviation 0.93.	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	0.979	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of BHEL is normal with mean 2.20 and standard deviation 0.14.	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	0.987	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of Cipla is normal with mean 6.45 and standard deviation 1.47.	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	0.893	Retain the null hypothesis.

The distribution of Coal India is normal with mean 17.80 and standard deviation 0.77.	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.930	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of DLF is normal with a mean 8.19 and standard deviation 4.71	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.736	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of HDFC is normal with mean 1.33 and standard deviation 0.10.	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.639	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of HERO_MOTO is normal with mean 45.81 and standard deviation 12.21.	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.937	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of HINDLKO is normal with mean 3.33 and standard deviation 0.94.	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.986	Retain the null hypothesis.
The distribution of HUL is normal with mean 8.11 and standard deviation 0.72.	One-Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test	0.923	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significance is displayed. The significance level is 0.05. The above analysis explains that in case of all the companies despite of changes in the Altman's Z score the difference is statistically not significant.

5. CONCLUSION

The application of financial distress measurement literature flows into the international application of credit risk measurement to verify the robustness of such measures and techniques in different countries. This measure creates a significant impact on other finance research since its ability to test existing hypotheses with the new continuous variable may hold promise for a new stream of studies. Workable and promising topics with the new credit risk measure are not limited to the following examples. Our hypothesis concerning Altman's Z-score is based on arguments that the Z-score have changed in the selected BSE companies, have found to be an unreached conclusion and all the companies are found to be in safe zone except HDFC Bank and BHEL. Finally we can conclude that Altman's model still exists and used by the companies for measuring creditworthiness of the companies and it still remains promising but challenging.

References

- [1] Alkhatib K., Al Bzour A. E., *International Journal of Business and Management* 6(3) (2011) 208-215.
- [2] Altman, E. I. *Bankruptcy, Credit Risk and High Yield Junk Bonds*. Blackwell (2002).
- [3] Altman E. I., *Journal of Finance* 23 (1968) 589-609.
- [4] Altman E.I., Haldeman R. G., Narayanan P., *Journal of Banking and Finance* 1 (1987) 29-54.
- [5] Aziz M. A., Dar H. A., *Corporate Governance* 6(1) (2006) 18-33.

-
- [6] Back B., Laitinen T., Sere K., Wezel M. Chooking, Bankruptcy Predictions Using Discriminant Analysis, Logit Analysis, and Genetic Algorithms. Technical Report, *Turku Center for Computer Science* (1996) 40.
- [7] Beaver W. H., *Journal of Accounting Research* 4 (1966) 71-111.
- [8] Begley J., Mining J., Watts S., *Review of Accounting Studies* 1(4) (1996) 267-284.
- [9] Ben-Horin M., *Tcherikover* (1996) 305-307.
- [10] Charitou A., Trigeogis L., Option-Based Bankruptcy Prediction Working paper University of Cyprus (2002).
- [11] Chouhan V., *The Pacific Business Review International* 1 (2011) 70-75.
- [12] Chouhan V., Bhatt A., Vyas D., *The Indian Journal of Business Administration* 7 (2011) 149-162.
- [13] Chouhan V., *The Indian Journal of Business Administration* 6 (2010) 202-208.
- [14] Christopoulos A., Vergos K., How Stock prices react to managerial decisions and other profit signaling events in the Greek mobile telecom market?, *3rd International Conference on Applied Financial Economics*, Samos Island, (2006).
- [15] Chung K. C., Tan S. S., Holdsworth D. K., *International Journal of Business and Management* 3(1) (2008) 19-29.
- [16] Deakin E. B., Business failure prediction: An empirical analysis. In E. Altman, & A. Sametz (Eds.), *Financial crises: Institutions and markets in a fragile environment*. New York, John Wiley (1977).
- [17] Eden I., Meir Y., *Roeh Haheshbon* 5 (2007) 100-101.
- [18] Gerantonis N., Vergos K., Christopoulos A. G., *Research Journal of International Studies* 12 (2009) 21- 28.
- [19] Hillegeist S. A., Keeting E. K., Cram D. P., Lundstedt K. G., Assessing the Probability of Bankruptcy Working Paper, Northwestern University (2002).
- [20] Ingbar Y., Analysis of financial statement Israel Institute of Productivity. (Chapter 13). (1994).
- [21] Kim H., Gu Z., *The Journal of Hospitality Financial Management* 14(1) (2006) 17-34.
- [22] Lawson R., *Journal of Investing* 17(4) (2008) 38-55.
- [23] Lifschutz S., Jacobi A., *International Journal of Business and Management* 5(4) (2010) 133-141.
- [24] Muller G. H., B. W. Steyn-Bruwer, W. D. Hamman, *South African Journal of Business Management* 40(1) (2009) 21-32.
- [25] Odipo B. K., A.S. Itati, Evaluation of Applicability of ALTMAN'S Revised Model in Prediction of Financial Distress: A Case of Companies Quoted in the NAIROBI Stock Exchange (2011) 1-39.
- [26] Ohlson J., *Journal of Accounting Research* 18 (1) (1980) 109-131.
- [27] Santomero A. M., Vinso J. D., *Journal of Banking and Finance* 1 (1997) 185-205.

- [28] Smith R., A. Winakor, Changes in Financial Structure of Unsuccessful Industrial Corporations Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 51. Urbana: University of Illinois Press (1935).
- [29] Taffler R. J., Lu J., Kausar A., *Journal of Accounting & Economics* 38(1-3) (2004) 263-296.
- [30] Wang Y., Campbell M., *Journal of Business and Management* 16(1) (2010) 75-88.
- [31] Yu-Chiang Hu, Jake Ansell, Developing Financial Distress Prediction Models. A Study of US, Europe and Japan Retail Performance. University of Edinburgh, U.K (2005).

(Received 08 April 2014; accepted 15 April 2014)