# Predicting Metabolic Pathways of Small Molecules and Enzymes Based on Interaction Information of Chemicals and Proteins

## Yu-Fei Gao<sup>19</sup>, Lei Chen<sup>29</sup>, Yu-Dong Cai<sup>39</sup>, Kai-Yan Feng<sup>4</sup>, Tao Huang<sup>5,6¤</sup>, Yang Jiang<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Department of Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, 2 College of Information Engineering, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai, China, 3 Institute of Systems Biology, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, 4 Beijing Genomics Institute, Shenzhen Beishan Industrial zone, Shenzhen, China, 5 Key Laboratory of Systems Biology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, 6 Shanghai Center for Bioinformation Technology, Shanghai, China

## Abstract

Metabolic pathway analysis, one of the most important fields in biochemistry, is pivotal to understanding the maintenance and modulation of the functions of an organism. Good comprehension of metabolic pathways is critical to understanding the mechanisms of some fundamental biological processes. Given a small molecule or an enzyme, how may one identify the metabolic pathways in which it may participate? Answering such a question is a first important step in understanding a metabolic pathway system. By utilizing the information provided by chemical-chemical interactions, chemical-protein interactions, and protein-protein interactions, a novel method was proposed by which to allocate small molecules and enzymes to 11 major classes of metabolic pathways. A benchmark dataset consisting of 3,348 small molecules and 654 enzymes of yeast was constructed to test the method. It was observed that the first order prediction accuracy evaluated by the jackknife test was 79.56% in identifying the small molecules and enzymes in a benchmark dataset. Our method may become a useful vehicle in predicting the metabolic pathways of small molecules and enzymes, providing a basis for some further analysis of the pathway systems.

Citation: Gao Y-F, Chen L, Cai Y-D, Feng K-Y, Huang T, et al. (2012) Predicting Metabolic Pathways of Small Molecules and Enzymes Based on Interaction Information of Chemicals and Proteins. PLoS ONE 7(9): e45944. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944

Editor: Eugene A. Permyakov, Russian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Biological Instrumentation, Russian Federation

Received June 25, 2012; Accepted August 23, 2012; Published September 21, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Gao et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB510102, 2011CB510101), Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (No.12YZ120, No. 12ZZ087). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: jy7555@163.com

¤ Current address: Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York City, New York, United States of America

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

Metabolism defines a series of chemical reactions that occur in a cell, maintaining the lives of living organisms by supplying the necessary molecules and energy [1]. Metabolism is composed of metabolic pathways in which chemical reactions are organized in such a way that one molecule is transformed into another through a cascade of reactions recruiting small molecules and enzymes. Thus, small molecules and enzymes are part of the basic components of metabolic pathways. Determining the functioning of the small molecules and enzymes of each metabolic pathway is key to understanding the metabolic pathway and its biological functions.

During the past decade, large amounts of information concerning different organisms have been gathered on both the genetic and metabolic levels. Some databases pertaining to chemicals and proteins, such as KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) [2,3], ENZYME [4], STITCH (Search Tool for Interactions of Chemicals) [5] and STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) [6], have been established, from which descriptions of the properties of small molecules and enzymes can be readily acquired. Such information also provides an opportunity to study the metabolic pathways in greater detail computationally. A computational approach is another avenue by which to gain insight into metabolic pathways, apart from biochemical experiments. In recent years, some efforts [1,7,8] have been made to tackle the problem by mapping small molecules to the corresponding metabolic pathways. However, besides small molecules, enzymes are also important basic components of metabolic pathways. As far as we know, this study is the first to map small molecules and enzymes to the metabolic pathways simultaneously, thus providing some additional information for use in studying metabolic pathways.

A large body of data concerning protein-protein interactions and chemical-chemical interactions has been applied extensively to predicting the attributes of proteins and compounds [8,9,10,11,12,13,14]. This work led to the conclusion that interactive proteins or interactive compounds were more likely to share common biological functions than non-interactive ones. Most of these approaches studied chemical-chemical interactions or protein-protein interactions separately to construct classification models. In this study, we proposed a novel method, integrating interactions among chemicals and proteins including chemicalchemical interactions, protein-protein interactions, and chemical-



Figure 1. The number of small molecules against the number of pathway classes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.g001

protein interactions, to predict metabolic pathways in which small molecules and enzymes of yeast participate. Since some small molecules and enzymes participate in more than one metabolic pathway, our method sorts the probabilities of metabolic pathways to which a small molecule or enzyme may belong rather than predicting only the most probable metabolic pathway.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Benchmark Dataset

The dataset of small molecules to be studied was downloaded from the FTP site of the public database KEGG [2,3] at ftp://ftp. genome.jp/pub/kegg (June, 2011), from which we extracted 17,641 small molecules. After excluding small molecules that do not participate in any metabolic pathway, 4,487 small molecules were retained. The dataset of enzymes of yeast were also acquired from the FTP site of the public database KEGG [2,3] at ftp://ftp. genome.jp/pub/kegg (November, 2010). Likewise, those enzymes that do not participate in any metabolic pathway were excluded. Thus, we retained 655 enzymes of yeast, whose data on participation in metabolic pathways is available.

As described above, 4,487 small molecules and 655 enzymes of yeast have recoverable information concerning their participation

in metabolic pathways. These samples were used to comprise a dataset  $\mathbf{S}^{ce}$ . However, not all samples can be used in our method due to the lack of interaction information. Those not having any interactions with other compounds or proteins in  $\mathbf{S}^{ce}$  were excluded. Finally, we obtained 4,002 samples including 3,348 small molecules and 654 enzymes, formulated by  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_e \cup \mathbf{S}_e$ , where  $\mathbf{S}$  denotes the benchmark dataset consisting of 4,002 samples,  $\mathbf{S}_e$ the dataset consisting of 3,348 small molecules, and  $\mathbf{S}_e$  the dataset set consisting of 654 enzymes.

According to KEGG (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway. html), there exist more than 150 metabolic pathways, classified into 11 major metabolic pathway classes (see column 2 of **Table 1**). Subsequently, 3,348 small molecules and 654 enzymes were mapped into the 11 major metabolic pathway classes. The distribution of these small molecules and enzymes is shown in **Table 1**. The coding of small molecules and enzymes in each of the 11 major metabolic pathway classes can be found in Online Supporting Information S1. From column 3 of **Table 1**, the sum of small molecules in all pathways is greater than the total number of small molecules in the dataset, indicating that some small molecules belong to more than one pathway class. In detail, 3,020 small molecules belong to only one pathway class, while others belong to more than one pathway class - see **Figure 1** for the

Table 1. Distribution of 3,348 small molecules and 654 enzymes of yeast in the 11 metabolic pathway classes.

Tag	Metabolic pathway class	Number of small molecules	Number of enzymes	Total
<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub>	Carbohydrate Metabolism	394	198	592
<i>M</i> <sub>2</sub>	Energy Metabolism	151	146	297
M <sub>3</sub>	Lipid Metabolism	399	84	483
<i>M</i> <sub>4</sub>	Nucleotide Metabolism	133	107	240
M <sub>5</sub>	Amino Acid Metabolism	489	158	647
M <sub>6</sub>	Metabolism of Other Amino Acids	156	44	200
M <sub>7</sub>	Glycan Biosynthesis and Metabolism	47	18	65
M <sub>8</sub>	Metabolism of Cofactors and Vitamins	350	87	437
M <sub>9</sub>	Metabolism of Terpenoids and Polyketides	507	18	525
M <sub>10</sub>	Biosynthesis of Other Secondary Metabolites	509	17	526
M <sub>11</sub>	Xenobiotics Biodegradation and Metabolism	709	21	730
Total	-	3,844	898	4,742

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t001





number of small molecules versus the number of pathway classes. Likewise, as given in column 4 of **Table 1**, some enzymes also appear in more than one pathway class. In detail, 477 enzymes appear in only one pathway class, while others appear in at least two pathway classes (see **Figure 2** for detail). In view of this, it appears to be a multi-label problem to predict the pathway classes of small molecules and enzymes. Similar to the cases in predicting some other attributes of proteins and compounds [11,12,15,16,17], the proposed method needs to provide a series of candidate pathway classes for a query small molecule or enzyme.

#### Construction of Hybrid Network

It is known that interactive proteins and compounds are more likely to share common biological functions [8,9,12,13,14,18] than would non-interactive ones; given a compound, its biological functions may share the same functions with its interactive proteins. Conversely, the biological functions of a protein may also be similar to the functions of its interactive compounds. In this case, if a compound and a protein are interactive with one another, it would be more likely that they appear in the same metabolic pathway. In view of this, a hybrid interaction network was constructed as follows.

The constructed network takes small molecules and enzymes as its nodes, and an edge is drawn between two nodes if and only if the corresponding small molecule and enzyme can interact with one another. Different combinations of the participants lead to three kinds of interactions: chemical-chemical interactions, chemical-protein interactions, and protein-protein interactions. The data concerning chemical-chemical interactions and chemical-protein interactions was acquired from STITCH (http:// stitch.embl.de/) [5], a well-known database containing known and

Table 2. The interactive compounds and proteins of C07277 and YLL058W.

Row index	Compound/Enzyme	Compound/Enzyme	Interaction confidence score	Tag of metabolic pathway class <sup>a</sup>
1	C07277	C00103	409	$M_{1}, M_{9}, M_{10}$
2	C07277	C00363	441	<i>M</i> <sub>4</sub>
3	C07277	C00507	416	<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub>
4	C07277	C03319	446	M <sub>9</sub> , M <sub>10</sub>
5	C07277	C11912	63	M <sub>9</sub>
6	C07277	YDL055C	298	<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub>
7	YLL058W	C00087	317	<i>M</i> <sub>2</sub>
8	YLL058W	C00109	900	M <sub>1</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>
9	YLL058W	C00155	900	M <sub>2</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>
10	YLL058W	C00283	317	M <sub>2</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>
11	YLL058W	C00542	904	<i>M</i> <sub>2</sub>
12	YLL058W	C01077	900	M <sub>2</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>
13	YLL058W	C02291	900	M <sub>5</sub>
14	YLL058W	C05688	900	M <sub>6</sub>
15	YLL058W	C05699	900	M <sub>6</sub>
16	YLL058W	YAL012W	463	M <sub>2</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>
17	YLL058W	YGL184C	241	M <sub>2</sub> , M <sub>5</sub>

<sup>a</sup>The information in this column represents the metabolic pathway classes of the compound or enzyme in column 3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t002

predicted interactions of chemicals and proteins derived from experiments, literature and other databases. To more accurately represent the interaction network, each edge in the network was labeled with a score given as the edge weight to quantify the interaction confidence, *i.e.*, the likelihood that an interaction may occur. For any two small molecules  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , their interaction confidence score, *i.e.*, the weight of the edge with  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  as endpoints, was denoted by  $Q_{cc}(c_1, c_2)$ . Specifically, if the interaction between  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  does not exist in STITCH, their interaction confidence score was set to 0, *i.e.*,  $Q_{cc}(c_1, c_2) = 0$ . Likewise, the weight of the edge with one small molecule c and one enzyme e as endpoints was denoted by  $Q_{cp}(c, e)$ . In particular, the confidence score was set to be 0 if the interaction between c and p does not exist in STITCH. The data concerning protein-protein interactions was retrieved from STRING (http://string.embl.de/) [6], a large database containing known and predicted protein interactions including direct (physical) and indirect (functional) interactions that were derived from several sources such as experimental repositories and computational prediction methods. Like the previous case of chemical-chemical interactions and chemical-protein interactions, each edge with two proteins  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  as endpoints was labeled with a score, denoted by  $Q_{pp}(p_1, p_2)$ , to quantify the interaction confidence, i.e., the likelihood that an interaction may occur. In particular, if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are noninteractive proteins according to the data in STRING, their interaction confidence score was set to 0, *i.e.*,  $Q_{pp}(p_1, p_2) = 0$ .

#### Prediction Method

To describe the method more clearly, it is necessary to introduce some notations - let  $M_1, M_2, ..., M_{11}$  denote 11 metabolic pathway classes, where  $M_1$  denotes "Carbohydrate Metabolism",  $M_2$  the "Energy Metabolism", and so forth (see column 1 and 2 of **Table 1**). In addition, if one supposes that there are *n* samples in the training set, say  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$ . The pathway class of a sample  $s_i$  can be formulated as.

$$M(s_i) = [m_{i,1}, m_{i,2}, \dots, m_{i,11}]$$
(1)

where

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{If } s_i \text{ appears in } M_j \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Toward a query sample (small molecule or enzyme)  $s_i$  its pathway class was predicted by not only its neighbors in the network but also the weights of edges between the query one and its neighbors. Let  $\mathcal{N}(s)$  denote a node set consisting of the neighbors of s. The likelihood that s belongs to  $M_j$  was calculated by.

$$\Pi(s \Rightarrow M_j) = \sum_{s_j \in N(s)} w(s, s_i) \cdot m_{i,j} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 11$$
(3)

where

Test sample	Likelihood for each pathway class	Remark <sup>a</sup>
C07277	<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub> : 1,123	Sum of confidence scores in row 1,3,6 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>2</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>3</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>4</sub> : 441	Sum of confidence scores in row 2 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>5</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>6</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>7</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>8</sub> : 0	-
	M <sub>9</sub> : 918	Sum of confidence scores in row 1,4,5 of Table 2
	M <sub>10</sub> : 855	Sum of confidence scores in row 1,4 of Table 2
	M <sub>11</sub> : 0	-
YLL058W	<i>M</i> <sub>1</sub> : 900	Sum of confidence scores in row 8 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>2</sub> : 4,042	Sum of confidence scores in row 7,9,10,11,12,16,17 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>3</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>4</sub> : 0	-
	M <sub>5</sub> : 4,621	Sum of confidence scores in row 8,9,10,12,13,16,17 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>6</sub> : 1,800	Sum of confidence scores in row 14,15 of Table 2
	<i>M</i> <sub>7</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>8</sub> : 0	-
	M <sub>9</sub> : 0	-
	<i>M</i> <sub>10</sub> : 0	-
	M11: 0	_

Table 3. The likelihood of C07277 and YLL058W belonging to each pathway class.

<sup>a</sup>The information in this column shows the means by which the likelihood in column 2 was calculated by using the data in Table 2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t003

$$w(s,s_i) = \begin{cases} Q_{cc}(s,s_i) & \text{If } s \text{ and } s_i \text{ are small molecules} \\ Q_{pp}(s,s_i) & \text{If } s \text{ and } s_i \text{ are enzymes} \\ Q_{cp}(s,s_i) & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Obviously, the larger the value of  $\Pi(s \Rightarrow M_j)$  is, the more likely *s* belongs to  $M_j$ . If  $\Pi(s \Rightarrow M_j) = 0$  for some *j*, it implies that there are no interactive compounds or proteins of the query sample *s* in the training set that belong to pathway class  $M_j$ . In this case, it is thought that the probability of *s* belonging to  $M_j$  is zero. For a query sample *s*, if the results obtained from **Eq. 3** are

$$\Pi(s \Rightarrow M_3) \ge \Pi(s \Rightarrow M_6) \ge \dots \ge \Pi(s \Rightarrow M_{11}) > 0 \tag{5}$$

which suggests that it is most likely that *s* belongs to is  $M_3$ , followed by  $M_6$ , and so forth. Also,  $M_3$  is called the 1-st order predicted pathway class of *s*, and  $M_6$  the 2-nd order predicted pathway class of *s*, and so forth.

## Jackknife Test

In statistical prediction, the jackknife test [19], one of the crossvalidation methods, is often used to evaluate various predictors for their effectiveness. Compared with other cross-validation methods (independent dataset test and subsampling test), the jackknife test is deemed to be more objective [20,21,22]. For a given benchmark dataset, each sample can always be assigned to a unique predicted result through the jackknife test. Therefore, many investigators adopt this method to evaluate the accuracies of their predictors [19,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31]. It was also adopted here to evaluate the generalization of predicting the metabolic pathways.

#### Accuracy Measurement

For any query sample (small molecule or enzyme), the prediction method described in Section "Prediction method" will provide a series of candidate pathway classes. For the *j*-th order predicted pathway class, its prediction accuracy  $\Psi_j$  can be calculated by

$$\Psi_j = \frac{CM_j}{N} \ j = 1, 2, \dots, 11$$
 (6)



Figure 3. Three curves showing the changes of proportions of interactions contributing to the prediction when increasing the confidence score, where the chemical-chemical curve addresses chemical-chemical interactions, chemical-protein curve chemical-protein interactions, protein-protein curve proteinprotein interactions. The X-axis is the confidence score. The Y-axis is the proportion of interactions contributing to the prediction. Generally, chemical-protein curve and protein-protein curve are ascending with the increase of confidence score, while chemical-chemical curve remains at a low level for low confidence scores and starts to increase quickly for high confidence scores.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.g003

where  $CM_j$  denotes the number of samples that are predicted correctly according its *j*-th order predicted pathway class, and Ndenotes the total number of samples in the dataset. For these 11 prediction accuracies, high  $\Psi_j$  with small *j* and low  $\Psi_j$  with large *j* indicate that the method arranges the candidate pathway classes well. The first order prediction accuracy is more important than others, because it has the smallest index of *j*.

Since the 11 prediction accuracies calculated by **Eq. 6** cannot evaluate the prediction method on the whole, another measurement is needed to calculate the probability of all pathway classes that are correctly predicted according to the first m predicted candidate pathways classes as follows [11,15].

Table 4. The prediction accuracies obtained by our method for small molecules, enzymes, and all samples.

	Prodiction accuracy for	Duadiction accuracy for	Duadiction accuracy fo
Prediction order	small molecules (S <sub>d</sub> )	enzymes (S <sub>e</sub> )	total samples $S = S_c \cup S_e$
1	77.12%	92.05%	79.56%
2	19.12%	22.48%	19.67%
3	7.38%	10.55%	7.90%
4	3.61%	4.13%	3.70%
5	2.75%	4.13%	2.97%
6	1.40%	1.83%	1.47%
7	0.96%	0.76%	0.92%
8	0.51%	0.76%	0.55%
9	0.45%	0.61%	0.47%
10	0.30%	0.00%	0.25%
11	0.15%	0.00%	0.12%

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t004

$$L_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i,m}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} N_{i}}$$
(7)

where  $S_{i,m}$  denotes the number of the correctly predicted pathway classes of the *i*-th sample among its first *m* predicted candidate pathway classes, and  $N_i$  denotes the number of pathway classes that the *i*-th sample belongs to. Usually, we calculate **Eq. 7** by taking *m* as the smallest integer equal to or greater than the average number of samples' pathway classes in the dataset, which is calculated by

$$M = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} N_i}{N} \tag{8}$$

Obviously, a large  $L_m$  always implies a good performance for mapping small molecules or enzymes into correct metabolic pathway class.

## **Results and Discussion**

## Performance of the Prediction Method for Small Molecules

In the training dataset, 3,348 small molecules comprised the dataset  $\mathbf{S}_{c}$ . The pathway classes of these molecules were predicted by the prediction method described in Section "Prediction method" by the jackknife test based on all samples in benchmark dataset. Here, an example is given to demonstrate how we made the prediction. "C07277", belonging to  $M_9$ , is a sample in  $\mathbf{S}_c$ . Its interactive compounds and proteins were shown from row 1 to 6 in **Table 2**. Using **Eq. 3**, the likelihood that "C07277" belongs to each of 11 pathway classes was calculated and shown in **Table 3**. As a result, "C07277" belongs to  $M_1$  with the highest likelihood, followed by  $M_9$ ,  $M_{10}$  and  $M_4$ . The 1-st order predicted pathway class was its true pathway class. After the pathway classes

of each sample in  $\mathbf{S}_c$  were predicted, 11 ordered prediction accuracies were obtained by **Eq. 6** and listed in column 2 of **Table 4**, from which we can see that the first order prediction accuracy was 77.12%. It is also observed form column 2 of **Table 4** that the prediction accuracy generally followed a descending trend when increasing the order number, which indicates that our method sorted the predicted pathway classes well. The average number of pathway classes for small molecules was 1.15 (3,844/3,348) according to **Eq. 8**, *i.e.*, M = 1.15. Thus we consider the first 2 predicted pathway classes for each small molecule. After collecting these pathway classes calculated according to **Eq. 7**, it was observed that the probability that all true pathway classes were covered by them was 83.81%. Our results are comparable to that in [8], where the results were obtained by only chemical-chemical interactions.

## Performance of the Prediction Method for Enzymes

In addition to the small molecules, there were 654 enzymes in the training dataset, which comprised dataset  $\mathbf{S}_{e}$ . Our prediction method was also applied to predict their metabolic pathway classes, evaluated by the jackknife test. Likewise, "YLL058W" a sample in  $\mathbf{S}_{e}$ , was selected to demonstrate how its predicted pathway classes were obtained. "YLL058W" belongs to two pathway classes:  $M_2$  and  $M_5$ . Its interactive compounds and proteins were shown from row 7 to 17 in Table 2 and the likelihood of "YLL058W" belonging to each of 11 pathway classes was shown in Table 3, from which we can see that "YLL058W" belonging to  $M_5$  is most likely, followed by  $M_2$ ,  $M_6$  and  $M_1$ . The first two predicted pathway classes were its true pathway classes. After processing by Eq. 6, 11 ordered prediction accuracies were obtained. These accuracies were listed in column 3 of Table 4, from which we can see that the first order prediction accuracy was 92.05%. The average number of pathway classes for enzymes was 1.37 (898/654) according to **Eq. 8**, *i.e.*, M = 1.37, meaning that the average success rate by a random guess would be 12.46% (1.37/11), which is much lower than that by our method. Like the 11 ordered prediction accuracies for small molecules, those for enzymes also generally followed a descending trend when increasing the order number (cf. Table 4), which suggests that the predicted pathway classes were sorted quite well. As described above, the average number of pathway classes for enzymes was

Table 5. The distribution of samples with incorrect 1-st order predicted pathway class in 11 pathway classes.

Metabolic pathway class	Number of misclassified samples
Carbohydrate Metabolism	105
Energy Metabolism	32
Lipid Metabolism	79
Nucleotide Metabolism	26
Amino Acid Metabolism	146
Metabolism of Other Amino Acids	79
Glycan Biosynthesis and Metabolism	21
Metabolism of Cofactors and Vitamins	107
Metabolism of Terpenoids and Polyketides	107
Biosynthesis of Other Secondary Metabolites	95
Xenobiotics Biodegradation and Metabolism	113
Total	910 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The value in this cell is larger than the total number of samples with incorrect 1-st order prediction because some samples belong to more than one pathway class. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t005 **Table 6.** Interactive compounds and enzymes of C00439 in pathway classes  $M_5$  and  $M_8$ .

Index	Interactive compounds and enzymes in $M_{\rm S}$		Interactive compounds and enzymes in $M_8$	
	Compound/Enzyme	Confidence score	Compound/Enzyme	Confidence score
1	C01045	940	C00101	934
2	C00785	938	C00445	927
3	C00101	934	C00025	923
4	C00025	923	C00001	899
5	C00014	901	C00018	899
6	C03680	899	C00664	899
7	C01817	511	C03479	899
8	C05568	388	C14818	899
9	C00135	302	C14819	899
10	C00073	283	C00504	739
11	C02170	191	C00234	438
12	-	-	C00992	378
13	-	-	C00440	205
14	-	-	YGL125W	177
Likelihood	_	7,210	_	10,115

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045944.t006

1.37. **Eq. 7** was calculated by taking m = 2, yielding a probability of 83.41% that all true pathway classes were covered by the first 2 predicted classes.

interaction occurs. For each integer k in the interval [1, 999], the following rate was calculated for each kind of interaction.

## Performance of the Prediction Method for All Samples

The predicted results for all samples in the benchmark dataset  ${f S}$ combined the results of small molecules in dataset  $\mathbf{S}_{c}$  and enzymes in dataset Se. Listed in column 4 of Table 4 were 11 ordered prediction accuracies, from which the first ordered prediction accuracy was 79.56%. The average number of pathway classes for the samples in S was 1.18 (4,742/4,002) according to Eq. 8, i.e., M=1.18, meaning that the average success rate by a random guess would be 10.73% (1.18/11), much lower than that obtained by our method. Meanwhile, it is observed from column 4 of **Table 4** that the 11 prediction accuracies followed a descending trend when increasing the order number, suggesting that the predicted pathway classes, for both small molecules and enzymes, were sorted quite well by our method. Since the average number of pathway classes for all samples in S was 1.18, the first two predicted pathway classes for each sample were considered. After collecting these pathway classes calculated by Eq. 7 by taking m=2, 83.74% true pathway classes were covered by the first 2 predicted pathway classes.

#### Confidence Scores of Small Molecules or Enzymes

As illustrated by the above sections, our method is very effective in predicting the metabolic pathway classes of small molecules and enzymes, indicating that interactive small molecules or enzymes are very likely to appear in a common metabolic pathway. In this section, we analyze the confidence score and illustrate the value in utilizing such scores.

The network constructed contains 4,002 samples and 100,754 interactions, including 66,942 chemical-chemical interactions, 19,695 chemical-protein interactions, and 14,117 protein-protein interactions. As described in Section "Construction of hybrid network", each interaction was labeled with a confidence score ranging from 1 to 999, quantifying the likelihood that an

$$r_k^{(*)} = \frac{IM_k}{I_k} \tag{9}$$

where  $I_k$  is the number of interactions with confidence score to be at least k, and  $IM_k$  is the number of interactions with their confidence score to be at least k and their corresponding small molecules or enzymes belonging to at least one common pathway class. The superscript of Eq. 9 was to differentiate three different kinds of interactions  $-r_k^{(cc)}$  is for chemical-chemical interaction,  $r_k^{(cp)}$  for chemical-protein interaction, and  $r_k^{(pp)}$  for protein-protein interaction. It is clear that the value of Eq. 9 quantifies the contribution of the interactions with confidence score at least k for predicting the pathway classes of small molecules and enzymes in our method. For each kind of interaction, we can plot a curve with  $r_k^{(*)}$  as its Y-axis and the subscript k as its X-axis. For clarity, the curve for chemical-chemical interactions is named the chemicalchemical curve, the curve for chemical-protein interactions is the chemical-protein curve, and that for protein-protein interactions is the protein-protein curve. Shown in Figure 3 are three curves, from which we can see that the chemical-protein curve and protein-protein curve generally follow an increasing trend when increasing the confidence score; while the chemical-chemical curve does not look good in terms of its overall trend - the rate remains at a low level (between 40% - 60%) when  $k < \sim 900$ , and when  $k > \sim 900$ , the rate starts to increase guickly. These data indicate that the proportions of the interactions contributing to the prediction in the method become higher and higher with the increasing of confidence score, meaning that the confidence scores of interactions are related to the prediction of enzymes and compounds in a metabolic pathway. It is, therefore, foreseeable that as the interactions become more evidenced in STRING and STITCH, predictions requiring confidence scores will also be improved accordingly. Finally, it is important to note that when taking all interactive enzymes or compounds into consideration, more than half of the interactions would provide contributions to the prediction, indicating that using interaction information of proteins and chemicals to predict their metabolic pathways is reasonable. It is also the basis upon which our method performs well.

## Analysis of Samples with Incorrect 1-st Order Predictions

Although our method performs well, where the 1-st order prediction accuracy for all samples achieved 79.56%, 818 samples (818/4002, 20.44%) achieved incorrect 1-st order predictions. The distribution of these misclassified samples in the 11 pathway classes is shown in **Table 5**. We investigate these samples in depth and explain why these samples were misclassified as follows. Based on the principle of the method, the likelihood that a misclassified sample belongs to its 1-st order predicted pathway class was greater than those of true pathway classes, while the likelihood of a sample belonging to one class is calculated by summing the confidence scores between the sample and its neighbors belonging to that class. Thus, it would be interesting to investigate sum terms of the likelihood that a misclassified sample belongs to a 1-st order predicted pathway class and true pathway classes. The misclassified sample "C00439" belongs to pathway class  $M_5$ , while its 1-st order predicted pathway class was  $M_8$ . Shown in **Table 6** are the interactive compounds and enzymes of "C00439" in  $M_5$  and  $M_8$ , and the last row of Table 6 shows the likelihood of "C00439" belonging to  $M_5$  and  $M_8$ . Two difficult situations were observed from Table 6 as follows: (1) sum terms for 1-st order predicted pathway class were greater than those of true pathway classes; (2) sum terms with values greater than 700, which is deemed the threshold of interactions with high confidence [32,33], for 1-st order predicted pathway class were greater than those of true pathway classes. Due to the method of calculating the likelihood (cf. Eq. 3), it is highly possible that a query sample satisfying one of the above situations would be predicted incorrectly. Among 818 misclassified samples, 556 (556/818, 67.97%) samples fit the first situation; while 604 (604/818, 73.84%) samples fit the second

#### References

- Lu J, Niu B, Liu L, Lu WC, Cai YD (2009) Prediction of Small Molecules Metabolic Pathways Based on Functional Group Composition. Protein and Peptide Letters 16: 969–976.
- Kanchisa M, Goto S (2000) KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 28: 27–30.
- Kanchisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, Aoki-Kinoshita K, Itoh M, et al. (2006) From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Research 34: D354–D357.
- Bairoch A (1994) The ENZYME data bank. Nucleic Acids Research 22: 3626– 3627.
- Kuhn M, von Mering C, Campillos M, Jensen LJ, Bork P (2008) STITCH: interaction networks of chemicals and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 36: D684– 688.
- Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, Chaffron S, Creevey C, et al. (2009) STRING 8a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. Nucleic acids research 37: D412–416.
- Cai YD, Qian Z, Lu L, Feng KY, Meng X, et al. (2008) Prediction of compounds' biological function (metabolic pathways) based on functional group composition. Molecular Diversity 12: 131–137.
- Hu LL, Chen C, Huang T, Cai YD, Chou KC (2011) Predicting Biological Functions of Compounds Based on Chemical-Chemical Interactions. PLoS ONE 6: e29491.
- Sharan R, Ulitsky I, Shamir R (2007) Network-based prediction of protein function. Molecular systems biology 3: 88.
- Huang T, Shi XH, Wang P, He Z, Feng KY, et al. (2010) Analysis and prediction of the metabolic stability of proteins based on their sequential features, subcellular locations and interaction networks. PLoS ONE 5: e10972.
- Hu LL, Huang T, Shi X, Lu WC, Cai YD, et al. (2011) Predicting functions of proteins in mouse based on weighted protein-protein interaction network and protein hybrid properties. PLoS ONE 6: e14556.

situation. Furthermore, 762 (762/818, 93.15%) samples fit at least one of the two situations. As a result, these samples were all misclassified. On the other hand, the incompleteness of the interaction information may be another important reason. When interactions, especially those with high confidence scores, for the true class are missing in the calculation, the prediction is likely to be incorrect.

#### Conclusions

By integrating the data for chemical-chemical interactions, chemical-protein interactions, and protein-protein interactions, a multi-label prediction model was developed to identify the metabolic pathway classes of small molecules and enzymes. Since interactive chemicals and proteins are more likely to involve a common pathway, the first order prediction accuracy achieved by our method was 79.56%, much higher than the average success rate by a random guess. Our analysis shows that interactive chemicals or proteins with higher confidence scores would be more likely to participate in the same metabolic pathway. We hope that this method may facilitate the understanding of metabolic pathway systems. It is also anticipated that prediction accuracy will increase as more and more interaction information concerning chemicals and proteins becomes available.

## **Supporting Information**

Online Supporting Information S1 List of the 4,002 samples, including 3,348 small molecules and 654 enzymes of yeast, classified into 11 metabolic pathway classes.

(PDF)

### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: LC YJ YDC. Performed the experiments: YFG LC. Analyzed the data: YFG LC KYF TH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YJ YDC TH. Wrote the paper: YFG LC KYF.

- Chen L, Zeng WM, Cai YD, Feng KY, Chou KC (2012) Predicting Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification of Drugs by Integrating Chemical-Chemical Interactions and Similarities. PLoS ONE 7: e35254.
- Bogdanov P, Singh AK (2010) Molecular Function Prediction Using Neighborhood Features. Ieee-Acm Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics 7: 208–217.
- Kourmpetis YAI, van Dijk ADJ, Bink MCAM, van Ham RCHJ, ter Braak CJF (2010) Bayesian Markov Random Field Analysis for Protein Function Prediction Based on Network Data. PLoS ONE 5: e9293.
- Hu LL, Huang T, Cai YD, Chou KC (2011) Prediction of Body Fluids where Proteins are Secreted into Based on Protein Interaction Network. PLoS ONE 6: e22989.
- Chou KC, Cai YD (2005) Predicting protein localization in budding yeast. Bioinformatics 21: 944.
- Chou K, Shen H (2010) A new method for predicting the subcellular localization of eukaryotic proteins with both single and multiple sites: Euk-mPLoc 2.0. PLoS ONE 5: e9931.
- Ng KL, Ciou JS, Huang CH (2010) Prediction of protein functions based on function-function correlation relations. Computers in Biology and Medicine 40: 300–305.
- Chou KC, Zhang CT (1995) Prediction of protein structural classes. Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology 30: 275–349.
- Chou KC, Shen HB (2007) Recent progress in protein subcellular location prediction. Analytical Biochemistry 370: 1–16.
- Chou KC (2011) Some remarks on protein attribute prediction and pseudo amino acid composition. Journal of theoretical biology 273: 236–247.
- Chou KC, Shen HB (2010) Cell-PLoc 2.0: an improved package of web-servers for predicting subcellular localization of proteins in various organisms. Natural Science 2: 1090–1103.
- Shao X, Tian Y, Wu L, Wang Y, Jing L, et al. (2009) Predicting DNA-and RNA-binding proteins from sequences with kernel methods. Journal of Theoretical Biology 258: 289–293.

- Zeng Y, Guo Y, Xiao R, Yang L, Yu L, et al. (2009) Using the augmented Chou's pseudo amino acid composition for predicting protein submitochondria locations based on auto covariance approach. Journal of Theoretical Biology 259: 366–372.
- Li FM, Li QZ (2008) Predicting Protein Subcellular Location Using Chou's Pseudo Amino Acid Composition and Improved Hybrid Approach. Protein and Peptide Letters 15: 612–615.
- Lin H, Wang H, Ding H, Chen YL, Li QZ (2009) Prediction of Subcellular Localization of Apoptosis Protein Using Chou's Pseudo Amino Acid Composition. Acta Biotheoretica 57: 321–330.
- Chen L, He ZS, Huang T, Cai YD (2010) Using compound similarity and functional domain composition for prediction of drug-target interaction networks. Medicinal Chemistry 6: 388–395.
- Sun XD, Huang RB (2006) Prediction of protein structural classes using support vector machines. Amino Acids 30: 469–475.

- Huang Y, Li YD (2004) Prediction of protein subcellular locations using fuzzy k-NN method. Bioinformatics 20: 21–28.
- Matsuda S, Vert JP, Saigo H, Ueda N, Toh H, et al. (2005) A novel representation of protein sequences for prediction of subcellular location using support vector machines. Protein Science 14: 2804–2813.
- Mondal S, Bhavna R, Mohan Babu R, Ramakumar S (2006) Pseudo amino acid composition and multi-class support vector machines approach for conotoxin superfamily classification. Journal of theoretical biology 243: 252–260.
- Von Mering C, Jensen LJ, Snel B, Hooper SD, Krupp M, et al. (2005) STRING: known and predicted protein–protein associations, integrated and transferred across organisms. Nucleic acids research 33: D433–D437.
- Kuhn M, Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Campillos M, Von Mering C, et al. (2010) STITCH 2: an interaction network database for small molecules and proteins. Nucleic acids research 38: D552–D556.