

Predicting Students' Physical Activity and Health-Related Well-Being: A Prospective Cross-Domain Investigation of Motivation Across School Physical Education and Exercise Settings

Martyn Standage,¹ Fiona B. Gillison,¹
Nikos Ntoumanis,² and Darren C. Treasure³

¹University of Bath; ²University of Birmingham;

³Competitive Advantage International

A three-wave prospective design was used to assess a model of motivation guided by self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2008) spanning the contexts of school physical education (PE) and exercise. The outcome variables examined were health-related quality of life (HRQoL), physical self-concept (PSC), and 4 days of objectively assessed estimates of activity. Secondary school students ($n = 494$) completed questionnaires at three separate time points and were familiarized with how to use a sealed pedometer. Results of structural equation modeling supported a model in which perceptions of autonomy support from a PE teacher positively predicted PE-related need satisfaction (autonomy, competence, and relatedness). Competence predicted PSC, whereas relatedness predicted HRQoL. Autonomy and competence positively predicted autonomous motivation toward PE, which in turn positively predicted autonomous motivation toward exercise (i.e., 4-day pedometer step count). Autonomous motivation toward exercise positively predicted step count, HRQoL, and PSC. Results of multisample structural equation modeling supported gender invariance. Suggestions for future work are discussed.

Keywords: self-determination theory, physical education, exercise, well-being

Numerous reports and position statements have highlighted that physical activity levels of young people within modern industrialized nations are below those thought to be required for health and well-being (e.g., *Health Survey for England 2008*; Information Centre, 2008). Accordingly, researchers, government agencies, health professionals, and policy makers have all sought to better understand the determinants of young peoples' engagement. Although the antecedents

Martyn Standage and Fiona B. Gillison are with the Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom. Nikos Ntoumanis is with the School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom. Darren C. Treasure is with Competitive Advantage International, Portland, OR.

to participation in physical activity are highly complex (e.g., see socioecological models; Sallis, Owen, & Fisher, 2008), the role of motivation and the processes that support, as oppose to forestall, high-quality motivated engagement in physical activity settings is clearly an important avenue for empirical and applied investigation (Standage & Ryan, 2012).

In investigations addressing motivated-related questions within physical activity settings, researchers have increasingly used self-determination theory (SDT; Ryan & Deci, 2008) to guide their research hypotheses. One line of SDT-related inquiry has been for investigators to focus on the role that school physical education (PE) plays in supporting students' motivation experiences, well-being, knowledge, and physical activity behavior (cf. Standage, Gillison, & Treasure, 2007). To date, however, there has been relatively little empirical work examining whether motivation within the PE domain impacts on a students' more general exercise motivation and subsequently to their physical activity engagement (Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007). Moreover, research conducted from this perspective has yet to examine whether such reasons for exercise engagement (and indirectly, motivational processes within PE) predict objectively assessed estimates of activity and important markers of adolescent's overall health-related well-being such as *health-related quality of life* (HRQoL) and *physical self-concept* (PSC). As such, and using a three-wave design, the purpose of the work encompassed within this article was to address this void in the extant literature, by testing a hypothesized model of associations organized predominantly by SDT and influenced by the transcontextual model (TCM; Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007). Lastly, in this work we examined the predictive utility of autonomous motivation toward exercise on HRQoL, PSC, and pedometer step counts over a 4-day period.

Self-Determination Theory and Motivational Processes within PE

A fundamental tenet within SDT is that when an individual's motivation is autonomous (viz., when people endorse their own actions and act with a full sense of volition because they find the activity to hold inherent interest and/or personal value; Ryan & Deci, 2006), they experience better psychological health, increased well-being, and more effective performance (Ryan & Deci, 2008).¹ A cogent body of past work in physical activity settings has supported this theoretical postulation by showing autonomous forms of motivation to be positively associated with adaptive outcomes such as greater psychological well-being, increased behavioral persistence, and indices reflective of more objectively assessed behavior/investment (see Ntoumanis, 2012, and Standage and Ryan, 2012, for reviews).

Given the many positive concomitants associated with being autonomously motivated, an important line of empirical investigation within SDT has been to identify and test the social conditions and processes that support volitional and self-enacted engagement. Within SDT, social environments that are conducive to the satisfaction of three basic and innate psychological are hypothesized to be the fundamental nutrients to high quality motivation, wellness, and growth-oriented development (Ryan & Deci, 2008). These needs are for *autonomy* (i.e., self-endorsed and choiceful enactment), *competence* (i.e., interact effectively within the environment), and *relatedness* (i.e., feel close to, connected, and cared for by others) (Ryan

& Deci, 2002). Empirical work across a wide array of life domains has supported the basic needs hypothesis espoused within SDT (see Ryan & Deci, 2008).

A social context that is germane to motivation from an SDT perspective and one that encompasses supports for the basic psychological needs has been labeled *autonomy support* (i.e., environment that supports choice, initiation, and understanding; see Deci & Ryan, 2008). A number of empirical investigations have shown students' perceptions of autonomy support, as provided by their PE teacher, to positively predict the satisfaction of autonomy, competence, and relatedness (see Standage et al., 2007). Accordingly, we hypothesized in the present work that perceptions of autonomy support would positively predict the satisfaction of the basic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (H_1).

Basic Needs within PE, Exercise Motivation, and Health-Related Outcomes

As already discussed, within SDT it is hypothesized that one's optimal motivation, development, well-being, and healthy functioning are facilitated to the extent that the basic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are met within their social context (Ryan & Deci, 2008). Consistent with the tenets within SDT, past work in PE settings has shown each basic psychological need to predict autonomous motivation toward PE (e.g., Standage, Duda, & Ntoumanis, 2003, 2006). Thus, in this work we therefore expected that the satisfaction of each need would positively predict autonomous motivation for PE (H_2).

Motivation Toward PE and Leisure-Time Exercise Motivation

A central tenet proposed within the TCM (Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007) is that autonomous motivation reported within one context (e.g., sport, exercise, PE) can affect motivation in a related context. The example tested in a number of iterations of the TCM by Hagger and his colleagues is the premise that students' autonomous motivation toward PE can positively predict their autonomous motivation to partake in leisure-time physical activity. Consistent with empirical support for this theoretical prediction (e.g., Hagger, Chatzisarantis, Barkoukis, Wang, & Baranowski, 2005), in the present work a positive path was hypothesized from autonomous motivation toward PE to autonomous leisure-time exercise motivation (H_3).

Exercise Motivation and Outcome Variables

The final link in the proposed model (Figure 1) is the hypothesis that autonomous motivation toward exercise will positively predict HRQoL, PSC, and the number of steps taken over a 4-day period (H_4). In what follows we discuss the theoretical reasoning for the association between autonomous motivation for exercise and each of the dependent variables.

Health-Related Quality of Life. Subsumed within one's more global quality of life (viz., "an individual's perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns"; WHOQOL Group, 1995, p. 1403), HRQoL is a multidimensional construct that reflects aspects of a person's life that relate




Figure 1 — Hypothesized model of motivational processes.

directly to health, that impact on health, or can be affected by health interventions. Examining HRQoL is a particularly appropriate measure of pediatric population health as child health outcomes encompass a broad set of health concerns such as physical, social, emotional, and school functioning (Varni, Burwinkle, Seid, & Skarr, 2003). Indeed, HRQoL assessments are multidimensional in nature, and at a minimum should consist of the physical, psychological (including emotional and cognitive), and social health dimensions (i.e., as outlined by the World Health Organization; see Varni et al. 2003). Despite the pertinence of school PE and exercise more broadly to child and adolescent health and well-being, it is somewhat surprising that HRQoL has received research little attention (Standage & Gillison, 2007).

According to the tenets within SDT, autonomous motivation should positively link with overall indices of health and well-being such as HRQoL. This is because the regulation of behavior involves having a more integrated perception of the self, supportive of one's inherent tendencies toward psychological growth, development, and overall adjustment (cf. Ryan & Deci, 2008). An important measurement-related consideration, however, relates to the differing levels of assessments. HRQoL is reflective of a more general (or global) perception of one's overall health/well-being whereas autonomous motivation toward exercise operates at a domain specific (or contextual) level. Within a *hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation* (HMIEM), Vallerand (1997) posits that outcomes will be stronger when the motivation and its consequence reside at the same level, yet this does not preclude transference of motivational effects, in a similar manner to those already discussed for the constructs of need satisfaction on motivation. Indeed, motivation experienced at a lower level of generality (e.g., contextual) may impact the next level up (e.g., global) in a "bottom-up" fashion in which repeated engagement in exercise for autonomous reasons may impact one's more global "autonomous" motivation. Past work linking students' autonomous motivation toward PE and HRQoL has documented a positive association (Standage & Gillison, 2007). Moreover, Standage and Gillison reported positive associations between autonomous motivation and the social, school, physical, and emotional domains, suggesting exercise motivation to be pertinent to HRQoL.

Physical Self-Concept. An individual's PSC refers to a global evaluation of his/her qualities and standing in the physical domain (Marsh & Redmayne, 1994). Physical self-concept is fairly stable over time, and can influence decisions and perceptions across many physical activity contexts (Marsh, 1990a, 1990b). As a fundamental contributor to a person's self-concept/self-esteem, PSC is considered to be an indicator of psychological well-being/health within the physical domain (cf. Fox & Wilson, 2008). As previously discussed, past work has reported a positive association between autonomous motivation toward exercise and perceptions of PSC (Wilson & Rodgers, 2002). For example, Wilson and Rodgers found autonomous forms of motivation to differentiate between adult female exercisers who were separated into "high" and "low" PSC groupings (i.e., exercise motives correctly identified 83.3% and 88.9% of those in the high-PSC and low-PSC groups, respectively). When researchers (e.g., Standage & Gillison, 2007; Wilson & Rodgers, 2002) have specified links between motivation and self-concept (or self-esteem), they have drawn from the premise within SDT that autonomous motivation promotes an individual's true self-esteem (viz., a stable and secure

sense of self; see Deci & Ryan, 1995). Extending on this approach and applying it more precisely to the present work, it would seem logical that when students act in exercise settings through autonomous motivation they will pursue their physical-related aspirations and goals by fully devoting their personal resources and efforts. In being volitionally motivated, it makes further conceptual sense that while the students' emotions may fluctuate as a function of success and failure, their perception of PSC will remain stable as they do not aggrandize or experience perceptions of physical worthlessness based on the continuous self-evaluation and comparison of physical abilities (see Deci & Ryan, 1995).

Physical Activity. According to the tenets espoused within SDT, effortful and sustained involvement in exercise behavior is most likely to occur when people act for autonomous reasons (Standage & Ryan, 2012). A growing body of research involving adolescent populations has provided support for this hypothesis with autonomous motivation toward exercise being shown to positively predict self-reported exercise behavior (e.g., Gillison, Standage, & Skevington, 2006). Moreover, and after controlling for the possible confounding effects of age and gender, Vierling, Standage, and Treasure (2007) found autonomous motivation toward physical activity to positively predict, albeit weakly, pedometer step counts over a 4-day period. In our present work, we expected adolescents' reported exercise motivation to predict their activity (i.e., as indexed by step counts). Such reasoning is consistent with the tenets of SDT and past assessments within the domains of physical activity and PE (e.g., Lonsdale et al., 2009; Vierling et al., 2007).

It should be noted at this juncture that a pedometer does not detect activity intensity (or levels of health-enhancing physical activity), rather the unit merely accumulates vertical accelerations at the hip that are then quantified in terms of steps taken and interpreted against a monitoring frame (e.g., steps per day or total steps) (Tudor-Locke, McClain, Hart, Sisson, & Washington, 2009). Pedometers provide an indicator of daily physical activity volume (especially walking). Despite this limitation, pedometers have been shown to correlate with counts per minute scores obtained from accelerometers, oxygen uptake, heart rate, and physical activity as determined by doubly labeled water (cf. Tudor-Locke et al.). Lastly, pedometers represent an effective method of collecting an objective estimate of activity from adolescents as they are generally easy to administer, unobtrusive, do not rely on the participant's memory, and can be used by individuals with language or literacy difficulties (Tudor-Locke & Myers, 2001).

The Present Study

To recapitulate, the purpose of the present work was to examine a motivational processes model (Figure 1) that (i) examines a motivational sequence of interrelationships organized by the tenets of SDT, (ii) pulls from the past work to assess the impact of autonomous motivation toward PE on autonomous motivation toward exercise (e.g., Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007), and (iii) draws from SDT and aspects of the HMIEM to explore the predictive utility of autonomous motivation toward exercise on HRQoL, PSC, and pedometer step counts (4-day period). The tenability of the motivational processes specified within the model will be examined across gender and indirect effects will be examined. Consistent with past work

(e.g., Standage, Duda, & Ntoumanis, 2005; Wilson & Garcia Bengoechea, 2010) measurement invariance (i.e., the extent to which a measure, model, or construct maintains its meaning across groups or over time; Byrne, 2010) was examined based on the assumption that the need-based processes within SDT hold across gender, cultures, and development stages (Deci & Vansteenkiste, 2004). In addition, and as pointed out by Wilson and Garcia Bengoechea, it is also logical to test gender invariance given that it is commonplace and a focal variable of interest within the extant physical activity literature.

Method

Participants

Complete data across the three data collection waves were obtained from 494 secondary school students ($M_{\text{age}} = 12.58$ years; $SD = .74$). Students (201 boys, 291 girls, 2 gender not specified) were recruited from an original sample of 849 participants, from five state schools located in southwest England. It should be noted that the notable reduction in sample size was due to participants not completing questionnaires at one or both of the subsequent data collections (i.e., being absent at Times 2 and/or 3) and/or not providing sufficient pedometer data (viz., due to not wearing or losing the unit). Multivariate analyses of variance showed no significant differences between the students who were retained for analyses and those omitted following the completion of Wave 1 measures (all p values $> .05$).

Procedure

Consent to conduct the study was issued from a local research ethics committee, letters were sent to parents to seek passive consent (i.e., responses were sought from those wishing their child to be withdrawn from the study), and written consent was obtained from the head teachers of each school who were asked to act in *loco parentis*. Informed consent was also obtained from the participants.

In this work, we adopted a three-wave design, which permitted clarity and contextualization of measures at each data collection point (i.e., assessments were arranged based on the temporal precedence of associations specified within the hypothesized model: T1 = PE, T2 = exercise, T3 = health-related outcomes). In addition to conceptual reasons, the pragmatic decision to use the three-wave approach was discussed with school staff members at the design stage in an attempt to reduce participant and school burden. During the first wave of data collection (i.e., Time 1) students responded to a multisection inventory assessing motivational processes in school PE (i.e., perceptions of autonomy support, autonomy, competence, relatedness, and PE motivation). One week later (Time 2), participants completed a questionnaire to assess their motivation toward exercise. At this time, participants were familiarized with a pedometer and provided with written and verbal instructions regarding the placement of the unit (i.e., on the waistband in the midline of the right thigh for the whole day, except when sleeping or showering/bathing). A sealed pedometer was then worn for four successive days. To ensure confidentiality and to permit the pedometer data to be matched to the questionnaire responses, each pedometer and participant were assigned a matching numerical

code. At Time 3 (T3; i.e., 1 week after T2), participants completed the HRQoL and PSC questionnaires. The use of 1-week intervals between the three data collections is consistent with past work (e.g., Hagger et al., 2005) and was used to minimize the amount of error variance introduced into the data due to the use of similar measures (e.g., autonomous motivation toward PE and exercise).

Measures

Autonomy Support. A modified version of the six-item Learning Climate Questionnaire (LCQ; Williams & Deci, 1996) was used to assess the degree to which the students perceived their PE teacher to provide autonomy support. Items were slightly amended to target the PE context. An example item is, “the PE teacher encourages me to ask questions.” Responses were reported on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). Cronbach alpha coefficients from past work have revealed internally reliable scores to be obtained from this scale (e.g., Standage et al., 2005).

Autonomy. Autonomy was measured using a five-item scale (Standage et al., 2003). Preceded by the stem “In this PE class . . .”, participants responded to items such as “I have some choice in what I want to do.” Responses were made on a 7-point Likert-type scale anchored from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). Evidence for the internal reliability of scores obtained from this scale has been supported in previous PE work with British children via Cronbach’s alpha coefficients (e.g., Standage et al., 2003).

Competence. Competence was assessed using the five-item Perceived Competence subscale of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI; McAuley, Duncan, & Tammen, 1989). Items were reworded to target the PE context (e.g., “I am pretty skilled at PE”) and responses were indicated on a 7-point Likert-type scale anchored by 1 (*strongly disagree*) and 7 (*strongly agree*). Cronbach alpha coefficients from past PE research have shown internally reliable scores to be obtained from the IMI competence subscale (Ntoumanis, 2001).

Relatedness. Relatedness was assessed using the acceptance subscale of the Need for Relatedness Scale (Richer & Vallerand, 1998). The stem was modified in the current study to be PE-specific (“With the other students in my PE class I feel . . .”) and was followed by five items such as “valued,” “understood,” and “supported.” Responses were made on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). Internally reliable scores from this scale have been reported in previous PE work (Standage et al., 2003).

Motivation Toward PE. The different types of motivational regulation as they pertain to PE were assessed using the Perceived Locus of Causality scale (PLOC; Goudas, Biddle, & Fox, 1994). The PLOC is an adaptation of Ryan and Connell’s (1989) Self-Regulation Questionnaire to the PE context. Goudas et al. (1994) also adapted and added the amotivation scale from the Academic Motivation Scale (Vallerand et al., 1992) to the PLOC. In their responses to the PLOC, participants were asked to respond to the items using the stem “I take part in this PE class . . .”. Example items (four for each subscale) are “because PE is fun” (intrinsic motivation), “because it is important for me to do well in PE” (identified

regulation), “because I’ll feel bad about myself if I didn’t” (introjected regulation), “because I’ll get into trouble if I don’t” (external regulation), and “but I really don’t know why” (amotivation). Responses were made on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). Support for the internal reliability of scores (via Cronbach’s alpha coefficients) and factorial structure (via confirmatory factor analysis) of this scale has emerged in previous work with British schoolchildren (e.g., Ntoumanis, 2005).

Motivation Toward Exercise. The Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire-2 (BREQ-2; Markland & Tobin, 2004) was used to assess the participants’ motivation for exercise. Scored using a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (*not true for me*) to 4 (*very true for me*), the BREQ-2 consists of 19 items designed to tap an individual’s level of intrinsic motivation (e.g., “I exercise because it’s fun”), identified regulation (“I value the benefits of exercise”), introjected regulation (“I feel guilty when I don’t exercise”), external regulation (“I exercise because other people say I should”), and amotivation (“I don’t see why I should have to exercise”). Past work has provided support for the reliability of scores and factorial structure of the BREQ-2 (Markland & Tobin, 2004). Research has also supported the tenability of the measure to adolescent samples (e.g., Gillison et al., 2006).

Physical Self-Concept. Students’ PSC was assessed via two subscales of the Self-Description Questionnaire-I (SDQ-I; Marsh, Barnes, Cairns, & Tidman, 1984). Specifically, the physical abilities (i.e., the child’s self-concept of their abilities in physical activities, sports, and games) and the physical appearance (viz., the child’s self-concept pertaining to their physical attractiveness compared with others, and how others think he/she looks) subscales were used to index PSC. Example items for the physical abilities and physical appearance, respectively, are “I can run a long way without stopping” and “I have a good looking body.” Responses are made on a scale ranging between 1 (*false*) and 5 (*true*). Research has supported the construct validity and internal consistency of this measure (Marsh, 1990a).

Health-Related Quality of Life. Health-related quality of life was assessed using the Pediatric Quality of Life Questionnaire (PedsQL 4; Varni et al., 1999). Past work has found the PedsQL 4 to be a reliable and valid measure of HRQoL in adolescent populations (Varni et al., 2001). The PedsQL comprises 23 items that are split into four domains: physical functioning (8 items; e.g., “It is hard for me to run”), social functioning (5 items; e.g., “Other kids tease me”), emotional functioning (5 items; e.g., “I feel sad or blue”), and school functioning (5 items; e.g., “It is hard to pay attention in class”). Responses are made on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (*never a problem*) to 4 (*almost always a problem*). Akin with past work using the PedsQL and so as to aid interpretability, items were reversed scored and linearly transformed to a 0–100 scale (i.e., 0 = 100, 1 = 75, 2 = 50, 3 = 25, and 4 = 0). Item scores were then divided by the number of item responses to provide a scale score. A total composite score was also calculated with higher scores indicating better HRQoL.

Physical Activity (Step Counts Across 4 Days). Physical activity in the form of step counts was assessed via a pedometer. Specifically, the Yamax Digiwalker SW-351 (Yamax Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used in the present work. Past

research has shown the Yamax Digiwalker to be among the most accurate and reliable models of pedometer (e.g., Crouter, Schneider, Karabulut, & Bassett, 2003). In this research, we obtained 4 days of activity during a school week from the students. This monitoring frame has been shown via past work to be a sufficient length of time to determine habitual activity levels, via pedometers, in child samples (i.e., ICC range = .69 to .91; see Tudor-Locke et al., 2009).

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 contains the means, standard deviations, and alpha coefficient values for all measures. Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranged from .70 to .91. The intercorrelation matrix is presented in Table 2.

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics and Cronbach Internal Consistency Estimate for Each Measure

Subscale	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Kurtosis	Skewness	α
Autonomy support (PE)	4.26	1.20	-.52	-.14	.87
Autonomy (PE)	3.54	1.41	-.64	.16	.86
Competence (PE)	5.09	1.33	.19	-.81	.89
Relatedness (PE)	4.78	1.27	.08	-.51	.89
Intrinsic motivation (PE)	5.12	1.61	-.43	-.63	.91
Identified regulation (PE)	5.32	1.50	.41	-.97	.90
Introjected regulation (PE)	4.04	1.34	-.26	-.09	.70
External regulation (PE)	3.84	1.62	-.75	.13	.82
Amotivation (PE)	2.52	1.38	.10	.86	.79
Intrinsic motivation (EX)	2.75	1.02	-.32	-.62	.89
Identified regulation (EX)	2.55	.83	-.01	-.55	.70
Introjected regulation (EX)	1.55	1.02	-.56	.26	.72
External regulation (EX)	.85	.79	-.06	.79	.77
Amotivation (EX)	.43	.73	4.91	2.17	.84
Health-related quality of life	78.26	13.23	1.73	-.96	.90
Physical functioning	88.27	12.06	5.81	-1.80	.79
Social functioning	80.29	17.50	2.08	-1.19	.81
School functioning	69.56	18.16	.15	-.61	.75
Emotional functioning	74.92	18.67	.39	-.67	.79
Physical abilities scale	3.59	.90	-.50	-.45	.88
Physical appearance scale	3.16	.93	-.42	-.14	.88
Total step count (4 days)	44,822	14,622	.98	.52	—

Note. PE = physical education, EX= exercise.

Table 2 Bivariate Correlations Among the Study Variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1 Autonomy support (PE)	—																						
2 Autonomy (PE)	.65	—																					
3 Competence (PE)	.40	.37	—																				
4 Relatedness (PE)	.53	.42	.47	—																			
5 Intrinsic motivation (PE)	.59	.56	.67	.47	—																		
6 Identified regulation (PE)	.52	.52	.60	.43	.88	—																	
7 Introjected regulation (PE)	.35	.30	.32	.23	.55	.64	—																
8 External regulation (PE)	-.21	-.29	-.31	-.15	-.35	-.26	.17	—															
9 Amotivation (PE)	-.31	-.32	-.48	-.29	-.57	-.52	-.21	.54	—														
10 Intrinsic motivation (EX)	.41	.39	.54	.34	.65	.62	.38	-.26	-.48	—													
11 Identified regulation (EX)	.26	.25	.34	.22	.44	.50	.42	-.06	-.28	.66	—												
12 Introjected regulation (EX)	.16	.10	.06	.07	.17	.23	.42	.14	-.04	.29	.46	—											
13 External regulation (EX)	-.11	-.10	-.29	-.11	-.24	-.20	.09	.33	.24	-.24	-.03	.33	—										
14 Amotivation (EX)	-.25	-.25	-.40	-.20	-.47	-.45	-.25	.26	.46	-.53	-.35	-.08	.37	—									
15 HRQoL	.20	.15	.39	.34	.25	.25	.07	-.17	-.25	.30	.19	-.05	-.34	-.34	—								
16 Physical functioning	.12	.10	.37	.22	.25	.23	.08	-.22	-.27	.32	.18	-.01	-.35	-.35	.72	—							
17 Social functioning	.15	.13	.37	.37	.20	.22	.10	-.11	-.18	.27	.20	.00	-.26	-.29	.84	.57	—						
18 School functioning	.14	.10	.21	.18	.17	.16	.05	-.06	-.19	.19	.14	.00	-.19	-.22	.75	.35	.47	—					
19 Emotional functioning	.20	.14	.32	.30	.21	.20	.02	-.17	-.17	.20	.09	-.10	-.32	-.26	.85	.63	.49	—					
20 Physical abilities scale	.33	.33	.71	.33	.61	.56	.33	-.21	-.44	.62	.41	.06	-.30	-.36	.37	.38	.33	.17	.29	—			
21 Physical appearance scale	.07	.04	.40	.40	.23	.20	.18	.14	.01	-.10	.21	.15	-.03	-.17	-.06	.27	.20	.27	.11	.32	.55	—	
22 Total step count (4 days)	.00	.00	.11	.01	.12	.09	.10	-.05	-.10	.09	.06	.01	-.12	-.11	.12	.16	.10	.10	.04	.11	.04	.04	—

Note. HRQoL = health-related quality of life. Bivariate correlations of .09 and above are significant at the $p < .05$; bivariate correlations of .11 and above are significant at the $p < .01$ level.

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Analysis

Structural equation modeling with AMOS Version 18.0 (Arbuckle, 2009) was used to examine the adequacy of the proposed model (Figure 1). An inspection of the Mardia's coefficient value (74.39, critical ratio = 29.26) revealed the data to depart from multivariate normality. Analyses were therefore conducted using the bootstrapping procedure as the bootstrap-generated standard errors provide a more accurate indication of the stability of parameter estimates under conditions of non-normality (Bryne, 2010; Preacher & Hayes, 2008). Aligned with the recommendations outlined by Preacher and Hayes, 5000 bootstrap replication samples based on the original sample were requested.

As the sample size in the present work was not sufficiently large to meet an acceptable minimum number of cases per estimated parameter (i.e., over 5; Bentler & Chou, 1987), we used a parceling technique (cf. Little, Cunningham, Shahar, & Widaman, 2002) that has been used in previous work testing similar complex models of motivational processes (e.g., Cox & Williams, 2008; Sebire, Standage, & Vansteenkiste, 2009; Standage & Gillison, 2007). Little and colleagues have argued that the use of the parceling technique represents an appropriate pragmatic solution when the main aim of an analysis is to better understand the associations between latent variables rather than between items. The use of parceling reduces the number of estimated parameters within complex models, thus aiding with identification as well as potentially reducing non-normality within datasets (cf. Little et al., 2002). In this work, and with the exception of the latent factors of HRQoL and PSC which were indexed by four (*viz.*, physical, emotional, social, and school) and two subscales (i.e., physical appearance and physical abilities) respectively, we randomly created parcels of items to form two indicators for each latent factor. For the motivation toward PE and exercise variables, SDT hypothesizes that the various regulations conform to a quasi-simplex pattern of associations in which motivational regulations are more strongly correlated which those adjacent along the self-determined continuum (e.g., intrinsic motivation and identified regulation) than with those which are more distal (e.g., intrinsic motivation and external regulation) (see Ryan & Connell, 1989). As this structure of associations was supported in the present data within the PLOC and BREQ-2 subscales, we assigned weights to the motivational regulations according to their respective location on the self-determination continuum to form a Relative Autonomy Index (RAI) (*viz.*, 3 × intrinsic motivation, 2 × identified, -1 × introjected regulation, -2 × external regulation, -3 × amotivation).² Four indexes were then initially formed and these indexes subsequently randomly averaged into two indicators for SEM analyses.³ In adopting this approach, our resultant ratio of slightly over 10 participants per each estimated parameter was adequate (Bentler & Chou, 1987).

To assess the adequacy of the measurement models to the data, we used a two-index presentation strategy (Hu & Bentler, 1999). This approach advances the use of the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) coupled with one or more incremental or absolute indexes of fit. In this work, we chose to supplement the SRMR with the comparative fit index (CFI), the incremental fit index (IFI), and the root-mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). For the CFI and IFI, values of over .90 are indicative of an acceptable fit, whereas values of close to (or above) .95 represent an excellent fit between the model and data (Hu & Bentler). Values

close to .08 (or lower) and .06 (or lower) for the SRMR and RMSEA respectively, are indicative of a well-specified model (Hu & Bentler).

The two-step model building approach, as advanced by Anderson and Gerbing (1988), was used to examine the tenability of the model to the data. In this process, Step 1 involves the testing of the measurement model via confirmatory factor analysis. Following the fixing of the uniqueness for the Physical Abilities subscale to zero to address a problem with a negative variance estimate (-.11), results revealed an excellent fit between model and the data, $\chi^2(118) = 340.88$, $p < .001$; CFI = .96; IFI = .96; SRMR = .04; RMSEA = .06 (90% CI = .05 to .07). The second stage of the model-building approach pertains to an examination of the path model outlined in Figure 1. Results showed the model to provide a satisfactory fit to the data, $\chi^2(141) = 657.43$, $p < .001$; CFI = .92; IFI = .92; SRMR = .09; RMSEA = .09 (90% CI = .08 to .09); however, the modification indices revealed that there was room for improvement. To this end, and aligned with recommendations regarding model respecification (e.g., MacCallum, 1995), only modifications that were conceptually justifiable based on theory and past data were explored. Specifically, and similar to model modifications made in previous research (cf. Marsh, 1990b; Standage & Gillison, 2007), direct paths were added from (i) relatedness to HRQoL and (ii) competence to PSC. The path hypothesized from relatedness to RAI PE was not significant ($\beta = .05$, $p = .25$) and therefore was dropped from the model. This slightly amended model was subsequently reanalyzed. The new results showed the fit of the model to the data to be improved, $\chi^2(140) = 421.14$, $p < .001$; CFI = .95; IFI = .95; SRMR = .06; RMSEA = .06 (90% CI = .06 to .07). The standardized solution for the final model is shown in Figure 2.⁴

Indirect Effects. Mediated effects (or indirect effects) have been of much interest to researchers examining associations specified within SDT and the TCM. Indeed, past work has examined how differing social forces and interpersonal contexts affect the basic needs to mediate a person's motivation, well-being, and effective functioning (see Standage & Ryan, 2012). Research has also examined the indirect effects between social contexts and motivation in different physical activity contexts and outcome variables (including self-reported physical activity) (cf. Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007). This line of inquiry has, and continues, to provide greater insight into how social contexts, need-satisfaction variables, and motivation types (within and between contexts) explain variance in important health and well-being variables (i.e., directly and indirectly).

The standardized indirect effects and the 95% upper and lower limits of bootstrap-generated bias-corrected confidence intervals of the indirect effects (cf. Shrout & Bolger, 2002) for the hypothesized model are reported in Table 3. The standardized indirect effects revealed that autonomy support had positive indirect effects on RAI (PE), RAI (EX), PSC, and HRQoL. Competence had positive indirect effects on RAI (EX), PSC, and HRQoL. Autonomy had positive indirect effects on RAI (EX), PSC, HRQoL, and total step count (4 days). Positive indirect effects were shown for RAI (PE) on PSC and HRQoL (i.e., via RAI (EX)). Lastly, indirect effects for autonomy support, competence, and RAI (PE) on total step count (4 days) approached significance.

Model Invariance. We examined the tenability of the motivational processes specified within Figure 2 across gender. Specifically, we used a procedure outlined




Figure 2 — Final model of motivational processes. All standardized estimates are significant ($p < .05$), ranging from .44 to .99. The bootstrap 95% CI estimate of the standard error for each parameter is shown in parenthesis. Aligned with findings that have shown the psychological needs to be associated (e.g., Standage et al., 2003), the disturbance terms of the three needs were allowed to correlate. In the present work, the correlations of the disturbances were as follows: $r_{\text{autonomy-competence}} = .17$; $r_{\text{competence-relatedness}} = .36$; $r_{\text{autonomy-relatedness}} = .09$.

Table 3 Standardized Parameter Estimates of Indirect Effects

Parameter	β	Bootstrap Bias-Corrected 95% CIs (lower, upper)
Indirect effects		
Autonomy support → RAI (PE)	.54*	.48, .61
Autonomy support → RAI (EX)	.38*	.32, .44
Autonomy support → Physical self-concept	.37*	.31, .44
Autonomy support → Health-related quality of life	.28*	.22, .35
Autonomy support → Total step count (4 days)	.04 ^b	-.00, .07
Competence → RAI (EX)	.38*	.31, .45
Competence → Physical self-concept	.11*	.07, .15
Competence → Health-related quality of life	.08*	.04, .12
Competence → Total step count (4 days)	.04 ^b	-.00, .08
Autonomy → RAI (EX)	.28*	.22, .34
Autonomy → Physical self-concept	.08*	.06, .12
Autonomy → Health-related quality of life	.06*	.03, .09
Autonomy → Total step count (4 days)	.03 ^a	-.00, .06
RAI (PE) → Physical self-concept	.20*	.14, .26
RAI (PE) → Health-related quality of life	.14*	.07, .22
RAI (PE) → Total step count (4 days)	.07 ^c	-.01, .14

Note. PE = physical education, EX = exercise. * $p < .05$. ^a $p = .05$. ^b $p < .06$. ^c $p = .06$.

by Bryne (2010) in which increasingly constrained models are specified to examine the measurement (i.e., item loadings) and structural parameters (i.e., factor variances and covariances) across samples.⁵ Results of multigroup SEM analyses showed the unconstrained model to provide an excellent fit to the data, $\chi^2(280) = 580.26$; CFI = .95; IFI = .95; SRMR = .07; RMSEA = .05 (90% CI = .04 to .05). In the next step, the measurement weights were constrained to be equal, with results showing that an excellent fit to the data was maintained, $\chi^2(291) = 594.18$, $p < .001$; CFI = .95; IFI = .95; SRMR = .07; RMSEA = .05 (90% CI = .04 to .05). Next, the structural weights were fixed to be equal across gender. Again, results showed the model to maintain an excellent fit to the data across gender-specific samples, $\chi^2(301) = 605.55$; CFI = .95; IFI = .95; SRMR = .07; RMSEA = .05 (90% CI = .04 to .05). Lastly, support for the model to the data were supported when the structural covariances were constrained to be equal across samples, $\chi^2(302) = 612.85$; CFI = .95; IFI = .95; SRMR = .07; RMSEA = .05 (90% CI = .04 to .05). Aligned with the recommendation by Cheung and Rensvold (2002) that a value of Δ CFI smaller than or equal to 0.01 between two increasingly constrained models indicates a nonsubstantial decrease in model fit, our results (Δ CFI = .00) provide support for the gender invariance of the model shown in Figure 2.

Discussion

In this work, we tested a number of hypotheses specified within a motivational processes model. The model, couched within SDT and informed by the TCM and HMIEM, was based on data that spanned two distinct, yet related contexts (viz., school PE and exercise). Moreover, the specified model added to extant knowledge in a number of unique ways (i.e., no published work to our knowledge has examined the effects of motivation toward PE and/or across contexts to predict objectively assessed estimates of activity beyond the confines of a PE lesson nor important markers of adolescent's overall health-related well-being). Following some minor modifications, results from SEM analyses were largely supportive of the model and our stated hypotheses. Further, results of multisample SEM supported the tenability of the motivational processes specified within Figure 2 across gender. Such a finding is consistent with past work (e.g., Ntoumanis, 2001; Standage et al., 2005) and aligns with the invariance propositions espoused within SDT (cf. Deci & Ryan, 2000). Lastly, and in addition to testing hypotheses based on direct effects, results as they relate to indirect effects were explored and are discussed herein.

Consistent with past work (e.g., Standage et al., 2006; Standage & Gillison, 2007; Taylor & Lonsdale, 2010), and as hypothesized (H_1), perceptions of autonomy support positively predicted autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Moreover, standardized indirect effects revealed autonomy support to have positive indirect effects on autonomous motivation toward PE, autonomous motivation toward exercise, PSC, HRQoL, and total step count (4 days) ($p = .06$, for the last variable). Such findings are congruent with past work that has shown indirect effects for perceptions of PE teacher-provided autonomy support on autonomous motivation toward PE (e.g., Hagger et al., 2009; Standage et al., 2006), autonomous motivation toward leisure-time exercise (e.g., Hagger et al., 2009), indices of global well-being (e.g., Standage & Gillison, 2007), and self-reported exercise behavior (e.g., Hagger et al., 2003). Collectively, the findings are therefore akin with past PE-related work that documents the motivational and well-being benefits associated with being taught by autonomy-supportive teachers. Although the benefits of interacting with autonomy-supportive teachers are well documented (cf. Standage et al., 2007), further empirical investigation is required as it pertains to the other social factors advanced within SDT. Specifically, the elements of *structure* (viz., which consists of the provision of guidance, expectations, plans and goal setting) and *involvement* (i.e., the degree to which significant others devote time energy, and interest to others) are hypothesized to support internalization, growth, and true self-regulation when accompanied by autonomy support as opposed to pressure and control.

Within SDT, the basic psychological needs are specified as fundamental nutrients to autonomous engagement, growth, and wellness (Ryan & Deci, 2008). In the present work, autonomy and competence, but not relatedness, were positive predictors of autonomous motivation toward PE. Further, autonomy and competence had positive indirect effects on autonomous motivation toward exercise, PSC, and HRQoL. Indirect effects from autonomy and competence also approached significance with regard to total step count (4 days). Such findings support the premise within SDT that autonomy and competence are necessary nutrients to motivation and healthy functioning within, and across, domains. With regard to across domains, it would seem logical that any transference effects of autonomous

motivation and well-being across related contexts would depend on the satisfaction of basic psychological needs within and across domains (i.e., to underpin and support volitional and endorsed engagement). Similar to recent experimental work (e.g., Chatzisarantis & Hagger, 2009), it would be prudent in studies claiming to test a transference of motivation to go beyond testing cross-sectional associations.

The findings as they pertain to relatedness depart from our hypothesis and the tenets within SDT which would hold that the three needs are essential and the neglect of one or more will lead to negative functional effects (cf. Ryan & Deci, 2008). For the main part, past work has supported a link between relatedness and autonomous motivation (cf. Standage et al., 2007), however relatedness has been shown in some prior work testing SDT-based models not to predict autonomous motivation in school PE (Standage & Gillison, 2007). Similar to the findings reported by Standage and Gillison, the direction of the “relatedness to autonomous motivation towards PE” path was positive, but failed to reach significance (i.e., $p = .25$). While relatedness may be considered as a more distal predictor of intrinsic motivation compared with the other two needs, it is fundamental to the internalization of PE activities that are deemed important but not rich in inherent interest for students (cf. Standage et al., 2007). As such, it is important to disentangle this null finding. A number of factors may contribute including (i) a lack of a PE-specific measure assessing context relevant supports for relatedness; (ii) cross-sectional assessments which fail to assess the dynamic nature of interactions among students and their peers as well as their teachers; (iii) the use of an index of relative autonomous motivation that encompasses different motivational regulations which may mask important information, especially given the aforementioned proximal and distal relationships between relatedness and different types of motivation; and/or (iv) against a backdrop of secure relationships a student may not be as reliant on proximal supports to their volitional, and perhaps short-term, PE engagement given their general sense of connectedness, close relationships, and feeling of being cared for by important others (e.g., Ryan & Deci, 2000). With the latter point in mind, the nature of differing social agents across different contexts, reflect interpersonal interactions, social networks, and supports that are more complex than proposed and assessed within our model (e.g., see Cox & Ullrich-French, 2010). For example, it is likely that many students will be exposed to different cohorts of peers in their out-of-school activities as well as having multiple sources of relatedness across these related domains (e.g., parents, different peer groups, numerous teachers, various coaches, etc). Future work would do well to examine this complex network of relationships from numerous important others simultaneously and across multiple time points. Such work would provide greater insight and a broader understanding of the dynamic interplay and functioning role of relatedness in, and across, domains. To aid in such investigations, the development of context-specific measures of need satisfaction variables developed in a manner that is informed by user-group engagement at all steps of the process (e.g., item development, item meaning) would be a most useful measurement addition (Standage et al., 2007). Similar to recent work addressing relatedness in the physical activity domain (e.g., Wilson & Garcia Bengoechea, 2010), broader conceptualizations of the need variables should be captured and aligned within measures developed for use with children and adolescents in PE settings (e.g., autonomy measures encompassing volition, choice, internal perceived locus of causality; Reeve, Nix, & Hamm, 2003).

In addition to being integral to internalization, within SDT relatedness is hypothesized to be vital for continued active involvement in activities, social integration, and well-being. Some support for this theoretical postulation was evidenced by a positive direct path to HRQoL in the model (Figure 2), suggesting relatedness in PE to have a direct effect on the participants' well-being as indexed by their social, physical, school, and emotional functioning. Such a finding is consistent with past work (e.g., Standage & Gillison, 2007), and is indicative of the role that students' perceptions of secure and quality interactions with others within a school PE context has for their overall health-related functioning.

A second modification to the hypothesized model was the addition of a direct path from competence to PSC. This addition makes conceptual sense in the context of hierarchical models of self-concept (see Marsh, 1990b and Fox, 1997 for reviews). Indeed, within these multidimensional models subdomain levels of competence (in this case toward PE) are specified to be linked to domain level evaluations (e.g., physical self-worth/self-concept. In the present work, PSC was indexed by evaluative perceptions of the self with regard to physical abilities and physical appearance. Accordingly, the moderate path from competence to PSC suggests that evaluative perceptions of the physical self are enhanced when competence is experienced within school PE. This finding, coupled with the indirect effects of autonomy and competence on exercise motivation and the health-related outcomes, align with the key concepts underpinning PE in the UK. That is, the overarching aims of PE are to promote competence, performance, creativity, and healthy, active lifestyles via a number of key processes (viz., developing skills in physical activity, making and applying decisions, developing physical and mental capacity, evaluating, improving and making informed choices about healthy, active lifestyles) (see the National Curriculum; Qualifications and Curriculum Authority, 2007). Such content and the intended processes of provision offer insight into why appropriately delivered PE programs should yield supports for the satisfaction of autonomy and competence that are pertinent and can facilitate indices of health-related well-being and physical activity. Although mixed findings emerged with regard to relatedness, the importance of the construct is evident within the national curriculum and future work with appropriately developed PE-specific measures would do well to test the effect of school-level perceptions of relatedness on indices of social integration, assimilation, and responsibility. That is, PE is considered an important context for students to learn to connect with others in a manner in which they develop personally and socially, and in which they develop concepts of fairness and personal and social responsibility (National Curriculum).

Akin with past research (e.g., Hagger et al., 2005) informed by the TCM and HMIEM, and supporting our hypothesis (H_3), autonomous motivation toward PE positively predicted autonomous motivation in a distinct, yet related context (viz., toward exercise). Further, indirect effects showed a mediating effect of autonomous motivation toward PE on PSC and HRQoL (via autonomous motivation toward exercise). The indirect effect for total step count (4 days) approached significance ($p = .06$). Collectively, such findings corroborate past research pertaining to the adaptive role of autonomous motivation in facilitating adaptive engagement in and across physical activity domains (Hagger & Chatzisarantis, 2007). The data also align with an overarching aim of PE, namely, that of supporting students to make an informed choice about adopting a healthy, active lifestyle beyond the confines of school PE (cf. National Curriculum; Qualifications and Curriculum Authority, 2007).

In accordance with the final hypothesis (H_4) and consistent with the tenets of SDT, autonomous motivation toward exercise positively predicted PSC, HRQoL, and total number of steps taken over a 4-day period. Such findings add to a cogent body of work that documents the many positive exercise and health-related outcomes that are associated with one's engagement in activities being driven by more autonomous forms of motivation (see Standage & Ryan, 2012, for an overview). The positive paths between autonomous motivation toward exercise and (i) HRQoL and (ii) PSC provide support for the notion that volitional, self-regulated reasons for partaking in exercise not only drive adaptive exercise engagement (e.g., Standage, Sebire, & Loney, 2008) and the exercise experience (cf. Standage & Ryan) but also support the development of positive markers of physical health (i.e., indexed in this work by subjective perceptions of health, wellness, and positive evaluations of the self within the physical domain). The positive, albeit weak, path between autonomous motivation toward exercise and total step count across a 4-day period mirrors past data (e.g., Vierling et al., 2007). Although pedometers provide a useful and economical means to objectively estimate activity via step counts (i.e., they are inexpensive, easy to use, reliable, etc.), they are not without limitations (e.g., they cannot assess intensity, duration, frequency of physical activity) (see Bassett & Strath, 2002). Thus, the weak association (and percentage of explained variance) between autonomous motivation toward exercise and this marker of physical activity could be due to an incongruence between motivation toward exercise (i.e., a structured, purposeful, repetitive and planned type of physical activity) and step counts accrued via a wide array of activities within free-living situations (e.g., lower intensity incidental behaviors, distance of home to school). Similar to past work with adult populations, SDT research examining motivational processes within child and adolescent samples would do well to use more advanced technology capable of assessing bouts of activity that are deemed to be health enhancing, by the nature of their intensity and duration (e.g., see Standage et al. 2008).

Although there were a number of strengths to the present work (e.g., the prospective design, use of an objective estimate of physical activity, assessment of health-related wellness), there were also a number of limitations. Each variable was only assessed at one time-point, thus reciprocal/dynamic relations between some of the examined variables could not be tested. Moreover, as motivation is a dynamic process, future work would do well use within- and between-person designs to examine SDT-related constructs in the physical domain at various levels (i.e., person level and day level) alongside ongoing assessments of wellness and behavior (Taylor, Ntoumanis, Standage, & Spray, 2010; Standage & Ryan, 2012). Further, relations among motivational processes and indices of health and well-being should also be examined over a longer duration and across different development stages of the school cycle.

The study was also limited by other measurement issues (i.e., beyond the need for the development of context specific measures alluded to previously). First, and while most relevant to the domains studied in this work, a potential difficulty of using HRQoL measures in place of overall quality-of-life measures is that many are focused toward measuring disability and the limitations imposed by poor health, rather than obtaining a positive view of a person's life. The PedsQL that was used in this work phrases all items in a negative manner: "It is hard for me to run" and "I cannot do things other kids my age can do" (Varni et al., 1999). Such items may be perceived to have little relevance to healthy adolescents. Second, in the present work we failed to assess PSC aligned with contemporary theorizing that consists of

several subdomains arranged at multiple levels of a formative hierarchy (see Fox & Wilson, 2008 for a review of measurement issues related to PSC constructs). Third, and similar to past research (e.g., Standage & Gillison, 2007; Wilson & Rodgers, 2002), our research design was not such that we could test the true versus contingent distinction that within SDT is hypothesized to predict differential outcomes. Addressing these issues, respectively, future work would do well to (i) develop sensitive measures of HRQoL for use with nonclinical and/or absence of physical limitations, (ii) assess the relations between SDT-related variables and a broader set of psychometrically established PSC appraisals that align with formative hierarchical models, and (iii) employ appropriate longitudinal and/or experimental designs aimed at yielding interesting data on the “true” versus “contingent” self-esteem distinction within the physical activity domain. Lastly, future research looking at motivation effects within and across distinct, yet related, contexts (e.g., exercise, PE, sport) would do well to ascertain what each context and inherent activities mean to children and adolescents (e.g., “what does exercise mean to children and adolescents”). A mixed-methods approach to such an endeavor could also provide insight into the developmental shifts in reasons and goals for engagement (e.g., from active play through to more adult-related exercise motives such as health and/or appearance).

Conclusion

For the most part, the results from the present work provided support for the paths specified within the proposed model of motivational processes that spanned the contexts of school PE and exercise to predict activity levels and indices of health-related well-being. Akin with SDT, the results of this study reinforce the motivational and well-being benefits that students experience from interactions with autonomy-supportive teachers, who provide social conditions that are conducive to students experiencing support for their basic needs. The results also support a cogent body of past SDT research by documenting the motivational, behavioral, and well-being advantages of being autonomously motivated in one’s physical activity-related endeavors. Lastly, as predicted within SDT, model fit was shown to be invariant across responses from boys and girls.

Notes

1. Within SDT, a number of behavioral regulations reside on a continuum that varies in the degree to which the regulations are autonomous. From the most to the least autonomous, these are intrinsic motivation, integrated regulation, identified regulation, introjected regulation, external regulation, and amotivation. In the present work, we were interested in assessing autonomous motivation toward PE and exercise. For a discussion on the characteristics of each regulation, see Ryan and Deci (2008).

2. Although the scoring of the BREQ-2 specifies the inclusion of amotivation, other work has adopted the premise that amotivation reflects a lack of motivation and should not be included in a relative index of autonomous motivation (i.e., RAI; e.g., Standage & Gillison, 2007). To this end, we conducted the analyses again with amotivation not included in the RAI. Results provided an identical pattern of associations.

3. The introjected regulation subscale of the BREQ-2 consists of only three items, as opposed to the four items tapping each for the other motivational regulations. Thus, the fourth RAI indicator was calculated without a score for introjected regulation.

4. The factor loadings, uniquenesses, standard errors, z values, and squared multiple correlations are available on request from the first author.

5. We did not test the invariance of error variances and covariances, as this is an overly restrictive test of invariance (e.g., Bryne, 2010).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the British Academy (Grant # SG39667).

References

- Anderson, J.C., & Gerbing, D.W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, *103*, 411–423.
- Arbuckle, J.R. (2009). *AMOS structural equations modelling software (Version 18)*. Crawfordville, FL: Amos Development Corporation. [Computer Software].
- Bassett, D.R., & Strath, S.J. (2002). Use of pedometers to assess physical activity. In G.J. Welk (Ed.), *Physical activity assessments for health-related research* (pp. 163–177). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Bentler, P., & Chou, C. (1987). Practical issues in structural equation modeling. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *16*, 78–117.
- Bryne, B.M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Chatzisarantis, N.L.D., & Hagger, M.S. (2009). Effects of an intervention based on Self-Determination Theory on self-reported leisure-time physical activity participation. *Psychology & Health*, *24*, 29–48.
- Cheung, G.W., & Rensvold, R.B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *9*, 233–255.
- Cox, A., & Ullrich-French, S. (2010). The motivational relevance of peer and teacher relationship profiles in physical education. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *11*, 337–344.
- Cox, A., & Williams, L. (2008). The roles of perceived teacher support, motivational climate, and psychological need satisfaction in students' physical education motivation. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *30*, 222–239.
- Crouter, S.E., Schneider, P.L., Karabulut, M., & Bassett, D.R., Jr. (2003). Validity of 10 electronic pedometers for measuring steps, distance, and energy cost. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *35*, 1455–1460.
- Deci, E.L., & Ryan, R.M. (1995). Human autonomy: The basis for true self-esteem. In M. Kernis (Ed.), *Efficacy, agency, and self-esteem* (pp. 31–49). New York: Plenum.
- Deci, E.L., & Ryan, R.M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, *11*, 227–268.
- Deci, E.L., & Ryan, R.M. (2008). Facilitating optimal motivation and psychological well-being across life's domains. *Canadian Psychology*, *49*, 14–23.
- Deci, E.L., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2004). Self-determination theory and basic need satisfaction: Understanding human development in positive psychology. *Ricerche di Psicologia*, *27*, 17–34.
- Fox, K.R. (Ed.). (1997). *The physical self: From motivation to well-being*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Fox, K.R., & Wilson, P.M. (2008). Self-perceptual systems and physical activity. In T.S. Horn (Ed.), *Advances in sport psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 49–64). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

- Gillison, F., Standage, M., & Skevington, S.M. (2006). Relationships among adolescents' weight perceptions, exercise goals, exercise motivation, quality of life and leisure-time exercise behaviour: A self-determination theory approach. *Health Education Research, 21*, 836–847.
- Goudas, M., Biddle, S.J.H., & Fox, K.R. (1994). Perceived locus of causality, goal orientations, and perceived competence in school physical education classes. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology, 64*, 453–463.
- Hagger, M.S., & Chatzisarantis, N.L.D. (2007). The trans-contextual model of motivation. In M.S. Hagger & N.L.D. Chatzisarantis (Eds.), *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in exercise and sport* (pp. 53–70). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Hagger, M.S., Chatzisarantis, N.L.D., Barkoukis, V., Wang, C.K.J., & Baranowski, J. (2005). Perceived autonomy support in physical education and leisure-time physical activity: A cross-cultural evaluation of the trans-contextual model. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 97*, 376–390.
- Hagger, M.S., Chatzisarantis, N.L.D., Culverhouse, T. & Biddle, S.J.H. (2003). The processes by which perceived autonomy support in physical education promotes leisure-time physical activity intentions and behavior: A trans-contextual model. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*, 784–795.
- Hagger, M.S., Chatzisarantis, N.L.D., Hein, V., Pihu, M., Soós, I., Karsai, I., Lintunen, T. & Leemans, S. (2009). Teacher, peer, and parent autonomy support in physical education and leisure-time physical activity: A trans-contextual model of motivation in four nations. *Psychology and Health, 24*, 689–711.
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling, 6*, 1–55.
- Information Centre (2008). Health survey for England 2008: Physical activity and fitness. The Information Centre.
- Little, T.D., Cunningham, W.A., Shahar, G., & Widaman, K.F. (2002). To parcel or not to parcel: Exploring the question, weighing the merits. *Structural Equation Modeling, 9*, 151–173.
- Lonsdale, C., Sabiston, C.M., Raedeke, T.D., Ha, A.S.C., & Sum, R.K.W. (2009). Self-determined motivation and students' physical activity during structured physical education lessons and free choice periods. *Preventive Medicine, 48*, 69–73.
- MacCallum, R.C. (1995). Model specification: Procedures, strategies, and related issues. In R.H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues, and applications* (pp. 16–36). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Markland, D., & Tobin, V. (2004). A modification to the Behavioural Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire to include an assessment of amotivation. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 26*, 191–196.
- Marsh, H.W. (1990a). *Self-description questionnaire-I (SDQI) manual*. Macarthur, New South Wales: University of Western Sydney, Faculty of Education.
- Marsh, H.W. (1990b). A multidimensional, hierarchical self-concept: Theoretical and empirical justification. *Educational Psychology Review, 2*, 77–171.
- Marsh, H.W., Barnes, J., Cairns, L., & Tidman, M. (1984). The Self Description Questionnaire (SDQ): Age effects in the structure and level of self-concept for preadolescent children. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*, 940–956.
- Marsh, H.W., & Redmayne, R.S. (1994). A multidimensional physical self-concept and its relations to multiple components of physical fitness. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 16*, 43–55.
- McAuley, E., Duncan, T., & Tammen, V.V. (1989). Psychometric properties of the intrinsic motivation inventory in a competitive sport setting: A confirmatory factor analysis. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 60*, 48–58.
- Ntoumanis, N. (2001). A self-determination approach to the understanding of motivation in physical education. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71*, 225–242.

- Ntoumanis, N. (2005). A prospective study of participation in optional school physical education using a self-determination theory framework. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 97*, 444–453.
- Ntoumanis, N. (2012). A review of self-determination theory in sport and physical education. In G.C. Roberts & D.C. Treasure (Eds.), *Advances in Motivation in sport and exercise* (3rd ed., pp. 91–128). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Preacher, K.J., & Hayes, A.F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods, 40*, 879–891.
- Qualifications and Curriculum Authority. (2007). Physical education: Programme of study for key stage 3 and attainment target. London: QCA.
- Reeve, J., Nix, G., & Hamm, D. (2003). Testing models of the experience of self determination in intrinsic motivation and the conundrum of choice. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*, 375–392.
- Richer, S., & Vallerand, R.J. (1998). Construction et validation de l'échelle du sentiment d'appartenance sociale [Construction and validation of the perceived relatedness scale]. *Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée, 48*, 129–137.
- Ryan, R.M., & Connell, J.P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*, 749–761.
- Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*, 54–67.
- Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2002). An overview of self-determination theory: An organismic-dialectical perspective. In E.L. Deci & R.M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook of self-determination theory research* (pp. 3–33). Rochester NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2006). Self-regulation and the problem of human autonomy: Does psychology need choice, self-determination, and will? *Journal of Personality, 74*, 1557–1586.
- Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2008). Self-determination theory and the role of basic psychological needs in personality and the organization of behavior. In O.P. John, R.W. Robbins, & L.A. Pervin (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (pp. 654–678). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Sallis, J.F., Owen, N., & Fisher, E.B. (2008). Ecological models of health behavior. In K. Glanz, B.K. Rimer, & K. Viswanath (Eds.), *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice* (pp. 465–486). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Sebire, S.J., Standage, M., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2009). Examining intrinsic versus extrinsic exercise goals: Cognitive, affective, and behavioral outcomes. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 31*, 189–210.
- Shrout, P.E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods, 7*, 422–445.
- Standage, M., Duda, J.L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2003). A model of contextual motivation in physical education: Using constructs from self-determination and achievement goal theories to predict physical activity intentions. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*, 97–110.
- Standage, M., Duda, J.L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2005). A test of self-determination theory in school physical education. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology, 75*, 411–433.
- Standage, M., Duda, J.L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2006). Students' motivational processes and their relationship to teacher ratings in school physical education: A self-determination theory approach. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 77*, 100–110.
- Standage, M., & Gillison, F. (2007). Students' motivational responses toward school physical education and their relationship to general self-esteem and health-related quality of life. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 8*, 704–721.

- Standage, M., Gillison, F., & Treasure, D.C. (2007). Self-determination and motivation in physical education. In M.S. Hagger & N.L.D. Chatzisarantis (Eds.), *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in exercise and sport* (pp. 71–85). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Standage, M., & Ryan, R.M. (2012). Self-determination theory and exercise motivation: Facilitating self-regulatory processes to support and maintain healthy and well-being. In G.C. Roberts & D.C. Treasure (Eds.), *Advances in motivation in sport and exercise* (3rd ed., pp. 233–270). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Standage, M., Sebire, S.J., & Loney, T. (2008). Does exercise motivation predict engagement in objectively assessed bouts of moderate-intensity exercise? A self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 30*, 337–352.
- Taylor, I.M., & Lonsdale, C. (2010). Cultural differences in the relationships among autonomy support, psychological need satisfaction, subjective vitality, and effort in British and Chinese physical education. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 32*, 655–673.
- Taylor, I.M., Ntoumanis, N., Standage, M., & Spray, C.M. (2010). Motivational predictors of physical education students' effort, exercise intentions, and leisure-time physical activity: A multilevel linear growth analysis. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 32*, 99–120.
- Tudor-Locke, C., McClain, J.J., Hart, T.L., Sisson, S.B., & Washington, T.L. (2009). Pedometer methods for assessing free-living youth. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 80*, 175–184.
- Tudor-Locke, C.E., & Myers, A.M. (2001). Methodological considerations for researchers and practitioners using pedometers to measure physical (ambulatory) activity. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 72*, 1–12.
- Vallerand, R.J., Pelletier, L.G., Blais, M.R., Brière, N.M., Senécal, C., & Vallières, E.F. (1992). The academic motivation scale: A measure of intrinsic, extrinsic, and amotivation in education. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 52*, 1003–1019.
- Vallerand, R.J. (1997). Toward a hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. In M.P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 29, pp. 271–360). New York: Academic Press.
- Varni, J.W., Burwinkle, T.M., Seid, M., & Skarr, D. (2003). The PedsQL 4.0 as a pediatric population health measure: Feasibility, reliability, and validity. *Ambulatory Pediatrics, 3*, 329–341.
- Varni, J.W., Seid, M., & Kurtin, P.S. (2001). PedsQL (TM) 4.0: Reliability and validity of the pediatric quality of life Inventory (TM) Version 4.0 generic core scales in healthy and patient populations. *Medical Care, 39*, 800–812.
- Varni, J.W., Seid, M., & Rode, C.A. (1999). The PedsQL: Measurement model for the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory. *Medical Care, 37*, 126–139.
- Vierling, K.K., Standage, M., & Treasure, D.C. (2007). Predicting physical activity and attitudes toward physical activity in an “at-risk” youth sample: A test of self-determination theory. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 8*, 795–817.
- WHOQOL Group. (1995). The World Health Organisation Quality of Life Assessment (WHOQOL): Position paper from the World Health Organisation. *Social Science & Medicine, 41*, 1403–1409.
- Williams, G.C., & Deci, E.L. (1996). Internalization of biopsychosocial values by medical students: A test of self-determination theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology (Savannah, Ga.)*, 70, 767–779.
- Wilson, P.M., & Garcia Bengoechea, E. (2010). The Relatedness to Others in Physical Activity Scale: Evidence for structural and criterion validity. *Journal of Applied Biobehavioral Research, 15*, 61–87.
- Wilson, P.M., & Rodgers, W.M. (2002). The relationship between exercise motives and physical self-esteem in female exercise participants: An application of self-determination theory. *Journal of Applied Biobehavioral Research, 7*, 30–43.

Manuscript submitted: January 16, 2011

Revision accepted: September 24, 2011