Research article

Open Access

Predictive analysis of transmissible quinolone resistance indicates Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as a potential source of a novel family of Qnr determinants

María B Sánchez^{†1}, Alvaro Hernández^{†1}, José M Rodríguez-Martínez², Luis Martínez-Martínez^{3,4} and José L Martínez^{*1}

Address: ¹Departamento de Biotecnología Microbiana, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Darwin 3, Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, and CIBERESP. Spain, ²Departamento de Microbiología, Universidad de Sevilla, Av. Sanchez Pizjuan SN 41009-Sevilla, Spain, ³Service of Microbiology, University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain and ⁴Department of Molecular Biology, University of Cantabria, Santander, Spain

Email: María B Sánchez - bsanchez@cnb.csic.es; Alvaro Hernández - ahdez@cnb.csic.es; José M Rodríguez-Martínez - jmrodriguez@us.es; Luis Martínez-Martínez - u10315@humv.es; José L Martínez* - jlmtnez@cnb.uam.es

* Corresponding author †Equal contributors

Published: 16 September 2008

BMC Microbiology 2008, 8:148 doi:10.1186/1471-2180-8-148

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2180/8/148

© 2008 Sánchez et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 12 March 2008 Accepted: 16 September 2008

Abstract

Background: Predicting antibiotic resistance before it emerges at clinical settings constitutes a novel approach for preventing and fighting resistance of bacterial pathogens. To analyse the possibility that novel plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance determinants (Qnr) can emerge and disseminate among bacterial pathogens, we searched the presence of those elements in nearly 1000 bacterial genomes and metagenomes.

Results: We have found a number of novel potential *qnr* genes in the chromosomes of aquatic bacteria and in metagenomes from marine organisms. Functional studies of the *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Sm*qnr* gene show that plasmid-encoded SmQnr confers quinolone resistance upon its expression in a heterologous host.

Conclusion: Altogether, the data presented in our work support the notion that predictive studies on antibiotic resistance are feasible, using currently available information on bacterial genomes and with the aid of bioinformatic and functional tools. Our results confirm that aquatic bacteria can be the origin of plasmid-encoded Qnr, and highlight the potential role of *S. maltophilia* as a source of novel Qnr determinants.

Background

Quinolones are synthetic antibiotics; therefore, it was thought that the existence of transferable quinolone resistance genes in nature would be unlikely. Resistance mechanisms for these drugs were expected to be only chromosomally encoded. It was believed that only mutations in the quinolone targets (DNA gyrase or topoisomerase IV) or mutations that led to a permeability decrease and/or overproduction of multidrug resistance (MDR) efflux pumps would result in resistance to these antibiotics [1,2].

It was thus assumed that resistance to quinolones could not spread as the consequence of horizontal gene transfer (HGT). Later on, the acquisition of quinolone resistance due to HGT was proposed as a possibility based on *in vitro* experiments [3]. This was later confirmed in 1998, with the description of a plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance determinant, that was named Qnr, in clinical isolates [4]. More recently two other transferable quinolone resistance determinants have been described, the bifunctional aminoglycoside/quinolones inactivating aminoglycoside acetyltransferase AAC(6')-Ib-cr [5,6] and the quinolone efflux determinant, QepA [7,8].

Since the discovery of Qnr, the presence of different *qnr* families (A, B, S) in resistance plasmids [9] has been found worldwide in different bacterial pathogens [10-15]. More recently, plasmid-encoded Qnr determinants have also been described in environmental isolates of *Aeromonas* spp. [16]. The presence of Qnr genes in chromosomes has also been shown, including QnrA in *Shewanella algae*, which is likely the origin of plasmid-encoded QnrA determinants [17], and different members of the Qnr family from *Vibrionaceae* species [18,19].

The Qnr proteins belong to the pentapeptide repeat protein (PRP) family, which is defined by the presence of repetitions in tandem of the pattern (A/C/S/T/V)(D/N)(L/ F)(S/T/R)(G/R) [20-22,17]. A characteristic feature of the Qnr proteins is that they are formed by two domains of pentapeptide repeats separated by a single glycine. This structure matches a motif of unknown function named Cluster of Orthologous Group of Proteins (COG) 1357 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/. Even though members of the PRP family have been identified both in prokaryotes and eukaryotes [22], qnr genes presenting the above described COG1357 motif have a much narrower distribution, mainly in plasmids and in the chromosomes of some bacterial species. The amino acid identity among different Qnr proteins families rates between 39-60% [23].

Some studies have demonstrated that Qnr protects both gyrase and topoisomerase IV from the activity of quinolones [15,24-26]. Other members of the PRP family, that may play a similar role include McbG, which protects microcin B17-producing bacteria from the activity of this DNA replication inhibitor [27] and MfpA [28], a protein that most likely binds GyrA [22] and provides low-level quinolone resistance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

Although *qnr*-like elements that contribute to intrinsic quinolone resistance have been described in the chromosome of *Enterococcus faecalis* [24] and more recently in other Gram-positive bacteria [29], their homology with *qnr* genes from Gram-negative bacteria is low (around 25%), so that this family of resistance elements has not been included in our analysis.

It is important to note that plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance is more prevalent than expected considering the date of the first isolation, which suggests that these plasmids have been circulating for some time before they were first described [30]. This highlights the need to implement methods to predict resistance before it is recognized within clinical settings.

A methodology for predicting the possibility of emergence of a new mechanism of resistance before it appears in bacterial pathogens has been recently proposed [31]. The availability of sequenced genomes allows this type of analysis. This information was used to search for the presence of Qnr determinants in available sequenced bacterial genomes and metagenomes. A putative *qnr* gene present in the chromosome of the opportunistic pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was chosen to perform functional analyses. S. *maltophilia* is a nosocomial pathogen intrinsically resistant to several antimicrobials [32] due to the activity of antibiotic-inactivating enzymes [33-36] and MDR efflux pumps [37-43].

The possibility that chromosomally-encoded *S. maltophilia qnr* gene (hereafter named as Sm*qnr*) could be functional in a heterologous host has been explored. As the result of our work, we have described new *qnr* genes in the chromosomes of sequenced bacterial genomes and have found that the Sm*qnr* gene from *S. maltophilia* renders a low-level quinolone resistance phenotype upon its expression in *Escherichia coli*. This study demonstrates the feasibility of utilizing currently available databases along with bioinformatics and functional tools as an approach for predicting resistance before it emerges in human pathogens.

Methods

Bioinformatic tools and nomenclature of predicted Qnr proteins

Multiple protein sequence alignments were carried out with the program ClustalW2 http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/ clustalw2/index.html[56]. Similarity search was performed with the program BLAST (NCBI) http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi[57] and genomic-Blast http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sutils/ genom table.cgi. Identification of conserved motifs [58] ([59] was done by using Conserved Domain Database and Search Service at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Struc ture/cdd/cdd.shtml. The Qnr proteins alignments and homology trees were obtained using CLUSTALW2 and Jalview alignment editor with default parameters [60]. Homology tree was calculated using the method "Average distance using percentage of identity" http:// www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html.

The predicted Qnr proteins were named following the rules proposed in [61].

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used are shown in Table 1. The strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth [62] at 37 °C unless otherwise specified.

Susceptibility antibiotic assays

The susceptibility assays for the different antibiotics were performed in Mueller Hinton broth (Pronadisa) plus Isopropyl-thio-ß-D-galactopyranoside 0.5 mM, using the twofold dilution method in 96-well microtiter plates. The results were recorded after 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. To ensure that the observed changes were consistent, all Minimal Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) were determined in three independent assays, using different bacterial cultures on different days. In all cases, a control strain containing the plasmid pGEM-T without any insert was included in MICs determinations. In most cases, there were not inter-assay differences in the MIC values. In a few cases, there were one-dilution differences. For the latter, the assay was repeated one more time to further assure assay reliability.

The quinolones used were ciprofloxacin, enoxacin, garenoxacin, grepafloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, nalidixic acid, norfloxacin, trovafloxacin and sparfloxacin.

DNA manipulations

The genomic DNA was extracted using the GNOME® DNA Kit (O-BIOgene). The PCR Master Mix (Promega) was used to amplify full-length Smqnr genes from the different S. maltophilia strains without their promoter sequences. The reaction contained 100 ng of genomic DNA of each S. maltophilia isolate as template, and 1 µM of two different sets of specific Smqnr-primers, QnrM+ (5'-CTTGGCAT-GGAATCCCTGAT-3')/QnrM-(5'-TGATGCCTACGGCAC-CAC-3') and QnrMR55+ (5'-CATGGCATGGAATCCCCGA T-3')/QnrMR55-(5'-TGATGTCTACGGCACCAC-3'). We used two sets of primers because the regions around qnr are slightly different in the sequenced S. maltophilia strains K279a and R551-3. The reactions had one denaturation step at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 amplification cycles: 94°C for 30 seconds, 61°C for 45 seconds for annealing, and 72°C for 1 minute for elongation, with a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 minutes. The PCR products (660 bp) obtained from the different S. maltophilia strains (Table 1) were electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels with TAE, purified from the gel with GFX[™] PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit (GE Healthcare) and cloned in pGEM-T plasmid (Promega) generating the corresponding recombinant plasmids (Table 1). The transformation of E. coli KZM120 was made as described [63]. We chose this particular E. coli strain because it lacks the major E. coli MDR pump and it has been shown previously that the utilization of MDR-defective strains facilitates the characterization of low-level mechanisms of resistance [39]. The transformants were selected in LB agar with 100 µg/ml carbenicillin. The recombinant plasmids were purified using Wizard[®] Plus SV Miniprep Kit (Promega) and the insert of each plasmid was sequenced using the universal primers M13 forward and M13 reverse by Secugen S.L. <u>http://www.secugen.es/</u> to confirm the identity of the sequence and establish the orientation of the *qnr* gene. The sequences of the different Sm*qnr* genes have been deposited at GenBank with numbers from <u>EU681371</u> to <u>EU681385</u>. Only those plasmids containing the Sm*qnr* gene in the right orientation to allow expression from the pGEM-T *lac* promoter were used in the functional assays.

Identification of Smqnr in different S. maltophilia isolates

The potential presence of Sm*qnr* in both clinical and environmental *S. maltophilia* strains was evaluated by PCR using the primers qnrI1 (5'-AGAAAGTGGTCGACCAG-CAG-3')/qnrI2 (5'-GCAGGTTCGACTTCTTGATG-3') and qnrI3 (5'-CAACGCCAGCTTCATGAACC-3')/qnrI4 (5'-AGTTGGCGCTGTTCCAGTCG-3'), which amplify 312 bp and 220 bp fragments of two internal regions in the Sm*qnr* gene of *S. maltophilia* respectively.

The PCR was made as described above, with the following program, one denaturation cycle at 94 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 amplification cycles: 94 °C for 30 seconds, 55 °C for 45 seconds for annealing, and 72 °C for 30 seconds for polymerization, with a final extension of 72 °C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were analyzed in 1% agarose gels with TAE.

Expression and identification of the SmQnr protein

The amount of SmQnr protein was estimated by SDS-PAGE [63] and Coomassie blue staining, using cell extracts from bacteria grown in LB at 37 °C overnight. The amount of proteins loaded in each lane was normalized to around 4×10^7 cells. In all cases, the global amount of proteins was equal in all lanes of a given gel as can be seen after staining. This serves as a supplementary loading control [64].

To ensure that the protein with the predicted molecular size was indeed SmQnr, the corresponding band was excised and identified, as described [65,39] by Peptide Mass Fingerprinting using MS-MALDI TOF (Proteored: http://www.proteored.org/).

Ethical considerations

This investigation did not require ethical clearance.

Results and Discussion

The identification in environmental microorganisms of putative antibiotic resistance elements [44] that might transfer in the near future to pathogenic bacteria is an important topic that we are just beginning to address [31].

To that goal a combination of bioinformatic and functional tools can give information to predict resistance before it emerges. Following this concept, we have explored the presence of putative *qnr* genes in available databases of bacterial genome sequences, because the genetic context of plasmidic *qnr* genes supports the idea that they have been acquired by HGT. For instance, the plasmid-encoded *qnrA* gene has been found in a *sul1*-type integron near an ISCR1 element [21,45,25]. A similar structure was found in *qnrB2* [46], and *qnrS* is adjacent to a Tn3 transposon structure [10,11]. In contrast, it has been reported that chromosomal *qnrA*, in *Shewanella algae* [17] and several *qnr*-like genes identified within the *Vibrionacea* family [18] are not linked to these mobile genetic elements.

This suggests that chromosomally-encoded *qnr* genes have not been acquired recently by HGT because of recent antibiotic selective pressure and that yet unidentified chromosomally-encoded *qnr* genes could be a source of new transferable quinolone resistance genes.

Bioinformatic search of putative qnr genes

To address the presence of putative qnr genes in the chromosomes of bacteria that could serve as reservoirs of this family of quinolone resistance determinants, a bioinformatic iterative search by sequence homology to several alleles of QnrA, QnrB and QnrS was conducted against genomic or Whole Genome Shotgun Sequence (WGS) databases at the NCBI home page http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/. Most of the putative qnr genes found in this search have been previously annotated as hypothetical proteins containing the COG1357 motif. As shown in Figure 1, chromosomally-encoded Qnr proteins present a large degree of homology.

Putative *qnr* genes were found in 22 out of the 960 genomes tested. The species containing putative *qnr* genes belong to eight different genera (Figure 2). Most of them inhabit an aquatic environment (in some instances the deep sea) suggesting that plasmidic *qnr* may have been originated from aquatic bacteria [17,19,18].

Since the large majority of bacterial species have not been cultured so far, metagenomic studies allow a more powerful search of relevant genes in different environments by means of non-culture based methodologies. Thus, besides analysing sequenced genomes, available metagenomic sequence databases <u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/fasta33/</u>wgs.html were also searched for the presence of *qnr* genes. Our study showed that the metagenomes from sea-water [47] contain genes homologous to *qnr* (hereafter named as Mtg*qnr*), further supporting the notion that *qnr* genes are originated from aquatic microorganisms. One of the *qnr* sequences found in this metagenomic search was highly homologous to plasmid-encoded QnrB [15] proteins (Figure 3). It has been shown that *S. algae* is likely the origin of plasmid-encoded *qnrA* genes [17]. Our analyses, strongly suggest that plasmid-encoded *qnrB* has also originated from a currently unknown, marine microorganism.

The regions surrounding the chromosomal *qnr* genes found in our bioinformatics analysis were analysed to ascertain whether, based in the level of sinteny, the origin of these *qnr* determinants is likely monophyletic or polyphyletic. As shown in Figure 2, and in Additional file 1, the regions are very similar for some of the analysed species belonging to the same genus, although clear differences are also observed for other species.

For instance, the structure surrounding *qnr* in *Vibrio* is very similar for three species, whereas the structure in five other species and in *Photobacterium profundum* is different. The high level of observed sinteny in these regions, suggests that *qnr* was acquired by *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio harveyi* before their divergence, and was acquired by the other *Vibrionaceae* after their divergence. This idea fits well with the relationship of *Vibrio* Qnr proteins (Figure 4).

Sequence data were inspected for the presence of elements potentially involved in the transfer of *qnr* genes. As shown in Figure 2, putative transposases or integrases were found in the regions surrounding the *qnr* determinants in some *Shewanella* species, and one putative recombinase was found as well in *Moritella* sp. Whether those elements are remnant of former gene transfer events or constitute a risk for the transference of those *qnr* genes through HGT to a new host, remains to be established.

In any case, the analyses of available sequences of bacterial genomes suggest that *qnr* genes are ancient elements in the bacterial chromosomes of a specific subset of bacterial species. Noteworthy, those genes are frequently flanked by genes coding for putative efflux pumps (Figure 2), a situation that suggests that Qnr may have functional roles in detoxification processes in water-dwelling bacteria. Although this linkage has not been so far observed for plasmid-encoded *qnr* genes, the cooperation of two different mechanisms of resistance might be an important element in current resistance to quinolones.

A putative qnr gene is present in the chromosome of S. maltophilia

Once the bioinformatic analysis was made, we focused our efforts in the study of the organism with highest clinical relevance. Among the organisms carrying putative



Figure I

Homology of predicted new chromosomally-encoded Qnr proteins. The Figure shows the alignment of chromosomally-encoded putative Qnr proteins from the analysis of around 1000 genomes and metagenomes. The figure includes one member of each plasmidic Qnr protein family (highlighted in red), so that the similarities are clearer. The putative Qnr protein from the sea metagenome is also included (MtgQnr). As shown in the Figure, conservation is high along the proteins, although the similarities are lower at their N-terminus. The automated annotation of Swoqnr presents two stop codons. Since we ignore whether this is a sequence mistake or Swoqnr is a pseudogene, a manual translation of its nucleotide sequence was performed for this alignment. Stop codons are represented with an X. Accession numbers of the proteins used for the alignment are: AhQnr (YP_854820.1), AsQnr (YP_001143795.1), MsQnr (ZP_01897167.1), QnrA1 (ACA43024), QnrB1 (ABG82188), QnrS1 (ABU86826), SbeQnr (ZP_02157732.1), SfrQnr (YP_750786.1), SliQnr (ABO22341.1), SmQnr (ZP_01643096.1), SpaQnr (ABV89003.1), SprQnr (YP_001478290.1), SseQnr (ABV35251.1), SwoQnr (ZP_01339189.1), PpQnr (CAG21998.1), PsQnr (ZP_01261394.1), VanQnr (ZP_01234687.1), VfQnr (ZP_02136549.1), VhQnr (ZP_01985396.1), VpQnr (ZP_01990287.1), VshQnr (ZP_01866232.1), VspQnr (ZP_00989608.1), VvQnr (AAO07889.1) and MtgQnr (AACY020347520).



Figure 2

Predicted *qnr* genes in genome databases. The structure of the regions surrounding predicted *qnr* genes (in red) is shown. Elements that might contribute to transfer of those genes are highlighted in black. The presence of putative MDR systems is also highlighted. WGS (whole genome shotgun), CG (Complete genome), Chr (Chromosome). Only those putative *qnr* determinants showing more than 45% identity with known plasmid-encoded QnrA or QnrB determinants were included in the analysis. The abbreviations used in the Figure are shown in Additional file 1.

QnrB5	MTLALVGEKIDRNRFTGEKVENSTFFNCDFSGADLSGTEFIGCQFYDRESQKGCNFSRAMLKDAIFKSCDLS
MtgQnr	MALALIGEKIDRNRFTGAKVENSTFFNCDFSGADLSGTEFIGCQFYDRESQKGCNFSRAILKDAIFKSCDLS
QnrB5	MADFRNVSALGIEIRHCRAQGADFRGASFMNMITTRTWFCSAYITNTNLSYANFSKVVLEKCELWENRWMGT
MtgQnr	${\tt MADFRNVSALGIEIRHCRAQGADFRGASFMNMITTRTWFCSAYITNTNLSYANFSKAVLEKCELWENRWMGT}$
QnrB5	QVLGATFSGSDLSGGEFSTFDWRAANFTHCDLTNSELGDLDIRGVDLQGVKLDSYQASLLMERLGIAIIG
MtgQnr	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

Figure 3

Alignment of the plasmid-encoded protein QnrB with a putative Qnr protein encoded in a marine metagenome. The percentage of identity between both proteins was 94.9%, with a homology of 99.5%. Top QnrB5, bottom Qnr protein from a marine metagenome (MtgQnr). Two dots indicate identical amino acids, one dot homologous amino acids.

uncharacterized *qnr* genes we chose *S. maltophilia*, which is an intrinsically resistant opportunistic pathogen responsible for 4.3% of Gram-negative infections among intensive care units patients in the USA [48].

Noteworthy, in S. maltophilia resistance to fluoroquinolones (particularly to less active ones, such as norfloxacin) is not always accompanied by high level resistance to nalidixic acid, which is the usual and classical association in Enterobacteria. Interestingly, resistance to fluoroquinolones and susceptibility to nalidixic acid in S. maltophilia resemble the phenotype recently observed by Cano ME et al (unpublished results) in some Enterobacteriaceae containing qnr genes. A putative qnr gene (Smqnr) was identified in the two available full-genome sequences of S. maltophilia: the clinical isolate K279a http:// www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_maltophilia/[49], and the environmental strain R551-3, http://genome.jgi-psf.org/ draft microbes/stema/stema.home.html. As shown in Figure 2, the genomic structure around Smqnr was the same in both strains, in spite their different (clinical and environmental) source of isolation.

This high degree of sinteny, together with the lack of elements involved in HGT near Sm*qnr* suggests that this gene has an ancient origin in *S. maltophilia*. Further confirmation of this likely monophyletic origin has been obtained from the analyses of the Sm*qnr* sequence from different *S. maltophilia* isolates in this study. The predicted translation of Sm*qnr* sequence is a 219 amino acid protein (Figure 5), containing tandem repetitions of the pattern (A/C/S/T/V)(D/N)(L/F)(S/T/R)(G/R) typical of the pentapeptide family [20,18,22], with two domains of pentapeptide repeats separated by a single glycine, that corresponds to a COG1357 motif.

Prevalence of the Smqnr gene in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strains

Since Sm*qnr* might be involved in quinolone resistance, we wanted to ascertain the prevalence of this gene in *S. maltophilia* populations. To that goal, and since the sequences of the *qnr* genes of the strains K279a and R551-3 were slightly different, two pairs of oligonucleotides QnrM+/- and QnrMR55+/- were designed to amplify Sm*qnr* genes from different *S. maltophilia* strains. The set of analysed strains comprised both clinical and environmental *S. maltophilia* isolates (Table 1).

The SmQnr sequences from the different strains display a high amino acid identity, ranking from 94 to 100% (Figure 5). However the amino acid identity of the SmQnr protein encoded in the chromosome of the sequenced *S. maltophilia* strain K279a, is low compared with other known Qnr proteins, sharing 38%, 59%, 38% and 41% with QnrA1 (AY070235), QnrB2 (DQ351241), QnrS2 (AB187515) and VvQnr (DQ889870) respectively. For this reason we consider that Smqnr represents a possible new subtype of qnr genes. Clustal analysis (Figure 4) indicates that the SmQnr protein clusters with plasmidic QnrB proteins, although forms a separate branch. Therefore, our results suggest that SmQnr is the first characterized chromosomally encoded QnrB-like protein.

We were unable to amplify the full *qnr* gene from the isolates E729, F227, E301, F861 and e-p5. Since *qnr* sequences where slightly different in K279a and R551-3, as well as in the isolates analysed in the present study, two new pairs of oligonucleotides (qnrI1/qnrI2 and qnrI3/ qnrI4) were used to amplify an internal conserved region of Sm*qnr*. Positive amplification was obtained in all cases (not shown) indicating that all *S. maltophilia* isolates contained the *qnr* gene.



Figure 4

Clustal analysis of Qnr proteins. Characterized and predicted Qnr proteins were clustered. The tree was calculated using the average percentage of identity. Other two members of the pentapeptide repeat family with proven resistance to fluoroquinolones, MfpA from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and McbG from *Escherichia coli*, were included in the analysis, but are not shown because they plotted out of the Qnr tree. Chromosomally-encoded Qnr proteins are highlighted in bold. The position of the SmQnr protein from *S. maltophilia* as a member of the QnrB cluster is highlighted with a square. Novel Qnr proteins are named according to the species where they are originated: AhQnr (*Aeromonas hydrophila*), AsQnr (*Aeromonas salmonicida*), MsQnr (*Moritella* sp), SprQnr (*Serratia proteamaculans*), SbeQnr (*Shewanella benthica*), SfrQnr (*Shewanella frigidimarina*), SliQnr (*Shewanella pealeana*), SseQnr (*Shewanella sediminis*), SwoQnr (*Shewanella woodyi*), SmQnr (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*), PsQnr (*Psychromonas*), ValQnr (*Vibrio alginolyticus*), VanQnr (*Vibrio angustum*), VfQnr (*Vibrio fischeri*), VhQnr (*Vibrio harveyi*), VshQnr (*Vibrio shilonii*), VspQnr (*Vibrio splendidus*), VvQnr (*Vibrio vulnificus*).

Functional analysis of SmQnr as a quinolone resistance determinant in a heterologous host

To ascertain whether SmQnr might contribute to quinolone resistance in a heterologous host, the different alleles of the *qnr* gene obtained from different *S. maltophilia* strains were cloned into the plasmid pGEM-T. Corresponding recombinant plasmids, containing the Sm*qnr* gene, were expressed in *E. coli*, and the quinolone susceptibility of strains expressing SmQnr was compared to that of the isogenic *E. coli* strain. We found that *in trans* expression of SmQnr from different recombinant plasmid results in a 2 to 32-fols decrease in the quinolone susceptibility of *E. coli* (Table 2).

Noteworthy, different recombinant strains presented slight, but consistent, differences in their susceptibility to several quinolones (Table 2). It has been previously stated that transconjugants of plasmids containing the *qnr* gene present disparate levels of quinolone resistance, likely due to different levels of expression of the Qnr protein in the

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 110
SmOnr19	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDADSRS	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFIKALG	LEISECRADG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmOnr30	MESLMSPTAHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDADSRSG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmOnrD457	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDADSRS	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFIKALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmOnr9	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQO.	ADFSSASFMNQI
SmOnr7	MESLMSPTVHRELR	IGADQYTDQKVVD	QQFHKCDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFINALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr8	MESLMSPTVHRELR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHKCDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFINALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr25	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRFNGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmOnrK279a	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr21	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVV	QOFDECDESGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	AGFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRADO	ADFSNASFMNQI
Sm0nr11	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	ISADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSSASFMNQI
SmOnr13	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
Sm0nr14	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	ISADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr28	MESLMSPTVHRRLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDADSRAG	CRFNGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFNFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmOnr10	MESPMSPTVHRRLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTGTEFIN	NCSFYDADTRAG	CRFNGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFSFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnrR5	MESPMSPTVHRKLR	IGVDQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDAENRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFSFIKALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr17	MESPMSPTVHRKLR	IGADQYTGQKVVD	QQFYECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDAENRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFSFIKALG	LEISECRAQG.	ADFSNASFMNQI
SmQnr63	MESPMSPTVHRKLR	IGVDQYTGQKVVD	QQFHECDFSGA	DLTATEFIN	NCSFYDAENRAG	CRFNGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHFSFIKALG	LEISECRAQG	ADFSNASFMNQI
	MESLMSPTVHRKLR	IGADOYTGOKVVD	OOFHECDESGA		CSFYDADSRAG	CRENGATLKE	ASFRSCDISM	CHENFIKALG		ADFSNASFMNQI
	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210
Concorde	TTRSWECSAFIKKS	NLRYANESRUTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDL SGGDFFG	IDWNSANETD	COLTNSPLOFI	DLRSTNLRG	ATLOVOOVALL	MORIGITVVP
Smon 19	TTRSWECSAFIKKS	NLRYANESRUTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDL SGGDFEG	IDWNSANETD	COLTNSPLOEL	DLRSTNLRG	ATLOVOOVALL	MORIGITVVP
Smonr 30	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGDFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSDLGEL	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVDDVALL	MORIGITVVP
Smoorg	TTRSGECSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGEL	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MORIGITVVP
Sm0nr7	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGEL	DLRRTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
Sm0nr8	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGEL	DLRRTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
Sm0nr25	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGEL	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
SmOnrK279a	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
SmOnr21	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
Sm0nr11	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVALE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
SmOnr13	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVALE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
Sm0nr14	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
SmQnr28	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CNLTRSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALL	MQRIGITVVP
Sm0nr10	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CDLTRSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALI	MQRIGITVVP
SmQnrR5	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CNLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVAL	MQRIGITVVP
SmQnr17	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYANFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CNLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVAL	MQRIGITVVP
SmQnr63	TTRSWFCSAFIKKS	NLRYASFSRVTLE	KCELWENRWDG	ANVSGASFA	GSDLSGGQFEG	IDWNSANFTD	CNLTNSELGE	DLRSTNLRG	ATLDVQQVALI	MQRIGITVVP

Consensus TTRSWFCSAFIKKSNLRYANFSRVTLEKCELWENRWDGANVSGASFAGSDLSGGQFEGIDWNSANFTDCDLTNSELGELDLRSTNLRGATLDVQQVALLMQRIGITVVP

Figure 5

Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of different SmQnr proteins. The amino acids that changed in the different SmQnr alleles are highlighted in a grey square. The glycine residue (G) separating the two domains of pentapetide repeats, is marked with a box.

different transconjugants [50]. To ascertain whether this could be the situation with the different SmQnr-expressing plasmids, the expression of the SmQnr protein was estimated in the different *E. coli* transformants. As shown in Figure 6A, transformants containing either pBS3.25 or pBS3.13 expressed higher levels of SmQnr than the other transformants.

During our work, we detected that some Qnr-expressing plasmids were lost after subculturing even in the presence of ampicillin, although they could be maintained in the presence of carbenicillin. Plasmid loss after the bacteria has degraded the selective antibiotic is an indication of physiological burden [51]. Our results suggest that highlevel expression of SmQnr is likely harmful for E. coli. It is possible that, as described for other systems [52], a fast adaptation between the host cell and the plasmid occurred that results in reduced levels of SmQnr and that this allowed some of the clones to overcome the putative SmQnr toxicity. To address this possibility, E. coli KZM120 was retransformed with the plasmids pBS3.8, pBS3.25 and pBS3.13. Two different clones from each retransformation were chosen and their susceptibility to quinolones tested. As shown in Table 3, an overall reduction in MICs as well as in the level of SmQnr expression (Figure 6B) was observed for the new transformants containing the plasmids pBS3.8, pBS3.25 and pBS3.13. However, the phenotype of reduced susceptibility to quinolones was maintained. Increased resistance due to higher expression of antibiotic resistance genes has been described mainly associated with plasmidic beta-lactamases [53-55]. Our results together with already published data indicate that gene-dosage might be relevant for *qnr*mediated quinolone resistance.

Conclusion

By using a combination of bioinformatics and functional tools, we found a number of novel putative *qnr* genes in the chromosomes of aquatic bacteria and in metagenomes from marine organisms. This further supports the notion that the origins of *qnr* determinants are in water-dwelling bacteria. It has been recently shown that *Aeromonas* spp. obtained from water samples contain plasmidencoded *qnr* genes [16]. These results have been interpreted as a consequence of the presence of quinolones in rivers that drive the evolution of aquatic bacteria towards quinolone resistance. This explanation is likely true for plasmid-encoded *qnr* genes. However, the presence of *qnr*-

Strain or plasmid	Description	Reference or source
Escherichia coli		
KZMI20	∆ <i>acrAB</i> ::Tn903 Kan ^r	[66]
S. maltophilia		
C357	Urinary isolate	[67]
CO47	Clinical	Lab collection
CO48	Bronchial aspirate isolate	[67]
D388	Urinary isolate	[67]
D457	Bronchial aspirate isolate	[38]
E301	Urinary isolate	[67]
E539	Infected wound isolate	[67]
E729	Urinary isolate	[67]
E759	Sputum isolate	[67]
E824	Blood	[67]
E847	Clinical	[39]
E923	Sputum isolate	[67]
E999	Respiratory secretion isolate	[67]
F227	Blood culture isolate	[67]
F375	Blood culture isolate	[67]
F861	Sputum isolate	[67]
G51	Blood culture isolate	[67]
e-a21	Sewage (Braunschweig, Germany)	[68]
e-a63	Sewage (Braunschweig, Germany)	Provided by Gabrielle Berg
е-р5	Rhizosphere of Brassica napus L. (Rostock, Germany)	[68]
R551-3	Environmental	Provided by Daniel van der Lelie
Plasmids		
pGEM-T	Cloning vector with polyA, amp ^r	Promega
pBS3.7	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E759	This work
pBS3.8	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E923	This work
pBS3.9	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E999	This work
pBS3.10	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia G51	This work
pBS3.11	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E539	This work
pBS3.13	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia D388	This work
pBS3.14	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia CO48	This work
pBS3.17	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia C357	This work
pBS3.19	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia F375	This work
pBS3.25	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E847	This work
pBS3.28	pGEM-T with Smanr of S. maltophilia CO47	This work
pBS3.30	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia E824	This work
pBS3.D457	pGEM-T with Smanr of S. maltophilia D457	This work
pBS3.R5	pGEM-T with Smanr of S. maltophilia R551-3	This work
pBS3.21	pGEM-T with Smanr of S. maltophilia e-a21	This work
pBS3.63	pGEM-T with Smgnr of S. maltophilia e-a63	This work

Table I: Strains and plasmids used in this work.

like determinants with conserved genetic environments in the chromosomes of water-borne bacteria, together with the fact that at least for *S. maltophilia* the *qnr* gene is present in all strains, suggests that their presence in bacterial chromosomes is not the consequence of recent HGT events due to the selective pressure of quinolones. Thus, we would expect an ecological role in aquatic environments for those *qnr*-like determinants in addition to quinolone resistance. The study of the *S. maltophilia* Sm*qnr* gene indicates that SmQnr confers quinolone resistance when expressed from a plasmid in a heterologous host, highlighting the risk of those elements for future development of novel plasmid-mediated Qnr resistance. Altogether, our data supports the reliability of using a predictive approach for analysing antibiotic resistance elements that may potentially disseminate among bacterial populations.

Plasmids	ls Antibiotics									
	NAL	NOR	SPA	CIP	ENX	LEV	GAR	GRP	TRV	мох
pGEM-T	I	0.016	0.032	0.002	0.032	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.004
pBS3.7	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.004	0.016	0.008
pBS3.8	I	0.032	0.032	0.008	0.064	0.016	0.032	0.008	0.032	0.016
pBS3.9	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.016	0.032	0.016
pBS3.10	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.016
pBS3.11	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008
pBS3.13	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.016
pBS3.14	I.	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.016
pBS3.17	I.	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.008	0.016	0.016
pBS3.19	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.008
рВS3.25	I.	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.064	0.016	0.032	0.032
pBS3.28	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.004	0.002	0.008	0.008
pBS3.30	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.004	0.002	0.008	0.008
pBS3.R5	I.	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.002	0.016	0.008
pBS3.21	I.	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.004	0.016	0.016
pBS3.63	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.032	0.008	0.008	0.002	0.008	0.008

Table 2: MICs (ug/ml) o	of quinolones for E.	coli KZM120 cari	ving different Sma	nr genes from S.	maltophilia isolates.
	r quinoiones ior L	con iter iteo can	ying unici che shiq	m genes noni s.	manopinna isolaces.

NAL; nalidixic acid, NOR; norfloxacin, SPA; sparfloxacin, CIP; ciprofloxacin, ENX; enoxacin, LEV; levofloxacin, GAR; garenoxacin, GRP; grepafloxacin, TRV; trovafloxacin, MOX; moxifloxacin.



Figure 6

Estimation of the expression of different SmQnr alleles in *E. coli*. M, molecular mass standards, lane 2, *E. coli* KZM120 ($\Delta acrAB$) carrying pGEM-T (negative control), (A) Expression of SmQnr by *E. coli* strains containing different plasmids that encode different SmQnr alleles. The SmQnr protein used for its identification by MS-MALDI-TOF (see Methods) was the allele encoded by pBS3.13. (B) To establish the effect of the level of expression of SmQnr on the susceptibility to antibiotics, the original clone (1) and two re-transformants (2 and 3) were analysed for each of the plasmids pBS3.8, pBS3.13 and pBS3.25. The position of SmQnr is indicated with an arrow.

Plasmids					Antil	ntibiotics				
	NAL	NOR	SPA	CIP	ENX	LEV	GAR	GRP	TRV	мох
pGEM-T	I	0.016	0.032	0.002	0.032	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.004
pBS3.8 I	I	0.032	0.032	0.008	0.064	0.016	0.032	0.008	0.032	0.016
pBS3.8 2	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.008	0.032	0.016
pBS3.8 3	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.016	0.008	0.032	0.016
pBS3.13 1	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.016
pBS3.13 2	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.008	0.008
pBS3.13 3	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.008	0.008
pBS3.25 I	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.064	0.016	0.032	0.032
pBS3.25 2	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.008
pBS3.25 3	I	0.016	0.032	0.004	0.064	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.016	0.008

Table 3: MICs (µg/ml) of quinolones for different colonies of *E coli* KZM120 containing each of the plasmids pBS3.8, pBS3.25 and pBS3.13

Three colonies were tested for each plasmid.

NAL; nalidixic acid, NOR; norfloxacin, SPA; sparfloxacin, CIP; ciprofloxacin, ENX; enoxacin, LEV; levofloxacin, GAR; garenoxacin, GRP; grepafloxacin, TRV; trovafloxacin, MOX; moxifloxacin.

Authors' contributions

All the authors contributed to write the article. JLM and LMM designed the work. AH and MBS contributed equally to the experimental and bioinformatic work. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Microsoft excel document containing the descriptions of the genes shown in Figure 2.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2180-8-148-S1.xls]

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by grants BIO2005-04278, LSHM-CT-2005-518152 and LSHM-CT-2005-018705. L. M-M and J.M. R.-M. are supported by REIPI, RD06/0008 from ISCIII, Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo, Spain. Thanks are given to Gabrielle Berg for the supply of environmental *S. maltophilia* strains and to Carolina Alvarez-Ortega for English proofreading.

References

- Hooper DC: Mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance. Drug Resist Updat 1999, 2:38-55.
- Martinez JL, Alonso A, Gomez-Gomez JM, Baquero F: Quinolone resistance by mutations in chromosomal gyrase genes. Just the tip of the iceberg? J Antimicrob Chemother 1998, 42:683-688.
- Gomez-Gomez JM, Blazquez J, Espinosa LE De Los Monteros, Baquero MR, Baquero F, Martinez JL: In vitro plasmid-encoded resistance to quinolones. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1997, 154:271-276.
- Martinez-Martinez L, Pascual A, Jacoby GA: Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. Lancet 1998, 351:797-799.
- Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA, Macielag M, Abbanat D, Park CH, Bush K, Hooper DC: Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase. Nat Med 2006, 12:83-88.

- Park CH, Robicsek A, Jacoby GA, Sahm D, Hooper DC: Prevalence in the United States of aac(6')-Ib-cr encoding a ciprofloxacinmodifying enzyme. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50:3953-3955.
- Yamane K, Wachino J, Suzuki S, Kimura K, Shibata N, Kato H, Shibayama K, Konda T, Arakawa Y: New plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone efflux pump, QepA, found in an Escherichia coli clinical isolate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:3354-3360.
- 8. Perichon B, Courvalin P, Galimand M: Transferable resistance to aminoglycosides by methylation of G1405 in 16S rRNA and to hydrophilic fluoroquinolones by QepA-mediated efflux in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:2464-2469.
- 9. Courvalin P: Plasmid-mediated 4-quinolone resistance: a real or apparent absence? Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990, 34:681-684.
- Hata M, Suzuki M, Matsumoto M, Takahashi M, Sato K, Ibe S, Sakae K: Cloning of a novel gene for quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid in Shigella flexneri 2b. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, 49:801-803.
- Poirel L, Nguyen TV, Weintraub A, Leviandier C, Nordmann P: Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinant qnrS in Enterobacter cloacae. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006, 12:1021-1023.
- 12. Wu JJ, Ko WC, Tsai SH, Yan JJ: Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants QnrA, QnrB, and QnrS among clinical isolates of Enterobacter cloacae in a Taiwanese hospital. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:1223-1227.
- Poirel L, Villa L, Bertini A, Pitout JD, Nordmann P, Carattoli A: Expanded-spectrum beta-lactamase and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007, 13:803-805.
- 14. Strahilevitz J, Engelstein D, Adler A, Temper V, Moses AE, Block C, Robicsek A: Changes in qnr prevalence and fluoroquinolone resistance in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae and Enterobacter spp. collected from 1990 to 2005. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:3001-3003.
- Jacoby GA, Walsh KE, Mills DM, Walker VJ, Oh H, Robicsek A, Hooper DC: qnrB, another plasmid-mediated gene for quinolone resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50:1178-1182.
- Cattoir V, Poirel L, Aubert C, Soussy CJ, Nordmann P: Unexpected Occurrence of Plasmid-Mediated Quinolone Resistance Determinants in Environmental Aeromonas spp. Emerg Infect Dis 2008, 14:231-237.
- Poirel L, Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Mammeri H, Liard A, Nordmann P: Origin of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinant QnrA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, 49:3523-3525.
- Poirel L, Liard A, Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Nordmann P: Vibrionaceae as a possible source of Qnr-like quinolone resistance determinants. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005, 56:1118-1121.

- 19. Cattoir V, Poirel L, Mazel D, Soussy CJ, Nordmann P: Vibrio splendidus as the source of plasmid-mediated QnrS-like quinolone resistance determinants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:2650-2651.
- Bateman A, Murzin AG, Teichmann SA: Structure and distribu-20. tion of pentapeptide repeats in bacteria. Protein Sci 1998, 7:1477-1480.
- 21. Mammeri H, Loo M Van De, Poirel L, Martinez-Martinez L, Nordmann P: Emergence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in Escherichia coli in Europe. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005. 49:71-76
- Vetting MW, Hegde SS, Fajardo JE, Fiser A, Roderick SL, Takiff HE, 22. Blanchard JS: Pentapeptide repeat proteins. Biochemistry 2006, 45:1-10.
- 23. Nordmann P, Poirel L: Emergence of plasmid-mediated resistance to quinolones in Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005, 56:463-469
- 24. Arsene S, Leclercq R: Role of a qnr-like gene in the intrinsic resistance of Enterococcus faecalis to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:3254-3258.
- 25. Tran JH, Jacoby GA: Mechanism of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:5638-5642
- 26. Tran JH, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC: Interaction of the plasmidencoded quinolone resistance protein Qnr with Escherichia coli DNA gyrase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, 49:118-125.
- Garrido MC, Herrero M, Kolter R, Moreno F: The export of the 27. DNA replication inhibitor Microcin B17 provides immunity for the host cell. Embo J 1988, 7:1853-1862.
- Montero C, Mateu G, Rodriguez R, Takiff H: Intrinsic resistance of 28. Mycobacterium smegmatis to fluoroquinolones may be influenced by new pentapeptide protein MfpA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001, 45:3387-3392.
- Rodriguez-Martinez IM, Velasco C, Briales A, Garcia I, Conejo MC, 29 Pascual A: Qnr-like pentapeptide repeat proteins in Grampositive bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008, 61:1240-1243.
- Robicsek A, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC: The worldwide emergence 30. of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance. Lancet Infect Dis 2006, 6:629-640.
- Martinez JL, Baquero F, Andersson DI: Predicting antibiotic 31. resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007, 5:958-965
- Denton M, Kerr KG: Microbiological and clinical aspects of infection associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Clin Microbiol Rev 1998, 11:57-80.
- Avison MB, Higgins CS, von Heldreich CJ, Bennett PM, Walsh TR: 33. Plasmid location and molecular heterogeneity of the LI and L2 beta-lactamase genes of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001, 45:413-419.
- 34. Gould VC, Okazaki A, Avison MB: Beta-lactam resistance and beta-lactamase expression in clinical Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates having defined phylogenetic relationships. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006, 57:199-203.
 35. Li XZ, Zhang L, McKay GA, Poole K: Role of the acetyltransferase
- AAC(6')-Iz modifying enzyme in aminoglycoside resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003, 51:803-811.
- Okazaki A, Avison MB: Aph(3')-IIc, an aminoglycoside resist-36. ance determinant from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51:359-360.
- 37. Li XZ, Zhang L, Poole K: SmeC, an outer membrane multidrug efflux protein of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002, 46:333-343.
- Alonso A, Martinez JL: Multiple antibiotic resistance in Steno-38. trophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997, 41:140-142.
- Alonso A, Martinez JL: Cloning and characterization of Sme-39. DEF, a novel multidrug efflux pump from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000, 44:3079-3086
- 40. Zhang L, Li XZ, Poole K: Multiple antibiotic resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: involvement of a multidrug efflux system. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000, 44:287-293.
- Sanchez P, Moreno E, Martinez JL: The biocide triclosan selects Stenotrophomonas maltophilia mutants that overproduce the SmeDEF multidrug efflux pump. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, 49:781-782.

- 42. Sanchez P, Alonso A, Martinez L: Regulatory regions of smeDEF in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strains expressing different amounts of the multidrug efflux pump SmeDEF. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004, 48:2274-2276
- Sanchez P, Alonso A, Martinez JL: Cloning and characterization of SmeT, a repressor of the Stenotrophomonas maltophilia multidrug efflux pump SmeDEF. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002, 46:3386-3393.
- Martinez JL: Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in nat-44. ural environments. Science 2008, 321:365-367.
- Poirel L, Loo M Van De, Mammeri H, Nordmann P: Association of 45. plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase VEB-1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, **49:**3091-3094.
- Garnier F, Raked N, Gassama A, Denis F, Ploy MC: Genetic envi-46. ronment of quinolone resistance gene qnrB2 in a complex sull-type integron in the newly described Salmonella enterica serovar Keurmassar. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50:3200-3202.
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen 47. JA, Wu D, Paulsen I, Nelson KE, Nelson W, et al.: Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. Science 2004, **304:**66-74.
- Lockhart SR, Abramson MA, Beekmann SE, Gallagher G, Riedel S, 48. Diekema DJ, Quinn JP, Doern GV: Antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negative bacilli causing infections in intensive care unit patients in the United States between 1993 and 2004. J Clin Microbiol 2007, 45:3352-3359.
- 49. Crossman LC, Gould VC, Dow JM, Vernikos GS, Okazaki A, Sebaihia M, Saunders D, Arrowsmith C, Carver T, Peters N, et al.: The complete genome, comparative and functional analysis of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia reveals an organism heavily shielded by drug resistance determinants. Genome Biol 2008, 9:R74.
- Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Velasco C, Pascual A, Garcia I, Martinez-Mar-50. tinez L: Correlation of quinolone resistance levels and differences in basal and quinolone-induced expression from three qnrA-containing plasmids. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006, 12:440-445.
- Diaz-Guerra M, Esteban M, Martinez JL: Growth of Escherichia 51. coli in acetate as a sole carbon source is inhibited by ankyrinlike repeats present in the 2',5'-linked oligoadenylatedependent human RNase L enzyme. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1997, 149:107-113.
- Bouma JE, Lenski RE: Evolution of a bacteria/plasmid associa-52. tion. Nature 1988, 335:351-352.
- Martinez JL, Cercenado E, Rodriguez-Creixems M, Vincente-Perez 53. MF, Delgado-Iribarren A, Baquero F: Resistance to beta-lactam/ clavulanate. Lancet 1987, 2:1473.
- Martinez JL, Vicente MF, Delgado-Iribarren A, Perez-Diaz JC, Baquero 54. F: Small plasmids are involved in amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1989, **33:**595
- 55. Reguera JA, Baquero F, Perez-Diaz JC, Martinez JL: Factors determining resistance to beta-lactam combined with beta-lactamase inhibitors in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother 1991, 27:569-575
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ: CLUSTAL W: improving 56. the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 1994, 22:4673-4680.
- Altschul SF, Lipman DJ: Protein database searches for multiple 57. alignments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990, 87:5509-5513
- 58. Tatusov RL, Natale DA, Garkavtsev IV, Tatusova TA, Shankavaram UT, Rao BS, Kiryutin B, Galperin MY, Fedorova ND, Koonin EV: The COG database: new developments in phylogenetic classification of proteins from complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2001, 29:22-28.
- Tatusov RL, Koonin EV, Lipman DJ: A genomic perspective on 59. protein families. Science 1997, 278:631-637. Clamp M, Cuff J, Searle SM, Barton GJ: The Jalview Java alignment
- 60. editor. Bioinformatics 2004, 20:426-427.
- Jacoby G, Cattoir V, Hooper D, Martinez-Martinez L, Nordmann P, 61. Pascual A, Poirel L, Wang M: qnr Gene Nomenclature. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008.
- Atlas RM: Handbook of Microbiological Media Boca Raton: CRC Press; 62 1993

- 63. Sambrook J, Russell DW: Molecular Cloning. A laboratory manual 3rd edition. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2001.
- Renart J, Behrens MM, Fernandez-Renart M, Martinez JL: Immnunoblotting techniques. In Immunoassay Edited by: Diamandis EP, Christopoulos TK. San Diego: Academic Press; 1996:537-554.
- 65. Morales G, Linares JF, Beloso A, Albar JP, Martinez JL, Rojo F: The *Pseudomonas putida* Crc global regulator controls the expression of genes from several chromosomal catabolic pathways for aromatic compounds. *J Bacteriol* 2004, 186:1337-1344.
- Ma D, Cook DN, Alberti M, Pon NG, Nikaido H, Hearst JE: Genes acrA and acrB encode a stress-induced efflux system of Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 1995, 16:45-55.
- Alonso A, Martinez JL: Expression of multidrug efflux pump SmeDEF by clinical isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001, 45:1879-1881.
 Minkwitz A, Berg G: Comparison of antifungal activities and
- Minkwitz A, Berg G: Comparison of antifungal activities and I6S ribosomal DNA sequences of clinical and environmental isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. J Clin Microbiol 2001, 39:139-145.

