

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.06.08.447553

Predictors of cognitive impairment in primary age-related tauopathy: an autopsy study — Source link [2]

Megan A. lida, Kurt Farrell, Jamie M. Walker, Timothy E. Richardson ...+23 more authors

Institutions: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, Northwestern University, Emory University ...+9 more institutions

Published on: 09 Jun 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Braak staging, Neurofibrillary tangle, Alzheimer's disease and Tauopathy

Related papers:

- Predictors of cognitive impairment in primary age-related tauopathy: an autopsy study
- Widespread brain tau and its association with ageing, Braak stage and Alzheimer's dementia.
- "End-Stage" Neurofibrillary Tangle Pathology in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease: Fact or Fiction?
- Quantitative assessment of pathological tau burden in essential tremor: A postmortem study
- Clinico-Neuropathological Findings in the Oldest Old from the Georgia Centenarian Study



1 Title:

2	Predictors of cognitive impairment in primary age-related tauopathy: an autopsy study					
3	Authors: Megan A. Iida BS ^{1*} , Kurt Farrell PhD ^{1*} , , Jamie M. Walker MD, PhD ² , Timothy E. Richardson					
4	DO, PhD ² , Gabe Marx ¹ , Clare H. Bryce MD ¹ , Dushyant Purohit MD ¹ , Gai Ayalon PhD ⁴ , Thomas G. Beach					
5	MD-PhD ⁵ , Eileen H. Bigio MD ⁶ , Etty Cortes MD ¹ , Marla Gearing PhD ⁷ , Vahram Haroutunian PhD ⁸ , Corey					
6	T. McMillan PhD ⁹ , Eddie B. Lee ⁹ , Dennis Dickson MD ¹⁰ , Ann C. McKee MD ¹¹ , Thor D. Stein MD-PhD ¹¹ ,					
7	John Q. Trojanowski MD-PhD ¹² , Randall L. Woltjer MD ¹³ , Gabor G. Kovacs MD-PhD ^{14,15,16} , Julia K. Kofler					
8	MD ¹⁷ , Jeffrey Kaye MD ¹⁸ , Charles L. White III MD ¹⁹ , John F. Crary MD-PhD ^{1**}					
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Department of Pathology, Neuropathology Brain Bank & Research CoRE, Nash Family Department of Neuroscience, Friedman Brain Institute, Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer's Disease, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, USA; Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine and The Glenn Biggs Institute for Alzheimer's & Neurodegenerative Diseases, UT Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; Ultragenyx Pharmaceuticals USA; Neuropathology, Banner Sun Health Research Institute, Sun City, Arizona, USA; 					
	6) Department of Pathology, Northwestern Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer Disease Center, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA;					
23 24 25	7) Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA;					
26 27 28 29	8) Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience; Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA; and JJ Peters VA Medical Center (MIRECC), Bronx, NY.					
31 32 33	9) Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, Penn FTD Center, Center for Neurodegenerative Disease Research, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA;					
34 35	10) Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, USA;					
36 37 38	11) Department of Pathology, VA Medical Center & Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;					
39 40 41	12) Center for Neurodegenerative Disease Research, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA;					
42 43	13) Department of Pathology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, USA;					
44 45 46	14) Laboratory Medicine Program & Krembil Brain Institute University Health Network Toronto Ontario Canada;					

- 47 15) Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Disease and Department of Laboratory Medicine
 48 and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada;
- 50 16) Previous address: Institute of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria;
- 52 17) Department of Pathology, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA;
- 54 18) Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland USA;
- 56 19) Neuropathology Laboratory, Department of Pathology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical 57 Center, USA;
- 58
 59 * These authors contributed equally to this work
- 60 ** Correspondence:
- 61

49

51

53

55

- 62 John F. Crary, MD-PhD
- 63 Professor, Department of Pathology
- 64 Director, Neuropathology Brain Bank & Research CoRE
- 65 Nash Family Department of Neuroscience
- 66 Friedman Brain Institute
- 67 Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer's Disease
- 68 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai
- 69 1 Gustave L. Levy Place Box 1194 New York, NY 10029, USA
- 70 Telephone: (212) 659-8695, Email: john.crary@mountsinai.org
- 71 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
- 72
- 73

74 Acknowledgements

- 75
- This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [R01 AG054008, R01 NS095252, R01
- 77 AG060961, and R01 NS086736 to J.F.C, F32 AG056098 and P30 AG066514 to K.F., R01 AG062348 to
- 78 J.F.C., A.M., and D.D., P30 AG010124, P01 AG017586 and U19 AG062418 to J.Q.T, R01 AG066152 to
- 79 C.T.M, P50 AG005133 to J.K., P50 AG005138, P30 AG066514, and 75N95019C00049 to V.H., U24

80 NS072026 and P30 AG019610 to T.B., P30 AG013854 to E.B., P30 NS055077 and P50 AG025688 to 81 M.G., P30 AG08017 to R.W. and U54 NS115266 to A.M.], the Alzheimer's Association [NIRG-15-363188 82 to J.F.C.], the Tau Consortium, Genentech/Roche, David & Elsie Werber, Alexander Saint-Amand Fellowship, J.M.R. Barker Foundation, The McCune Foundation, and the Winspear Family Center for 83 84 Research on the Neuropathology of Alzheimer Disease, The Arizona Department of Health Services, and 85 the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research. G.G.K. is supported by the Rossy Foundation 86 and by the Safra Foundation. The authors would also like to acknowledge Ping Shang, HT(ASCP) QIHC 87 and Jeff Harris, HTL(ASCP) for histologic and immunohistochemical preparations, and Chan Foong, 88 M.S., for preparation of whole slide image.

90 Abstract

91 Primary age-related tauopathy (PART) is a form of Alzheimer-type neurofibrillary degeneration occurring 92 in the absence of amyloid-beta (A β) plaques. While PART shares some features with Alzheimer disease 93 (AD), such as progressive accumulation of neurofibrillary tangle pathology in the medial temporal lobe 94 and other brain regions, it does not progress extensively to neocortical regions. Given this restricted pathoanatomical pattern and variable symptomatology, there is a need to reexamine and improve upon 95 96 how PART is neuropathologically assessed and staged. We performed a retrospective autopsy study in 97 a collection (n=174) of post-mortem PART brains and used logistic regression to determine the extent to 98 which a set of clinical and neuropathological features predict cognitive impairment. We compared Braak 99 staging, which focuses on hierarchical neuroanatomical progression of AD tau and A^β pathology, with 100 quantitative assessments of neurofibrillary burden using computer-derived positive pixel counts on 101 digitized whole slide images of sections stained immunohistochemically with antibodies targeting 102 abnormal hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) in the entorhinal region and hippocampus. We also assessed 103 other factors affecting cognition, including aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG) and atrophy. We 104 found no association between Braak stage and cognitive impairment when controlling for age (p=0.76). 105 In contrast, p-tau burden was significantly correlated with cognitive impairment even when adjusting for 106 age (p=0.03). The strongest correlate of cognitive impairment was cerebrovascular disease, a well-known 107 risk factor (p < 0.0001), but other features including ARTAG (p = 0.03) and hippocampal atrophy (p = 0.04) 108 were also associated. In contrast, sex, APOE, psychiatric illness, education, argyrophilic grains, and 109 incidental Lewy bodies were not. These findings support the hypothesis that comorbid pathologies 110 contribute to cognitive impairment in subjects with PART. Quantitative approaches beyond Braak staging 111 are critical for advancing our understanding of the extent to which age-related tauopathy changes impact 112 cognitive function.

113 Keywords: PART, dementia, Aging, Braak, ARTAG

114 Introduction

115 It is widely recognized that abnormal hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) deposition is a ubiquitous feature 116 of the aging human brain, observed in both cognitively normal subjects and in those with a range of 117 clinical features, including cognitive, motor and psychiatric symptoms [37]. The causes of tauopathy are diverse, and include both genetic and environmental risk factors [48]. Autosomal dominant mutations in 118 119 the tau gene (MAPT) cause frontotemporal lobar degeneration and common risk alleles, notably the 120 MAPT 17q21.31 H1 haplotype, are associated with sporadic tauopathies including progressive 121 supranuclear palsy (PSP), corticobasal degeneration (CBD), and argyrophilic grain disease (AGD) [12]. 122 Abnormal p-tau deposition is also seen following exposure to repetitive head trauma in contact sports 123 and other contexts in the setting of chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) [43]. Neurofibrillary tangles 124 (NFT) are also a component of Alzheimer disease (AD), where they are associated amyloid-beta deposits 125 [16].

126 Although it is generally understood that autopsy studies are critical for establishing definitive 127 diagnoses, the neuropathology of the tauopathies is complex and overlapping. Further, non-impaired 128 individuals often display significant amounts of p-tau accumulation, complicating our understanding of 129 the contribution of such brain changes to symptomatology. Approaches to assessing tauopathy in post-130 mortem tissues continue to evolve. Neuropathologically, tauopathies can be differentiated by the 131 neuroanatomical regionality of p-tau aggregates, cell type involvement (i.e., neurons versus glia), 132 preferential isoform accumulation, and filament ultrastructure. Based upon these differentiating features, 133 validated neuropathological diagnostic consensus criteria have been devised and, in some cases, 134 undergone revision. Examples include revision of the AD diagnostic criteria, and consensus criteria for 135 CTE [41, 46]. The term aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG), which was described in recent 136 consensus criteria on various patterns of astrocytic p-tau observed in aging, has been especially helpful 137 for differentiating age-related changes from CTE, both of which have perivascular p-tau deposits, but with 138 differences in cell types involved [38, 42]. The introduction of criteria for primary age-related tauopathy (PART) to describe individuals who develop AD-type neurofibrillary pathology with or without dementia in the absence of significant amyloid deposition helped to better define this entity and differentiated it from AD [17]. Understanding age-related tauopathy is of critical importance in the context of diagnosis and staging of all the tauopathies given its extremely high prevalence and importance as a co-morbidity in essentially all studies evaluating tauopathy.

144 There has been controversy surrounding the PART consensus criteria since their introduction [11, 145 19], and there have been a substantial number of recent clinicopathological studies focused on 146 understanding this pathological presentation [4, 6, 7, 29, 33, 36, 51, 52, 60]. Given the close clinical and 147 neuropathological similarities between PART and AD such that historically the two entities were classified 148 together, accumulating evidence has highlighted differences. Clinically, the average age is higher for 149 individuals who have PART than those with AD and patients with PART are more often female [35]. 150 Patients with PART pathology are more often cognitively normal, but a subset have mild cognitive 151 impairment or amnestic dementia, and this correlates with p-tau severity [17]. Among symptomatic 152 individuals with a neuropathological diagnosis of PART, nearly half had been clinically diagnosed with 153 AD compared with 86% of those with autopsy-confirmed AD, indicating that despite diagnostic 154 uncertainty, clinicians recognize differences between the two [59]. One retrospective study identified 155 other factors including depression, Braak stage, and history of stroke, as independent predictors of 156 cognitive impairment [6]. Another found that those with PART had a sparing of semantic memory 157 compared to those with AD, suggesting that there is a distinct difference in clinical presentation [8]. 158 Longitudinal analyses found that subjects with PART have a significantly slower clinical decline after 159 becoming symptomatic than those with AD across multiple neuropsychological domains [60].

One limitation of most published studies on PART is that they rely on retrospective analysis of previously collected datasets (e.g., the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center database, NACC) with predefined neuropathological measures that may not fully capture all the clinically relevant features [45]. Further, findings might not be generalizable to other populations, and a lack of uniform analysis and

164 quantitation might lead to bias. Critically, the Braak staging system was specifically developed for 165 assessment of tau pathology in the context of AD, and has not been rigorously tested in amyloid-negative 166 subjects, so the extent to which it is valid for staging p-tau pathology in PART is unclear. Additionally, the 167 Braak stage represents a hierarchical progression of the regional spread of neurofibrillary tangles, but 168 does not directly measure the severity or burden of p-tau, but this has been incorporated into some 169 operationalized frameworks [2]. Because the pathology in PART generally remains predominantly in the 170 medial temporal lobe, this hierarchical pathoanatomical system may sub-optimally measure severity of 171 the disease. There are numerous approaches to assessing lesion burden of p-tau and other pathologies 172 [10, 28, 30, 31, 40, 41, 44, 63], including cell counting and stereology [3, 5, 13, 21, 27, 64]. While each 173 of these approaches have intrinsic advantages, they are limited in that they are labor intensive and for 174 this reason and others, these methods have not been widely adopted in neuropathology laboratories [20, 175 62]. One approach that may have potential to better assess p-tau in PART is using computer-assisted 176 quantitative morphometrics on digital whole slide images, which may be well suited for staging PART.

Here, we studied a cohort of autopsy-confirmed subjects with PART, enabling us to reexamine how tau pathology manifests in PART. We compared Braak staging with computer-assisted quantitative measures of p-tau burden, and used logistic regression to assess their contribution to cognitive impairment. Using this cohort, we were able to explore critical co-morbid pathologies (e.g., cerebrovascular disease), and further assess neuropathological changes that are not available in existing publicly available datasets, including atrophy and ARTAG.

183 Methods

184

185 Patient samples

186

187 Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue from the frontal cortex and hippocampus as well as 188 fresh-frozen tissue from frontal cortex were derived from autopsy brains from a subset of individuals from 189 a previously described collection [61]. Specifically, the cohort included cases from the Oregon Health 190 Sciences University (Portland, OR, USA), Banner Sun Health Research Institute (Sun City, AZ, USA), 191 Emory (Atlanta, GA, USA), Northwestern (Evanston, IL, USA), the University of Pennsylvania 192 (Philadelphia, PA, USA), University of Pittsburgh (Pittsburgh, PA, USA), University of Texas 193 Southwestern Medical Center (Dallas, TX, USA), and the Medical University of Vienna (Vienna, Austria). 194 Clinical inclusion criteria included being cognitively normal or having a diagnosis of mild cognitive 195 impairment (MCI) or dementia with a recorded clinical dementia rating (CDR), Mini-Mental State 196 Examination (MMSE), or postmortem clinical chart review CDR score within two years of death [22, 47]. 197 CDR and MMSE scores were used to assign subjects into either cognitively normal or cognitively 198 impaired groups. Individuals who had a CDR score of 0.5 or above or MMSE score below 26 were 199 considered to be cognitively impaired while subjects with a CDR score of 0 or MMSE score 26 or above 200 were considered cognitively normal [39]. If an individual had both MMSE score and CDR score, the most 201 recent score was used, and if both scores were given on the same date, the CDR score was used.

202 Comprehensive neuropathological assessments were performed at the contributing institutions. 203 Neuropathological criteria for PART included (1) cases that had a Braak stage of 0-IV and (2) Consortium 204 to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) neuritic plaque severity score of 0 [10, 44]. 205 Neuropathological exclusion criteria consisted of other neurodegenerative diseases including AD, Lewy 206 body disease, progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), corticobasal degeneration (CBD), chronic traumatic 207 encephalopathy (CTE), Pick disease, Guam amyotrophic lateral-sclerosis-parkinsonism-dementia, 208 subacute sclerosing panencephalitis, globular glial tauopathy. Data pertaining to Braak stage, CERAD,

Lewy body pathology (incidental), cerebrovascular disease, infarcts (vascular brain injury), microinfarcts, and argyrophilic grains, were derived from neuropathologic studies performed at respective centers. The presence of aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG) was determined on p-tau immunohistochemical stains described below [38].

- 213
- 214 Atrophy score
- 215

216 Given that no widely accepted validated system for assessing hippocampal atrophy on human brain 217 sections exists, we devised a semiguantitative scoring system and applied it to low power images of 218 hematoxylin & eosin-stained sections counterstained with Luxol fast blue. We defined atrophy severity 219 as the magnitude of ventricular dilatation (hydrocephalus ex vacuo) relative to the size of the hippocampal 220 formation. If there was no apparent ventricular dilatation or atrophy, then a score of 0 was assigned. If 221 there was appreciable atrophy, but the dorsoventral height of the ventricle was less than the height of the 222 thickest section of CA1, then a score of 1 (mild) was assigned. If the magnitude of ventricular dilatation 223 exceeded the thickness of CA1, then a score of 2 (moderate) was given. If the total area of the ventricle 224 area was greater than the area of the hippocampus proper, a score of 3 (severe) was assigned. This 225 score was derived only in the subset of cases where the entire temporal horn of the lateral ventricle was 226 available included in the provided section (n=24).

227

228 Immunohistochemistry

229

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) stains were performed on FFPE sections (5 µm) that were prepared from blocks of hippocampus and frontal cortex for supplemental neuropathological analyses (see below). Sections mounted on positively charged slides were dried overnight at room temperature. IHC was performed on a Leica Bond III automated stainer, according to the manufacturer's protocols (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). IHC was performed using

antibodies to hyper-phosphorylated tau (p-tau, AT8, 1:1000, Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and betaamyloid (Aβ, 6E10, 1:1000, Covance, Princeton, NJ, USA). Aβ stains were confirmed to be negative to
ensure that there were no neuritic or diffuse plaques present (CERAD score of 0) for all cases. For each
set of slides stained, a known severe AD case was included as a batch control.

- 239
- 240 Computer-assisted morphometric analysis
- 241

242 Whole slide images (WSI) were prepared from glass slides that were scanned using an Aperio CS2 (Leica 243 Biosystems, Wetzlar Germany) digital slide scanner. Quantitative analysis of the tau burden was 244 performed in selected regions in the hippocampi using the following methodology; WSI were neuroanatomically segmented using Aperio ImageScope software into the hippocampus proper (i.e., 245 246 dentate, cornu ammonis, and subiculum) and the adjacent cortex that we termed the entorhinal region, 247 which variably includes posterior portions of the parahippocampal gyrus with remnants of the (trans-248)entorhinal region or lingual gyrus. Staining was measured in these areas separately and together using 249 a modified version of the Aperio positive pixel count (Version 9) based on the intensities of the positive 250 control sample in each batch to determine the area of immunoreactivity. Data were normalized using the 251 number of positive pixel counts to the total area creating a 0-1 p-tau burden scale.

252

253 Genetic analysis

254

High-throughput isolation of DNA was performed using the MagMAX DNA Multi-Sample Ultra 2.0 Kit on
KingFisher Flex robotic DNA isolation system (Thermofisher, Waltham, MA). 20-40 mg of fresh frozen
brain tissue were placed into a deep-well plate and treated with 480 ul of Proteinase K mix (Proteinase
K, Phosphate Buffered Saline [pH 7.4], Binding Enhancer) and incubated overnight at 65°C at 800 rpm
on a shaking plate. Genomic DNA was isolated and purified using magnetic particles. DNA quality control
was performed using a nanodrop spectrophotometer (concentration > 50ng/ul, 260/280 ratio 1.7-2.2).

Genotyping was performed using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays (Infinium Global Screening Array v2.4. or the Infinium OmniExpress-24, Illumina, San Diego CA). Raw genotype files were converted to PLINK-compatible files using GenomeStudio software (Illumina, San Diego CA). *MAPT* haplotype was determined using the rs8070723 H2 tagging SNP. *APOE* genotype was provided by the collaborating center. For analyses, the *APOE* status was collapsed into a binary variable of the presence or absence of APOE ϵ 4.

267

268 Statistical analysis

269

270 All statistical tests were performed using the statistical software Statistical Package for the Social 271 Sciences (SPSS) (IBM, Chicago, II). Data was visualized using the ggplot2 package in project R or Excel 272 (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington). Binary measurements (yes/no) were created for pathological, 273 clinical, demographic, and genetic variables. Specifically, variables were extracted from the pathological 274 diagnosis and binary measurements (yes/no) were created for the following variables: argyrophilic grains, 275 Lewy body pathology (incidental), cerebrovascular disease, and infarcts (vascular brain injury). 276 Additionally, the same process was done for clinical variables: history of psychiatric illness and education 277 (for this study, defined as at least some college).

278 Descriptive statistics were used to identify differences between the cognitively normal and 279 cognitively impaired PART groups for clinical, pathological, and genetic variables. Differences were 280 detected using χ^2 tests or exact χ^2 if any cell size included < 5 participants. A t-test was performed to 281 determine if age differed significantly between normal and cognitively groups. Next, an unadjusted binary 282 logistic regression was performed to determine what genetic, clinical, and pathological variables were 283 associated with being cognitively impaired within our PART cohort. Lastly, a multivariable model was 284 created to determine what extent Braak NFT stage and the computer-assisted morphometrics were able 285 to predict cognitive impairment in PART when adjusting for age. Statistical significance was determined 286 if α < 0.05. Not all data was available on the subjects.

287 Results

288

289 One hundred seventy-four neuropathologically confirmed amyloid-negative subjects were included in this 290 study (Table 1, Figure 1). The overall mean age was 83.2 with a range of 52.9-105.1 years. Of these, 291 124 subjects (mean age 81.0, range = 52.9-102.4) had no cognitive impairment and 50 (mean age 88.3, range = 69.8-105.1) had some degree of cognitive impairment, with either mild cognitive impairment 292 293 (MCI) or dementia. The majority of subjects who were cognitively impaired were 80+ years of age (Figure 294 2). The Braak NFT stage ranged from 0 to IV with the majority of cognitively impaired subjects having a 295 Braak NFT score of II to IV. A higher percentage of females had cognitive impairment (62.0%) compared 296 to those who were cognitively normal (49.2%).

We observed several differences among subjects with cognitive impairment compared to those who were cognitively normal. First, cognitively impaired PART subjects were more likely to be older (age of testing 81.0 vs. 88.3, p < 0.0001), have cerebrovascular disease (42.0% vs. 4.8%, p < 0.0001) and have hippocampal age-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG; 38.3% vs. 21.6%, p < 0.05) compared to cognitively normal subjects (Table 1). However, education, history of psychiatric illness, argyrophilic grains, incidental Lewy body pathology, infarcts, presence of an *APOE* ε 2 allele, presence of *APOE* ε 4 allele, and *MAPT* haplotype status did not significantly affect cognitive status (p > 0.05 for all conditions).

304 In our main unadjusted analysis, we assessed the extent to which a series of clinical, 305 neuropathological, and genetic variables predicted cognitive impairment in our PART cohort (Table 2). 306 We found that age and cerebrovascular disease were the strongest predictors of cognitive impairment (p 307 < 0.0001 for both cases). ARTAG and hippocampal atrophy were also significant predictors, but to a 308 lesser extent (p < 0.05 for both cases). There were more reported men and subjects with a history of 309 psychiatric illness, argyrophilic grains, incidental Lewy body pathology, infarcts, and microinfarcts in the 310 cognitively impaired PART group, however none of these predictors was significantly different (p > 0.05311 for all conditions). APOE ε4 (at least 1 ε4 allele) was reported more in the cognitively normal PART group 312 but did not reach significance. Braak NFT stage significantly predicted cognitive impairment (p < 0.05).

Additionally, the computer-assisted morphometrics in the entorhinal region, hippocampus proper, and the combined region were significantly associated with cognitive impairment (p = 0.0001, Figure 3A-C, Table 3). Lastly, when the Braak NFT stage was correlated with computer-assisted morphometrics in the combined region (p < 0.001), there was a high degree of variability between the Braak NFT stage and the computer-assisted combined region morphometrics (Figure 3D).

Finally, using a multivariable model, we assessed whether any measurements for p-tau predicted cognitive impairment when controlling for age. In this adjusted analysis, we found that computer-assisted morphometrics used to capture p-tau burden in the hippocampus proper and combined region were significantly associated with cognitive impairment in PART (p < 0.05 for both cases). However, the computer-assisted morphometrics in the entorhinal region were not associated with cognitive impairment yet there was a trend toward statistical significance (p = 0.068). The Braak NFT stage was not able to predict cognitive impairment when controlling for age (p = 0.73, Table 3, Figure 4).

325 Discussion

326 Since the neuropathological criteria for PART were proposed, the terminology has been widely adopted, 327 but controversy persists, especially around its relationship to Alzheimer disease (AD). Delineating the 328 histological/cellular features that are associated with cognitive impairment in PART is critical for 329 advancing our understanding of the pathology and determining the extent to which it overlaps with AD. 330 The fact that subjects with PART, as with AD neuropathologic change, can range in their cognitive status 331 from normal to demented, raises the question as to whether cognitive reserve/resilience plays a role or 332 alternatively whether we are not adequately capturing the relevant features, such as common 333 comorbidities or other factors. This study, by using a large autopsy cohort with multivariate analyses, 334 directly addresses these critical questions. The goal was to leverage our collection of post-mortem PART 335 brains to characterize the clinical, pathological, and genetic features that are associated with cognitive 336 impairment in PART. Additionally, we sought to compare Braak stage with pathology burden measures 337 derived from p-tau immunohistochemistry that quantifies severity independently of neuroanatomical 338 vulnerability. To overcome intra-center variability in tau pathology measures, we reassessed each case 339 histologically to maximize accuracy.

340 We found that all of our PART definite cases had p-tau restricted mainly to the MTL (Braak NFT 341 stage <IV), which is consistent with and supports other previous studies investigating PART [4, 17, 34]. 342 Cases ranged in cognitive impairment with the majority of subjects being cognitively normal, and 343 consistent with prior data, the PART subjects tended to be older than individuals with AD [17, 59]. The 344 results of our study confirm those of previous autopsy studies showing that cerebrovascular disease 345 predicts cognitive impairment in PART [6, 49]. Interestingly, we did not see a strong correlation between 346 cognitive impairment and microinfarcts, while others have shown a correlation with cognition in the oldest 347 old [14]. We did however, find novel, unreported associations of increased age, hippocampal atrophy, 348 and ARTAG with cognitive impairment in our PART definite cohort. Similar to what has been reported 349 by those utilizing the NACC database, our results verify those with a higher Braak NFT stage are 350 associated with more rapid cognitive decline [33].

351 While these associations have yet to be reported in PART, there are numerous studies showing 352 that age, atrophy, and ARTAG may be associated with cognitive impairment [9, 23, 32, 50, 53]. 353 Surprisingly, we did not see increased odds of the Braak NFT stage being associated with cognitive 354 impairment when controlling for age as has been reported in other studies [6]. However, we did find that 355 using computer-assisted morphometrics to assess p-tau burden in the entorhinal region, hippocampus, 356 and combined region was able to significantly predict cognitive impairment, similar to other studies [1, 357 13]. While Braak NFT staging is the most widely employed approach for assessing p-tau, it is limited in 358 that it primarily focuses on regionality and not disease burden [25]. Other studies have employed both 359 manual and computer assisted quantitative approaches that may capture aspects of pathological features 360 with more power [24, 26, 56]. However, a majority of these approaches focuses on AD which may not be relevant in the context of PART, where p-tau pathology does not progress in the same hierarchical 361 362 manner proposed by Braak in AD [10, 16]. Hence this study highlights several new methodologies to 363 assess p-tau burden, which our results suggest to be a more accurate predicator of clinical symptomology 364 in those with PART.

365 In addition to assessing p-tau burden, we also examined the effect of APOE status in PART as a 366 predictor for cognitive impairment. APOE £4 has been strongly suggested as an important predictor of 367 cognitive decline in AD while APOE ε2 has been shown to be protective [15, 18, 54, 58]. However, many 368 of these studies have been performed in AD cohorts, and in aging cohorts there has been evidence 369 suggesting the ε 4 allele is not a risk factor for cognitive impairment [57]. Our data agree with that reported 370 by Small et al. as we did not see an association with APOE ε4 and cognitive impairment, which might be 371 explained by the fact that we studied a pathologically confirmed amyloid-negative cohort. Recent work 372 has suggested that APOE may exacerbate tau pathology independently of amyloid deposition [55]. Here, 373 we failed to detect an association of cognitive impairment in PART with the MAPT H1 haplotype; future 374 larger studies with more statistical power are required to delineate the genetic architecture of PART.

This study had notable limitations. There was a relatively small number of subjects in the cognitively impaired PART group (n=50), which may weaken our power to predict cognitive impairment. 377 Additionally, because a majority of our subjects were not from longitudinally studied prospective cohorts, 378 we were unable to obtain certain lifestyle variables, such as actual years of education and concussion 379 history, which could potentially significantly affect our model. However, given that diagnosing PART pre-380 mortem is currently challenging, it would be impractical to create such a prospective cohort. We would 381 also like to highlight the association we observed with ARTAG and cognitive status might be only due to 382 collinearity between p-tau severity and ARTAG, with p-tau probably the driving pathology and the ARTAG 383 association being significant because of its potential dependence on p-tau. Lastly, our study was limited 384 to pathology of the medial temporal lobe and frontal cortex. A more exhaustive study would have 385 incorporated a greater number of brain regions to more extensively address other potential tau-related 386 pathologies.

387 In summary, our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that PART is an amyloid-independent 388 tauopathy, primarily affecting the medial temporal lobe, which can present with cognitive impairment. 389 Several demographic and neuropathological variables including age, ARTAG, cerebrovascular disease, 390 hippocampal atrophy, Braak NFT stage, and p-tau computer assessments were significantly associated 391 with cognitive impairment in our PART cohort. The Braak NFT stage was not a significant predictor of 392 cognitive impairment when controlling for age, while the computer-assistant morphometrics were. These 393 data strongly suggest that neuroanatomical staging used in AD may not be as relevant to PART given 394 the pathology minimally spreads beyond the medial temporal lobe. Novel techniques to measure p-tau 395 burden can further our understanding of PART pathology and associated clinical and genetic features.

396

Table 1. Patient data

	Overall	Cognitive Status		
		Normal	Impaired*	p
Demographics				
Average age at testing (range)	83.2 (52.9-105.1)	81.0 (52.9-102.4)	88.3 (69.8-105.1)	<0.0001
Total (Male / Female)	174 (82 / 92)	124 (63 / 61)	50 (19 / 31)	0.126***
Age at last visit (%)				
<60	7 (4.0)	7 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	
60-69	15 (8.6)	14 (11.3)	1 (1.7)	
70-79	33 (19.0)	30 (24.2)	3 (5.2)	
80-89	76 (43.7)	45 (36.3)	31 (53.4)	
90+	51 (29.3)	28 (22.6)	23 (39.7)	
Education, at least some college (%)	32 (18.4)	15 (78.9)	17 (77.3)	0.89
History of psychiatric illness (%)	45 (25.9)	29 (31.9)	17 (45.9)	0.13
Neuropathological data				
Argyrophilic grains	32 (18.4)	12 (9.7)	10 (20.0)	0.06
Lewy body pathology (incidental)	16 (9.2)	11 (8.9)	5 (10.0)	0.82
Cerebrovascular disease**	27 (15.5)	6 (4.8)	21 (42.0)	<0.0001
Infarcts (vascular brain injury)	37 (21.3)	24 (19.4)	13 (26.0)	0.33
Hippocampus ARTAG positive (%)	43 (24.7)	25 (21.6)	18 (38.3)	0.03
Genetic Data				
Presence of ≥1 APOE ε4 allele	22 (12.6)	16 (12.9)	6 (11.3)	0.77
Presence of ≥1 APOE ε2 allele	46 (26.4)	27 (21.8)	19 (35.8)	0.06
Presence of ≥1 MAPT H2	59 (33.9)	42 (36.2)	17 (36.2)	1

* Mild cognitive impairment or dementia, ** excluding cerebral amyloid angiopathy, ***Male sex, significant values in bold (Chi squared test)

397

399

	OR	95% CI	p value
Characteristic			
Age, at testing	1.08	1.04-1.13	<0.0001
Education, y	0.87	0.67-1.12	0.28
Sex	1.69	0.86-3.30	0.13
APOE (at least 1 ɛ4 allele)	0.988	0.36-2.70	0.98
History of psychiatric diagnosis	1.82	0.83-3.98	0.14
Aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG)	2.26	1.08-4.72	0.03
Argyrophilic grains	2.33	0.94-5.82	0.07
Lewy body pathology (incidental)	1.14	0.38-3.47	0.82
Cerebrovascular disease*	14.24	5.27-38.48	<0.0001
Infarcts (vascular brain injury)	1.46	0.68-3.17	0.33
Microinfarcts	1.05	0.43-2.59	0.91
Hippocampal atrophy	5.32	1.04-27.09	0.04
Braak NFT stage	1.37	1.03-1.83	0.03
Computer-assisted p-tau (AT8) burden (positive pixe	l counts)		
Entorhinal region	1.90	1.31-2.75	0.001
Hippocampus proper	2.17	1.48-3.20	<0.0001
Entorhinal region & Hippocampus proper	2.12	1.44-3.11	<0.0001

* Excluding cerebral amyloid angiopathy, significant values in bold (logistic regression)

401

Table 3. Odds of being cognitively impaired at death, adjusted

	· •			
	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	
Braak NFT stage	1.01	0.72-1.41	0.98	
P-tau burden (computer-assisted AT8 IHC positive pixels)				
Entorhinal region	1.46	0.97-2.20	0.07	
Hippocampus	1.66	1.07-2.57	0.02	
Entorhinal region & hippocampus	1.62	1.06-2.49	0.03	
Significant values in hold (logistic regression)				

402 Significant values in bold (logistic regression)





405

Figure 1. Comparison of amyloid and tau pathology in primary age-related tauopathy 406 (PART) versus Alzheimer disease (AD). (A) Immunohistochemical staining using antisera 407 408 to hyperphosphorylated tau in an AD brain shows marked hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau)-409 containing neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) in the hippocampus which extends past the collateral 410 sulcus into the parahippocampal gyrus and other neocortical regions. (B, C) Subjects with mild 411 to severe PART have elevated p-tau levels in the hippocampus predominantly restricted to the 412 medial temporal lobe. (D, E, F) Subjects with AD neuropathologic change have abundant Aβ-413 containing plagues in neocortical structures, whereas those with PART have sparse or none. 414 These neuropathologic changes in AD and PART are seen in association with varying degree of cognitive impairment ranging from cognitively normal to demented. 415

- 416
- 417
- 418
- 419



422 Figure 2. Distribution of age, Braak neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) stage and cognitive

423 status. (A) The number of normal and cognitively impaired subjects across the age spectrum.

424 (B) The number of cognitively normal and impaired subjects by Braak stage. (C) The number

425 of subjects across the aging spectrum by Braak stage.



```
Figure 3
```





- 436 morphometric quantification of p-tau using the normalized medial temporal lobe (hippocampus
- 437 and entorhinal region). Scale bar = $150 \mu m$.





447 **References**

Abner EL, Neltner JH, Jicha GA, Patel E, Anderson SL, Wilcock DM, Van Eldik LJ, Nelson
 PT (2018) Diffuse Amyloid-beta Plaques, Neurofibrillary Tangles, and the Impact of APOE
 in Elderly Persons' Brains Lacking Neuritic Amyloid Plaques. J Alzheimers Dis 64: 1307-

451 1324 Doi 10.3233/JAD-180514

- Alafuzoff I, Arzberger T, Al-Sarraj S, Bodi I, Bogdanovic N, Braak H, Bugiani O, DelTredici K, Ferrer I, Gelpi Eet al (2008) Staging of neurofibrillary pathology in Alzheimer's
 disease: a study of the BrainNet Europe Consortium. Brain pathology 18: 484-496 Doi
 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2008.00147.x
- 4563Attems J, Neltner JH, Nelson PT (2014) Quantitative neuropathological assessment to457investigate cerebral multi-morbidity. Alzheimers Res Ther 6: Doi ARTN 85

458 10.1186/s13195-014-0085-y

- 459 4 Bell WR, An Y, Kageyama Y, English C, Rudow GL, Pletnikova O, Thambisetty M, O'Brien
 460 R, Moghekar AR, Albert MSet al (2019) Neuropathologic, genetic, and longitudinal
 461 cognitive profiles in primary age-related tauopathy (PART) and Alzheimer's disease.
 462 Alzheimers Dement 15: 8-16 Doi 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.215
- 463 5 Bennett DA, Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Schneider JA (2018)
 464 Religious Orders Study and Rush Memory and Aging Project. Adv Alzh Dis 6: 159-187
 465 Doi 10.3233/978-1-61499-876-1-159
- Besser LM, Crary JF, Mock C, Kukull WA (2017) Comparison of symptomatic and
 asymptomatic persons with primary age-related tauopathy. Neurology 89: 1707-1715 Doi
 10.1212/WNL.00000000004521
- 469 7 Besser LM, Mock C, Teylan MA, Hassenstab J, Kukull WA, Crary JF (2019) Differences
 470 in Cognitive Impairment in Primary Age-Related Tauopathy Versus Alzheimer Disease. J
 471 Neuropathol Exp Neurol: Doi 10.1093/jnen/nly132
- Besser LM, Mock C, Teylan MA, Hassenstab J, Kukull WA, Crary JF (2019) Differences
 in Cognitive Impairment in Primary Age-Related Tauopathy Versus Alzheimer Disease. J
 Neuropathol Exp Neurol 78: 219-228 Doi 10.1093/jnen/nly132
- Bishop NA, Lu T, Yankner BA (2010) Neural mechanisms of ageing and cognitive decline.
 Nature 464: 529-535 Doi 10.1038/nature08983

- 477 10 Braak H, Braak E (1991) Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta
 478 neuropathologica 82: 239-259 Doi 10.1007/bf00308809
- Braak H, Del Tredici K (2014) Are cases with tau pathology occurring in the absence of
 Abeta deposits part of the AD-related pathological process? Acta neuropathologica 128:
 767-772 Doi 10.1007/s00401-014-1356-1
- 482 12 Caillet-Boudin ML, Buee L, Sergeant N, Lefebvre B (2015) Regulation of human MAPT
 483 gene expression. Molecular neurodegeneration 10: 28 Doi 10.1186/s13024-015-0025-8
- Cherry JD, Tripodis Y, Alvarez VE, Huber B, Kiernan PT, Daneshvar DH, Mez J,
 Montenigro PH, Solomon TM, Alosco MLet al (2016) Microglial neuroinflammation
 contributes to tau accumulation in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Acta Neuropathol
 Com 4: Doi UNSP 112 10.1186/s40478-016-0382-8
- 488 14 Corrada MM, Sonnen JA, Kim RC, Kawas CH (2016) Microinfarcts are common and
 489 strongly related to dementia in the oldest-old: The 90+ study. Alzheimers Dement 12:
 490 900-908 Doi 10.1016/j.jalz.2016.04.006
- 491 15 Cosentino S, Scarmeas N, Helzner E, Glymour MM, Brandt J, Albert M, Blacker D, Stern
 492 Y (2008) APOE epsilon 4 allele predicts faster cognitive decline in mild Alzheimer
 493 disease. Neurology 70: 1842-1849 Doi 10.1212/01.wnl.0000304038.37421.cc
- 49416Crary JF (2016) Primary age-related tauopathy and the amyloid cascade hypothesis: the495exception that proves the rule? J Neurol Neuromedicine 1: 53-57
- Crary JF, Trojanowski JQ, Schneider JA, Abisambra JF, Abner EL, Alafuzoff I, Arnold SE,
 Attems J, Beach TG, Bigio EHet al (2014) Primary age-related tauopathy (PART): a
 common pathology associated with human aging. Acta neuropathologica 128: 755-766
 Doi 10.1007/s00401-014-1349-0
- 50018Dik MG, Jonker C, Comijs HC, Bouter LM, Twisk JW, van Kamp GJ, Deeg DJ (2001)501Memory complaints and APOE-epsilon4 accelerate cognitive decline in cognitively502normal elderly. Neurology 57: 2217-2222 Doi 10.1212/wnl.57.12.2217
- Duyckaerts C, Braak H, Brion JP, Buee L, Del Tredici K, Goedert M, Halliday G, Neumann
 M, Spillantini MG, Tolnay Met al (2015) PART is part of Alzheimer disease. Acta
 neuropathologica 129: 749-756 Doi 10.1007/s00401-015-1390-7

- 506 20 Farfel JM, Yu L, De Jager PL, Schneider JA, Bennett DA (2016) Association of APOE 507 with tau-tangle pathology with and without beta-amyloid. Neurobiology of Aging 37: 19-508 25 Doi 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.09.011
- 509 21 Farrell K, Cosentino S, lida MA, Chapman S, Bennett DA, Faust PL, Louis ED, Crary JF
 510 (2019) Quantitative Assessment of Pathological Tau Burden in Essential Tremor: A
 511 Postmortem Study. J Neuropath Exp Neur 78: 31-37 Doi 10.1093/jnen/nly104
- 512 22 Folstein MF, Robins LN, Helzer JE (1983) The Mini-Mental State Examination. Arch Gen 513 Psychiatry 40: 812 Doi 10.1001/archpsyc.1983.01790060110016
- 514 23 Fox NC, Scahill RI, Crum WR, Rossor MN (1999) Correlation between rates of brain 515 atrophy and cognitive decline in AD. Neurology 52: 1687-1689 Doi Doi 516 10.1212/Wnl.52.8.1687
- 517 24 Giannakopoulos P, Herrmann FR, Bussiere T, Bouras C, Kovari E, Perl DP, Morrison JH, 518 Gold G, Hof PR (2003) Tangle and neuron numbers, but not amyloid load, predict 519 cognitive status in Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 60: 1495-1500 Doi 10.1212/01.wnl.0000063311.58879.01 520
- 521 25 Gold G, Bouras C, Kovari E, Canuto A, Glaria BG, Malky A, Hof PR, Michel JP, Giannakopoulos P (2000) Clinical validity of Braak neuropathological staging in the 522 523 oldest-old. Acta neuropathologica 99: 579-582; discussion 583-574 Doi 524 10.1007/s004010051163
- 525 26 Gomez-Isla T, Hollister R, West H, Mui S, Growdon JH, Petersen RC, Parisi JE, Hyman 526 BT (1997) Neuronal loss correlates with but exceeds neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's 527 disease. Ann Neurol 41: 17-24 Doi 10.1002/ana.410410106
- Hamasaki H, Honda H, Okamoto T, Koyama S, Suzuki SO, Ohara T, Ninomiya T,
 Kiyohara Y, Iwaki T (2017) Recent Increases in Hippocampal Tau Pathology in the Aging
 Japanese Population: The Hisayama Study. J Alzheimers Dis 55: 613-624 Doi
 10.3233/Jad-160521
- Hauw JJ, Daniel SE, Dickson D, Horoupian DS, Jellinger K, Lantos PL, McKee A, Tabaton
 M, Litvan I (1994) Preliminary NINDS neuropathologic criteria for Steele-RichardsonOlszewski syndrome (progressive supranuclear palsy). Neurology 44: 2015-2019 Doi
 10.1212/wnl.44.11.2015

- Hickman RA, Flowers XE, Wisniewski T (2020) Primary Age-Related Tauopathy (PART):
 Addressing the Spectrum of Neuronal Tauopathic Changes in the Aging Brain. Curr
 Neurol Neurosci Rep 20: 39 Doi 10.1007/s11910-020-01063-1
- 539 30 Hyman BT (1998) New neuropathological criteria for Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 55:
 540 1174-1176 Doi 10.1001/archneur.55.9.1174
- Jack CR, Jr., Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Dunn B, Haeberlein SB, Holtzman
 DM, Jagust W, Jessen F, Karlawish Jet al (2018) NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward
 a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 14: 535-562 Doi
 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018
- Jack CR, Petersen RC, Xu Y, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Boeve BF, Tangalos EG,
 Kokmen E (2000) Rates of hippocampal atrophy correlate with change in clinical status
 in aging and AD. Neurology 55: 484-489 Doi Doi 10.1212/Wnl.55.4.484
- Jefferson-George KS, Wolk DA, Lee EB, McMillan CT (2017) Cognitive decline
 associated with pathological burden in primary age-related tauopathy. Alzheimers
 Dement 13: 1048-1053 Doi 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.01.028
- Jellinger KA, Alafuzoff I, Attems J, Beach TG, Cairns NJ, Crary JF, Dickson DW, Hof PR,
 Hyman BT, Jack CR, Jr.et al (2015) PART, a distinct tauopathy, different from classical
 sporadic Alzheimer disease. Acta neuropathologica 129: 757-762 Doi 10.1007/s00401015-1407-2
- Jellinger KA, Attems J (2007) Neurofibrillary tangle-predominant dementia: comparison
 with classical Alzheimer disease. Acta neuropathologica 113: 107-117 Doi
 10.1007/s00401-006-0156-7
- Josephs KA, Murray ME, Tosakulwong N, Whitwell JL, Knopman DS, Machulda MM,
 Weigand SD, Boeve BF, Kantarci K, Petrucelli Let al (2017) Tau aggregation influences
 cognition and hippocampal atrophy in the absence of beta-amyloid: a clinico-imagingpathological study of primary age-related tauopathy (PART). Acta neuropathologica 133:
 705-715 Doi 10.1007/s00401-017-1681-2
- 563 37 Kovacs GG (2015) Invited review: Neuropathology of tauopathies: principles and practice.
 564 Neuropathology and applied neurobiology 41: 3-23 Doi 10.1111/nan.12208
- 565 38 Kovacs GG, Ferrer I, Grinberg LT, Alafuzoff I, Attems J, Budka H, Cairns NJ, Crary JF, 566 Duyckaerts C, Ghetti Bet al (2016) Aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG):

567 harmonized evaluation strategy. Acta neuropathologica 131: 87-102 Doi 568 10.1007/s00401-015-1509-x

- 569 39 Kvitting AS, Fallman K, Wressle E, Marcusson J (2019) Age-Normative MMSE Data for
 570 Older Persons Aged 85 to 93 in a Longitudinal Swedish Cohort. J Am Geriatr Soc 67:
 571 534-538 Doi 10.1111/jgs.15694
- 572 40 Markesbery WR (1997) Neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's 573 disease. Neurobiol Aging 18: S13-19 Doi 10.1016/s0197-4580(97)00064-x
- McKee AC, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW, Folkerth RD, Keene CD, Litvan I, Perl DP, Stein TD,
 Vonsattel JP, Stewart Wet al (2016) The first NINDS/NIBIB consensus meeting to define
 neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Acta
 neuropathologica 131: 75-86 Doi 10.1007/s00401-015-1515-z
- McKee AC, Stein TD, Crary JF, Bieniek KF, Cantu RC, Kovacs GG (2020) Practical
 Considerations in the Diagnosis of Mild Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy and
 Distinction From Age-Related Tau Astrogliopathy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 79: 921-924
 Doi 10.1093/jnen/nlaa047
- McKee AC, Stein TD, Nowinski CJ, Stern RA, Daneshvar DH, Alvarez VE, Lee HS, Hall
 G, Wojtowicz SM, Baugh CMet al (2013) The spectrum of disease in chronic traumatic
 encephalopathy. Brain 136: 43-64 Doi 10.1093/brain/aws307
- Mirra SS, Heyman A, McKeel D, Sumi SM, Crain BJ, Brownlee LM, Vogel FS, Hughes
 JP, van Belle G, Berg L (1991) The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's
 Disease (CERAD). Part II. Standardization of the neuropathologic assessment of
 Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 41: 479-486 Doi 10.1212/wnl.41.4.479
- Mock C, Teylan M, Beecham G, Besser L, Cairns NJ, Crary JF, Katsumata Y, Nelson PT,
 Kukull W (2020) The Utility of the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center's Database
 for the Rapid Assessment of Evolving Neuropathologic Conditions. Alzheimer Dis Assoc
 Disord 34: 105-111 Doi 10.1097/WAD.00000000000380
- Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW, Duyckaerts C,
 Frosch MP, Masliah E, Mirra SSet al (2012) National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's
 Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a
 practical approach. Acta neuropathologica 123: 1-11 Doi 10.1007/s00401-011-0910-3

- Morris JC (1993) The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules.
 Neurology 43: 2412-2414 Doi 10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a
- Morris M, Maeda S, Vossel K, Mucke L (2011) The many faces of tau. Neuron 70: 410426 Doi 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.04.009
- 49 Pierce AL, Kawas CH (2017) Dementia in the oldest old: Beyond Alzheimer disease.
 602 PLoS Med 14: e1002263 Doi 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002263
- 603 50 Planche V, Coupe P, Helmer C, Le Goff M, Amieva H, Tison F, Dartigues JF, Catheline
 604 G (2019) Evolution of brain atrophy subtypes during aging predicts long-term cognitive
 605 decline and future Alzheimer's clinical syndrome. Neurobiology of Aging 79: 22-29 Doi
 606 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2019.03.006
- 607 51 Quintas-Neves M, Teylan MA, Besser L, Soares-Fernandes J, Mock CN, Kukull WA, 608 Crary JF, Oliveira TG (2019) Magnetic resonance imaging brain atrophy assessment in 609 primary age-related tauopathy (PART). Acta Neuropathol Commun 7: 204 Doi 610 10.1186/s40478-019-0842-z
- Robinson AC, Davidson YS, Roncaroli F, Minshull J, Tinkler P, Horan MA, Payton A,
 Pendleton N, Mann DMA (2021) Early changes in visuospatial episodic memory can help
 distinguish primary age-related tauopathy from Alzheimer's disease. Neuropathology and
 applied neurobiology: Doi 10.1111/nan.12726
- Robinson JL, Corrada MM, Kovacs GG, Dominique M, Caswell C, Xie SX, Lee VMY,
 Kawas CH, Trojanowski JQ (2018) Non-Alzheimer's contributions to dementia and
 cognitive resilience in The 90+Study. Acta neuropathologica 136: 377-388 Doi
 10.1007/s00401-018-1872-5
- 54 Serrano-Pozo A, Qian J, Monsell SE, Betensky RA, Hyman BT (2015) APOE epsilon 2 is
 associated with milder clinical and pathological Alzheimer disease. Annals of Neurology
 77: 917-929 Doi 10.1002/ana.24369
- Shi Y, Yamada K, Liddelow SA, Smith ST, Zhao L, Luo W, Tsai RM, Spina S, Grinberg
 LT, Rojas JCet al (2017) ApoE4 markedly exacerbates tau-mediated neurodegeneration
 in a mouse model of tauopathy. Nature 549: 523-527 Doi 10.1038/nature24016
- Signaevsky M, Prastawa M, Farrell K, Tabish N, Baldwin E, Han N, Iida MA, Koll J, Bryce
 C, Purohit Det al (2019) Artificial intelligence in neuropathology: deep learning-based
 assessment of tauopathy. Lab Invest 99: 1019-1029 Doi 10.1038/s41374-019-0202-4

- 57 Small BJ, Graves AB, McEvoy CL, Crawford FC, Mullan M, Mortimer JA (2000) Is APOEepsilon 4 a risk factor for cognitive impairment in normal aging? Neurology 54: 2082-2088
 Doi Doi 10.1212/Wnl.54.11.2082
- Small BJ, Rosnick CB, Fratiglioni L, Backman L (2004) Apolipoprotein E and cognitive
 performance: a meta-analysis. Psychol Aging 19: 592-600 Doi 10.1037/08827974.19.4.592
- Teylan M, Besser LM, Crary JF, Mock C, Gauthreaux K, Thomas NM, Chen YC, Kukull
 WA (2019) Clinical diagnoses among individuals with primary age-related tauopathy
 versus Alzheimer's neuropathology. Lab Invest 99: 1049-1055 Doi 10.1038/s41374-0190186-0
- 638 60 Teylan M, Mock C, Gauthreaux K, Chen YC, Chan KCG, Hassenstab J, Besser LM,
 639 Kukull WA, Crary JF (2020) Cognitive trajectory in mild cognitive impairment due to
 640 primary age-related tauopathy. Brain 143: 611-621 Doi 10.1093/brain/awz403
- 641 61 Walker JM, Richardson TE, Farrell K, Iida MA, Foong C, Shang P, Attems J, Ayalon G,
 642 Beach TG, Bigio EHet al (2021) Early Selective Vulnerability of the CA2 Hippocampal
 643 Subfield in Primary Age-Related Tauopathy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 80: 102-111 Doi
 644 10.1093/jnen/nlaa153
- 645 62 West MJ, Slomianka L, Gundersen HJ (1991) Unbiased stereological estimation of the 646 total number of neurons in thesubdivisions of the rat hippocampus using the optical 647 fractionator. Anat Rec 231: 482-497 Doi 10.1002/ar.1092310411
- 648 63 Zhukareva V, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM (2004) Assessment of pathological tau proteins in
 649 frontotemporal dementias: qualitative and quantitative approaches. Am J Geriatr
 650 Psychiatry 12: 136-145
- 64 Zhukareva V, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VMY (2004) Assessment of pathological tau proteins
 in frontotemporal dementias Qualitative and quantitative approaches. Am J Geriat
 Psychiat 12: 136-145 Doi DOI 10.1176/appi.ajgp.12.2.136
- 654