

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Predictors of perceived barriers to physical activity in the general adult population: a cross-sectional study



Yaneth Herazo-Beltrán^{a,*}, Yisel Pinillos^a, José Vidarte^b, Estela Crissien^a, Damaris Suarez^a, Rafael García^a

^a Physical Therapy Program, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Barranquilla, Colombia

^b Physical Therapy Program, Universidad Autónoma de Manizales, Manizales, Colombia

Received 8 November 2015; received in revised form 28 February 2016; accepted 8 April 2016

Available online 14 January 2017

KEYWORDS

Motor activity;
Social support;
Socioeconomic factors;
Health resources;
Motivation;
Physical therapy

Abstract

Background: The perception of personal barriers to physical activity varies according to the sociodemographic characteristics of individuals.

Objective: To determine the predictors of the perception of barriers to physical activity in the adult population.

Method: A cross-sectional study with 1066 adult women and 1036 adult men. The sociodemographic variables (age, gender, marital status, socioeconomic level, level of education), the perception of barriers that do not allow performance of physical activity (i.e. lack of time, social support, energy, motivation, skill, resources, and fear of injury during practice); and the level of physical activity through the International Physical Activity Questionnaire in its short-form version were evaluated.

Results: Individuals from low socioeconomic level (1 and 2) have higher risks of perceiving barriers such as lack of motivation [OR 1.76 (95% CI (1.4–2.1))] and lack of resources [OR 1.37 (95% CI (1.1–1.6))]; individuals with partners did not perceive the lack of social support [OR 0.29 (95% CI (0.2–0.4))] and lack of motivation [OR 0.54 (95% CI (0.4–0.7))] as barriers to physical activity. Individuals with low schooling perceived lack of social support [OR 3.81 (95% CI (3–4.7))], lack of resources [OR 2.78 (95% CI (2.2–3.3))], and fear of injury [OR 2.70 (95% CI (2.2–3.3))] as barrier to physical activity.

Conclusion: Factors such as socioeconomic level, marital status, level of education, and self-perception of health are predictors of barriers to physical activity.

© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Carrera 59 N° 59-65, Barranquilla, Colombia.

E-mail: aherazo4@unisimonbolivar.edu.co (Y. Herazo-Beltrán).

Introduction

Physical inactivity is associated with a higher frequency of chronic non-communicable diseases. According to the World Health Organization, it is recognized as the fourth risk factor for global mortality.¹ Despite efforts by national and international organizations to increase physical activity (PA) levels among the population, the prevalence of physical activity is low in developing countries. The percentage of people complying with the recommendations of 150 min per week of moderate activity is 31.1% globally.² In Colombia, this level reaches 57.4%.³ In this sense, it is essential to develop public health interventions,^{4,5} given that physical activity is influenced by personal or internal factors such as the perception of barriers,⁶ which can determine people's lifestyles because they act as cognitive restrictions to physical activity.⁷

Within a sociological approach, perception of barriers is a construct of the intra- and inter-personal levels,^{4,8} recognized as a significant predictor of PA.⁷ Other authors consider lack of time (external barrier) and lack of motivation (internal barrier) as the most relevant barriers physical activity.⁹ The concept of internal or personal and external or environmental barriers has been defined in a number of studies. Internal barriers are related to the personal motivations of individuals, unlike external barriers, which refer to the infrastructure in the neighborhoods and communities.^{10,11} This interaction of perceived barriers of a personal and social nature is also explained by the social-cognitive theory, which specifies that a set of determinants affects the health-related practices of individuals, including the perception of personal and social facilitators and barriers that hinder or enable healthy behaviors.^{12,13}

Sharifi et al.⁹ report that motivational internal barriers predominate in developed countries, while the perception of barriers for PA in developing countries is related to environmental factors such as lack of facilities, places, and programs for PA, considered external barriers.

Physical activity is inversely related to the perception of personal and environmental barriers, with this association varying according to the sociodemographic characteristics of individuals.¹⁴ Although the perception of barriers is strongly related to PA during leisure time rather than during commuting and home and work activities,⁵ this perception varies according to gender.

A study conducted by Arango et al.¹⁵ found that the relevant barriers to physical activity were lack of motivation and time (70% and 46.2%, respectively), especially in women and overweight participants.¹⁵ Therefore, the results obtained in this study may become a tool for physical therapists to define the relevant aspects for the organization, design, and implementation of collective intervention programs, previously identifying the health model that guarantees the integration of practical strategies and focuses on promoting health in order to encourage physical activity in the communities. Therefore the aim of this study was to determine the predictors of the perception of barriers for physical activity in the adult population.

Method

Study design

This was a cross-sectional study with 1066 women and 1036 men from Barranquilla, Colombia.

Population and sample

The sampling was probabilistic, random, and multi-staged, taking into account the following selection criteria: people between the ages of 18 and 69, who are residents of the city of Barranquilla, Colombia, and who provided their informed consent. The five locations considered as primary units for sampling in the district of Barranquilla were Riomar, North-Historical Center, Southwest, Southeast, and Metropolitan; later, the neighborhoods were considered as second stage units, the streets as third stage units, the housing conglomerates as fourth stage units, and finally any man or woman between 18 and 69 years of age as the fifth stage units.

Data collection

Prior to data collection, all study subjects signed the informed consent form. To collect the data of this study, a survey was applied with questions about sociodemographic variables (age, gender, socioeconomic level, marital status, and educational level), self-perception of the health status, and barriers to PA, offering the following options: lack of time, social support, energy, motivation, skills, resources, and fear of injury. For each barrier, the participants could answer yes or no.

The level of PA was obtained through the short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). The IPAQ permitted categorizing the subjects by compliance or non-compliance to the recommendations for physical activity with health benefits, consisting of 150 min per week of moderate intensity or 75 min per week of vigorous intensity.¹⁶

In its development stage, the present study had the participation of health professionals who work as "Health Walkers" in the Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases Program, a part of the epidemiological surveillance team from the District Health Secretary's public health network. These "Health Walkers" facilitated the physical therapists' access to the neighborhoods; the application of the assessment instruments was carried out by the physical therapists participating in the research study.

Data analysis

The model was built to determine the factors associated with the barriers of physical activity. For this model, we conducted a multivariate logistic regression analysis and the results were presented as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals for each perceived barrier variable investigated that had statistical significance $p < 0.05$.

In the model, the variable representing gender took a value (1 for women and 0 for men), and likewise for marital

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants.

	Frequency	Percentage
<i>Gender</i>		
Female	1067	50.7
Male	1036	49.3
<i>Marital status</i>		
Single or living without a partner	1099	53.3
Married or living with a partner	1004	47.7
<i>Socioeconomic level</i>		
Low (levels 1 and 2)	1281	60.9
Middle (level 3)	383	18.2
High (levels 4, 5 and 6)	439	20.9
<i>Education level</i>		
Primary/secondary (complete or incomplete)	1409	67
Higher education	694	33
<i>Self-perception of health</i>		
Very good	364	17.3
Good	1263	60.1
Fair	439	20.9
Poor	37	1.7

status (1 for with partner and 0 for without partner), educational level (1 for primary/secondary education and higher education and 0 for other cases), self-perception of health (1 for poor and regular and 0 for other cases), PA levels (1 for non-compliant with recommendations and 0 for compliant). The values for each variable related to perception of barriers (i.e., lack of time, social support, energy, motivation, skill, resources, and fear of injury) were 0 for "no" and 1 for "yes". The values for socioeconomic level were 1, 2, and 3 for low-middle level and 4, 5, and 6 for high.

The analysis estimated the probability of an individual perceiving different barriers according to the characteristics presented in the study. Analysis of the information was carried out using the software SPSS statistical package (version 18.0, license number 10138194). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universidad Simón Bolívar of Barranquilla, Colombia (Approval Number 00023).

Results

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of individuals participating in the study. A higher frequency was found for people from the group of single women from low socioeconomic levels and of those with only primary or secondary education. With respect to self-perception of health, 77.4% of individuals who participated perceived their health to be good or excellent. The mean age was 35.8 years (SD = 14.3).

According to the data reported in **Table 2**, the most common barrier for PA was lack of motivation, followed by lack of time. The least frequent barrier for PA was fear of injury.

Table 2 Perception of barriers to physical activity.

Barriers to physical activity	Frequency	Percentage
Lack of time	1032	49.1
Lack of social support	988	47.0
Lack of energy	1031	49.0
Lack of motivation	1142	54.3
Fear of injury	978	46.5
Lack of skill	998	47.5
Lack of resources	1000	47.6

A higher percentage (60%) of individuals comply with the recommendations for PA to obtain health benefits.

Table 3 shows that women have a higher risk of perceiving lack of time as a barrier to conducting PA [OR 1.23 (95% CI (1.04–1.4))]. Similarly, **Table 4** shows people from low socioeconomic levels have a higher risk of perceiving lack of motivation [OR 1.76 (95% CI (1.4–2.1))] and lack of resources [OR 1.37 (95% CI (1.1–1.6))] as barriers to physical activity.

Regarding marital status, individuals with partners did not perceive the lack of social support [OR 0.29 (95% CI (0.2–0.4))] and lack of motivation [OR 0.54 (95% CI (0.4–0.7))], as barriers to PA. However, being single increases the risk of perceiving lack of time as a barrier to being physically active [OR 1.31 (95% CI (1.1–1.5))].

For the participants who had primary/secondary education, lack of social support [OR 3.81 (95% CI (3–4.7))], lack of resources [OR 2.78 (95% CI (2.2–3.3))], and fear of injury [OR 2.70 (95% CI (2.2–3.3))] were observed as barriers to PA, while lack of time was not a barrier to PA [OR 0.78 (95% CI (0.6–0.9))].

In addition, for individuals with poor or regular self-perception of health, lack of resources and lack of energy [OR 1.32 (95% CI (1.1–1.6))] were seen as barriers to PA. Finally, for the group who comply with the recommendations for PA, lack of social support, lack of energy, fear of injury, lack of skill, and lack of resources did not represent barriers to PA.

Tables 3 and 4 show the beta coefficients of the variables that were included in the logistics regression model. For the time barrier, the equation of the adjusted model is:

$$P(\text{time barrier}) = \frac{e^y}{1 + e^y},$$

where

$$y = -0.3 + 0.2 * \text{gender} - 0.25 * \text{socioeconomic level} \\ + 0.23 * \text{marital status} - 0.23 * \text{educational level} \\ - 0.06 * \text{self-perception of health} \\ - 0.012 * \text{physical activity levels}$$

The regression equation of the multivariate model for female (=1), socioeconomic level (=1), with a partner (=1), without higher education (=1), poor and regular self-perception of health (=1) and non-compliance with PA recommendations (=1), is:

$$y = -0.3 + (0.2 * 1) + (-0.25 * 1) + (0.23 * 1) + (-0.23 * 1) \\ + (-0.06 * 1) + (-0.12 * 1) = -0.53$$

Table 3 Factors associated with the perception of barriers to physical activity: lack of time, lack of social support, and lack of energy.

	Lack of time			Lack of social support			Lack of energy		
	<i>B</i>	Sig.	OR (95% CI)	<i>B</i>	Sig.	OR (95% CI)	<i>B</i>	Sig.	OR (95% CI)
<i>Gender</i>									
Female	0.2	0.01	1.23 (1.04–1.4)	−0.09	0.3	0.91 (0.7–1.1)	0.08	0.3	1.10 (0.9–1.3)
Male	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Socioeconomic level</i>									
Low-middle	−0.02	0.09	0.97 (0.8–1.4)	0.1	0.2	1.20 (0.9–1.3)	0.08	0.3	1.10 (0.8–1.3)
High	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Marital status</i>									
Married or living with a partner	0.2	0.01	1.25 (1.1–1.5)	−1.2	0.000	0.29 (0.2–0.4)	−1	0.000	0.37 (0.3–0.5)
Single or living without a partner	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Educational level</i>									
Primary/secondary education	−0.2	0.01	0.78 (0.6–0.9)	1.3	0.000	3.81 (3–4.7)	0.9	0.000	2.56 (2.1–3.1)
Higher education	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Self-perception of health</i>									
Poor/fair	−0.06	0.5	0.93 (0.7–1.1)	0.2	0.2	1.16 (0.9–1.4)	0.2	0.01	1.32 (1.1–1.6)
Very good/good	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Physical activity levels</i>									
Non-compliant with the recommendation	−0.01	0.8	0.98 (0.8–1.1)	−0.07	0.4	0.92 (0.7–1.2)	−0.1	0.09	0.85 (0.7–1.1)
Compliant with the recommendation	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Constant</i>	−0.03	0.8	0.97	0.3	0.06	1.40	0.2	0.2	1.25

Table 4 Factors associated with the perception of barriers to physical activity: lack of motivation, skill, resources, and fear of injury.

	Lack of motivation			Fear of injury			Lack of skill			Lack of resources		
	B	Sig.	OR (95% CI)	B	Sig.	OR (95% CI)	B	Sig.	OR 95% CI	B	Sig.	OR 95% CI
<i>Gender</i>												
Female	0.00	0.9	0.90 (0.8–1.1)	−0.07	0.4	0.93 (0.7–1.1)	−0.03	0.8	0.98 (0.8–1.1)	0.1	0.1	1.14 (0.9–1.3)
Male	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Socio-economic level</i>												
Low-middle	0.5	0.00	1.76 (1.4–2.1)	0.02	0.7	1.10 (0.8–1.2)	0.04	0.6	1.10 (0.8–1.2)	0.3	0.00	1.37 (1.1–1.6)
High	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Marital status</i>												
Married or living with a partner	−0.6	0.00	0.54 (0.4–0.7)	−0.8	0.00	0.44 (0.3–0.5)	−1	0.00	0.35 (0.2–0.4)	−0.9	0.00	0.38 (0.2–0.4)
Single or living without a partner	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Educational level</i>												
Primary/secondary education	0.3	0.00	1.38 (1.2–1.7)	0.9	0.00	2.70 (2.2–3.3)	1.1	0.00	3.27 (2.6–4.0)	1	0.00	2.78 (2.2–3.3)
Higher education	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Self-perception of health</i>												
Poor/fair	0.09	0.4	1.10 (0.8–1.3)	0.1	0.1	1.16 (0.9–1.4)	0.07	0.5	1.10 (0.8–1.3)	0.2	0.01	1.32 (1.1–1.6)
Very good/good	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Physical activity levels</i>												
Non-compliant with recommendation	0.1	0.09	1.16 (0.9–1.3)	−0.1	0.2	0.89 (0.7–1.1)	−0.1	0.1	0.85 (0.7–1)	−0.2	0.02	0.80 (0.6–0.9)
Compliant with recommendation	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)	0	–	1 (–)
<i>Constant</i>	−0.5	0.00	0.59	0.3	0.06	1.40	0.4	0.01	1.57	0.2	0.2	1.24

$$P(\text{time barrier}) = \frac{e^{-0.53}}{1 + e^{-0.53}} = 0.37$$

Discussion

Through physical activity, it is possible to reach a balance between physical and mental health.¹⁰ Our findings show that people from socioeconomic strata 1 and 2 are at higher risk of perceiving lack of motivation and lack of resources as barriers, while individuals with partners did not perceive lack of social support and lack of motivation as barriers to PA and individuals with low education perceived lack of social support, lack of resources, and fear of injury as barriers.

Based on these results, it is possible to infer that lack of motivation and time are identified as the most common barriers to PA in the adults of Barranquilla. This data coincides with that reported by Sharifi et al.,⁹ whose findings demonstrated that lack of time and lack of motivation were the most important external and internal barriers, respectively, to PA in a group of obese and overweight women. Being an adult from a low socioeconomic level in the city is the risk factor most strongly related with the perceived barriers of lack of motivation and lack of resources. Similarly Ibrahim et al.¹⁷ report that individuals with lower economic income perceive greater personal barriers, like not having extra energy to exercise after work, along with limited talent and self-discipline for PA, among others.

In this study, the participants recognized lack of resources as a barrier to being physically active. Lack of information on the different domains of PA may also lead people to overlook their homes, workplaces, and neighborhoods as suitable settings for PA without considerable costs. In this sense, Bouma et al.¹⁸ recommend counseling on the perception of barriers including strategies such as PA knowledge and experience and increased intrinsic motivation, which is essential to achieving long-term positive responses.

In the study by Moore et al.,¹⁹ married individuals showed higher PA levels than those who were single. Their results agree with those of the present study, in which the perception of barriers was lower, thus increasing the possibility of being more physically active. Although the findings are diverse, having a partner is associated with diminished PA in young women, and widowhood and divorce tend to reduce it in men. Transitions in interpersonal relationships also have positive and negative effects on PA with health benefits.²⁰

The percentage of adults in Barranquilla who comply with the recommendations of 150 min per week of moderate activity exceeds 50% of the population, a value similar to the national average in Colombia (ENSIN 2010), surpassing the global average.³ Although in this study the most active individuals perceive fewer barriers to PA (except for lack of resources), other studies²¹ have found an inverse relation between being physically active and the perception of lack of time, explaining that the priorities of inactive people are different in the use of time.²²

Regarding the prediction model, it must be highlighted how the interrelation of different variables and associations is statistically significant, with agreement among values of barriers and the perception of PA. It could be established

that the factors that predict the perception of barriers to PA are mainly marital status and educational level because of their relationship with behaviors that promote health.²³

Likewise, other authors²⁴ propose that the main barriers related to social environments are lack of time, not knowing where to exercise, and high prices, etc. In the study by Serra Puyal et al.,²⁵ the principal barrier to PA was lack of time, as presented in this study, and it corresponds to that described by Herazo et al.²⁶ and Pinillos et al.²⁷

The subjective measurement of the barriers to PA places a limitation on the current research against other studies using objective methods to identify them. Thus, future research is recommended to address the issue of barriers to other approaches.

It is imperative in the city to develop greater social support for PA through social support to be provided by government and private institutions to improve access to adequate spaces or equipment that facilitate active lifestyles, as well as safe physical and social environments. In this regard, future research should include projects in which physical therapists design and implement health interventions to fit the characteristics of the population, allowing them to overcome barriers to PA. These strategies will increase their confidence and motivate them to exercise according to global recommendations.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by Universidad Simón Bolívar in Barranquilla and by the Health Department of the District of Barranquilla.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*; 2010. Geneva. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599979_eng.pdf.
2. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC, Guthold R, Haskell W, Ekelund U. Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Lancet*. 2012;380:247–257. PMID: 22818937.
3. Instituto Colombiano de Bienestar Familiar. *Encuesta Nacional de la Situación Nutricional en Colombia 2010*. Bogotá: ENSIN; 2010 <http://www.icbf.gov.co/portal/page/portal/PortalICBF/Bienestar/ENSIN1/ENSIN2010/LibroENSIN2010.pdf>.
4. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RF, Martin BW. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet*. 2012;380:258–271. PMID: 22818938.
5. Cerin E, Leslie E, Sugiyama T, Owen N. Perceived barriers to leisure-time physical activity in adults: an ecological perspective. *J Phys Act Health*. 2010;7(4):451–459. PMID: 20683086.
6. Baert V, Gorusa H, Metsa T, Geertsma C, Bautmans I. Motivators and barriers for physical activity in the oldest old: a systematic review. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2011;10(4):464–474. PMID: 21570493.
7. Fox AM, Mann DM, Ramos MA, Kleiman LC, Horowitz CR. Barriers to physical activity in East Harlem, New York. *J Obes*. 2012;2012:1–8. PMID: 22848797.

8. Moore J, Jilcott S, Shores K, Evenson K, Brownson R, Novick L. A qualitative examination of perceived barriers and facilitators of physical activity for urban and rural youth. *Health Educ Res.* 2010;25(2):355–367. PMID: 20167607.
9. Sharifi N, Mahdavi R, Ebrahimi-Mameghani M. Perceived barriers to weight loss programs for overweight or obese women. *Health Promot Perspect.* 2013;3(1):11–22. PMID: 24688948.
10. Lovell GP, Ansari WE, Parker JK. Perceived exercise benefits and barriers of non-exercising female university students in the United Kingdom. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2010;7(3):784–798. PMID: 20617003.
11. Pan SY, Cameron C, DesMeules M, Morrison H, Craig CL, Jiang X. Individual, social, environmental, and physical environmental correlates with physical activity among Canadians: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2009;9(21):1–12. PMID: 19149865.
12. Martínez de Quel O, Fernández E, Camacho M. Percepción de dificultades para la práctica de actividad física en chicas adolescentes y su evolución con la edad. *Apunts Educ Fis Deport.* 2010;99(1):92–99.
13. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav.* 2004;31(2):143–164. PMID: 15090118.
14. Reichert FF, Barros AJ, Domingues MR, Hallal PC. The role of perceived personal barriers to engagement in leisure-time physical activity. *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97(3):515–519. PMID: 17267731.
15. Arango EF, Patino FA, Quintero MA, Arenas MM. Physical activity levels, barriers, and stage of change in an urban population from a municipality in Colombia. *Colomb Med.* 2011;42(3):352–361.
16. International Physical Activity Questionnaire. *Guidelines for Data Processing and Analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), in Short and Long Forms;* 2005:1–15.
17. Ibrahim S, Karim NA, Oon LN, Ngah Z. Perceived physical activity barriers related to body weight status and sociodemographic factors among Malaysian men in Klang Valley. *BMC Public Health.* 2013;13(275):1–10. PMID: 23530696.
18. Bouma A, Wilgen P, Dijkstra A. The barrier-belief approach in the counseling of physical activity. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2015;98(2):129–136. PMID: 25455791.
19. Moore SC, Patel AV, Matthews CE, et al. Leisure time physical activity of moderate to vigorous intensity and mortality: a large pooled cohort analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2012;9(11):1–14. PMID: 23139642.
20. Uribe BX, Agudelo CC. Inactividad física y factores de riesgo: aproximación a un modelo interpretativo para Bogotá. *Rev Salud Pública.* 2011;13(4):597–609.
21. Costello E, Kafchinski M, Vrazel J, Sullivan P. Motivators, barriers, and beliefs regarding physical activity in an older adult population. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* 2011;34(3):138–147. PMID: 21937904.
22. Brinthaup T, Kang M, Anshel M. A delivery model for overcoming psycho-behavioral barriers to exercise. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2010;11:259–266.
23. Triviño VZ. Conductas promotoras de salud en estudiantes de una institución de educación superior. *Aquichan.* 2012;12(3):275–285.
24. Martínez J, Jiménez BJ, Campos A, Del Hierro D, Martín M, González MD. Barreras organizativas y sociales para la práctica de actividad física en la vejez. *Motricidad: Eur J Hum Mov.* 2007;19:13–35.
25. Serra Puyal JR, Genedro E, Zaragoza J. Barreras para la realización de la actividad física en adolescentes en la provincia de Huesca. *Rev Int Med Act Fis Deporte.* 2010;10(39):470–482.
26. Herazo BA, Crissien QE, Vidarte CJ, Pinillos YP, Suárez PD. *Factores asociados a la inactividad física en personas de 18 a 69 años del Distrito de Barranquilla.* 1ª ed. Barranquilla: Ediciones Universidad Simón Bolívar; 2013.
27. Pinillos YP, Herazo BA, Vidarte CJ, Suárez PD, Crissien QE, Rodríguez PC. Factores asociados a la inactividad física en personas adultas de Barranquilla (Colombia). *Salud Uninorte Barranquilla.* 2014;30(3):418–430.