Research Article

Preparation and Enhancement of Thermal Conductivity of Heat Transfer Oil-Based MoS$_2$ Nanofluids

Yuan-Xian Zeng,[1] Xiu-Wen Zhong,[1] Zhao-Qing Liu,[1] Shuang Chen,[2] and Nan Li[1]

[1] School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangzhou Key Laboratory for Environmentally Functional Materials and Technology, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China
[2] Guangzhou Institute of Railway Technology, Guangzhou 510430, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Nan Li; nanli518@126.com

The lipophilic MoS$_2$ nanoparticles are synthesized by surface modification with stearic acid (SA). The heat transfer oil-based nanofluids, with the mass fraction of lipophilic nanoparticles varying from 0.25% up to 1.0%, are prepared and their thermal conductivity is determined at temperatures ranging from 40 to 200°C using an apparatus based on the laser flash method. It has been found that the nanofluids have higher thermal conductivity and the thermal conductivity enhancement increased not only with increasing mass fraction of nanoparticles, but also with increasing temperature in the range 40–180°C The results show a 38.7% enhancement of the thermal conductivity of MoS$_2$ nanofluid with only 1.0% mass fraction at 180°C.

1. Introduction

Heat transfer fluids, such as water, ethylene glycol, and mineral oil, play important roles in many thermal transport applications. Their low thermal conductivity is one of the limits of the traditional heat transfer fluids. In order to improve the low thermal characteristics of the conventional heat transfer fluids, solid nanoparticles are added to form nanofluids, which were first introduced by Choi [1]. Enhanced thermophysical properties are the main favorable characteristics of nanofluids based on the fact that most solids have much higher thermal conductivities than common fluids. In the past decade, enhanced thermal conductivities of the water or ethylene glycol-based nanofluids containing a small amount of metals, metal oxides, nonmetallic materials, and polymers have been reported [2–8]. Currently many efforts have been focused on the oil-based nanofluids because of the needs in many industry fields to develop heat transfer fluids with preferred heat transfer properties in high temperature. Various oil-based nanofluids with silicon oil, diathermic oil, engine oil, and heat transfer oil used as the based fluid have been prepared [9–12].

However, the nanoparticles are easily sedimented after being added to the oil because of the poor compatibility between the nanoparticles and the base oil. The dispersion of nanoparticles in oils is still a principal problem for the application of nanofluids. In order to obtain better dispersion, an appropriate lipophilic modification process can be employed to improve the compatibility between the nanoparticles and the oil-based fluid. The organic ligands with long hydrocarbon chains were linked to the surface of the nanoparticles, and the surface-modified nanoparticles possess good dispersion behavior in oils. So far, most of these oil-soluble nanoparticles are investigated as lubricating oil additives [13–16], and only a few of them are used to prepare nanofluids for thermal conductivity enhancement [17, 18].

Molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) has a layered structure and has been known and used as a lubricant and catalyst for several years because of its unique properties such as anisotropy, chemically inertness, and photocorrosion resistance [19]. The MoS$_2$ nanoparticles, which are capped by dialkyldithiophosphate, have been synthesized and exhibit good lubrication properties [20, 21]. The sodium oleate and cetylamine also have been used together as the surface

(a)   (b)   (c)

FIGURE 1: Sedimentation behavior of 0.5 wt% MoS_2 and $SA-MoS_2$ nanoparticles in cyclohexane: (a) stay 10 min, (b) stay 1 h, and (c) stay 48 h (a1, b1, c1: $SA-MoS_2$ nanoparticles; a2, b2, c2: bare MoS_2 nanoparticles).

modified reagents, and the oil-soluble MoS_2 nanoparticles product can be directly used as hydrogenation nanocatalysts [22]. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have been reported on the increased thermal conductivity of MoS_2 nanofluids. Furthermore, the heat transfer oil is the preferred heat transfer medium in high temperature. So it is worthwhile to prepare and investigate heat transfer oil-based nanofluids containing MoS_2 nanoparticles.

In the present work, MoS_2 nanoparticles capped by stearic acid ($SA-MoS_2$) are synthesized via a simple solution method. And the heat transfer oil-based nanofluids are prepared by dispersing these modified MoS_2 nanoparticles with dibenzyl toluene as base fluid. The as-prepared nanofluids exhibit higher thermal conductivity compared to the base oil.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and Materials. Hydrazine hydrate (80%) was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Chemical Regent Co., Ltd (China). Heat transfer oil B350, which is one of the trade names of dibenzyl toluene, was obtained from Shanghai Ethylene Chemical Co. Ltd (China). All other reagents were of research grade or better and were obtained from commercial sources.

2.2. Synthesis of MoS_2 Nanoparticles. The surface-modified MoS_2 nanoparticles were prepared as follows: $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ (5.0 mmol) and 3.0 mmol stearic acid were dissolved in 25.0 mL distilled water and 25.0 mL absolute alcohol at 80°C in a 250 mL three-necked flask. Then 0.6 mL $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ was added into the reaction flask and kept at that temperature for 1 h. After that the hydrochloric acid (1.0 mol/L) was added slowly into the reaction solution to adjust the pH value below 1.0 and stirred for 6 h. Then the temperature fell to 60°C, and 75.0 mL sodium sulfide solution (0.20 mol/L) was dropped slowly into the reaction solution and reacted for 8 h. The

products were filtered, washed with hot ethanol, and then dried overnight in a vacuum at 60°C.

The nonmodified MoS_2 nanoparticles were prepared by the same method only without stearic acid added.

2.3. Preparation of Nanofluids. The MoS_2 nanofluids were prepared by dispersing the surface-modified MoS_2 nanoparticles in heat transfer oil B350 as base liquid. The samples were homogenized for about 5 min by ultrasonic vibration to ensure proper dispersion of the nanoparticles.

2.4. Characterization and Measurements. The phase analysis of surface-modified MoS_2 was conducted by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 ADVANCE) with Cu-Kα radiation. The morphology of MoS_2 was analyzed by using a JEOL JSM-7001F field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). A Nicolet 6700 ESP Fourier transform infrared spectrometer was used for FT-IR spectrum recording of the samples.

The long-term stability of MoS_2 nanoparticles in organic solvents was characterized by the sediment test. The MoS_2 nanoparticles which were introduced to cyclohexane and heat transfer oil B350, respectively, underwent ultrasonic vibration for about 10 min and were allowed to stand for more than 20 days. The thermal conductivity of MoS_2 nanofluids was measured based on the laser flash method using the LFA447 Thermal Conductivity Properties Analyzer (NETZSCH, Germany).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of MoS_2 Nanoparticles. The results of sedimentation test of two types of MoS_2 nanoparticles suspended in cyclohexane are shown in Figure 1. Solution containing bare MoS_2 nanoparticles has high settling rate and

FIGURE 2: XRD patterns of (a) MoS_2 nanoparticles without being annealed, (b) the samples annealed at 600°C for 1 h, and (c) the samples annealed at 800°C for 1 h.


FIGURE 3: FT-IR spectrum of the stearic acid (a) and stearic acid modified MoS_2 nanoparticles (b).

became transparent in less than 1 h. Solution containing SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles has much lower settling rate and remains in the supernatant for a long time, which illuminates that grafting long carbon chain molecule on the MoS_2 nanoparticles could significantly improve its stability in organic solvent. SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles exhibit better dispersion behavior in heat transfer oil because of the higher viscosity. The solution remains turbid even after 20 days.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles. Figure 2(a) gives only a broad weak peak (002) in its characteristic region $2\theta = 14.2°$, which is the most important peak while other diffractions planes appeared in smaller intensities. Figure 2(a) indicates that the obtained MoS_2 products are amorphous with poor crystals and highly disordered layered structure, which is consistent with the earlier reports [23, 24]. This can be explained by the randomness and the tensile force of the crystals and only a few layers of MoS_2 stack along this direction. It has been reported that

the crystallinity of the MoS_2 prepared by liquid precipitation method could be improved by annealing at high temperature [25]. Figures 2(b) and 2(c) give the XRD patterns of the prepared MoS_2 samples which were annealed for 1 h under the flow of N_2 at 600°C and 800°C, respectively. In Figures 2(b) and 2(c), with the annealing temperature increasing from 600°C to 800°C, the peaks of (002), (100), (103), (105), and (110) became high and sharp increasingly, which indicates that the crystals of MoS_2 samples are much thicker and the well-stacked layered structure of MoS_2 formed when the MoS_2 samples were roasted. And the diffraction peaks can be readily indexed to the hexagonal phase of MoS_2 (JCPDS no. 37-1492) with lattice constants $a = 0.3161$ nm, $b = 0.3161$ nm, and $c = 1.2299$ nm. The above analysis results also indicate that the crystallinity of the MoS_2 samples could be usefully enhanced by the annealing.

Infrared spectra of stearic acid and surface-modified MoS_2 nanoparticles are shown in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3(a), the peaks at 2848 cm^{-1} and 2917 cm^{-1} are assigned to the stretching vibrations of –CH_2 groups. The peak at around 1706 cm^{-1} belongs to carbonyl stretch of stearic acid, which disappeared in the spectrum of SA-MoS_2 (Figure 3(b)), indicating that the chemical reaction took place between stearic acid and MoS_2. As shown in Figure 3(b), the new appearance of two characteristic peaks at 1628 cm^{-1} and 1556 cm^{-1} is observed which belong to the symmetric and asymmetric carboxylate group stretching band, $v(COO-)_{asym}$ and $v(COO-)_{sym}$, respectively [26]. All above results show that the stearic acid has reacted with MoS_2 nanoparticles and formed new chemical bonds, and the carboxylate radical of stearic acid did not dissociate after the reaction of stearic acid with MoS_2 nanoparticles. So it is found that the disappearance of characteristic peaks of carboxyl (–COOH) of stearic acid in Figure 3(b) confirms the formation of a monomolecular layer of stearic acid surrounding the MoS_2 nanoparticle surface. Because of numerous stearic acid coated onto the surface of MoS_2 nanoparticles, the long alkyl chain on the surface of MoS_2 enhances lipophilicity of products greatly. So the SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles are very soluble in heat transfer oils to easily form stable nanofluids. In addition, the coating layers should not easily separate from the surface of the MoS_2 nanoparticles when the SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles are dispersed in the oil-based fluids.

Figure 4 shows SEM image of nonmodified MoS_2 nanoparticles (Figure 4(a)) and SEM image of SA-MoS_2 nanoparticles (Figure 4(b)). It was found in Figure 4(a) that nonmodified MoS_2 nanoparticles tended to agglomerate and did not permit identification of a single particle, owing to the high surface energy. Figure 4(b) shows that the SA-MoS_2 samples are not quite inerratic spherical shape nanoparticles with diameter in the range of 50–100 nm. Comparing Figures 4(a) and 4(b), it can be concluded that the existence of the surface modification layer effectively prevented agglomeration among MoS_2 particles and led to the formation of fine nanoparticles.

*3.2. Thermal Conductivities of Nanofluids.* Figure 5 shows the effect of temperature on the enhancement of thermal

(a)

(b)

FIGURE 4: SEM images of nonmodified MoS$_2$ nanoparticles (a) and SA-MoS$_2$ nanoparticles (b).

FIGURE 5: Thermal conductivity enhancements of nanofluids with different concentrations as a function of temperatures.

conductivity of MoS$_2$ nanofluids, where λ and λ_0 are the thermal conductivity of the nanofluids and base oil at various temperatures, respectively. Heat transfer oil B350 is used as base fluid. The thermal conductivity of nanofluids with 0.25, 0.50, and 1.0% mass fractions was measured. All the thermal conductivity of nanofluids was detected at different temperatures ranging from 40 to 200°C, with steps of 20°C.

The results show that both the heat transfer oil-based MoS$_2$ nanofluids are found to have higher thermal conductivity enhancement at a much lower fraction of nanoparticles. A maximum 38.7% increase in thermal conductivity can be observed with respect to base oil at only 1.0% mass fraction with heat transfer oil B350 used as base oil. The effective thermal conductivity of heat transfer oil B350-based nanofluids increases as the mass fraction increases. These results show good agreement with the measured data of other oil-based nanofluids [10, 17, 18]. And this behavior can be explained with the increased particle-to-particle interactions at higher mass fraction because the distance between nanoparticles decreases [18].

The thermal conductivity enhancement of heat transfer oil B350-based nanofluids significantly increases with increasing temperature when the temperature is lower than 180°C. This tendency is consistent with the previously reported results which are all measured at the temperature below 80°C [9, 27, 28]. Actually, to the best of our knowledge, the thermal conductivity measurement of oil-based nanofluid at high temperature (more than 100°C) has rarely been reported yet. The most probable explanation for this sensitivity to temperature is that the Brownian motion of nanoparticles is more intense at higher temperature [27]. However, the thermal conductivity enhancement decreases when the temperature is higher than 180°C. This observation is probably attributed to vaporization of the organic oil because the flash point of dibenzyl toluene is 200°C. So it can be suggested that the vaporization is aggravated when the temperature is close to the flash point. And the considerable vapor generated in the sample holder leads to the reducing of the measuring data, since the gas has lower thermal conductivity than that of liquid. This suggestion also is suitable for why the thermal conductivity enhancement increases sharply at low temperature (below 80°C) but slowly at high temperature (above 80°C). Anyway, the heat transfer oil-based MoS$_2$ nanofluids exhibit fantastic enhancement of the thermal conductivity compared with base oil at high temperature.

4. Conclusions

The heat transfer oil-based MoS$_2$ nanofluids have been prepared by dispersing stearic acid-modified MoS$_2$ in heat transfer oil B350. The modified ligand is effective to improve the lipophilic property of MoS$_2$ nanoparticles. Thermal conductivity measurements reveal that the thermal conductivity enhancement reaches up to 38.7% at a mass fraction of only 1.0% at 180°C. And the thermal conductivities have been determined experimentally as a function of mass fraction and temperature. It has been found that the thermal conductivity increases with the mass fraction of nanoparticles. And the temperature variation has obvious effects on the thermal conductivity enhancement. Interestingly, the measured thermal conductivity enhancement decreases when the temperature

is close to the flash point of the base oil. Anyway, the long-term stability and high thermal conductivity clearly identify the lipophilic MoS_2 nanoparticles as a favorable additive in thermal energy engineering.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] S. U. S. Choi, "Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles," in *Developments and Applications of Non—Newtonian Flows*, D. A. Siginer and H. P. Wang, Eds., vol. 231, pp. 99–105, ASME FED, 1995.

[2] H. E. Patel, S. K. Das, T. Sundararajan, A. Sreekumaran Nair, B. George, and T. Pradeep, "Thermal conductivities of naked and monolayer protected metal nanoparticle based nanofluids: manifestation of anomalous enhancement and chemical effects," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 83, no. 14, pp. 2931–2933, 2003.

[3] X. F. Li, D. S. Zhu, X. J. Wang, N. Wang, J. W. Gao, and H. Li, "Thermal conductivity enhancement dependent pH and chemical surfactant for $Cu-H_2O$ nanofluids," *Thermochimica Acta*, vol. 469, no. 1-2, pp. 98–103, 2008.

[4] M. P. Beck, Y. Yuan, P. Warrier, and A. S. Teja, "The thermal conductivity of alumina nanofluids in water, ethylene glycol, and ethylene glycol + water mixtures," *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1469–1477, 2010.

[5] W. Duangthongsuk and S. Wongwises, "Measurement of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and viscosity of TiO_2-water nanofluids," *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 706–714, 2009.

[6] L. Colla, L. Fedele, M. Scattolini, and S. Bobbo, "Water-based Fe_2O_3 nanofluid characterization: thermal conductivity and viscosity measurements and correlation," *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 2012, Article ID 674947, 8 pages, 2012.

[7] Y. Ding, H. Alias, D. Wen, and R. A. Williams, "Heat transfer of aqueous suspensions of carbon nanotubes (CNT nanofluids)," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 49, no. 1-2, pp. 240–250, 2006.

[8] T. B. Blake, S. B. Paul, C. B. Jeremiah, M. L. Charles, and L. D. Jim, "Nanodiamond nanofluids for enhanced thermal conductivity," *Acs Nano*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 3183–3189, 2013.

[9] L. Chen and H. Xie, "Silicon oil based multiwalled carbon nanotubes nanofluid with optimized thermal conductivity enhancement," *Colloids and Surfaces A*, vol. 352, no. 1–3, pp. 136–140, 2009.

[10] G. Colangelo, E. Favale, A. de Risi, and D. Laforgia, "Results of experimental investigations on the heat conductivity of nanofluids based on diathermic oil for high temperature applications," *Applied Energy*, vol. 97, pp. 828–833, 2012.

[11] S. M. S. Murshed, "Simultaneous measurement of thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, and specific heat of nanofluids," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 722–731, 2012.

[12] D. Ashtiani, M. A. Akhavan-Behabadi, and M. Fakoor Pakdaman, "An experimental investigation on heat transfer characteristics of multi-walled CNT-heat transfer oil nanofluid flow inside flattened tubes under uniform wall temperature condition," *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 39, pp. 1404–1409, 2012.

[13] S. Chen and N. Li, "Synthesis and characterization of DDP-coated PbO nanoparticles," *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1124–1127, 2002.

[14] S. Zheng, R. Guan, Q. Guo, and D. Su, "The design of surface modification of amorphous ZrO_2 nanoparticles as a lubricant additive," *Surface Review and Letters*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1047–1052, 2007.

[15] L. Zhang, H. Wan, L. Chen, H. Zhou, and J. Chen, "Surface-modified Sb_2S_3 nanoparticles and their tribological behaviors in liquid paraffin," *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 846–850, 2011.

[16] X. Xiong, Y. Kang, G. Yang, S. Zhang, L. Yu, and P. Zhang, "Preparation and evaluation of tribological properties of cu nanoparticles surface modified by tetradecyl hydroxamic acid," *Tribology Letters*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 211–220, 2012.

[17] D. Li, W. Xie, and W. Fang, "Preparation and properties of copper-oil-based nanofluids," *Nanoscale Research Letters*, vol. 6, article 373, 2011.

[18] B. Wang, B. Wang, P. Wei, X. Wang, and W. Lou, "Controlled synthesis and size-dependent thermal conductivity of Fe_3O_4 magnetic nanofluids," *Dalton Transactions*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 896–899, 2012.

[19] B. Pourabbas and B. Jamshidi, "Preparation of MoS_2 nanoparticles by a modified hydrothermal method and the photocatalytic activity of MoS_2/TiO_2 hybrids in photo-oxidation of phenol," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 138, no. 1–3, pp. 55–62, 2008.

[20] Z. J. Zhang, J. Zhang, and Q. J. Xue, "Synthesis and characterization of a molybdenum disulfide nanocluster," *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 98, no. 49, pp. 12973–12977, 1994.

[21] L. Yu, P. Zhang, and Z. Du, "Tribological behavior and structural change of the LB film of MoS_2 nanoparticles coated with dialkyldithiophosphate," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 110–115, 2000.

[22] S. Wang, C. An, J. He, and Z. Wang, "Facile preparation of efficient oil-soluble MoS_2 hydrogenation nanocatalysts," *Journal of Natural Gas Chemistry*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 408–412, 2011.

[23] Y. Tian, Y. He, and Y. Zhu, "Low temperature synthesis and characterization of molybdenum disulfide nanotubes and nanorods," *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 87–90, 2004.

[24] G. Li, C. Li, H. Tang et al., "Synthesis and characterization of hollow MoS_2 microspheres grown from MoO_3 precursors," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 501, no. 2, pp. 275–281, 2010.

[25] X.-L. Li and Y.-D. Li, "MoS_2 nanostructures: synthesis and electrochemical Mg^{2+} intercalation," *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, vol. 108, no. 37, pp. 13893–13900, 2004.

[26] L. Zhang, L. Chen, H. Wan, J. Chen, and H. Zhou, "Synthesis and tribological properties of stearic acid-modified anatase (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles," *Tribology Letters*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 409–416, 2011.

[27] B. Wang, X. Wang, W. Lou, and J. Hao, "Gold-ionic liquid nanofluids with preferably tribological properties and thermal conductivity," *Nanoscale Research Letters*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 259–269, 2011.

[28] M. Saeedinia, M. A. Akhavan-Behabadi, and P. Razi, "Thermal and rheological characteristics of CuO-Base oil nanofluid flow inside a circular tube," *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 152–159, 2012.