

University of Groningen

Preserving the heritage of humanity? Obtaining world heritage status and the impacts of listing

Aa, Bart J.M. van der

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2005

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Aa, B. J. M. V. D. (2005). *Preserving the heritage of humanity? Obtaining world heritage status and the impacts of listing*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Preserving the heritage of humanity?

*Obtaining world heritage status
and the impacts of listing*

Bart J.M. van der Aa



Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research

The work in this thesis has been carried out at the faculty of spatial sciences at the University of Groningen and was supported by grant number 425-13-006 from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

ISBN: 90-9018633-6

English correction	Gina Rozario
Photos	Bart J.M. van der Aa
Printing	Febodruk b.v. Enschede
Copyright	© Bart J.M. van der Aa

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, by print or photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission by the publisher.



Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

*Preserving the heritage of humanity?
Obtaining world heritage status
and the impacts of listing*

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Ruimtelijke Wetenschappen
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
donderdag 26 mei 2005
om 16.15 uur

door

Bart Johannes Maria van der Aa

geboren op 10 november 1974
te Ootmarsum

Promotores: Prof. dr. P.P.P. Huigen
Prof. dr. G.J. Ashworth
Copromotor: dr. P.D. Groote

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof. dr. P. Howard
Prof. dr. H. Voogd
Prof. dr. A. van der Woud

Table of contents

List of figures	iv
List of tables	v
List of boxes	vi
List of photos	vii
List of abbreviations	viii
Preface	ix
Chapter 1 Conceptualising world heritage	1
1.1 Background of the world heritage convention.....	2
1.1.1 There is no world heritage	2
1.1.2 World heritage exists	3
1.1.3 The aim of the world heritage convention	5
1.2 The selection criteria.....	5
1.2.1 Dimensions of valuating heritage.....	5
1.2.2 The criterion of outstanding universal value	8
1.3 World heritage listings.....	10
1.3.1 Fulfilled natural and cultural criteria.....	10
1.3.2 Fame of listed world heritage sites.....	12
1.4 World heritage convention is much celebrated	13
1.4.1 Expectations about the world heritage convention	14
1.4.2 Unknown impacts of a world heritage listing	14
1.5 Research questions	15
1.5.1 Research design	18
1.5.2 Structure of the thesis.....	18
Chapter 2 Practising world heritage.....	19
2.1 The nomination process	19
2.1.1 Rejections, re-nominations and their reasons.....	20
2.2 Selection of world heritage sites largely nationally determined.....	22
2.2.1 Countries must be willing to participate	22
2.2.2 Countries must be willing to nominate sites	24
2.2.3 Countries must be able to nominate sites.....	25
2.2.4 High-quality sites may not be nominated.....	26

2.3 Dynamics of the world heritage list	26
2.3.1 Kind of world heritage sites	26
2.3.2 Spatial distribution of world heritage.....	30
2.4 Why has Europe so many world heritage sites?	31
2.4.1 Factors influencing the number of world heritage sites	32
2.4.2 Cultural productions per region	34
2.4.3 History as indicator for cultural production	35
2.4.4 Failure of the ‘global strategy’	37
2.5 Conducting country studies.....	38
2.5.1 Scale level of case studies	38
2.5.2 Case study methodology	38
2.5.3 Criteria for selecting case studies.....	39
2.5.4 Executing and analysing case studies	41
Chapter 3 Nominating world heritage sites.....	43
3.1 Different national selection approaches	43
3.1.1 Trajectory one – Central, highlighting one historical core.....	43
3.1.2 Trajectory two – Central, highlighting various histories.....	49
3.1.3 Trajectory three – Decentralised, highlighting various histories	55
3.2 Patterns in world heritage nominations	61
3.2.1 Pattern one – Different approaches over time	61
3.2.2 Pattern two – Different attitudes at natural and cultural sites	65
3.3 Concluding remarks.....	68
Chapter 4 Deconstructing world heritage site selection	69
4.1 Broad selection criteria.....	69
4.1.1 What scale level?	69
4.1.2 Which arguments?.....	71
4.2 Factors other than the site’s quality	74
4.2.1 Listen to the World Heritage Committee’s preferences	74
4.2.2 Selecting the easiest sites	76
4.2.3 Available heritage infrastructure.....	79
4.2.4 Nominating sites that can be controlled	82
4.2.5 Favourable local political circumstances	84
4.3 Concluding remarks.....	86
Chapter 5 Preserving world heritage	87
5.1 Instruments for better preservation of world heritage sites.....	88
5.2 Does world heritage designation help to preserve sites?.....	90
5.2.1 Dealing with threats and issues	91
5.2.2 Legal protection	94
5.2.3 Management plans and management bodies	96
5.2.4 Financial support.....	98
5.2.5 The list of world heritage in danger	103
5.3 Concluding remarks.....	106

Chapter 6 Touring world heritage	107
6.1 World heritage sites as leading tourist attractions.....	107
6.2 Visitors at world heritage sites.....	108
6.2.1 Visitor numbers.....	109
6.2.2 Visitor patterns.....	111
6.3 Factors influencing the number of visitors to world heritage sites.....	114
6.3.1 Tourist routes	115
6.3.2 Promotion.....	116
6.3.3 Media attention	119
6.4 Visitor management at world heritage sites.....	121
6.4.1 Unchanging visitor management.....	121
6.4.2 Adapting the physical environment for tourism purposes	122
6.5 Concluding remarks.....	126
Chapter 7 Meanings of world heritage	127
7.1 Research questions	127
7.1.1 Are the ‘best’ heritage sites selected?	127
7.1.2 Does selection raise the level of preservation?	129
7.1.3 Does tourism endanger the site after selection?	130
7.1.4 Is the heritage of humanity better preserved?	130
7.2 Benefits for various actors.....	131
7.2.1 UNESCO.....	131
7.2.2 Countries	132
7.2.3 World heritage sites	133
7.3 Future strategies for the world heritage convention	134
7.4 Further research.....	135
Summary	137
Samenvatting	141
Appendix 1 List of interviewed organisations	145
Appendix 2 World heritage participants	149
Appendix 3 World Heritage Committee	152
Appendix 4 World heritage site nominations	153
List of references	169
Place name index	187

List of figures

Figure 1-1: Spatial distribution of world heritage sites.....	11
Figure 1-2: Average number of met criteria, 1978-2003.	11
Figure 1-3: Number of designated sites per year, 1978-2003.	13
Figure 1-4: An outline of the research.	15
Figure 2-1: Schematic overview of the nomination process for the world heritage list.	20
Figure 2-2: Share of listed world heritage sites per attempt, per kind and continent ...	21
Figure 2-3: The number of listed world heritage sites in three categories, 1978-2003 .	27
Figure 2-4: Share of world heritage sites on world heritage posters and list.	29
Figure 2-5: Year-end proportion of listed sites on each continent.	30
Figure 2-6: Dates when listed cultural world heritage sites were built.	31
Figure 2-7: Share of rejected and listed sites per continent, 1978-2003.	33
Figure 2-8: Average year of construction of listed world heritage sites according to year of listing, three-year average.....	34
Figure 2-9: Continents' share of world heritage sites and attention given in two history books.....	36
Figure 2-10: Representation of different continents in <i>Timelines</i> , per period.....	37
Figure 3-1: World heritage sites in Poland.....	44
Figure 3-2: Organisations involved in nominating world heritage sites in the Netherlands.	47
Figure 3-3: World heritage sites in the Netherlands.....	48
Figure 3-4: Organisations involved in nominating world heritage sites in Mexico.	49
Figure 3-5: World heritage sites in Mexico.....	50
Figure 3-6: World heritage sites in the United States of America.....	54
Figure 3-7: World heritage sites in Spain.....	56
Figure 3-8: World heritage sites in the United Kingdom.....	60
Figure 4-1: Share of listed sites belonging to World Heritage Committee member countries.....	81
Figure 5-1: Spatial distribution of world heritage sites in danger, 1978-2003.....	90
Figure 5-2: Four world heritage instruments and five fields of action to preserve sites.....	90
Figure 6-1: Number of visitors at natural and cultural world heritage sites in the United States of America.	111
Figure 6-2: Average number of visitors at four Welsh world heritage castles and fourteen other Welsh castles, 2001.	114
Figure 6-3: World heritage labels: tours (left) and convention (right).....	116

List of tables

Table 2-1: Overview of countries that qualify as case studies.	41
Table 3-1: Reasons at the local level to agree with or to initiate a world heritage nomination for centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	64
Table 3-2: Location of world heritage sites in case countries.	65
Table 3-3: Reasons at the local level to agree with or to initiate a world heritage nomination for cultural and natural sites.	65
Table 4-1: Highest scale-level of comparative analysis of (tentatively) listed sites.	70
Table 4-2: Presence of heritage NGOs and world heritage sites in countries (panel A) and the average number of particular kinds of world heritage sites (panel B).	80
Table 5-1: Multi-use and single-use world heritage sites facing conflicting aims.	87
Table 5-2: Sources of income for the World Heritage Fund, 2002.	89
Table 5-3: Scale level of support for world heritage sites to deal with threats and issues at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	91
Table 5-4: Existence of management plans at sites listed before and after 1988.	96
Table 5-5: Scale level of financial support for centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	100
Table 6-1: Change in visitor numbers as a result of world heritage listing at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	109
Table 6-2: Change in visitor numbers as a result of world heritage listing at cultural and natural sites.	110
Table 6-3: Dominant type of visitor as a result of world heritage listing in the case countries.	112
Table 6-4: Types of visitors as a result of world heritage listing at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	113
Table 6-5: Change in media attention as a result of world heritage listing at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	119
Table 6-6: Dominant scale level of increasing media attention as a result of world heritage listing at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	120
Table 6-7: Increase in visitor facilities after a world heritage listing at centrally and non-centrally nominated sites.	122

List of boxes

Box 1-1: Modifications to cultural and natural world heritage criteria since 1980.....	9
Box 2-1: Representations of world heritage sites on UNESCO's world heritage poster.....	29
Box 2-2: History books as indicator for the number of world heritage sites.....	36
Box 3-1: The composition of national selection committees.....	67
Box 4-1: The listing of the first twelve world heritage sites in 1978.....	73
Box 4-2: Nomination of Aranjuez cultural landscape.....	75
Box 5-1: Inadequate national protection of cultural landscapes.....	95
Box 5-2: Preserving world heritage sites in the United States of America.....	102
Box 5-3: Reactionary approach of the list of world heritage in danger.....	105
Box 6-1: Worldheritagetours.com.....	115
Box 6-2: Usage of the world heritage label.....	118
Box 6-3: Representation of world heritage sites and status in the <i>Lonely Planet</i>	120
Box 6-4: 'Vandalism' of world heritage symbols.....	125

List of photos

Photo 1-1: Two geological sites: Grand Canyon and Dorset and East Devon Coast.....	8
Photo 3-1: The world heritage nomination of the Auschwitz concentration camp was less contested than the Castle of the Teutonic Order in Malbork in communist Poland.....	46
Photo 3-2: ‘The Dutch battle against water’: Wouda pumping station and Kinderdijk.....	47
Photo 3-3: Pre-colonial, colonial and post-colonial heritage in Mexico: Chichén-Itzá, Puebla, and the home study museum of Diego Rivera and Frida Kahlo.....	51
Photo 3-4: American cultural world heritage sites show pre-colonial and colonial sites: Mesa Verde and Monticello.....	53
Photo 3-5: United Kingdom’s nominations are spread throughout the country: Giant’s Causeway, Fountains Abbey, Blenheim Palace and Canterbury Cathedral.....	59
Photo 4-1: Contested world heritage sites: Zacatecas’s cathedral façade and Baeza....	72
Photo 4-2: Flag at Wieliczka, celebrating the inscription of Kraków and Wieliczka on the first world heritage list.....	73
Photo 4-3: Palace and surrounding village of Aranjuez, including town hall.....	75
Photo 4-4: World heritage sites are often nationally owned: Auschwitz, Palenque, Aranjuez and Yellowstone.....	82
Photo 5-1: Multi-use world heritage sites: Ironbridge Gorge and Cahokia Mounds. ...	88
Photo 5-2: Streetscape of two Mexican world heritage cities: Morelia and Zacatecas.	92
Photo 5-3: No national support at world heritage sites: Xochimilco and Malbork.....	93
Photo 5-4: Backlog and decay in the Polish world heritage city of Zamość.....	100
Photo 5-5: The renovation of buildings in historic cities is largely left to the owners: Toruń and Aranjuez.....	102
Photo 5-6: Local developments at world heritage sites: Ávila and Auschwitz.....	105
Photo 6-1: Varying visitor pressure: Everglades and Kalwarija Zebrzydowska.....	113
Photo 6-2: Using the status: Xochimilco, Mammoth cave, Atapuerca, and Aranjuez.	118
Photo 6-3: Provision of visitor facilities at world heritage sites: Parking facilities at Aranjuez and Kalwarija Zebrzydowska.....	123
Photo 6-4: Renovation in Lugo has to precede new tourism developments.....	123
Photo 6-5: World heritage as a means of claiming space: Tàrraco.....	124
Photo 6-6: Graffiti on world heritage symbols: Guanajuato, Morelia and Ávila.....	125
Photo 7-1: World heritage sites as identity carriers for states and streets: Grand Canyon and Wouda steam pumping station.....	129