NASA Contractor Report 2948



Pressure Distributions for the GA(W)-2 Airfoil With 20% Aileron, 25% Slotted Flap and 30% Fowler Flap

W. H. Wentz, Jr., and K. A. Fiscko

GRANT NSG-1165 FEBRUARY 1978

FOR EARLY DOMESTIC DISSEMINATION

Because of its significant early commercial potential, this information, which has been developed under a U.S. Government program, is being disseminated within the United States in advance of general publication. This information may be duplicated and used by the recipient with the express limitation that it not be published. Release of this information to other domestic parties by the recipient shall be made subject to these limitations.

Foreign release may be made only with prior NASA approval and appropriate export licenses. This legend shall be marked on any reproduction of this information in whole or in part.

Date for general release February 1980





NASA Contractor Report 2948

۰

Pressure Distributions for the GA(W)-2 Airfoil With 20% Aileron, 25% Slotted Flap and 30% Fowler Flap

W. H. Wentz, Jr., and K. A. Fiscko Wichita State University Wichita, Kansas

Prepared for Langley Research Center under Grant NSG-1165



Scientific and Technical Information Office

.

FOR EARLY DOMESTIC DISSEMINATION

Because of its significant early commercial potential, this information, which has been developed under a U.S. Government program, is being disseminated within the United States in advance of general publication. This information may be duplicated and and used by the recipient with the express limitation that it not be published. Release of this information to other domestic parties by the recipient shall be made subject to these limitations. Foreign release may be made only with prior NASA approval and appropriate export licenses. This legend shall be marked on any reproduction of this information in whole or in part.

Date for general release: February 1980.

iii

·

I

SUMMARY

Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13% thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and a Mach number of 0.13. Pressure distribution and force and moment coefficient measurements are compared with theoretical results for a number of cases. Agreement between theory and experiment is generally good for low angles of attack and small flap deflections. For high angles and large flap deflections where regions of separation are present, the theory is inadequate. Theoretical drag predictions are poor for all flap-extended cases.

INTRODUCTION

This report documents experimental surface pressure distributions for the GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap, and 30% Fowler flap. Pressure distributions and aerodynamic characteristics of the basic GA(W)-2airfoil section have been reported earlier (ref. 1). Wind tunnel force measurements of the airfoil with high-lift and control devices including optimizations of flap settings have been conducted at WSU, and the results of that research have been reported in ref. 2.

Theoretical computer calculations of pressure distributions using the methods of refs. 3 and 4 are presented for a number of cases. The purpose of the present research is to determine actual pressure distributions for the new airfoil with high-lift and control devices, and to compare both experimental pressure distributions and overall aerodynamic force and moment results with theoretical predictions.

SYMBOLS

Dimensional quantities are given in both International (SI) Units and U.S. Customary Units. Measurements were made in U.S. Customary Units. Conversion factors between the various units may be found in ref. 5. The symbols used in the present report are defined as follows:

- c Airfoil reference chord (flap nested)
- cd Coefficient of drag, section drag/(c x dynamic pressure)
- c_l Coefficient of lift, section lift/(c x dynamic pressure)
- cm Pitching moment coefficient, section moment about
 .25c/(c² x dynamic pressure)
- cma Airfoil forward section moment coefficient, moment about leading edge/(c² x dynamic pressure)

- cmf Flap moment coefficient, moment about leading edge/ (c² x dynamic pressure)
- cna Airfoil forward section normal force coefficient, normal force/ (c x dynamic pressure)
- cnai Aileron normal force coefficient, normal force/ (c x dynamic pressure)
- cnf Flap normal force coefficient, normal force/ (c x dynamic pressure)
- c _ Coefficient of pressure, (p p_{∞})/dynamic pressure
- flap cove length
- p Pressure
- x Coordinate along airfoil chord
- z Coordinate normal to airfoil chord
- α Angle of attack, degrees
- δ Rotation of control surface from nested position, degrees. (Trailing edge down is positive.)

Subscripts

- a Airfoil forward element
- ai Aileron
- f Flap
- p Pivot point for flap
- ∞ Free-stream conditions

APPARATUS AND TEST METHODS

Model Description

The GA(W)-2 airfoil section is a 13% maximum thickness airfoil section derived from the 17% GA(W)-1 section (ref. 6). For tests in the WSU two-dimensional facility, models are sized with 91.4 cm (36 inch) span and 61.0 cm (24 inch) chord. All models were equipped with 1.07 mm (0.042 inch) diameter pressure taps.

Model geometric details and flap pivot locations are given in figure 1.

Instrumentation

Pressure measurements were made using as many as 96 pressure channels multiplexed into 4 pressure transducers through a series of pressure switches. The unbonded-strain gage type transducers are connected to precision digital strain indicators for conversion from analog to digital data. The digital data are recorded on punch cards for off-line processing through the WSU Digital Computing Center. System resolution is ± 2.4 newtons/meter² (0.05 psf) which corresponds to ± 0.2 % of dynamic pressure for the present tests. Figure 2 shows a pressure measurement schematic.

Test Procedure

All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and Mach number of 0.13. Transition strips consisting of 2.5 mm (.10 inch) wide strips of #80 carborundum grit were applied to the upper surface at 5% chord and to the lower surface at 10% chord. All pressure data have been converted to coefficient form. Tunnel dynamic pressure has been corrected for solid and wake blockage, and model angle of attack has been corrected for induced effects, using the linear correction methods of ref. 7. Surface pressure measurements were integrated numerically to calculate component normal force coefficients, and moment coefficients about the component leading edge or hingeline.

Wind Tunnel

The WSU Walter Beech Wind Tunnel is a closed return tunnel with atmospheric test section static pressure. With two-dimensional inserts installed the test section is 0.91 m x 2.13 m (3 ft x 7 ft). Complete description of the insert and calibration details are given in ref. 8.

THEORETICAL METHODS

For selected cases, studies have been conducted to determine theoretical pressure distributions and overall force coefficients for comparison with the experimental measurements. These theoretical studies were conducted utilizing sophisticated computing routines which include boundary layer effects. For the flap-nested configuration, the computations utilized the programs of refs. 3 and 4. The principal difference between these programs is that ref. 4 includes a drag computation by the Squire-Young method (see ref. 9), but is restricted to single-element analysis. The flap-extended configurations were analyzed by the program of ref. 3, which is capable of analyzing multi-element configurations, but does not utilize the Squire-Young drag computation.

As discussed in ref. 10, for improved simulation of pressures near the airfoil trailing edge of flap-extended configurations, the lower surface flow was assumed to separate at the entrance to the flap cove and reattach ahead of the slot lip. This technique for modeling involves using an effective cove shape derived by assuming a straight line from cove entrance to the 75% cove location, as shown in the sketch which follows:



Sketch A - Flap Cove Theoretical Modeling

EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL RESULTS

Flap Nested

For the flap-nested configuration, data from the present tests are compared with NASA data from ref. 1 and theoretical results in figure 3. The two sets of experimental data show good agreement at all angles up to 14°. At 18°, the NASA data show more scatter than the WSU data, indicating a somewhat less stable separation. Agreement between experiment and theory is good for cases with little or no separation ($\alpha < 14^\circ$). For cases with separation ahead of .9 x/c, the theory is substantially in error.

20% Aileron

Pressure distributions with 20% aileron with 0.5% gap are shown in figure 4 for aileron deflections from -60° to +60° and a nominal angle of attack range of -8° to 16°. These data show trends very similar to the pressure distribution results reported earlier (ref. 10) for an aileron applied to the GA(W)-1 airfoil section. For large aileron deflections ($\delta_a \geq 20^\circ$) separated flow on the suction side of the aileron is indicated, as evidenced by a region of constant pressure. Because separation is ordinarily present for moderate and high aileron deflection, no theoretical studies were conducted with aileron.

25% Slotted Flap

Experimental force characteristics for optimum flap settings as reported in ref. 2 are shown in figure 5, along with theoretical force characteristics for selected cases. The experimental c_{l} vs. α curve for 35° flap shows an increase in slope just prior to stalling, an indication of flow improvement just prior to massive separation. During optimization force studies with 35° and 40° flap deflections, many non-linearities in force characteristics

were observed. This non-linear behavior is interpreted as evidence of separation over some portion of the airfoil or flap at virtually every angle of attack for these flap deflections. It is seen that the improvement in c_{ℓ} values between 30° and 35° flap is quite small, and the performance with 40° flap is essentially the same as 35° flap.

For the flap-nested case, the methods of refs. 3 and 4 are compared in figure 5. These data show that the Squire-Young drag computation routine of ref. 4 provides considerable improvement in drag prediction.

For angles of attack less than 10° and flap deflections less than 30°, theoretical lift and pitching moment agree reasonably well with experiment. For higher angles of attack and flap deflections the agreement becomes progressively poorer. These trends are attributed to inadequate theoretical modeling for situations with nearly-separated or partially-separated boundary layers. The theoretical drag predictions are poor for all flap-extended cases. The theory is very inconsistent, even predicting a reduction in drag as flap deflection is increased in some instances.

Theoretical pressure distributions are presented in figures 6 through 9. The results of these theoretical studies compare quite favorably with experiment for angles of attack below stall, and lower flap deflections. For higher angles of attack or flap deflection angles, the agreement becomes progressively poorer.

Detailed experimental pressure distributions for this configuration for optimum flap settings are presented in figure 10. For flap deflections up to 20°, the pressure data indicate attached flow for angles of attack up to 12°. For 30° flap deflection, flow separation on the flap is indicated at 16.2° angle of attack, and a large step in pressure is indicated on the airfoil upper surface. This condition is beyond c_{lmax} as indicated by the force data of figure 5. The apparent jump in pressure at about 20% chord is attributed to an unsteady flow situation with

intermittent separation, and is believed to be associated with the slow-scan method of pressure recording utilized for these tests.

III.

For 35° flap, the flap flow tends to separate at the trailing edge at the lower angles of attack. At higher angles, the flap flow improves.

The situation with 40° flap is very similar to 35° flap. In this case, the flap is evidently fully attached only at 12.2°, and re-separates at 14.4°. These observations for 35° and 40° flap correlate very well with trends observed in the force measurements.

30% Fowler Flap

Results of optimum force tests for this flap from ref. 2 are shown in figure 11, along with theoretical results for selected cases. As with 25% flap, the theory significantly over-predicts lift at high angles of attack and high flap deflections.

Close comparison of the flap-nested data from the 25% and 30% flap models (figures 5 and 11) shows that the 30% flap model provides slightly more lift at low angles than the 25% flap model, even though c_{lmax} and stalling angle are unchanged. The 30% flap model had a clean, continuous upper surface while the 25% model had a slight step at the spoiler trailing edge, and four spoiler hinges which created small protuberances at four span-wise stations. The differences in aerodynamic data are attributed to these geometric variations.

Experimental pressure distributions are compared with theory in figures 12 through 15. Again, the principal disparities occur at high angles and large flap deflections.

Detailed experimental pressure distributions for various flap settings are shown in figure 16. For flap deflections up to 30°, the distributions indicate attached flow on both airfoil and flap for all but the highest angles of attack. For 35° and 40° flap deflections the distributions indicate separation

on the flap at most angles of attack. At the post-stall $(\alpha = 12.3^{\circ})$ condition, separation is indicated over the aft half of the flap, and the airfoil forward section pressure shows a jump indicating an unstable pressure distribution as discussed with the 25% flap.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Pressure distributions for the GA(W)-2 airfoil with 20% aileron show trends similar to the GA(W)-1 airfoil with aileron.

2. Lift and pitching moment predictions from theory agree reasonably well with experimental measurements for α less than 10° and flap deflections less than 35°. For cases with nearly separated or partially separated boundary layers, present theories are inadequate.

3. Drag prediction using the Squire-Young formulation is adequate for single-element airfoils without separation. The multi-element drag computation is poor for all cases.

4. Pressure distributions for the GA(W)-2 airfoil with 25% and 30% flaps show good agreement with theory at low angles and small flap deflections, but poor agreement for high angle or large flap deflection cases which involve flow separation.

REFERENCES

- McGhee, R.J.; Beasley, W.D. and Somers, D.M.: Low-Speed Aerodynamic Characteristics of a 13-Percent-Thick Airfoil Section Designed for General Aviation Applications. NASA TMX-72697.
- 2. Wentz, W.H., Jr.: Wind Tunnel Tests of the GA(W)-2 Airfoil with 20% Aileron, 25% Slotted Flap, 30% Fowler Flap, and 10% Slot-Lip Spoiler. NASA CR-145139, 1977.
- 3. Stevens, W.A.; Goradia, S.H. and Braden, J.A.: Mathematical Model for Two-Dimensional Multi-Component Airfoils in Viscous Flow. NASA CR-1843, 1971.
- 4. NASA Langley Research Staff: Viscous Flow Single-Element Airfoil Analysis Computing Routine (Program AIRFOIL), 1976.
- Mechtly, E.A.: The International System of Units Physical Constants and Conversion Factors (Revised). NASA SP-7012, 1969.
- McGhee, R.J. and Beasley, W.D.: Low-Speed Aerodynamic Characteristics of a 17-Percent-Thick Airfoil Section Designed for General Aviation Applications. NASA TND-7428, 1973.
- 7. Pope, A. and Harper, J.J.: Low-Speed Wind Tunnel Testing, John Wiley and Sons, 1966.
- Siew, R.J.: Calibration of a Two-Dimensional Insert for the WSU 7' x 10' Wind Tunnel, AR 73-2, Wichita State University, 1973.
- 9. Schlichting, H.: Boundary Layer Theory. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Sixth edition, 1968.
- 10. Wentz, W.H., Jr.; Seetharam, H.C. and Fiscko, K.A.: Force and Pressure Tests of the GA(W)-1 Airfoil with a 20% Aileron and Pressure Tests with a 30% Fowler Flap. NASA CR-2833, 1977.



UPPER SURFACE

LOWER SURFACE

x/c	z/c	x/c	z/c
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0,0000
.0020	.0103	.0020	0066
.0050	.0163	.0050	0097
.0125	.0246	.0125	0144
.0250	.0336	.0250	0188
.0375	.0400	.0375	0223
.0500	.0451	.0500	0250
.0750	.0528	.0750	0294
.1000	.0588	.1000	0328
.1250	.0637	.1250	0357
.1500	.0677	.1500	0380
.1750	.0712	.1750	0398
.2000	.0742	.2000	0415
.2500	.0788	.2500	0438
.3000	.0820	.3000	0449
.3500	.0840	.3500	0452
.4000	.0849	.4000	0449
.4500	.0846	.4500	0437
.5000	.0833	.5000	0417
.5500	.0807	.5500	- .0386
.5 750	.0789	.5750	0362
.6000	.0767	.6000	0337
.6250	.0739	.6250	0307
.6500	.0708	.6500	0276
.6750	.0672	.6750	0243
.7000	.0633	.7000	0210
.7250	.0591	.7250	0175
.7500	.0545	.7500	0143
.7 750	.0497	.7750	0110
-8000	.0447	.8000	0078
.8250	.0395	.8250	0051
.8500	.0341	.8500	0028
.8750	.0285	.8750	0012
.9000	.0228	.9000	.0000
.9250	.0170	.9250	.0001
.9500	.0110	.9500	0007
.9750	.0049	.9750	0028
1.0000	0015	1.0000	0071

(a) Basic GA(W)-2 Airfoil.

Figure 1 - Geometry.



(b) 20% Aileron.
Figure 1 - Continued.



Flap Upper Surface

x/c	z/c
0.7500 - .7531 .7562 .7593 .7625 .7750 .7875 .8000 .8125 .8250 .8375 .8500 .8375 .8500 .8625 .8742 .8875 .8992	0.0010 0.0072 .0109 .0138 .0164 .0234 .0276 .0298 .0307 .0308 .0306 .0302 .0288 .0271 .0250 .0229
Nose Radius =	0.012c
Nose Radius Lo $(x/c, z/c) = (0$	cation .762c,-0.00087c)
Note: Remaind contour matches	er of flap s basic airfoil.
Skirt #1	
Radius = 0.0120	c
Location (x/c,:	z/c) = (0.738c,-0.00087c)
(c) 25% Slotted Figure 1 - Cont] Flap. tinued.



Skirt

Flap Upper Surface

x/c

.700

.705

.710

.720

.740

.760

.775

.800

.825

.850

.875

.900

.925

.950

.975 1.000 z/c

-.0069

.0030

.0075

.0119

.0171

.0194

.0190

.0184

.0172

.0156

.0137

.0114

.0086

.0051

.0014

x/c	z/c	
.675 .680 .685 .690 .700 .705	0231 0215 0204 0158 0105 .0030	

Nose	Radius = 0.0117c
Nose	Radius Location $(x/c, z/c) = (0.7119c, -0.0071c)$
Note:	Remainder of Flap contour matches basic airfoil.

(d) 30% Fowler Flap.Figure 1 - Continued.



Figure 2 - Pressure Measurement System Schematic.



Figure 3 - Comparisons of Pressure Measurements with Theory and NASA experiments.



Figure 3 - Continued.



Figure 3 - Continued.







Figure 3 - Concluded.

(a) AILERON DEFLECTION = 0.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 ± 06



Figure 4 - Pressure Distributions with 20% Aileron. 20

(b) AILERON DEFLECTION = 5.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



Figure 4 - Continued. 21

(c) AILERON DEFLECTION = 10.0 DEGREES

MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×106



MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 ± 06





(e) AILERON DEFLECTION = 40.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



(f) AILERON DEFLECTION = 60.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



25

l

(g) AILERON DEFLECTION = -5.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06





(h) AILERON DEFLECTION = -10.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 ± 06





27

• ____

(i) AILERON DEFLECTION = -20.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×106



(j) AILERON DEFLECTION = -40.0 DEGREES
MACH NO. = 0.13
REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



(k) AILERON DEFLECTION = -60.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×106






Figure 5 - Continued.





25% Slotted Flap, 10° Flap Deflection.





Figure 6 - Concluded.







Figure 7 - Continued.



San San

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

1000 ------



Figure 8 - Pressure Distributions with 25% Slotted Flap, 30° Flap Deflection.



Figure 8 - Continued. 41





「日本の人間になった」の「日本の人」





ED.



(a) FLAP DEFLECTION = 0.0 DEGREES , LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



Figure 10 - Experimental Pressure Distributions with 25% Slotted Flap.

(b) FLAP DEFLECTION = 0.0 DEGREES, HIGH α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



(c) FLAP DEFLECTION = 10.0 DEGREES , LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06





(d) FLAP DEFLECTION = 10.0 DEGREES, HIGH α'S
MACH NO. = 0.13
REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

..

. ..



(e) FLAP DEFLECTION = 20.0 DEGREES, LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

照小してい

1.





(f) FLAP DEFLECTION = 20.0 DEGREES, HIGH α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

į.



Figure 10 - Continued.

(g)FLAP DEFLECTION = 30.0 DEGREES, LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

ľ,



(h) FLAP DEFLECTION = 30.0 DEGREES, HIGH α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×06

,



(i) FLAP DEFLECTION = 35.0 DEGREES, LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



55

(j) FLAP DEFLECTION = 35.0 DEGREES, HIGH α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



(k) FLAP DEFLECTION = 40.0 DEGREES, LOW α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06



57

(1) FLAP DEFLECTION = 40.0 DEGREES, HIGH α 'S MACH NO. = 0.13 REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06







Figure 11- Continued.



																Ē	2																
						1	{ .								:U !			<u>2</u>	0			3	• •		:	::4 -	-0						
								C	2			.:!} -``'					f N					Г. Г. Г.											
		1								Ŷ	C	\sim	łĊ	Ю	Þ	S		ю.				 	1.17						·····				
			13							\odot		<u>ti</u> li		1.1									Ц. Г.										
					<u>i i i</u>	ŧ.	in:	3		Ш		ĸ																					
			· · · · ·					c	m		\triangle			5	2	\mathbf{X}	$\mathbf{\hat{\lambda}}$																
									1:21.1	<u>i</u> lei			Y	<u>\</u>	Ł			\mathbf{X}	\otimes	\otimes	\gg					7 12	2111 						
			••••• ••••	::		<u>1::</u> 1::				4			1: 1			\triangle	/			<u>a</u>			A			12272							
																		7.		£	Ś	XX	5									=	
													£	Ŕ	2			A		A		a	5				-						
										6						Ľ	0	7	\sim	-(<u>} -</u>	¢				PL.							
		Ē																	2	4	5	R	X	83		123							
	:::: ::::				·			<u>.</u>					::::: ;;;:::	\sim			<u>^_</u>	<u> </u>		_^	-		X	:- :									
									2						\bigcirc	λ	×.			~~	1	\geq	R,		S	}							
		-								8						J,	Σ	\leq	\geq		8			X	5	R	2000 1. 1. 1.	Ę	>				
			-														-	E		$\hat{\mathcal{O}}$	\sim	~	V	γ	14	2							
																					2		P	-0	Y				B				
		-		E		1														-							E	à	23				
randa andaran. Arrentza	lana±	inn. T	···:	iller. r	l:.≃	!-::•	velenteriter veleteriteri			z (%			Lin r A	Ŀ																			
Symbol			_	°f	-		<u>_p(%)</u>			<u>_p`</u>			_															177.					
0				0 °			0			0								H															
C	\diamond			5°			18.0			7.50								1	j				-										
Δ	\bigtriangleup			10°			23.0				7.50												7										
$\$				20°			25.0				7.50					ce	5:	33						1		H::::							
\diamond				30°			30.0				6.75) 8	Sha	aded symbol						ls denote theoretical									
2	2			35	0		30	. 0			6	. 7	5		12	, . ,	va.	ru	es	u 	51	ng	1 U	ne 11 -		net 	.110	ο <u>α</u>	01		kei	•	3.
C	2			40	0		30	.0			6	.0	0		(2) :	se	e mp	pr ut	es er	su n	re	e d adi	lis Ct	str ed	511 3 9	ser	tio Dai	ons rai	s 1 Fic	tor		
($\overline{\diamond}$				50°			27.0			8.00							:::::					1		1.55								
Ć	Ô				60°			28.0			8.75									:::2		::::: -	:::::		: = : =								
						111										1.	:																
													E E		łi.c	53	<u>.</u>		1.13									1					
						1								(c) i	Moi	me	nt	•														
								 		F F	Ŧ	Fid	าบ	re	11	-	C	on	cl	uđ	ed												
<u></u>	:::::	1		F	=::	<u>;::::</u> :				E	-						_						7	22		1.22		r	E:		===		



°p

62

a



 \mathbf{v}



Figure 12- Continued.







с_р

Figure 13 - Pressure Distributions with 30% Fowler Flap, 20° Flap Deflection.


人口の見材に行うと











30% Fowler Flap, 30° Flap Deflection.



P.













Figure 15 - Concluded.

(a) FLAP DEFLECTION = 5.0 DEGREES

MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = $2.2 \ge 06$



Figure 16 - Experimental Pressure Distributions with 30% Fowler Flap.

(b) FLAP DEFLECTION = 10.0 DEGREES MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×106



F

1.10

(c) FLAP DEFLECTION = 20.0 DEGREES
MACH NO. = 0.13
REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

---- . .

62-5



Figure 16 - Continued. 79

(d) FLAP DEFLECTION = 30.0 DEGREES

.

MACH NO. = 0.13

REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2×106



80

(e) FLAP DEFLECTION = 40.0 DEGREES
MACH NO. = 0.13
REYNOLDS NO. = 2.2 E 06

おりた



 4. Title and Subtitle Pressure Distributions for the GA(W)-2 Airfoil With 20% Aileron, 25% Slotted Flap and 30% Fowler Flap 7. Author(s) W. H. Wentz, Jr. and K. A. Fiscko 9. Performing Organization Name and Address Wichita, State University Wichita, Kansas 67208 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reveolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and a Mach number of
Pressure Distributions for the GA(W)-2 Airfoil With 20% Aileron, 25% Slotted Flap and 30% Fowler Flap February 1576 7. Author(s) 6. Performing Organization Code 8. Performing Organization Name and Address 8. Performing Organization Report No. AR 76-3 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 505-06-33-10 Wichita, State University Wichita, Kansas 67208 10. Work Unit No. 505-06-33-10 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 NSG 1165 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report Contractor Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
7. Author(s) 8. Performing Organization Report No. W. H. Wentz, Jr. and K. A. Fiscko AR 76-3 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 505-06-33-10 Wichita, State University 10. Work Unit No. 9. Performing Agency Name and Address 505-06-33-10 11. Contract or Grant No. NSG 1165 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NSG 1165 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Contractor Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Name 23665 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report Isotract 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Revnolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
W. H. Wentz, Jr. and K. A. Fiscko AR 76-3 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 505-06-33-10 Wichita, State University 11. Contract or Grant No. Wichita, Kansas 67208 NSG 1165 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NSG 1165 NASA Langley Research Center 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Hampton, Virginia 23665 Contractor Report 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 505-06-33-10 Wichita, State University 11. Contract or Grant No. Wichita, Kansas 67208 11. Contract or Grant No. 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NSG 1165 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Contractor Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Revnolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
S. Ferdining organization Name and Address 505-06-33-10 Wichita, State University 11. Contract or Grant No. Wichita, Kansas 67208 NSG 1165 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NSG 1165 NASA Langley Research Center Contractor Report Hampton, Virginia 23665 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Revoolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
Wichita, Kansas 67208 II. Contract of Grant No. 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NSG 1165 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Contractor Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code II. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report II. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 14. Sponsoring Agency Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 5. Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 17. Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Revolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and a Mach number of
 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 14 Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and a Mach number of
 15. Supplementary Notes Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10⁶ and a Mach number of
Langley technical monitor: Robert J. McGhee Topical Report 16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
16. Abstract Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13%-thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2 x 10 ⁶ and a Mach number of
Surface pressure distributions have been measured for the 13% -thick GA(W)-2 airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap.
airfoil section fitted with 20% aileron, 25% slotted flap and 30% Fowler flap. All tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 2.2×10^6 and a Mach number of
All tests were conducted at a Revnolds number of 2.2 x 10^6 and a Mach number of
THE GESTS WELC CONTROLLED A CONTROL AND AND AND A THE A TO AND A MACH MENDER OF
0.13. Pressure distribution and force and moment coefficient measurements are
compared with theoretical results for a number of cases. Agreement between
theory and experiment is generally good for low angles of attack and small flap
deflections. For high angles and large flap deflections where regions of
separation are present, the theory is inadequate. Theoretical drag predictions
are poor for all flap-extended cases.
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement
Low-speed Experiment
Airroil FEDD Distribution
Pressure distributions Subject Category 02
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*
Unclassified85 \$6.00

*Available from NASA's Industrial Application Centers