

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.06.04.447129

Presynaptic Gq-coupled receptors drive biphasic dopamine transporter trafficking that modulates dopamine clearance and motor function — Source link []

Patrick J. Kearney, Conklin T, Gilles E. Martin, Haley E. Melikian

Institutions: University of Massachusetts Medical School

Published on: 05 Jun 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Dopamine transporter, Dopaminergic, Reuptake and Dopamine

Related papers:

- In Situ Regulated Dopamine Transporter Trafficking: There's No Place Like Home
- Using High-Speed Chronoamperometry with Local Dopamine Application to Assess Dopamine Transporter Function
- Dopamine transporter trafficking: rapid response on demand
- The Atypical MAP Kinase SWIP-13/ERK8 Regulates Dopamine Transporters Through a Rho-Dependent Mechanism
- Phosphorylation of the Amino Terminus of the Dopamine Transporter: Regulatory Mechanisms and Implications for Amphetamine Action



Presynaptic Gq-coupled receptors drive biphasic dopamine transporter trafficking that modulates dopamine clearance and motor function

Patrick J. Kearney¹, Tucker L. Conklin¹, Gilles E. Martin¹, and Haley E. Melikian^{1,3}

¹Brudnick Neuropsychiatric Research Institute, Department of Neurobiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA

³To whom correspondence should be addressed

Abstract

Extracellular dopamine (DA) levels are tightly constrained by presynaptic reuptake mediated by the DA transporter (DAT). Despite its necessity for DA neurotransmission, DAT regulation *in situ* is poorly understood, and it is unknown whether DAT regulation impacts dopaminergic signaling and/or behaviors. Here, we leveraged chemogenetics and conditional gene silencing and found that presynaptic Gq-coupled receptor activation, induced by either hM3Dq or mGluR5 activation, drives biphasic DAT trafficking in striatum. Two PD risk alleles, Vps35 and Rit2, were required for mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion and retrieval, respectively. Conditional dopaminergic mGluR5 silencing abolished DAT trafficking and resulted in motor dysfunction. Moreover, *ex vivo* voltammetric studies demonstrate that DAT trafficking is complex, multimodal, and region-specific, and identify cell autonomous mechanisms required for DAT trafficking. Importantly, the findings suggest that regulated DAT trafficking likely impacts both DA clearance and motor function.

Introduction

Dopamine (DA) is critical for movement, learning, motivation and reward^{1,2}, and DAergic dysfunction is implicated in multiple neuropsychiatric disorders including Parkinson's Disease (PD), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia, and addiction³⁻⁶. Following its release. DA is temporally and spatially constrained by the presynaptic DA transporter (DAT), which rapidly recaptures extracellular DA⁷. DAT's central role in DAergic transmission is illustrated by the consequences of pharmacologically or genetically silencing DAT⁸. For example, DAT is the primary target for addictive and therapeutic psychostimulants, such as cocaine, methylphenidate (Ritalin), and amphetamine (AMPH). These agents markedly enhance extracellular DA through their actions as DAT inhibitors (cocaine, methylphenidate) and substrates (AMPH). Moreover, multiple DAT coding variants have been reported in probands from ADHD, and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) patients⁹⁻¹⁴, as well as in DAT deficiency syndrome, a form of Parkinsonism¹⁵⁻¹⁹. Given that DAT function profoundly impacts DAergic signaling, it is vital that we understand the molecular mechanisms that acutely regulate DAT availability. Such information may inform potential interventions for DA-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

Extensive research from multiple laboratories supports that DAT surface expression is regulated by membrane trafficking²⁰⁻²³. DAT constitutively cycles between the plasma membrane and endosomes. Direct PKC activation accelerates DAT endocytosis, and thereby diminishes DAT surface levels and function²⁴⁻²⁶. PKC-stimulated DAT internalization requires the neuronal GTPase Rit2²⁷, whereas DAT endocytic recycling requires the Vps35+ retromer complex^{28,29}. In those studies, PKC was directly activated with phorbol esters or via a Gq-coupled receptor in non-DAergic cell lines. However, it is still unknown whether, or how, DAT traffics in *bona fide* DAergic terminals in response to endogenous, receptor-mediated PKC

activation. Further, it is unknown whether regulated DAT trafficking is regionally distinct, or whether DAT trafficking impacts DAergic signaling and DA-dependent behaviors. In this study, we leveraged chemogenetics and *in vivo* conditional gene silencing, complemented by pharmacological, electrochemical and behavioral approaches, to directly probe these questions. Our results demonstrate that regulated DAT trafficking is significantly more complex than had been previous appreciated, and that mechanisms that perturb DAT trafficking also dysregulate DA signaling and DA-dependent motor behaviors.

Results

Gq-coupled DREADD activation drives region-specific, biphasic DAT trafficking

Previous DAT trafficking studies activated PKC with phorbol esters, which broadly activate multiple PKC isoforms and do so globally across all cells within heterogenous tissue preparations. PKC is most commonly activated by Gq-coupled receptors, and previous studies in non-neuronal cell lines, midbrain, and synaptosomes reported that Gg-coupled receptor activation drives PKC-dependent DAT internalization and/or functional downregulation³⁰⁻³². Given that DAT trafficking may differ in DA neurons vs. cell lines, and that global PKC activation may drive DAT trafficking indirectly within the local striatal circuitry, we first aimed to test whether cell-autonomous presynaptic Gq-coupled signaling impacts DAT surface availability in bona fide striatal DAergic terminals. We leveraged the Tet-OFF system to conditionally express the Gq-coupled DREADD, hM3Dq, in Pitx3'IRE-HA-hM3Da mouse DAergic neurons. Pitx3 is a DA-specific transcription factor and previous reports demonstrated that $Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}$ selectively drives gene expression in midbrain DA neurons^{33,34}. We first used surface biotinylation to ask whether DAergic hM3Dg activation modulates DAT surface expression in *ex vivo* striatal slices from *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};TRE-HA-hM3Dg* mice, containing both ventral and dorsal striata. Surprisingly, CNO treatment (500nM, 37°C) biphasically

modulated DAT surface expression, which significantly increased by 5 min, and returned to baseline by 30 min (Fig. 1a), whereas CNO did not significantly affect DAT surface levels in striatal slices from control *Pitx3^{/RES-tTA}/+* littermates (Fig. 1a). We further asked whether Gq-stimulated DAT trafficking was either sex- or region-specific in ventral (VS) and dorsal (DS) striatal subregions. hM3Dq-stimulated DAT trafficking did not significantly differ between males and females (Two-way ANOVA, no effect of sex, p=0.59 (DS), p=0.36 (VS)), therefore data from male and female mice were pooled. Similar to total striatum, DAT was rapidly inserted into both VS and DS terminals in response to CNO treatment for 5 min (Fig. 1b). In VS, DAT surface expression significantly diminished to baseline levels after 10 min (Fig. 1b). In contrast, in DS, surface DAT remained elevated after 10 min, but returned to baseline by 30 min. DAT surface expression in DS remained significantly higher than VS at both 10 and 30 min (Fig. 1b).

Biphasic Gq-stimulated DAT trafficking is mediated by DRD2 and PKC

Gq-stimulated, biphasic DAT trafficking was surprising in light of copious previous reports from both our laboratory^{24,25,27,29,35} and others^{21,30} that found direct PKC activation stimulates DAT internalization and decreases DAT surface expression. Moreover, Gq-coupled receptor activation in non-DAergic cells and midbrain decreases DAT surface levels in a PKC-dependent manner^{30,31}. Since our current studies tested Gq-stimulated DAT trafficking in DAergic terminals, we considered what factors, specific to DAergic terminals, might mediate biphasic DAT trafficking. Previous studies independently reported that 1) hM3Dq evokes DA release³⁶⁻³⁸, and 2) DRD2 activation drives DAT insertion in synaptosomes³⁹⁻⁴¹. Therefore, we hypothesized that 1) initial DAT membrane insertion may be due to hM3Dq-stimulated DA release and subsequent DRD2 autoreceptor (DRD2_{auto}) activation, and 2) DAT subsequent

return to baseline is mediated by PKC-stimulated DAT internalization, which may act as a retrieval mechanism following enhanced DAT cell surface delivery.

To test these hypotheses, we first asked whether DA release is required for hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion. To block DA release, we depleted vesicular DA stores with a single reserpine injection (5 mg/kg, I.P.) 16 hours prior to preparing striatal slices, which is sufficient to block evoked DA release^{42,43}. Vesicular DA depletion completely abolished hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion in response to a 5 min CNO treatment, in both VS and DS, as compared to slices from saline-injected mice (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, reserpine treatment also increased basal DAT surface expression in the DS, but not VS (Fig. S1a). These results demonstrate that vesicular DA release is required for rapid hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion.

We next asked whether DRD2 activation is required for hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion in VS and DS, and/or is sufficient to drive rapid DAT insertion. Slices were pretreated with the DRD2-specific antagonist L-741,626 (25nM, 15 min, 37°C) and hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion was evoked with CNO (500nM, 5 min, 37°C). DRD2 inhibition completely abolished CNO-stimulated DAT insertion in both VS and DS as compared to either vehicle-treated slices, or slices treated with L-741,626 alone (Fig. 2b). Further, direct DRD2 activation with sumanirole (170nM, 5 min, 37°C) was sufficient to drive rapid DAT membrane insertion in both VS and DS in striatal slices prepared from wildtype mice (Fig. S1b). Enhanced DAT surface levels were sustained in the DS out to 30 min, but rapidly returned to baseline by 10 minutes in the VS (Fig. S1b), consistent with the region-specific differences in DAT retrieval kinetics we observed in response to hM3Dq-stimulated DAT trafficking (Fig. 1). Previous studies reported that DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion in striatal synaptosomes requires PKCβ activity³⁹. To test whether hM3Dq-stimulated DAT insertion was similarly dependent upon PKCβ activity, we

pretreated slices with the PKCβ-specific inhibitor ruboxistaurin (50nM, 30 min, 37°C), prior to CNO-stimulated DAT insertion. Ruboxistaurin pretreatment completely abolished CNO-stimulated DAT insertion as compared to vehicle-pretreated slices (Fig. S1c), consistent with DRD2-mediated, PKCβ-dependent DAT membrane delivery. Taken together, these data demonstrate that both DA release and DRD2 activation are required for hM3Dq-stimulated DAT membrane insertion in VS and DS.

We next investigated whether DAT retrieval following hM3Dq-stimulated insertion was mediated by PKC-mediated DAT internalization. To test this, we first induced DAT insertion with CNO, and then treated slices with either vehicle or the PKC-specific inhibitor BIM I (1 μ M). Although CNO treatment alone drove biphasic DAT insertion and subsequent retrieval in control slices, PKC inhibition significantly abolished DAT return to baseline in both VS and DS, (Fig. 2c), consistent with the premise that DAT retrieval following hM3Dq-stimulated insertion is mediated by PKC-stimulated DAT internalization. BIM I treatment alone had no effect on DAT surface expression (Fig. S1d), suggesting that there is little tonic PKC-stimulated DAT internalization in *ex vivo* slices. Taken together these results clearly demonstrate that presynaptic Gq-coupled receptor activation drives biphasic DAT trafficking that is facilitated by Gq-stimulated DA release, DRD2-mediated DAT insertion, and PKC-stimulated DAT internalization.

mGluR5 drives biphasic DAT trafficking that requires DRD2_{auto} and Parkinson's risk factors Vps35 and Rit2

We next explored whether a native, presynaptic, Gq-coupled receptor similarly drives biphasic DAT trafficking. Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR1 and 5) are Gq-coupled GPCRs that are both highly expressed in striatum and midbrain DA neurons⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Moreover, a

previous report found that mGluR5 activation functionally downregulated DAT in striatal synaptosomes³². To test whether Group I mGluRs regulate DAT surface expression, we treated *ex vivo* striatal slices from wildtype mice with the Group I mGluR agonist, DHPG (10μM). Similar to our results with hM3Dq, DHPG treatment drove rapid DAT membrane insertion at 5 min in both VS and DS (Fig. 3a, b). In VS, surface DAT was significantly retrieved by 10 min, similar to the hM3Dq-stimulated trafficking. However, in DS, DAT retrieval was considerably more rapid following DHPG-stimulated insertion than it was in response to hM3Dq activation, with a significant decrease to baseline by 10 min, and no further change by 30 min (Fig. 3b). To test whether DHPG-stimulated DAT insertion at 5 min was specifically mediated by mGluR5, mGluR1, or both, we pretreated slices with the mGluR5-specific antagonist MTEP (50nM, 15 min) prior to DHPG treatment. MTEP pretreatment completely abolished DHPG-stimulated DAT insertion in both VS and DS as compared to slices treated with DHPG alone (Fig. 3c, d), consistent with an mGluR5-, but not mGluR1-, mediated mechanism.

Although our chemogenetic studies revealed that Gq-stimulated DAT insertion and retrieval are DRD2- and PKC-dependent, respectively, it is unclear whether mGluR5-stimulated DAT trafficking was likewise dependent upon these mechanisms. Moreover, DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion could be mediated cell autonomously by the presynaptic DRD2_{auto}, or cell non-autonomously by DRD2 activation elsewhere within the striatum, where DRD2s are widely expressed. To discriminate between these possibilities, we aimed to conditionally excise DRD2_{auto} in a *DRD2^{fl/fl}* mouse, and test whether DRD2_{auto} was required for mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion. Given previous reports that Cre-mediated DRD2_{auto} excision at germline significantly enhances DA release⁴⁷, we opted to leverage the TET-OFF approach to virally excise DRD2_{auto} post-development in *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};DRD2^{fl/fl}* mice, and measure DAT trafficking

in response to mGluR5 activation. AAV9-TRE-Cre injection into *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};DRD2^{fl/fl}* mouse VTA significantly decreased midbrain *DRD2* mRNA expression, consistent with DRD2_{auto} excision (Fig. 4a). In VS and DS, DRD2_{auto} silencing completely abolished DHPG-stimulated DAT insertion (Fig. 4b). Thus, DRD2_{auto} is specifically required for mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion.

We next investigated the molecular mechanisms required for DRD2- and mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion. We previously reported that internalized DAT targets to retromer/Vps35⁺ endosomes, and that intact retromer complex is required for DAT delivery to the plasma membrane in dopaminergic neuroblastoma cells lines²⁸. We therefore hypothesized that retromer may likewise be required for both DRD2- and mGluR5-stimulated DAT surface delivery in DAergic terminals. To test this possibility, we conditionally silenced Vps35 in DA neurons using a validated, mouse-directed Vps35 shRNA⁴⁸, and tested the ability of either sumanirole or DHPG to induce DAT insertion in either DS or VS DAergic terminals. AAV9-TRE-shVps35 significantly diminished *Vps35* mRNA expression in *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}* mouse midbrain, as compared to control-injected mice (Fig. 4c). DRD2- (Fig. 4d) and mGluR5-stimulated (Fig. 4e) DAT insertion in both VS and DS were significantly attenuated following DAergic Vps35 knockdown, as compared to slices from control-injected mice, demonstrating that retromer is required for both DRD2 and mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion in DAergic terminals.

We previously reported that the neuronal GTPase Rit2 is required for phorbol ester-stimulated DAT endocytosis in cell lines and striatal slices^{27,29}. However, it is unknown whether Rit2 is required for PKC-mediated DAT retrieval following mGluR5-stimulated DAT surface delivery. To test this possibility, we conditionally silenced Rit2 in *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}* mice (Fig. 5a) as previously

described by our laboratory^{29,34}, and tested whether RIt2 was required for either mGluR5stimulated DAT insertion or retrieval. We predicted that, in the absence of Rit2, mGluR5 activation would still deliver DAT to the plasma membrane, where it would be unable to be retrieved. Indeed, DAergic Rit2 knockdown had no significant effect on mGluR5-stimulated DAT insertion as compared to slices from control-injected mice, in either VS (Fig. 5b) or DS (Fig. 5c). However, DAergic Rit2 silencing significantly blocked DAT retrieval and return to baseline in both VS and DS (Fig. 5b, c), indicating that DAergic Rit2 is required for PKCmediated DAT retrieval in both striatal subregions.

Presynaptic mGluR5 drives DAT trafficking and influences DAT surface tone

mGluR5 is widely expressed in the striatum and is reportedly expressed in midbrain neurons. However, it is unknown whether mGluR5 is expressed in DAergic terminals; thus, it is unclear whether DHPG stimulates DAT trafficking directly via a presynaptic mGluR5, or indirectly via mGluR5s expressed elsewhere within the striatum. To directly test this possibility, we conditionally excised mGluR5 from DA neurons by injecting *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}:mGluR5^{fl/fl}* mouse VTA with AAV9-TRE-eGFP or -Cre, and performed ex vivo biotinylation studies in striatal slices. Cre injection significantly diminished midbrain mGluR5 mRNA expression as compared to eGFPinjected controls (Fig. 6a). Although mGluR5 activation (10µM DHPG, 5 min, 37°C) drove DAT insertion in slices from control mice (Fig. 6b, c), DAergic mGluR5 silencing completely abolished DHPG-stimulated DAT insertion in both VS and DS (Fig. 6b, c), consistent with the premise that mGluR5 is expressed presynaptically in DA terminals, where it stimulates DAT trafficking via a cell-autonomous mechanism. We further hypothesized that there is likely constitutive DAT surface tone in the striatum, which reflects the balance between DRD2stimulated DAT insertion and mGluR5-mediated retrieval. If so, we predicted that mGluR5 silencing in DA neurons would lead to enhanced DAT surface expression. To test this

possibility, we measured basal DAT surface expression following conditional mGluR5 silencing. DAergic mGluR5 silencing significantly increased baseline DAT surface levels in VS, and strongly trended (p=0.06) towards a basal increase in DS, as compared to slices from control mice (Fig. 6d), consistent with the ability of DAT to undergo DRD2-stimulated insertion, but not Gq-stimulated retrieval, thereby overall increasing DAT surface levels. These data support the hypothesis that mGluR5-stimulated DAT retrieval significantly impacts striatal DAT surface tone.

DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion and mGluR5 expression impact striatal DA dynamics

Despite decades-long research that demonstrate regulated DAT trafficking, it remains unknown whether or not DAT trafficking impacts DAergic signaling. To test this possibility, we leveraged fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) in ex vivo dorsal striatal slices to ask whether 1) DRD2-mediated DAT insertion, or 2) mGluR5 modulation of DAT basal surface levels impacted DA release and/or clearance. To this end, we conditionally excised mGluR5 selectively from DA neurons in *Pitx3^{/RES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/fl}* mice injected with either AAV9-TREeGFP (control) or AAV9-TRE-Cre. We first probed how DRD2 activation impacts DA clearance in slices from control (eGFP) mice (Fig. 7a-d). DRD2_{auto} robustly diminishes TH activity and DA release amplitudes, thus the majority of FSCV studies measure DA transients with DRD2 antagonists present, which precludes testing whether DRD2 activation affects DA clearance. We predicted that evoked DA release would drive DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion and would decrease DA clearance times in slices from control mice. Thus, we compared DA transients evoked in ACSF alone, to those evoked in the presence of the DRD2-specific antagonist L-741,626 (Fig. 7 a-d; see also Table I). Electrically evoked DA transients in the presence of L-741,626 (25nM) had an average amplitude 655.6 ±110.6nM (Fig. 7c), and those recorded in ACSF alone were significantly smaller (361.1 ±105.5nM, Fig. 7c), consistent with DRD2mediated TH inhibition. DA clearance, measured as the decay tau, was 044±0.06 sec in in the presence of L-741,626 (Fig. 7d). However, the decay tau was significantly shortened when recorded in ACSF alone (0.27 ±0.02 sec; Fig. 7d), consistent with our prediction that DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion would increase clearance rates.

We further tested whether the enhanced DAT surface expression that occurred in response DAergic mGluR5 silencing (as shown in Figure 6) impacted DA release and/or clearance. We predicted that since DAergic mGluR5 silencing increased DAT surface levels, DA clearance rates would be significantly faster than in slices from control mice. We additionally predicted that DRD2 activation would either further increase DA clearance, or alternatively would not impact clearance due to any potential ceiling effects on DAT membrane insertion. Results are shown in Figure 7e-h, and also Table I. To our surprise, following presynaptic mGluR5 silencing, DRD2 inhibition had no effect on the average DA transient amplitude as compared to those acquired in ACSF alone (Fig. 7g), and amplitudes measured in ASCF alone were not significantly different when comparing slices from GFP- vs. Cre-injected mice (Table I). Similarly, DRD2 inhibition had no significant effect on decay tau values following DAergic mGluR5 silencing (Fig. 7h, p>0.999), Moreover, despite increased DAT surface expression in guiescent slices, decay tau values in Cre-injected mice were significantly longer than those in eGFP-injected mice (Table I, p=0.03), rather than shorter. These unexpected results could not be explained by alterations in DAergic tone, as DAergic mGluR5 silencing did not significantly affect either DAT or TH protein levels in either VS (Fig. S3a) or DS (Fig. S3b). Moreover, DAergic mGluR5 silencing did not affect pSer40-TH in either striatal region (Fig. S3a,b). suggesting that TH activity can be properly regulated in the absence of mGluR5.

mGluR5-stimulated DAT trafficking is required for motor learning

In light of our new understanding that DAT undergoes biphasic surface trafficking in DAergic terminals, we next aimed to test whether disrupting mGluR5-mediated, biphasic DAT trafficking impacted DA-dependent motor behaviors. Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/fl} mouse VTA were injected with either AAV9-TRE-Cre or -eGFP (as described in Figure 6), and mice were assessed across a battery of locomotor assays, mGluR5 loss from DA neurons did not significantly affect baseline horizontal locomotion (Fig. S4a, b), nor did it affect vertical (Fig. S4c) or fine (Fig. S4d) movements. However, DAergic mGluR5 silencing significantly perturbed motor learning on the accelerating rotarod as compared to control-injected mice (Fig. 8a), and also significantly decreased performance on the fixed speed rotarod (Fig. 8b), but not on the challenge balance beam, measured as both number of foot faults (Fig. 8c) and average traversal time (Fig. 8d). Interestingly, although average traversal time over 3 trials was unaffected by DAergic mGluR5 silencing, we observed that control mice significantly decreased their traversal time between the 1st and 2nd trials (Fig. 8e, *left*), whereas DAergic mGluR5 excision mice failed to improve their traversal time between the 1st and 2nd trials (Fig. 8e, right). This suggests that although DAergic mGluR5 excision does not affect coordination per se, it may impact other unknown aspects of balance beam performance, such as learning and/or habituation on test day. We further tested whether decreased rotarod performance was due to either muscle weakness/fatigue or gait disturbance, using the grip strength assay and gait assessment assays, respectively. DAergic mGluR5 silencing caused a modest, but significant, decrease in grip strength (Fig. S4e), but had no impact on gait as compared to control-injected mice, as measured by stride length, stride width, and toe spread, in both forelimbs and hindlimbs (Fig. S5). Thus, although grip strength was modestly affected. DAergic mGluR5 silencing overall had no impact on locomotion and/or gait, and therefore rotarod performance likely reflects deficits in motor learning.

Discussion

Biphasic DAT trafficking has the potential to mold the DA signal during the ebb and flow of plastic events throughout the striatum. Our results point to a previously unknown role for glutamatergic signaling in the striatum to shape the temporal profile of DA signaling via DAT trafficking. Our developing model is illustrated in Figure 9. We hypothesize that under basal conditions, where DA neurons fire tonically, DAT is robustly delivered to the plasma membrane via DRD2_{auto} activation, in a PKCβ and retromer-dependent manner. During periods where DA demand is higher, such as during motor learning, glutamate release from striatal glutamatergic afferents activates mGluR5 on DAergic terminals, leading to DAT retrieval, decreased DAT surface expression, and an enhanced extracellular DA signal. This simple model does not take into account the plethora of presynaptic GPCRs, including Group II and III mGluRs, that also likely influence DAT surface expression. However, given DAT's ability to rapidly traffic, it appears certain that presynaptic receptor signals continually integrate to orchestrate DAT surface levels and, ultimately, the extracellular DA signal.

To date it was unknown whether or how PKC-stimulated DAT trafficking occurred in DAergic terminals downstream of native presynaptic receptor activation. Moreover, given the well-known interplay within the striatum among DAergic, cholinergic, and glutamatergic release, it was also unclear whether pharmacologically induced DAT trafficking was mediated by cell autonomous mechanisms in DAergic terminals, or by cell non-autonomous mechanisms elsewhere within the striatum. In the current study, we leveraged a variety of chemogenetic and pharmacological approaches, coupled with AAV-mediated conditional gene silencing, to determine how DAT surface expression is acutely modulated by presynaptic receptor activation. Moreover, we asked whether receptor-stimulated DAT trafficking impacts either DA signaling in the DS or motor function. Our results reveal that DAT traffics biphasically in

response to Gq receptor activation selectively in DAergic terminals, via either hMD3q (Figs. 1,2) or the presynaptic mGluR5 (Figs. 3, 6). These results were initially surprising, in light of copious reports by our laboratory^{24,25,27-29,35,49,50} and others^{26,51-53} that direct PKC activation decreases DAT surface expression. Moreover, a previous report in transfected cell lines demonstrated that activating the Gq-coupled neurokinin receptor decreased DAT surface expression in a PKC-dependent manner³¹. A more recent study similarly demonstrated that the Gq coupled muscarinic receptors M1 and M5 stimulate DAT internalization in primary DA neuronal culture and in mouse midbrain³⁰. Interestingly, our results indicated that PKC-stimulated DAT internalization does, indeed occur, however, in the context of our current findings, it appears that PKC-stimulated DAT internalization is a retrieval mechanism that is engaged following Gq-coupled receptor activation that tempers DAT surface levels following stimulated DAT membrane insertion.

Our findings demonstrated that the initial Gq-stimulated DAT insertion requires DA release and DRD2 activation. Previous studies in synaptosomes and transfected cell lines reported that DAT function and surface expression rapidly increase in response to treatment with quinpirole^{39,54}, a non-selective DRD2/DRD3 agonist. Moreover, DRD3 activation was sufficient to increase DAT surface expression in non-neuronal cell lines co-transfected with DRD3 and DAT⁵⁵. Both DRD2 and DRD3 are expressed in the striatum⁵⁶, and heretofore it was not known whether quinpirole-stimulated DAT trafficking in terminals was mediated by DRD2, DRD3, or both. Moreover, since DRD2 is expressed throughout the striatum, it also unknown whether DRD2 activation impacts DAT directly in presynaptic terminals, or via indirect means within the striatum where DRD2 is widely expressed and modulates both glutamate⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ and acetylcholine⁶⁰ release. We found that Gq-stimulated DAT insertion, driven by either hM3Dq (Fig. 2) or mGluR5 (Fig. 4), selectively requires presynaptic DRD2auto silencing. Thus, our

results suggest that 1) presynaptic DRD2 activation is sufficient and required for Gq-stimulated DAT insertion, and 2) DRD2 activation elsewhere within the striatum does not contribute to DAT membrane insertion in response to Gq-evoked DA release.

Increasing evidence suggests that DA homeostasis and the DAT regulation may differ regionally in VS vs. DS⁶¹. We also observed region-specific differences in DRD2- and Ggstimulated DAT trafficking. DRD2 activation with sumanirole was sufficient for DAT membrane insertion in both DS and VS (Figs. 4 and S1). However, DAT remained elevated at the plasma membrane following DRD2-stimulated membrane insertion in the DS, whereas DAT returned to baseline in the VS, despite lack of any exogenous Gg receptor activation (Fig. S1b). Moreover, following Gg-stimulated DAT insertion, we observed differential kinetics for PKCdependent retrieval in VS vs. DS, with significantly faster retrieval in VS vs. DS (Fig 1). These results may point to differential spontaneous glutamate release from glutamatergic terminals in VS vs. DS, which would drive DAT retrieval via mGluR5 in VS preferentially to DS. It is also possible that glutamate co-release from DA terminals may play an autocrine regulatory role in VS, a hypothesis supported by optogenetic studies which demonstrate that glutamate corelease from DA neurons occurs in VS, but not DS^{62,63}. In addition, spontaneously active striatal cholinergic interneurons could potentially drive DAT retrieval following DRD2-stimulated insertion, via the Gq-coupled M5 receptor, which drives DAT internalization in midbrain³⁰ and is reportedly expressed in striatal DAergic terminals where it potentiates DA signaling⁶⁴. Future studies will explore how glutamatergic and cholinergic signaling converge onto DA terminals to influence DAT surface levels and DA signaling.

We previously reported that the neuronal, ras-like GTPase, Rit2, is required for PKCstimulated DAT endocytosis in both cell lines^{27,29} and DAergic terminals²⁹ in response to phorbol ester treatment. We additionally found that endocytosed DAT is primarily targeted to retromer⁺ endosomes, and that intact retromer complex is required for DAT membrane delivery in neuroblastoma cell lines²⁸. However, it was unknown whether or not mechanisms required for DAT internalization and membrane delivery identified in cell lines, or in response to direct PKC activation, would likewise be required for Ga-stimulated, biphasic DAT trafficking in DAergic terminals. Using an AAV-mediated, conditional silencing approach to deplete either Vps35 or Rit2 in DA neurons, we determined that that Vps35⁺ retromer complex is required for stimulated DAT membrane insertion (Fig. 4), and that Rit2 is required for PKC-dependent DAT retrieval, but not PKCB-mediated DAT insertion (Fig. 5). Consistent with our findings, a recent study found that DAT and Vps35 co-localize in axons of the medial forebrain bundle⁶⁵. Interestingly, apart from their roles in DAT trafficking (and other cellular processes), both Vps35 and Rit2 have been identified as PD risk factors. A Vps35 mutation (D620N) from late onset PD patients was recently reported⁶⁶, and a D620N-Vps35 mouse model exhibited reduced DAT surface expression⁶⁷, consistent with disrupted, retromer-dependent DAT membrane delivery. Rit2 has also been linked to PD in multiple GWAS studies⁶⁸⁻⁷³, further highlighting its importance in DA signaling and DA neuron function. However, whether dysregulated DAT trafficking contributes to the progressive PD phenotype is currently unknown.

How does biphasic DAT trafficking impact DA-dependent behaviors and/or DA signaling? To begin to address this challenging question, we conditionally silenced mGluR5 in *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/fl}* midbrain DA neurons. Although mGluR5 is expressed at high levels in the striatum, it was not known whether mGluR5 is expressed presynaptically in DAergic terminals. Conditional mGluR5 silencing completely abolished DHPG-stimulated DAT membrane insertion (Fig. 6c), clearly demonstrating that mGluR5 is expressed in DA terminals, where it

directly drives biphasic DAT trafficking. Although DAergic mGluR5 silencing had little effect on baseline locomotor behavior, it significantly disrupted motor learning and coordination measured on the Rotarod (Fig. 8a,b), but had no overall effect on coordinated movement on the challenge balance beam (Fig. 8c-e). A previous study locally applied mGluR5-specific antagonists and found that that striatal mGluR5 activity promotes accelerating rotarod performance⁷⁴, consistent with our findings. Conversely, global mGluR5 knockout was able to ameliorate poor rotarod performance in a mouse Huntington's disease model⁷⁵, suggesting that mGluR5 activation can have different valence effects on motor function, depending on the cell types in which it is expressed. mGluR5 knockout mice also exhibit deficits in spatial learning memory and fear conditioning acquisition⁷⁶, both of which are DAergic dependent learning behaviors, implicating mGluR5 and potentially DAT trafficking in broader learning behaviors. Further studies that utilize *in vivo* voltametric or postsynaptic DA sensors would be pivotal for understanding DA dynamics during complex behaviors.

We hypothesized that presynaptic mGluR5 silencing would disrupt DAT retrieval, leading to increased surface DAT, faster *in vivo* clearance times, and insufficient extracellular DA to facilitate rotarod performance. Consistent with this hypothesis, conditional mGluR5 silencing significantly increased baseline DAT surface expression in VS, and strongly trended to increase DAT surface expression in DS (Fig. 6d). Moreover, conditional mGluR5 silencing had no effect on total DAT and TH protein levels in either VS or DS (Fig. S3), nor did it impact activated TH, as determined by quantifying pSer40-TH (Fig. S3). Thus, it is possible that DAT trafficking dysregulation contributed to the motor phenotypes we observed. Alternatively, DAergic mGluR5 could be playing a role in DA neuron excitability at the somatodendritic level and inhibiting the ability of DA neuronal firing to increase during motor challenges. Chemogenetic Gq-coupled receptor activation in VTA DA neurons was recently demonstrated

to increase their firing rates³⁸, and activation of Group I mGluRs in midbrain and basal ganglia non-dopaminergic neurons likewise increased neuronal firing⁷⁷. However, although it was recently reported that Group I mGluR activation depresses iPSCs in VTA DA neurons, it appears to do so via mGluR1, not mGluR5, suggesting that mGluR5 may not contribute to DA neuron excitability in VTA⁷⁸.

We further explored the impact of both DRD2- and mGluR5-stimulated DAT trafficking on DA release and clearance in DS by FSCV. DRD2 inhibition significantly lengthened DA clearance times in slices from control mice (Fig. 7d), consistent with lower DAT surface levels in the absence of any DRD2 activation. We hypothesized that since conditional mGluR5 silencing increased basal DAT surface expression, this would translate into more rapid clearance rates in ASCF, as DAT would be inserted via DRD2 activation, but would fail to be rapidly retrieved in the absence of presynaptic mGluR5. To our surprise, DA clearance rates in ACSF were significantly longer in slices from Cre-injected mice, compared to control mice (Table I), consistent with less surface DAT and/or suppressed intrinsic DAT function. Moreover, DRD2 inhibition had no further effect on DA clearance rates (Fig. 7h), suggesting that mGluR5 impacts DRD2 function. Indeed, the DA transient amplitude was also significantly unresponsive to DRD2 inhibition following presynaptic mGluR5 silencing, as compared to controls (Fig. 7g), raising the possibility that mGluR5 deletion may impact DA release in vivo. Alternatively, several reports indicate that DA receptors including DRD2 and DRD3 undergo PKC-stimulated internalization^{79,80}. It is possible that, in addition to regulating DAT surface expression, mGluR5 may regulate DRD2 and/or DRD3 surface expression. Although our data suggest that DRD2 blockade alone is sufficient to block mGluR5 mediated DAT insertion, we cannot rule out that in the absence of mGluR5 there may be an aberrant DRD3 contribution that modulates DAT surface levels during evoked DA release. Indeed, DRD2 and DRD3 have

been shown to differentially regulate DAT function⁸¹. Importantly, we measured DAT surface levels measured in quiescent slices. In contrast, DA transients were evoked electrically, which drives release of multiple neurotransmitters close to the recording site, including acetylcholine, glutamate, and neuropeptides, all of which converge on DA terminals and may integrated to suppress DAT surface expression and/or intrinsic function, even in the absence of DAergic mGluR5.

In summary, we found that Gq-coupled, presynaptic receptors drive dynamic, biphasic DAT trafficking that differs in VS and DS, and identified the specific presynaptic mechanisms that are required for regulated DAT trafficking *in situ*. Importantly, these studies are the first to demonstrate a cell-autonomous DAT trafficking mechanism driven by an endogenous Gq-coupled GPCR in intact DA terminals, and suggest that glutamatergic signaling onto DAergic terminals may shape DAergic transmission via mGluR5-stimulated DAT trafficking.

Methods

<u>Materials</u>

Clozapine N-oxide (CNO, 4936), reserpine (2742), L-741,626 (1003), LY 333531 (ruboxistaurin, 4738), sumanirole maleate (2773), GF 109203X (BIM I), and (*RS*)-3,5dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG, 0342) were from Tocris. All other reagents were from either Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific and were of the highest possible grade.

<u>Mice</u>

Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}/+ mice (on the C57BI/6J background) were the generous gift of Dr. Huaibin Cai (National Institute on Aging) and were continuously backcrossed to C57BI/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories). *TRE-hM3Dq* (#014093), *Drd2^{fl/fl}* (#020631), *mGluR5^{fl/fl}* (#028626) mice, all on

the C57BI/6J background, were obtained from Jackson Laboratories and were backcrossed to either C57BI/6J or to *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}/+* mice to generate *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};TRE-hM3Dq*, *Pitx3^{IREStTA};Drd2^{fl/fl}*, and *Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}*; *mGluR5^{fl/fl}* mice, respectively. Mice were maintained in 12hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 0700) at constant temperature and humidity. Food and water were available ad libitum. All studies were conducted in accordance with UMass Medical School IACUC Protocol 202100046 (formerly A-1506, H.E.M.).

AAVs and stereotaxic surgeries

AAVs: pscAAV-TRE3g-eGFP and pscAAV-TRE3g-miR33-shRit2-eGFP AAV9 particles were produced as described³⁴. <u>pscAAV-TRE3g-shVps35-eGFP</u> and <u>pscAAV-TRE3q-Cre-eGFP</u>: pscAAV-TRE3g-miR33-shRit2-eGFP was digested with BgIII and PstI as backbone. miR33-shVps35 insert was synthesized as a gene block with shRNA antisense sequence ATA ATC CAG AAC ATT ACT AAG (V2LMM_36638, Dharmacon), previously validated⁴⁸. Cre recombinase insert was PCR amplified with addition of 5' BgIII and 3' PstI sites from pAAV-CB6-PI-Cre (UMass Viral Vector Core). AAV9 particles were produced by the University of Massachusetts Medical School Viral Vector Core as previously described^{29,34}.

Survival surgeries: Mice aged 3-4weeks were anesthetized with I.P, 100mg/kg ketamine (Vedco Inc.) and 10mg/kg xylazine (Akorn Inc.). 20% mannitol (NeogenVet) was administered I.P. >15 minutes prior to viral delivery, to increase viral spread. Mice were prepared and placed in the stereotaxic frame (Stoelting Inc.). 1ul of the indicated viruses were administered bilaterally to the VTA (Bregman: anterior/posterior: -3.08mm, medial/lateral: ±0.5mm, dorsal/ventral -4.5mm) at a rate of 0.2uL/min. Syringes were left in place for a minimum of 5 minutes post-infusion prior to removal. Viral incubation was a minimum of 4 weeks. Viral

expression was confirmed visually by the presence of GFP in the midbrain, and/or by RTqPCR.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

RNA was isolated from mouse midbrain punches using RNAgueous®-Micro Kit RNA isolation (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Ventral midbrain samples were collected by bilateral 1.0-mm³ tissue punches from 300µm acute coronal mouse midbrain slices. Punches were obtained on a fluorescent microscope to confirm AAV viral expression and enrichment. Reverse transcription was performed using RETROscript® reverse transcription kit (Themo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative PCR was performed and analyzed using the Applied Biosystems® 7500 Real-Time PCR System Machine and software or using the Bio-Rad C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler with CFX96 Real-Time system and software, using Tagman® gene expression assays for DRD2 (Mm00438541 m1), mouse 2-3 Vps35 (Mm00458167 m1), Rit2 exon (Mm0172749 mH), mGluR5 exon 7-8 (Mm01317985 m1), and GAPDH (Mm99999915 g1).

Ex vivo slice biotinylation

Acute coronal slices were prepared from 5-9-week old C57BI/6J and *Pitx3^{/RES-tTA}; TRE-HA-hM3Dq* (CNO and DHPG studies) or 4-6 weeks following viral injection (Drd2^{fl/fl}, shVps35, shRit2, mGluR5^{fl/fl} studies). All data were obtained from a minimum of 3 independent mice, from multiple striatal slices per mouse. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and rapid decapitation. Heads were immediately submerged in ice-cold NMDG cutting solution, pH 7.4 (20mM HEPES, 2.5mM KCl, 1.25mM NaH₂PO₄, 30mM NaHCO₃, 25mM glucose, 0.5mM CaCl₂·4H₂O. 10mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 92mM N-methyl-D-glucamine, 2mM thiourea, 5M Na⁺-ascorbate, 3mM Na⁺-pyruvate). Brains were removed, glued to VT1200S Vibroslicer (Leica)

stage and submerged in ice-cold, oxygenated cutting solution. 300µm slices were prepared and slices were hemisected along the midline prior to recovering in ACSF (125mM NaCl, 2.5mM KCl, 1.24mM NaH₂PO₄, 26mM NaHCO₃, 11mM glucose, 2.4mM CaCl₂·4H₂O,1.2mM MgCl₂·6H₂O, pH 7.4) for 40 min at 31°C. Hemi-slices were treated with the indicated drugs for the indicated times at 37°C with constant oxygenation. Following drug incubations, slices were moved to ice and surface DAT was labeled by biotinylation with the membrane-impermeant sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin as previously described^{25,29,34,35,82}. Striata were further sub-dissected to isolate VS and DS by cutting hemi-slices in a line from the lateral ventricle to lateral olfactory tract (as shown in Fig.1b). Tissue was lysed in RIPA buffer (10mM Tris, pH 7.4; 150mM NaCl; 1.0mM EDTA; 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Na deoxycholate) containing protease inhibitors (1.0mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 1.0g/mL each leupeptin, aprotinin, and pepstatin), and tissue was disrupted by triturating sequentially through a 200 µL pipette tip. 22and 26- gauge tech-tips and rotated 30 min at 4°C. Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail V (EMD Millipore) was included when evaluating protein phosphorylation states. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation and BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to determine protein concentrations. Biotinylated proteins were isolated by batch streptavidin chromatography, overnight with rotation at 4°C, with a ratio of 20µg lysate to 30µL streptavidin agarose beads. Recovered proteins were washed with RIPA buffer and eluted from beads in 2x Laemmli DTT sample buffer by rotation for 30 min at room temperature. Eluted proteins and their respective lysate inputs were resolved by SDS-Page and proteins were detected and quantified as described below. Surface DAT populations were calculated by normalizing biotinylated DAT signals to the DAT signals from corresponding inputs in a given hemi-slice.

Immunoblots

Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and proteins were detected and quantified by immunoblotting with the following antibodies: rat anti-DAT (MAB369, Millipore; 1:2000), rabbit anti-TH (AB152, Millipore, 1:10000), rabbit anti-pSer40 TH (AB5935, Millipore, 1:5000), mouse anti-Actin (sc-56459, Santa Cruz, 1:5000). Secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were all from Jackson ImmunoResearch, and immunoreactive bands were visualized by chemiluminescence using SuperSignal West Dura (Thermo Scientific). Immunoreactive bands were detected using a VersaDoc 5000MP imaging station (Bio-Rad) and were in the linear range of detection. Bands were quantified using Quantity One software (Bio-rad).

Fast-scan cyclic voltammetry

Striatal slices were prepared as described for *ex vivo* slice biotinylation and were allowed to recover at 31°C for a minimum of 1 hour prior to recording in oxygenated ASCF supplemented with 500µM Na-Ascorbate. Glass pipettes containing a 7µm carbon-fiber microelectrode were prepared and preconditioned in ASCF by applying triangular voltage ramps (-0.4 to +1.2 and back to -0.4 V at 400 V/s), delivered at 60Hz for 1 hour. Recordings were performed at 10Hz. Electrodes were calibrated to a 1µM DA standard prior to recording. Electrodes were positioned in DS and DA transients were electrically evoked with a 250µA rectangular pulse every 2 min, using a concentric bipolar electrode placed ~100µm from the carbon fiber electrode. Data were collected with a 3-electrode headstage, using an EPC10 amplifier (Heka) after low-pass filter at 10 kHz and digitized at 100 kHz, using Patchmaster software (Heka). A stable baseline was achieved after evoking six consecutive DA transients, after which experimental data were collected. Each biological replicate is the average of three evoked DA transients/slice, and a minimum of 3 independent mice were used to gather data from the

indicated number of slices in each experiment. Data were analyzed in Igor Pro, using the Wavemetrics plugin (gift of Veronica Alvarez, NIAAA).

Mouse behavior

Locomotion

Mouse activity was individually assessed in photobeam activity chambers (San Diego Instruments) as previously described³⁴. Mice were placed in clean gromet-free cages and horizontal, vertical, and fine movement were measured in 5-minute bins for 90 minutes total.

Accelerating and Fixed-Speed Rotarod

Mice were habituated to the behavior room in home cage for > 30min with ambient lighting and the RotaRod unit (UgoBasile 47600) running at 4 RPM. Mice were weighed prior to testing. Accelerating RotaRod: Mice were placed on the rod revolving at constant 4 RPM, and rod speed was then increased from 4 to 40RPM over 5 minutes. Mouse latency to fall was measured over three consecutive trials and was determined by either triggering the strike plate during a fall, or if the mouse made >1 consecutive passive rotation. Fixed speed: mice were placed on the rod moving at the indicated speeds (20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45 RPM) and were evaluated for two consecutive 60 second trials. Latency to fall was measured or trial was stopped following >1 passive rotation.

Challenge/Balance Beam

One-day prior to assay, mice were trained (5 trials) to traverse a 1.0m, step-wise tapered (widths: 35mm, 25mm, 15mm, 5mm) elevated beam (#80306, Lafayette Neuroscience) at an incline of 15°. Training and assay were performed in a dark room with only one light source placed approximately 1.5 feet over the beam origin. A dark box with home-cage bedding was

placed at the top of the incline. Mice were acclimated to testing room for >30min with assay set up. On assay day, a challenge grid (custom 3D-printed, Thingiverse #4869650) was placed over the beam and mice traversed the beam in 3 independent trials. Traversals were video captured and scored for foot faults and traversal time, averaged over the first two completed trials or as paired analysis between first and second trial. Animal IDs were double-blinded to both the experimenter and an independent scorer.

Grip Strength

Mouse grip strength was measured using the Bioseb Grip Strength Test (BIO-GS3) equipped with mesh grip grid for mice. Mice were suspended by the tail over the mesh and were allowed to grab the mesh with all 4 paws. The mouse was then pulled backwards on the horizontal plane until it released from the mesh. The force applied, just before release, was recorded for 3 consecutive trials and averaged.

Gait Analysis

Gait analysis assay was adapted from Wertman, *et al.*⁸³. Briefly, mouse fore-paws and hindpaws were dipped in orange and blue non-toxic tempera paint, respectively. The mice were placed in a 10cm x 36cm runway with 14cm high foamboard walls and a dark box at the opposing end. Fresh, legal-size paper was placed on the bench top under the runway for each trial and mice were placed on the paper at the open end of the runway and allowed to traverse to the closed box at the opposite end. Three trials were performed per mouse and stride length, stride width, and toe spread were measured for both fore and hindlimbs by an individual blinded to mouse identity. Number of completed trials was also quantified. Mouse IDs were double-blinded for the assay and quantification.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software. Outliers in a given data set were identified using either Grubb's or Rout's outlier tests, with α or Q values set at 0.05 or 5%, respectively, and were removed from further analysis. Significant differences between two values were determined using either a one-tailed, two-tailed, or paired Student's t test, as indicated. Differences amongst more than two conditions were determined using one-way or two-way ANOVA, as appropriate, and significant differences among individual values within the group were determined by post-hoc multiple comparison tests, as described for each experiment. Power analyses were performed using G*Power to determine sufficient sample sizes for behavioral assays given power (1- β) = 0.9, α =0.05, and effect sizes observed in previous and pilot studies. Minimum sample sizes were as follows: accelerating rotarod n=5/group, fixed-speed rotarod n=5/group, total locomotion n=6/group, grip strength n=6/group, balance beam foot slips n=5/group, balance beam traversal time n=6/group, gait analysis n=5/group.

References

- 1. Wise RA. Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5, 483-494 (2004).
- 2. Iversen SD, Iversen LL. Dopamine: 50 years in perspective. *Trends Neurosci* **30**, 188-193 (2007).
- 3. Hyman SE, Malenka RC, Nestler EJ. Neural Mechanisms of Addiction: The Role of Reward-Related Learning and Memory. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **29**, 565-598 (2006).
- 4. Hamilton PJ, *et al.* De novo mutation in the dopamine transporter gene associates dopamine dysfunction with autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **18**, 1315-1323 (2013).
- 5. Howes OD, McCutcheon R, Owen MJ, Murray RM. The Role of Genes, Stress, and Dopamine in the Development of Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* **81**, 9-20 (2017).
- 6. Geibl FF, Henrich MT, Oertel WH. Mesencephalic and extramesencephalic dopaminergic systems in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, (2019).
- 7. Kristensen AS, et al. SLC6 Neurotransmitter Transporters: Structure, Function, and Regulation. *Pharmacological Reviews* **63**, 585-640 (2011).
- 8. Giros B, Jaber M, Jones SR, Wightman RM, Caron MG. Hyperlocomotion and indifference to cocaine and amphetamine in mice lacking the dopamine transporter. *Nature* **379**, 606-612 (1996).
- 9. Bowton E, *et al.* Dysregulation of dopamine transporters via dopamine D2 autoreceptors triggers anomalous dopamine efflux associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Neurosci* **30**, 6048-6057 (2010).
- 10. Bowton E, *et al.* SLC6A3 coding variant Ala559Val found in two autism probands alters dopamine transporter function and trafficking. *Transl Psychiatry* **4**, e464 (2014).
- 11. Mazei-Robison MS, et al. Anomalous dopamine release associated with a human dopamine transporter coding variant. *J Neurosci* **28**, 7040-7046 (2008).
- 12. Sakrikar D, et al. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder-derived coding variation in the dopamine transporter disrupts microdomain targeting and trafficking regulation. J Neurosci **32**, 5385-5397 (2012).
- 13. Herborg F, Andreassen TF, Berlin F, Loland CJ, Gether U. Neuropsychiatric diseaseassociated genetic variants of the dopamine transporter display heterogeneous molecular phenotypes. *J Biol Chem* **293**, 7250-7262 (2018).
- 14. Mazei-Robison MS, Blakely RD. Expression studies of naturally occurring human dopamine transporter variants identifies a novel state of transporter inactivation associated with Val382Ala. *Neuropharmacology* **49**, 737-749 (2005).

- 15. Aguilar JI, *et al.* Psychomotor impairments and therapeutic implications revealed by a mutation associated with infantile Parkinsonism-Dystonia. *Elife* **10**, (2021).
- 16. Asjad HMM, *et al.* Pharmacochaperoning in a Drosophila model system rescues human dopamine transporter variants associated with infantile/juvenile parkinsonism. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 19250-19265 (2017).
- 17. Beerepoot P, Lam VM, Salahpour A. Pharmacological Chaperones of the Dopamine Transporter Rescue Dopamine Transporter Deficiency Syndrome Mutations in Heterologous Cells. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 22053-22062 (2016).
- 18. Ng J, et al. Dopamine transporter deficiency syndrome: phenotypic spectrum from infancy to adulthood. Brain **137**, 1107-1119 (2014).
- 19. Kurian MA, *et al.* Homozygous loss-of-function mutations in the gene encoding the dopamine transporter are associated with infantile parkinsonism-dystonia. *J Clin Invest* **119**, 1595-1603 (2009).
- 20. Bermingham DP, Blakely RD. Kinase-dependent Regulation of Monoamine Neurotransmitter Transporters. *Pharmacol Rev* **68**, 888-953 (2016).
- 21. Fagan RR, Kearney PJ, Melikian HE. In Situ Regulated Dopamine Transporter Trafficking: There's No Place Like Home. *Neurochem Res* **45**, 1335-1343 (2020).
- 22. Melikian HE. Neurotransmitter transporter trafficking: endocytosis, recycling, and regulation. *Pharmacol Ther* **104**, 17-27 (2004).
- 23. Eriksen J, Bjorn-Yoshimoto WE, Jorgensen TN, Newman AH, Gether U. Postendocytic sorting of constitutively internalized dopamine transporter in cell lines and dopaminergic neurons. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 27289-27301 (2010).
- 24. Loder MK, Melikian HE. The dopamine transporter constitutively internalizes and recycles in a protein kinase C-regulated manner in stably transfected PC12 cell lines. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 22168-22174 (2003).
- 25. Gabriel LR, *et al.* Dopamine transporter endocytic trafficking in striatal dopaminergic neurons: differential dependence on dynamin and the actin cytoskeleton. *J Neurosci* **33**, 17836-17846 (2013).
- 26. Daniels GM, Amara SG. Regulated trafficking of the human dopamine transporter. Clathrin-mediated internalization and lysosomal degradation in response to phorbol esters. *J Biol Chem* **274**, 35794-35801 (1999).
- 27. Navaroli DM, *et al.* The plasma membrane-associated GTPase Rin interacts with the dopamine transporter and is required for protein kinase C-regulated dopamine transporter trafficking. *J Neurosci* **31**, 13758-13770 (2011).

- 28. Wu S, et al. The Dopamine Transporter Recycles via a Retromer-Dependent Postendocytic Mechanism: Tracking Studies Using a Novel Fluorophore-Coupling Approach. J Neurosci **37**, 9438-9452 (2017).
- 29. Fagan RR, et al. Dopamine transporter trafficking and Rit2 GTPase: Mechanism of action and in vivo impact. J Biol Chem **295**, 5229-5244 (2020).
- 30. Underhill SM, Amara SG. Acetylcholine Receptor Stimulation Activates Protein Kinase C Mediated Internalization of the Dopamine Transporter. *Front Cell Neurosci* **15**, 662216 (2021).
- 31. Granas C, Ferrer J, Loland CJ, Javitch JA, Gether U. N-terminal truncation of the dopamine transporter abolishes phorbol ester- and substance P receptor-stimulated phosphorylation without impairing transporter internalization. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 4990-5000 (2003).
- 32. Page G, Peeters M, Najimi M, Maloteaux JM, Hermans E. Modulation of the neuronal dopamine transporter activity by the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 in rat striatal synaptosomes through phosphorylation mediated processes. *J Neurochem* **76**, 1282-1290 (2001).
- 33. Lin X, *et al.* Conditional expression of Parkinson's disease-related mutant alphasynuclein in the midbrain dopaminergic neurons causes progressive neurodegeneration and degradation of transcription factor nuclear receptor related 1. *J Neurosci* **32**, 9248-9264 (2012).
- 34. Sweeney CG, *et al.* Conditional, inducible gene silencing in dopamine neurons reveals a sex-specific role for Rit2 GTPase in acute cocaine response and striatal function. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **45**, 384-393 (2020).
- 35. Wu S, Bellve KD, Fogarty KE, Melikian HE. Ack1 is a dopamine transporter endocytic brake that rescues a trafficking-dysregulated ADHD coding variant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 15480-15485 (2015).
- 36. Aldrin-Kirk P, et al. DREADD Modulation of Transplanted DA Neurons Reveals a Novel Parkinsonian Dyskinesia Mechanism Mediated by the Serotonin 5-HT6 Receptor. *Neuron* **90**, 955-968 (2016).
- 37. Dell'Anno MT, et al. Remote control of induced dopaminergic neurons in parkinsonian rats. *J Clin Invest* **124**, 3215-3229 (2014).
- 38. Mahler SV, *et al.* Chemogenetic Manipulations of Ventral Tegmental Area Dopamine Neurons Reveal Multifaceted Roles in Cocaine Abuse. *J Neurosci* **39**, 503-518 (2019).
- 39. Chen R, *et al.* Protein kinase Cbeta is a modulator of the dopamine D2 autoreceptoractivated trafficking of the dopamine transporter. *J Neurochem* **125**, 663-672 (2013).

- 40. Chen R, *et al.* Protein kinase Cbeta is a critical regulator of dopamine transporter trafficking and regulates the behavioral response to amphetamine in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **328**, 912-920 (2009).
- 41. Luderman KD, Chen R, Ferris MJ, Jones SR, Gnegy ME. Protein kinase C beta regulates the D(2)-like dopamine autoreceptor. *Neuropharmacology* **89**, 335-341 (2015).
- 42. Stamatakis AM, *et al.* A unique population of ventral tegmental area neurons inhibits the lateral habenula to promote reward. *Neuron* **80**, 1039-1053 (2013).
- 43. Tritsch NX, Ding JB, Sabatini BL. Dopaminergic neurons inhibit striatal output through non-canonical release of GABA. *Nature* **490**, 262-266 (2012).
- 44. Yohn SE, Galbraith J, Calipari ES, Conn PJ. Shared Behavioral and Neurocircuitry Disruptions in Drug Addiction, Obesity, and Binge Eating Disorder: Focus on Group I mGluRs in the Mesolimbic Dopamine Pathway. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **10**, 2125-2143 (2019).
- 45. Testa CM, Standaert DG, Landwehrmeyer GB, Penney JB, Jr., Young AB. Differential expression of mGluR5 metabotropic glutamate receptor mRNA by rat striatal neurons. *J Comp Neurol* **354**, 241-252 (1995).
- 46. Testa CM, Standaert DG, Young AB, Penney JB, Jr. Metabotropic glutamate receptor mRNA expression in the basal ganglia of the rat. *J Neurosci* **14**, 3005-3018 (1994).
- 47. Bello EP, *et al.* Cocaine supersensitivity and enhanced motivation for reward in mice lacking dopamine D2 autoreceptors. *Nat Neurosci* **14**, 1033-1038 (2011).
- 48. Yang Z, et al. Functional characterization of retromer in GLUT4 storage vesicle formation and adipocyte differentiation. FASEB J **30**, 1037-1050 (2016).
- 49. Boudanova E, Navaroli DM, Stevens Z, Melikian HE. Dopamine transporter endocytic determinants: carboxy terminal residues critical for basal and PKC-stimulated internalization. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **39**, 211-217 (2008).
- 50. Holton KL, Loder MK, Melikian HE. Nonclassical, distinct endocytic signals dictate constitutive and PKC-regulated neurotransmitter transporter internalization. *Nat Neurosci* **8**, 881-888 (2005).
- 51. Sorkina T, Caltagarone J, Sorkin A. Flotillins regulate membrane mobility of the dopamine transporter but are not required for its protein kinase C dependent endocytosis. *Traffic* **14**, 709-724 (2013).
- 52. Vina-Vilaseca A, Bender-Sigel J, Sorkina T, Closs EI, Sorkin A. Protein kinase Cdependent ubiquitination and clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the cationic amino acid transporter CAT-1. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 8697-8706 (2011).

- 53. Miranda M, Wu CC, Sorkina T, Korstjens DR, Sorkin A. Enhanced ubiquitylation and accelerated degradation of the dopamine transporter mediated by protein kinase C. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 35617-35624 (2005).
- 54. Bolan EA, et al. D2 receptors regulate dopamine transporter function via an extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2-dependent and phosphoinositide 3 kinase-independent mechanism. *Mol Pharmacol* **71**, 1222-1232 (2007).
- 55. Zapata A, et al. Regulation of dopamine transporter function and cell surface expression by D3 dopamine receptors. J Biol Chem 282, 35842-35854 (2007).
- 56. Ford CP. The role of D2-autoreceptors in regulating dopamine neuron activity and transmission. *Neuroscience* **282**, 13-22 (2014).
- 57. Bamford NS, et al. Heterosynaptic dopamine neurotransmission selects sets of corticostriatal terminals. *Neuron* **42**, 653-663 (2004).
- 58. Wang W, et al. Regulation of prefrontal excitatory neurotransmission by dopamine in the nucleus accumbens core. *J Physiol* **590**, 3743-3769 (2012).
- 59. Higley MJ, Sabatini BL. Competitive regulation of synaptic Ca2+ influx by D2 dopamine and A2A adenosine receptors. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 958-966 (2010).
- 60. Ikarashi Y, Takahashi A, Ishimaru H, Arai T, Maruyama Y. Suppression of cholinergic activity via the dopamine D2 receptor in the rat striatum. *Neurochem Int* **30**, 191-197 (1997).
- 61. Gowrishankar R, et al. Region-Specific Regulation of Presynaptic Dopamine Homeostasis by D2 Autoreceptors Shapes the In Vivo Impact of the Neuropsychiatric Disease-Associated DAT Variant Val559. J Neurosci **38**, 5302-5312 (2018).
- 62. Stuber GD, Hnasko TS, Britt JP, Edwards RH, Bonci A. Dopaminergic terminals in the nucleus accumbens but not the dorsal striatum corelease glutamate. *J Neurosci* **30**, 8229-8233 (2010).
- 63. Zhang S, et al. Dopaminergic and glutamatergic microdomains in a subset of rodent mesoaccumbens axons. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 386-392 (2015).
- 64. Foster DJ, *et al.* M5 receptor activation produces opposing physiological outcomes in dopamine neurons depending on the receptor's location. *J Neurosci* **34**, 3253-3262 (2014).
- 65. Bagalkot TR, *et al.* Dopamine Transporter Localization in Medial Forebrain Bundle Axons Indicates Its Long-Range Transport Primarily by Membrane Diffusion with a Limited Contribution of Vesicular Traffic on Retromer-Positive Compartments. *J Neurosci* **41**, 234-250 (2021).
- 66. Vilarino-Guell C, et al. VPS35 mutations in Parkinson disease. Am J Hum Genet 89, 162-167 (2011).

- 67. Cataldi S, et al. Altered dopamine release and monoamine transporters in Vps35 p.D620N knock-in mice. *NPJ Parkinsons Dis* **4**, 27 (2018).
- 68. Glessner JT, et al. Strong synaptic transmission impact by copy number variations in schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 10584-10589 (2010).
- 69. Pankratz N, et al. Meta-analysis of Parkinson's disease: identification of a novel locus, RIT2. Ann Neurol **71**, 370-384 (2012).
- 70. Zhang X, Niu M, Li H, Xie A. RIT2 rs12456492 polymorphism and the risk of Parkinson's disease: A meta-analysis. *Neurosci Lett* **602**, 167-171 (2015).
- 71. Emamalizadeh B, et al. RIT2 Polymorphisms: Is There a Differential Association? *Mol Neurobiol* **54**, 2234-2240 (2017).
- 72. Foo JN, et al. Genome-wide association study of Parkinson's disease in East Asians. *Hum Mol Genet* **26**, 226-232 (2017).
- 73. Hamedani SY, et al. Ras-like without CAAX 2 (RIT2): a susceptibility gene for autism spectrum disorder. *Metab Brain Dis* **32**, 751-755 (2017).
- 74. Guimaraes IM, Carvalho TG, Ferguson SS, Pereira GS, Ribeiro FM. The metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 role on motor behavior involves specific neural substrates. *Mol Brain* **8**, 24 (2015).
- 75. Ribeiro FM, *et al.* Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 knockout promotes motor and biochemical alterations in a mouse model of Huntington's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* **23**, 2030-2042 (2014).
- 76. Lu YM, *et al.* Mice lacking metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 show impaired learning and reduced CA1 long-term potentiation (LTP) but normal CA3 LTP. *J Neurosci* **17**, 5196-5205 (1997).
- 77. Chen Y, et al. Interaction of novel positive allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 with the negative allosteric antagonist site is required for potentiation of receptor responses. *Mol Pharmacol* **71**, 1389-1398 (2007).
- 78. Yu F, Zhong P, Liu X, Sun D, Gao HQ, Liu QS. Metabotropic glutamate receptor I (mGluR1) antagonism impairs cocaine-induced conditioned place preference via inhibition of protein synthesis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **38**, 1308-1321 (2013).
- 79. Zhang X, Sun N, Zheng M, Kim KM. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is responsible for the lysosomal degradation of dopamine D3 receptor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **476**, 245-251 (2016).
- 80. Namkung Y, Sibley DR. Protein kinase C mediates phosphorylation, desensitization, and trafficking of the D2 dopamine receptor. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 49533-49541 (2004).

- 81. McGinnis MM, Siciliano CA, Jones SR. Dopamine D3 autoreceptor inhibition enhances cocaine potency at the dopamine transporter. *J Neurochem* **138**, 821-829 (2016).
- 82. Gabriel LR, Wu S, Melikian HE. Brain slice biotinylation: an ex vivo approach to measure region-specific plasma membrane protein trafficking in adult neurons. *J Vis Exp*, (2014).
- 83. Wertman V, Gromova A, La Spada AR, Cortes CJ. Low-Cost Gait Analysis for Behavioral Phenotyping of Mouse Models of Neuromuscular Disease. *J Vis Exp*, (2019).

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Veronica Alvarez, Roland Bock, and Hoon Shin (NIAAA), for generously giving of their time to train and consult with us for the FSCV studies. We also thank Dr. Kensuke Futai for additional technical assistance with all matters electrophysiological, and Dr. Andrew Tapper for insightful discussions. These studies were supported by NIH grants R01DA035224 (H.E.M.) and F31 DA045446 (P.J.K).

Author Contributions

Experiments were designed by P.J.K., H.E.M. and G.E.M., were performed by P.J.K and T.C, and were analyzed by P.J.K and H.M. P.J.K. and H.E.M wrote the manuscript, with editorial suggestions provided by G.E.M.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in conducting or publishing the data acquired within this study.

Materials and Correspondence

Any requests for materials described in these studies should be addressed to Dr. Haley E. Melikian, UMASS Medical School.

Table I

Effect of DRD2 inhibition and DAergic mGluR5 silencing on evoked DA transients

(-)	(+)
274.1 ±59.3	$*655.6 \pm 110.6$
194.9 ± 64.0	$^{\dagger}322.9 \pm 95.2$
0.27 ± 0.26	*0.44 ±0.06
$^{\dagger}0.44 \pm 0.04$	0.44 ± 0.04
	(-) 274.1 ± 59.3 194.9 ± 64.0 0.27 ± 0.26 $^{\dagger}0.44 \pm 0.04$

Amplitude: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: $F_{(1,31)}=2.15$, p=0.15; Virus: $F_{(1,31)}=5.67$, p=0.02, Drug: $F_{(1,31)}=8.67$, p=0.006. Tukey's multiple comparison test, n=8-9. *Significantly increased as compared to eGFP_(-L741,626) slices, p=0.02. [†]Significantly decreased as compared to eGFP_(+L741,626) slices, p=0.04.

Tau: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: $F_{(1,32)}$ =4.20, p=0.048; Virus: $F_{(1,32)}$ =4.15; p=0.05, Drug: $F_{(1,32)}$ =4.21, p=0.048. Tukey's multiple comparison test, n=9. *Significantly increased as compared to eGFP_(-L741,626) slices, p=0.03. [†]Significantly increased as compared to eGFP_(-L741,626) slices, p=0.03.



Figure 1. Gq-coupled DREADD activation drives region-specific, biphasic DAT trafficking. Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinylation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};+ or Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};TRE-hM3Dq mice were treated with 500nM CNO for the indicated times, and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in *Methods.* (a) *Total striatum*. Total striatal slices (left) were assessed for hM3Dq-mediated DAT trafficking. Right, top: Representative DAT immunoblots showing surface and input DAT bands. Right bottom: Averaged DAT surface levels are presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section ±S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,15)=3.82, *p=0.045; Genotype: F_(1,15)=8.53, *p=0.011, Time: $F_{(2,15)}=2.24$, p=0.24). *p<0.05, Tukey's multiple comparisons test, n=3 (*Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}*) and 4 (Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};TRE-hM3Dq). (b) Subdissected striatum. Left: Dorsal and ventral striata were subdissected prior to solubilizing, as described in Methods. Right, top: Representative DAT immunoblots showing surface and input DAT bands. Right bottom: Averaged DAT surface levels, presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section ±S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,37)=0.12, p=0.89; Time: F_(2,37)=34.65, ****p<0.0001, Region: F_(1,37)=30.05, ****p<0.0001). **p=0.003; *p=0.011, Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Ventral: n=6 (5 min), 7 (10 min), and 8 (30 min); *Dorsal:* n=6 (5 min), 9 (10 min), and 8 (30 min).





С



dorsal striatum. One-way ANOVA: $F_{(3,14)}$ =9.41, **p=0.001. *p=0.04, **p=0.004, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test, n=6-7.

Figure 2. Gq-mediated DAT insertion is mediated by DA release and DRD2 activation.

Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinylation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};TRE-hM3Dq mice were pretreated (15 min, 37°C) with the indicated drugs, followed by treatment with 500nM CNO (5 min, 37°C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in Methods. Averaged DAT surface levels in ventral (left) and dorsal (right) striatum are presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section ±S.E.M. (a) Reserpine treatment. Mice were injected (I.P.) ±5.0 mg/kg reserpine 16 hrs prior to preparing slices, and 1.0µM reserpine or vehicle were included in the bath throughout the experiment. Ventral: **p=0.007, onetailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=8 (saline) and 6 (reserpine). Dorsal: ****p<0.0001, onetailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=7 (saline) and 5 (reserpine). (b) DRD2 antagonist pretreatment. Striatal slices were pretreated ±DRD2 antagonist (L-741,626, 25nM, 15 min, 37°C), then treated ±500nM CNO (5 min, 37°C). DRD2 blockade abolished hM3Dqstimulated DAT membrane insertion, but had no effect alone in either ventral (left) or dorsal (right) striatum. Ventral: Kruskal-Wallis test, 8.82. *p<0.05, Dunn's multiple comparisons test, n=5. Dorsal: One-way ANOVA, $F_{(2.6)}=20.17$. **p=0.002. **p<0.01. Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test, n=3. (c) PKC inhibition. Slices were pretreated with ±CNO (5 min, 37°C), followed by treatment ±1.0µM BIM I (30 min, 37°C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in Methods. Ventral striatum. BIM I significantly blocked DAT retrieval following CNO-stimulated membrane insertion in ventral striatum. One-way ***p=0.0005. ANOVA: $F_{(3,19)}=9.33$, ***p<0.002, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test, n=5-7. Dorsal striatum. BIM I significantly blocked DAT retrieval following **CNO-stimulated** membrane insertion in



Figure 3. Striatal mGluR5 activation drives biphasic DAT trafficking.

Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinylation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated mice, were treated ±10µM DHPG (5 min, 37°C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in *Methods*. Surface DAT is expressed as a percentage of the contralateral vehicle treated hemisection ±SEM. (a, b) DHPG treatment. Slices were treated ±10µM DHPG for the indicated times and sub-dissected into ventral (a) and dorsal (b) striatal subregions prior to solubilizing. Tops: Representative immunoblots. Bottoms: Averaged data are presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section. Ventral: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,22)=4.95, *p=0.02; Time: F_(2,22)=4.95, *p=0.02, Drug: F_(1,22)=2.24, p=0.29. *p<0.05, **p=0.006, Tukey's multiple comparisons test, n=4-5x. Dorsal: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,20)=3.65, *p=0.04; Time: F_(2,20)=3.65, *p=0.04, Drug: F_(1,20)=8.80, **p=0.008. *p<0.05, Tukey's multiple comparisons test, n=4-5. (c, d) MPEP antagonist pretreatment. Slices were pretreated ±the mGluR5 antagonist, MTEP (50nM, 15 min, 37°C) prior to stimulating DAT insertion with DHPG (10µM, 5 min, 37°C). Slices were sub-dissected into ventral (c) and dorsal (d) striatal subregions prior to solubilizing. Averaged data are presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section. Ventral: One-way ANOVA: F(2,9)=13.42, **p=0.002; **p<0.01, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test, n=4. Dorsal: One-way ANOVA: F_(2,8)=6.92, *p=0.02. *p<0.05, Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test, n=3-4.



Figure 4. mGluR5-mediated DAT insertion requires DRD2_{auto} and intact retromer.

(a) Virally-induced DRD2 autoreceptor silencing. (Left) Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}:DRD2^{fl/fl} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TRE-eGFP or AAV9-TRE-Cre. (Right) Midbrain DRD2 mRNA levels were measured by RT-gPCR from tissue punches obtained 4-5 weeks post-injection. **p=0.002, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=??. (b) Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinylation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated mice, were treated ±10µM DHPG (5 min, 37*C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in Methods. Surface DAT is expressed as a percentage of the contralateral vehicle- treated hemi-section ±SEM. DRD2 autoreceptor silencing abolished mGluR5-stimulated DAT membrane delivery in both ventral (*p=0.01) and dorsal (**p=0.004) striatum, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=4 (ventral) and 4-5 (dorsal). (c-e) Conditional Vps35 silencing in DA neurons. (c, Left) Pitx3^{IRES-tTA} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TRE-eGFP or AAV9-TRE-shVps35. (c, Right) Midbrain Vps mRNA levels were measured by RT-gPCR from tissue punches obtained 4-5 weeks post-injection, and were normalized to TH mRNA levels to account for varied DA neuron enrichment amonast tissue punches. **p=0.005, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. n=4-5. (d. e) Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinvlation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated mice, were treated with the indicated drugs (5 min, 37*C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in Methods. Surface DAT is expressed as a percentage of the contralateral vehicle- treated hemisection ±SEM. (d) DRD2-stimulated DAT membrane delivery: Slices were treated ±170nM sumanirole (5 min, 37°C). Vps35 silencing abolished DRD2-stimulated DAT membrane delivery in both ventral (*p=0.049) and dorsal (*p=0.02) striatum, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=4-5. (e) mGluR5-stimulated DAT membrane delivery . Slices were treated ±10µM DHPG (5 min, 37°C). Vps35 silencing abolished mGluR5-stimulated DAT membrane delivery in both ventral (*p=0.03) and dorsal (**p=0.003) striatum, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test, n=3-5.



Surface DAT (%vehicle)

100

50

0

5

10

Time (min)

30

(b) and dorsal (c) striatal subregions prior to solubilizing. Surface DAT is expressed as a percentage of the contralateral vehicle- treated hemisection ±SEM. (b) Ventral striatum: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,14)=3.35, p=0.065; Time: F_(2,14)=0.29, p=0.75. Virus: $F_{(1,14)}=30.00$, ****p<0.0001). Rit2 significantly abolished DAT retrieval at 10 min (**p=0.002) and (**p=0.004), Sidak's comparisons test, n=3-4. (c) Dorsal striatum: Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F_(2,14)=1.30, p=0.30; Time: F_(2,14)=2.19, p=0.15, Virus: F_(1,14)=11.44, **p=0.004. Rit2 silencing significantly abolished DAT retrieval at 10 min (*p=0.02), Sidak's multiple comparisons test, n=3-4.

Figure 5. Rit2 is required for mGluR5-

mediated DAT retrieval. but not

(a) Conditional Rit2 silencing in DA

neurons. (Left) Pitx3^{IRES-tTA} mouse VTA

were bilaterally injected with either

AAV9-TRE-eGFP or AAV9-TRE-Cre. (Right) Midbrain Rit2 mRNA levels were

measured by RT-qPCR from tissue

punches obtained 4-5 weeks post-

unpaired Student's t test, n=??. (a) Left:

bilaterally to VTA with AAV9-TRE-

shRit2. Right: Midbrain Rit2 knockdown was confirmed by RT-gPCR. **p=0.005,

one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test,

n=3-4. (b, c) Ex vivo striatal slice

surface biotinylation. Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated

mice, treated ±10µM DHPG for the indicated times (37*C), and

surface levels were measured by slice biotinylation as described in Methods.

Slices were sub-dissected into ventral

were

one-tailed.

injected

DAT

silencing

multiple

min

30

**p=0.002,

mice

insertion

injection.

Pitx3^{IRES-tTA}



b











Figure 6. mGluR5-mediated DAT trafficking is mediated presynaptically and impacts basal DAT surface expression.

(a) Conditional mGluR5 silencing in DA neurons. (Left) Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/f} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TRE-eGFP (n=7) or AAV9-TRE-Cre (n=10). (Right) Midbrain mGluR5 mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR from tissue punches obtained 4-5 weeks post-injection. ***p=0.0005, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. n=8 (Cre) and 12 (eGFP). (b-d) Ex vivo striatal slice surface biotinylation. Pitx3^{IRES-} tTA:mGluR5^{fl/f} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TRE-eGFP (n=4) or AAV9-TRE-Cre (n=5). Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated mice, treated ±10µM DHPG (5 min, 37*C), and DAT surface levels were measured by slice biotinvlation as described in Methods. Slices were sub-dissected into ventral and dorsal striatal subregions prior to solubilizing. (b) Representative immunoblots: Surface and input DAT bands are presented for each of the indicated treatment conditions. (c) DHPGstimulated DAT membrane insertion: Average DAT surface levels are presented as %vehicle-treated contralateral hemi-section. DAergic mGluR5 silencing significantly abolished DHPG-stimulated DAT insertion in ventral (***p=0.0002), and both dorsal (**p=0.003) striatum, one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. (d) Basal DAT surface expression: Average surface DAT values are presented as %input surface levels. DAergic mGluR5 silencing significantly increased basal DAT surface expression in ventral striatum (p=0.001), and strongly trend to increase DAT surface expression in dorsal striatum (p=0.06), one-tailed, unpaired Student's t test.



Figure 7. DRD2-stimulated DAT insertion and mGluR5-mediated DAT retrieval impact DA release and clearance in dorsal striatum

Ex vivo fast-scan cyclic voltammetry: Pitx3^{/RES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/f} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TRE-eGFP (n=7-9) or AAV9-TRE-Cre (n=9). Acute striatal slices were prepared from the indicated mice, and electrically evoked DA transients were measured in dorsal striatum by FSCV as described in Methods. (a-d) eGFP: (a) Representative voltammograms: Voltammograms displaying evoked current over voltage cycles and time, in slices from eGFPinjected in the presence of ASCF alone (top) or supplemented with 25nM L-741,626 (bottom). Arrowheads indicated delivery of single, squared wave pulse. (b) Dopamine transients: Evoked DA transients in slices from eGFP-injected mice, treated ±L-741,626 (25nM). Average traces are presented with S.E.M. indicated by shaded areas. (c) Average amplitudes: Average amplitudes are presented in $\mu M \pm S.E.M. \pm Significantly greater than in ACSF alone, p=0.02. (d)$ Average decay tau, presented in sec ±S.E.M. *Significantly longer clearance time than in ACSF alone, p=0.03. (e-h) Cre: Representative voltammograms: Voltammograms displaying evoked current over voltage cycles and time, in slices from eGFP-injected in the presence of ASCF alone (top) or supplemented with 25nM L-741,626 (bottom). Arrowheads indicated delivery of single, squared wave pulse. (b) Dopamine transients: Evoked DA transients in slices from Creinjected mice, treated ±L-741,626 (25nM). Average traces are presented with S.E.M. indicated by shaded areas. (c) Average amplitudes: Average amplitudes are presented in µM ±S.E.M. DRD2 inhibition did not significantly alter DA release inhibition, p=0.71. (d) Average decay tau, presented in sec ±S.E.M. DRD2 inhibition had no significant effect on DA clearance, p>0.999. See Table I for all descriptive statistical analyses.



Figure 8. DAergic mGluR5 is required for motor learning and coordination

Pitx3^{IRES-tTA};mGluR5^{fl/f} mouse VTA were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-TREeGFP (n=7-9) or AAV9-TRE-Cre (n=9) and were assessed by the indicated behavioral assays (a) Accelerating Rotarod: Mice were assessed over 3 consecutive trials as described in Methods. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Trial x Virus: $F_{(2,30)}$ =17.04, ****p<0.0001; Trial: $F_{(2,30)}=38.22$, ****p<0.0001, Virus: F_(1,15)=6.65, *p=0.02, Subject: $F_{(15,30)}=5.02$, ****p<0.0001). mGluR5 silencing in DA neurons significantly dampened performance on Trials 2 (*p=0.049) and 3 (****p<0.0001), Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. (b) Fixed-speed Rotarod: Mice were assessed over the indicated consecutive speeds as described in Methods. Twoway repeated measures ANOVA: Speed x Virus: F_(5,75)=5.06, ****p<0.0005; Speed: ****p<0.0001, $F_{(5,75)}$ =44.91, Virus: $F_{(1,15)}=12.23$, **p=0.003, Subject: ****p<0.0001. $F_{(15,15)}=4.09$, mGluR5 silencing in DA neurons significantly dampened performance at 40 rpm (****p<0.0001) and 45 (***p=0.0002), Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. (ce) Challenge balance beam. Average foot faults (c) and beam traversal time (d) are presented. mGluR5 silencing in DA neurons did not significantly affect either foot fault number (p=0.78) or average traversal time (p=0.15), two-tailed. unpaired Student's t test. (e) Traversal time improvement. eGFP (control, left) traversal times significantly mouse 1 improved between trials and 2 (*p=0.02), where mice injected with Cre

(right) failed to improve their performance (p=0.77), two-tailed, paired Student's t test.



Figure 9. Striatal DAT trafficking model. A presynaptic DAergic terminal and a glutamatergic afferent are depicted. (*Left*) *Tonic DA neuron firing*. During tonic DA release, DRD2_{auto} activity robustly delivers DAT to the plasma membrane in a PKCb and Vps35-dependent manner. Dense DAT surface expression restricts extracellular DA levels. (*Right*) *Glutamate release during motor learning*. When DA demand is higher, such as during motor learning, glutamate release from striatal glutamatergic afferents activates presynaptic mGluR5 (mGR5), which elevates intracellular calcium (Ca²⁺) and enhances DA release and DAT membrane insertion. However, mGluR5-mediated PKC activation concurrently drives DAT membrane retrieval in a Rit2-dependent manner. DAT retrieval decreases DAT surface levels, relative to tonic firing, to sustain extracellular DA levels during a period of increased demand.