

Prevalence and susceptibility pattern of multi drug resistant clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Karachi

Fouzia Khan¹, Adnan Khan², Shahana Urooj Kazmi³

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency and susceptibility pattern of multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from clinical specimens in Karachi.

Methods: This cross sectional study was conducted in Microbiology Department, University of Karachi, from January 2012 to January 2013. Clinical specimens were collected from different hospitals of Karachi. Clinical isolates were identified by standard and specific microbiological methods. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern was determined by Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion method. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines were used to determine the results.

Results: The frequency of MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolated from different clinical specimens was found to be 30%. Amikacin was found to be the most effective antibiotic, followed by Co-trimoxazole and Quinolones.

Conclusion: Antibiotic resistant *P. aeruginosa* are emerging as a critical human health issue. There is an urgent need to resolve the issue by taking some preventive measures. Combined efforts of health care professionals and researchers are required to educate people about the proper use of antibiotics and other infection control measures.

KEY WORDS: Multi Drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Amikacin, Co-trimoxazole, Quinolones.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.305.5400>

How to cite this:

Khan F, Khan A, Kazmi SU. Prevalence and susceptibility pattern of multi drug resistant clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Karachi. *Pak J Med Sci* 2014;30(5):951-954. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.305.5400>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance is one of the alarming issues, affecting human health. There are various factors responsible to the emergence of resistance such as, misuse and overuse of antibiotics, patient related factors, inappropriate prescriptions by the physicians, self medications especially young adults, use of broad spectrum antibiotics and

synergistic combinations, un necessary promotions by pharmaceutical industry, untrained staff in microbiological testing laboratories, lack of awareness with the new guidelines recommended for antimicrobial testing etc.¹ *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is one of the major cause of hospital acquired infections especially patients admitted in ICU (Intensive Care Unit).² Data presented by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention(CDC), Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System, in the USA, *P.aeruginosa* cause diverse variety of infections and was found to be the second most common cause of nosocomial pneumonia, the third most common cause of nosocomial urinary tract infections, and the eighth most common cause of nosocomial bacteraemia.³ Majority of the infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* are often severe, life threatening and are un treatable because of the higher resistance to antimicrobial agents and lack of new drugs development.^{4,5} Over all, resistance rates keep on increasing and differ according to epidemiology of different geographical locations. Multi drug

1. Fouzia Khan, MBBS, M.Phil,
 2. Adnan Khan, PhD,
 3. Shahana Urooj Kazmi, PhD,
- 1-3: Department of Microbiology,
University of Karachi,
Karachi- 75270, Pakistan.

Correspondence:

Fouzia Khan,
Room No: 214, First Floor, Dept. of Microbiology,
University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan.
E-mail: fouziakhan@yahoo.com

- * Received for Publication: April 7, 2014
- * Revision Received: May 31, 2014
- * Revision Accepted: June 3, 2014

resistance is getting common phenomenon and resistance of almost all anti-pseudomonal agents are being reported worldwide. There is debatable issue of using combination of antimicrobial agents against complicated infections, but usually single antimicrobial agents are recommended for uncomplicated infection.²

Development of antimicrobial resistance limits the therapeutic options that leads to high mortality and morbidity.⁶ Emergence of antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* has been an increasing trend. There is diversity of definitions to describe MDR isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. According to the different studies, the term MDR *P. aeruginosa* has been described as resistance to at least three antibiotics from a variety of antibiotic classes, mainly Aminoglycosides, Penicillins, Carbapenems, Cephalosporins and Quinolones.⁷ Hidron et al, considered MDR *P. aeruginosa* when resistant to only a single important anti-*P. aeruginosa* agent.⁸

Current study followed the definition of MDR *P. aeruginosa* as stated by European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), where MDR *P. aeruginosa* was defined as the one that has acquired non susceptibility to atleast one agent in three or more categories of antimicrobials.⁹

The present study was conducted to detect the antibiotic susceptibility profile and prevalence of MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolated from different clinical samples, collected from different hospitals of Karachi.

METHODS

This cross sectional study was conducted in Microbiology Department, University of Karachi, from January 2012 to January 2013. Clinical samples (urine, pus, wound swabs, ascitic and bronchial fluid, blood) were collected from different hospitals of Karachi.

Inoculums for Antimicrobial Sensitivity Testing (AST): Overnight culture was further incubated on shaking water bath for 2 h, the turbidity of inocula was matched with 0.5 MacFarland standard suspension. McFarland standards were prepared by adding specific volumes of 1% Sulphuric acid and 1.175% Barium chloride. McFarland standard 0.5 contain 99.5ml of 1% Sulphuric acid and 0.5ml of 1.175% Barium chloride. The turbidity of standard was comparable to bacterial suspension containing 1.5×10^8 CFU/ml.

Preparation of Agar Media: Agar plates were prepared using dehydrated media according

to the instructions of the manufacturers. After autoclaving, media was allowed to cool down to ~45°C, then poured in the Petri plates. These Petri plates can be safely stored in refrigerator with proper precautions for about 2 weeks.

Identification: Clinical isolates were identified by standard methods. They were inoculated on Blood agar (Oxoid), Mac Conkeys agar (Oxoid) and Cystine lactose electrolyte deficient agar (Oxoid). Isolates were identified on the basis of colony morphology, Gram staining and biochemical tests including Catalase, Oxidase, Sulfide, Indole, Motility, Citrate, Urea, TSI reaction, Pyocinin production and Lactose

Antimicrobial Testing: Antimicrobial activity was performed by using NCCLS standards.¹⁰ Modified Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used for antimicrobial testing. Antibiotic disc of Piperacillin/ tazobactam (110µgm), Imepenem (10µgm), Meropenem (10µgm), Cefuroxime (30µgm), Cefipime (30µgm), Ceftazidime (30µgm), Amikacin (30µgm), Gentamicin(10µgm), Ciprofloxacin (5µgm), Nalidixic acid (30µgm), Cotrimoxazole(5µgm), were purchased from Oxoid.

Mueller Hinton plates were seeded with 0.5 MacFarland suspension matched turbidity inocula and antibiotic disc were placed on them. Results were interpreted after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C by measuring zones of inhibition around discs. Experiments were conducted in triplicate to authenticate the results.

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS version 17. Frequency of MDR *P. aeruginosa* and percentage of resistant antibiotics were calculated.

RESULTS

P. aeruginosa were isolated from clinical samples. Out of 100 isolates, 30 were found to be MDR *P.aeruginosa* (Table-I). ATCC *P. aeruginosa* 27853 was used as positive control.

P. aeruginosa was confirmed by negative sulphide and lactose tests and positive results in rest of biochemical identification tests. The maximum number of MDR *P. aeruginosa* were isolated from pus samples (33.3%), followed by wound swabs (26.6%), bronchial fluid (23.3%), urine (10%) and blood samples (6.6%), as represented in Table-I. The resistance patterns of MDR *P.aeruginosa* against antimicrobial agents are presented in Table-II. Highest resistance was observed against Cephalosporin group of antibiotics. While, more than 50% of isolates subjected to antimicrobial testing were found resistant to Piperacillin/

Table-I: Distribution of MDR *P. aeruginosa* in Clinical Samples.

S. No	Samples	No. of Isolates	Percentage
1	Pus	10	33.3
2	Wound swabs	8	26.6
3	Bronchial Fluid	7	23.3
4	Urine	3	10
5	Blood	2	6.6

Tazobactam. Around 40% of isolates were resistant against Carbapenems. While 90% of the isolates were sensitive to Amikacin.

DISCUSSION

Infections of multi drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* are increasing worldwide. It is an important pathogen frequently involved in various infections especially in severely or terminally ill patients.^{11,12}

Altered target sites, bacterial efflux pumps, enzyme production or inhibition, loss of membrane protein, etc are different mechanisms mediated by multidrug-resistance (MDR) *P.aeruginosa*.³ This study revealed the susceptibility pattern of antibiotics used and the frequency of MDR *P. aeruginosa* found in the city of Karachi.

The present study showed a 30% frequency of MDR *P. aeruginosa*, while Gill et al, reported a 22.7% incidence in Islamabad.¹³ Another study was conducted in Peshawar in 2009 by Farhatullah et al, which reported 29% prevalence of MDR *P. aeruginosa*.¹⁴

Increasing resistance of beta-lactam in nosocomial *P. aeruginosa* has become a serious threat particularly against third and fourth generation Cephalosporins, is of major concern. There are a lot of molecular mechanisms to develop resistance against these antibiotics; generation of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL), by incorporation of bla genes in integrons and inability of porin genes to enhance their expression level and/or alteration of antibiotic target sites.¹⁵

Present study showed that *P. aeruginosa* was found to be highly resistant against cephalosporin group of antibiotics. Study reported by Wang et al, explained the absolute resistance of Ampicillin, Cephazolin, Cefuroxime and Cefotaxime, which is in accordance with our results.¹⁶ Our study was also supported by Hamza et al, exhibited 100% resistance against Cefixime.¹⁷ While Jombo et al, reported 86% susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* against cefurixime.¹⁸

Carbapenems the most significant group of antibiotics against MDR *P. aeruginosa* but the development of Carbapenems resistance is becoming a

Table-II: Antibiogram of MDR *P. aeruginosa*.

S. No	Antibiotics	No. of MDR <i>P.aeruginosa</i>	Percentage Resistance of MDR <i>P.aeruginosa</i>
1	Piperacillin/Tazobactam	17	56.6
2	Imepenem	12	40
3	Meropenem	12	40
4	Cefuroxime	30	100
5	Cefixime	30	100
6	Ceftriaxone	26	86.6
7	Cefepime	23	76.6
8	Amikacin	3	10
9	Gentamicin	9	30
10	Ciprofloxacin	8	26.6
11	Nalidixic acid	8	25
12	Co-trimoxazole	6	20

challenge for health care professionals and limited the therapeutic options. Sufficient measures are required to prevent the spread of Carbapenemase encoding gene to other bacteria.¹⁹ The current study demonstrated that 60% *P. aeruginosa* were resistant against Carbapenem antibiotics (Imepenem, Meropenem). Rodríguez-Martínez JM et al, showed that 87% of strains of *P. aeruginosa* were resistant against Imepenem.¹⁹ Another study reported 100% resistance against Carbapenems,²⁰ it is very obvious that efficacy of this particular antibiotic is declining. Clonal spread contributes lesser importance in the statistics and epidemiology of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*, and the main mechanism associated with increased resistance to Imepenem was reduced expression of OprD (outer membrane protein) found in the isolates.¹⁹

Fluroquinolone compounds are one of the important antimicrobial agents that have been used for variety of infections. New groups of Fluroquinolone are beneficial against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria as far as older Fluroquinolones are concerned, they were effective against aerobic Gram-negative bacteria.²¹ Present study showed 75% sensitivity against Ciprofloxacin and Nalidixic acid, while 100% resistance against Ciprofloxacin was exhibited in one study.²⁰ Similarly, 87.8% resistance was also claimed by another study.¹³ Abdallah et al, reported 100% resistance to Nalidixic acid.²²

Aminoglycosides is a significant member of broad spectrum antibiotics with a peculiar structure of an aminocyclitol ring. They are outstandingly active against aerobic and facultative aerobic Gram-negative bacteria. They mainly act by inhibiting protein synthesis and break cell membrane.²³ The current study explored that anti *P. aeruginosa* effect of Amikacin was higher than Gentamicin.

Amikacin was constructed as a weak candidate for the enzymes that are responsible to bring chemical modifications but some organisms have developed specific enzymes to inactivate Amikacin.²⁴ One study declared 21% resistance against Aminoglycoside.²¹ Moreover, one more study explained 83% resistance to Amikacin. The resistance of clinical isolates to Aminoglycoside antibiotics varies with the specific drug, the microorganism, its mechanism of resistance, the geographic area and many other factors.²⁵

Cotrimaxazole is the synergistic combination of Trimethoprim and Sulfamethoxazole. This study showed 20% resistance of *P.aeruginosa* against Cotrimaxazole but in contrary 100% resistance was documented in Libya²² as well as 47% resistance reported by study conducted in Nigeria.¹⁸

This study indicated Amikacin as an efficient treatment of choice against MDR *P. aeruginosa* among all the tested antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

The emergence of MDR *P. aeruginosa* and its continual spread is out of debate. Antibacterial research is not sufficient to keep pace with the clinical challenges of MDR bacterial crises. Lack of new drug pipelines and other issues are leaving disastrous consequences on the health of community. To overcome such issues, new therapeutic agents with maximum efficacy, lesser toxicity and cost effective in nature are urgently needed. Epidemiological studies and strict laws regarding antibiotic policies should be constructed to limit the unnecessary use of antibiotics so that spread of multidrug resistance can be avoided.

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Bhatia R, Narain JP. The growing challenges of antimicrobial resistance in the South-Asia Region - Are we losing the Battle. *Indian J Med Res.* 2010;132(5):482-486.
- Rossolini GM, Mantengoli E. Treatment and control of severe infections caused by multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2005;11:17-32. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01161.x
- Elizabeth BH, Vincent HT. Impact of multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection on patient outcomes. *Expert Rev Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res.* 2010;10(4):441-451.
- Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hansen ND. Antibacterial-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Clinical Impact and Complex Regulation of Chromosomally Encoded Resistance Mechanism. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2009;22(4):582-610. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00040-09
- Viedma E, Juan C, Villa J, Barrado L, Orellana MA, Sanz F. VIM-2-producing Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ST175 Clone, Spain. *Emerging Infect Dis.* 2012;18(8):1235-1241. doi: 10.3201/eid1808.111234
- Zavascki AP, Carvalhaes GC, Picão RC, Gales AC. Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*: resistance mechanisms and implications for therapy. *Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther.* 2010;8(1):71-93. doi: 10.1586/eri.09.108.
- Falagas ME, Koletsis PK, Bliziotis IA. The diversity of definitions of multi-drug resistant (MDR) and pandrug-resistant (PDR) *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Med Microbiol.* 2006;55:1619-1629. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.46747-0
- Hidron AI, Edwards JR, Patel J, Horan TC, Sievert DM, Pollock DA, et al. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2008;29:996-1011. doi: 10.1086/591861.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18(3):268-281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S22. Wayne, PA: Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute, 2012.
- Alborzi A, Farshad S, Japoni A. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Burn Infection, Treatment and Antibacterial Resistance. *Iranian Red Crescent Med J.* 2009;11(3):244-253.
- Chatzidakis I, Abi-Said D, Bodey GP, Rolston KV, Tarrand JJ, Samonis G. Recent experience with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia in patients with cancer: retrospective analysis of 245 episodes. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160(4):501-509.
- Gill MM, Usman J, Kaleem F, Hassan A, Khalid A, Anjum R, Fahim Q. Frequency and Antibiogram of Multi-drug Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.* 2011;21(9):531-534. doi: 09.2011/JCPSP.531534
- Farhatullah, Malik SA, Ahmed J. Antimicrobial susceptibility and ESBL prevalence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from burn patients in the North West of Pakistan. *J Burns.* 2009;25:1020-1025.
- Pfeifer Y, Cullik A, Witte W. Resistance to cephalosporins and carbapenems in Gram-negative bacterial pathogens. *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2010;300(6):371-379. doi:10.1016/j.jimm.2010.04.005
- Wang LJ, Sun Y, Song WL, Zhang ZJ, Liu CF. Changes of drug-resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in pediatric intensive care unit. *Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi.* 2012;50(9):657-663.
- Hamza AU, Iqbal J, Khan K, Shah MA. Invitro, comparative antibacterial susceptibility pattern of third generation cephalosporins against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by using broth dilution method. *Asian J Pharmacy Life Sci.* 2013;3 (3):164-168.
- Jombo GTA, Jonahi P, Ayeni JA. Multi drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in contemporary medical practice: Findings from urinary isolates at a Nigerian University teaching hospital. *Nigerian J Physiol Sci.* 2008;23(1-2):105-109.
- Rodríguez-Martínez JM, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Molecular Epidemiology and Mechanisms of Carbapenem Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53(11):4783-4788. doi:10.1128/AAC.00574-09
- Tam VH, Chang KT, Abdelraouf K, Brioso CG, Ameka M, McCaskey LA, et al. Prevalence, mechanism and susceptibility of multidrug resistant bloodstream isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2010;54:1160-1164.
- Hooper DC. Mechanisms of action and resistance of older and newer fluoroquinolones. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2000;2:24-28.
- Abdallah IA, Abdulla ME. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from various clinical specimens in Ibn e Sina Hospital-Sirte-Libya. *Bull Alex Fac Med.* 2009;45(3):771-775.
- Shakil S, Khan R, Zarrilli R, Khan AU. Aminoglycosides versus bacteria—a description of the action, resistance mechanism, and nosocomial battleground. *J Biomed Sci.* 2008;15:5-14.
- Meenakumari S, Verma S, Absar A, Chaudhary A. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in an Indian Cardiac Hospital. *Int J Engineering Sci Tech.* 2011;3(9):7117-7124.
- Vakulenko SB, Mobashery S. Versatility of Aminoglycosides and prospects for their future. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2003;16(3):430-450. doi:10.1128/CMR.16.3.430-450.2003

Authors contribution:

Dr. Fouzia Khan designed and performed the study. **Dr. Adnan Khan** did statistical analysis and editing of manuscript. **Dr. Shahana Urooj Kazmi** supervised the study from each and every step starting from sampling, data collection, experimental work, results and writing of this manuscript.