



Prevention and management of viral hepatitis in inflammatory bowel disease: a clinical practice guideline by the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases

Soo-Kyung Park^{1*}, Chang Hwan Choi^{2*}, Jaeyoung Chun³, Heeyoung Lee⁴, Eun Sun Kim⁵, Jae Jun Park⁶, Chan Hyuk Park⁷, Bo-In Lee⁸, Yunho Jung⁹, Dong-Il Park¹, Do Young Kim⁶, Hana Park¹⁰, Yoon Tae Jeen⁵, for the IBD Research Group of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases

¹Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Seoul; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ⁴Center for Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Institute of Gastroenterology, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Guri; ⁸Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul; ⁹Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Cheonan; ¹⁰Health Screening and Promotion Center, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

The treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been revolutionized for the last 10 years by the increasing use of immunomodulators and biologics. With immunosuppression of this kind, opportunistic infection is an important safety concern for patients with IBD. In particular, viral hepatitis is determined by the interaction between the virus and the host's immunity, and the risk of reactivation increases if immunity is compromised by immunosuppression therapy. Parts of Asia, including Korea, still show intermediate endemicity for the hepatitis A virus and hepatitis B virus compared with the United States and Western Europe. Thus, members of IBD research group of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases have produced a guideline on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in IBD. (**Intest Res 2020;18:18-33**)

Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; Viral hepatitis; Vaccination; Prevention

INTRODUCTION

The treatment of IBD has been revolutionized over the past decade with the increasing use of immunomodulators and bi-

ologics. With immunosuppression of this kind, opportunistic infection becomes a key safety concern in patients with IBD.¹ In particular, the course of viral hepatitis is determined by the interaction between the virus and the host's immunity. If immunity is compromised by immunosuppression, the risk of reactivation increases, and HBV reactivations in immunosuppressed patients have been associated with hepatic decompensation in a considerable proportion of cases.^{2,3} Thus, risk assessment for viral hepatitis is one of the important quality process indicators for the management of IBD patients.⁴

In addition, several areas of Asia, including Korea, show in-

Received November 20, 2019. Revised December 26, 2019.

Accepted December 27, 2019.

Correspondence to Yoon Tae Jeen, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 73 Incheon-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 02841, Korea. Tel: +82-2-920-6555, Fax: +82-2-953-1943, E-mail: ytjeen@korea.ac.kr

*These authors contributed equally to this study.

intermediate endemicity for the HAV, while HBV shows moderate prevalence compared with United States and Western Europe. Thus, members of the IBD research group of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases (KASID) provide a consensus on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in IBD.

METHODS

1. Direction

The IBD Research Group of the KASID decided to produce an evidence-based guideline on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in patients with IBD in April 2018. To establish the guidelines, a committee consisting of 10 gastroenterologists who were members of the KASID, 2 hepatologists and 1 professor of preventive medicine who acted as a methodologist was formed to develop the guidelines. The committee held 10 meetings, with all members in attendance, until the guidelines were completed, with the first meeting taking place on April 2, 2018. The guidelines addressed prevention and management of viral hepatitis in patients with IBD. We focused on prevention and monitoring, and treatment of viral hepatitis are not included in this guideline. The guidelines were generally made by adapting foreign and Korean guidelines on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in IBD. However, in the case of 3 key questions, no precise recommendations were included in the guidelines, thus new statements were produced by consensus of the professional group.

2. Process of Development

1) Selection of the Key Questions

The key questions were selected among those raised in actual IBD clinic by the committee members. Three of the key questions were accessed by *de novo* consensus, with the remaining 6 questions being answered by adaptation of previous guidelines.

2) Searching for Source Guidelines

We selected 183 articles that were published between January 1966 and May 2018 by searching the MEDLINE/PubMed and National Guidelines Clearinghouse web sites.

3) Assessment of Guideline Quality and Final Selection

We identified 13 guidelines that were evidence-based, peer reviewed, and either national or international. According to

the Appraisal of Guidelines Research and Evaluation II, each guideline was reviewed by 2 committee members for academic integrity and applicability to actual clinical practice. During the development of the current guideline, the 2015 Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) hepatitis B guidelines were updated as the 2018 KASL hepatitis B guidelines, and we adapted the updated guideline. Finally, all the 13 highly qualified guidelines (Table 1)^{2,5-16} were selected, analyzed, and summarized in terms of their evidence and medical recommendations relevant to our guideline.

4) Adaptation

The evidence and recommendations of the 13 selected guidelines were reviewed, analyzed, and summarized to inform our recommendations and backgrounds. Some of the information therein was deemed to be insufficiently supported by evidence, thus it was discussed in the IBD specialist meetings to be included in the guideline. The quality of the evidence and classification of the recommendations in these guideline are presented by the Grading of Recommendation Assessment, Development, and Evaluation format, by which we assessed the quality of evidence for each recommendation as high, moderate, low, and very low. The strength of each recommendation was classified as strong or weak according to 4 main components: desirable and undesirable effects, quality of evidence, values and preference, and resource allocation. The definition of quality of evidence and classification of the recommendations are shown in Table 2.

5) Delphi Process for Agreement to Recommendations

On June 14, 2019, the draft of the evidence-based guideline on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in IBD was presented and proceeded with primary online voting by 40 national IBD specialists who are members of the KASID. The voting participants evaluated each recommendation in the guidelines, stating either strongly agree, agree, uncertain, disagree, or strongly disagree, and the recommendation was adopted when more than 75% of the participants answered strongly agree or agree. The final result was written as a percentage and further classified into levels of agreement.

6) Review, Endorsement, and Distribution of Guidelines

The draft was reviewed and approved by the KASL. The final draft will be published by *Intestinal Research* and will be provided by the Korean Medical Guideline Information Center (<http://www.guideline.or.kr>). An updated version is awaiting

Table 1. Thirteen Guidelines Selected for Adaptation

Author	Title	Country	Journal	Year	Volume/page
Farraye et al. ⁵	ACG clinical guideline: preventive care in inflammatory bowel disease	USA	<i>American Journal of Gastroenterology</i>	2017	112/241-258
Rahier et al. ²	Second European evidence-based consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease	Europe	<i>Journal of Crohn's and Colitis</i>	2014	8/443-468
European Association for the Study of the Liver ⁶	EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection	Europe	<i>Journal of Hepatology</i>	2017	67/370-398
European Association for the Study of the Liver ⁷	EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C 2018	Europe	<i>Journal of Hepatology</i>	2018	69/461-511
Terrault et al. ⁸	Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance	USA	<i>Hepatology</i>	2018	67/1560-1599
Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) ⁹	2017 KASL clinical practice guidelines management of hepatitis C: treatment of chronic hepatitis C	Korea	<i>Clin Mol Hepatol</i>	2018	24/169-229
Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) ¹⁰	KASL clinical practice guidelines for management of chronic hepatitis B	Korea	<i>Clin Mol Hepatol</i>	2019	25/93-159
Singh et al. ¹¹	2015 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis	USA	<i>Arthritis Care & Research</i>	2016	68/1-25
Rubin et al. ¹²	2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host	USA	<i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i>	2014	58/309-318
Bombardier et al. ¹³	Canadian Rheumatology Association recommendations for the pharmacological management of rheumatoid arthritis with traditional and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: part II safety	Canada	<i>The Journal of Rheumatology</i>	2012	39/1583-1602
van Assen et al. ¹⁴	EULAR recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases	Europe	<i>Annals of the Rheumatic Disease</i>	2011	70/414-422
Bühler et al. ¹⁵	Vaccination recommendations for adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases	Swiss	<i>Swiss Medical Weekly</i>	2015	145/W14159
Cordeiro et al. ¹⁶	Recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with systemic inflammatory rheumatic diseases from the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology	Portugal	<i>Acta Reumatológica Portuguesa</i>	2016	41/112-130

ACG, American College of Gastroenterology; EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver; AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Disease; KASL, Korean Association for the Study of the Liver; IDSA, Infectious Disease Society of America; EULAR, European League Against Rheumatism.

Table 2. Definitions or Implications of the Levels of Evidence and Recommendations

Quality of evidence	
High	We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
Moderate	We are moderately confident about the effect estimate: the true effect is most likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
Low	Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
Very low	We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is most likely to be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
Classification of recommendations	
Strong	Most patients should receive the recommended course of action.
Weak	Clinicians should recognize that different choices would be appropriate for different patients and that they must help patients to arrive at a management decision consistent with their values and preferences.

publication and includes comments by users and newly presented evidence on the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in patients with IBD.

HEPATITIS A VIRUS

1. Epidemiology

The HAV is a small, non-enveloped, single-stranded RNA virus that is transmitted by the fecal-oral route. As such, transmission is increased by poor hygiene, and contaminated food or drink.^{17,18} HAV infection is usually a self-limiting illness that does not become chronic, unlike HBV or HCV. Instead, infection confers lifelong immunity and is preventable via vaccination. Furthermore, HAV rarely develops into acute fulminant hepatitis, which can cause death.

HAV infection is prevalent around the world, but it shows various epidemiological patterns that depend on socioeconomic conditions.^{19,20} Thus, to adopt appropriate vaccination policies, epidemiologists must ascertain the age-specific anti-HAV seroprevalence rates in each country. In highly endemic areas, such as parts of Africa and Asia, the vaccine is not widely used as most adults acquire natural immunity. In areas of intermediate endemicity (such as Central and South America, Eastern Europe, and parts of Asia), childhood transmission is less frequent, while adolescents and adults are more frequently infected, and outbreaks are common. These countries with intermediate endemicity would benefit most from universal immunization of children. In areas of low endemicity (such as the United States and Western Europe), infection is less frequent, but the disease does occur among people in high-risk groups and as communitywide outbreaks. These countries with low endemicity may consider vaccinating high-risk adults.

2. Screening

Statement 1

Patients with IBD should be tested for HAV (IgG anti-HAV antibody) when they have no history of HAV vaccination or HAV hepatitis, or when the history of HAV vaccination is uncertain. In patients with IBD who are negative for the IgG anti-HAV antibody, vaccination should be administered (strong recommendation, very low level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 50%, agree 50%, uncertain 0%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

Most cases of hepatitis A are directly transmitted through the

fecal-oral route, but other propagation paths include indirect transmission through feces-contaminated food or water, blood, or sexual activity. Thus, the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, recommend protection (ideally vaccination) prior to potential hepatitis A exposure in the following high-risk groups:^{21,22} those traveling to or working in countries with high or intermediate endemicity of HAV, men who engage in sexual activity with men, users of all illicit drugs, those working with HAV-infected primates or with HAV in a research laboratory, those with chronic liver disease, those with clotting factor disorders, and those in direct contact with others who have hepatitis A.

Patients with IBD are not included in this high-risk group, and their potential for hepatitis A exposure might not differ from those without IBD. However, they are often treated with immunomodulators and biologics for long periods, as single agents or in combination, and pre-exposure protection (vaccination) is recommended in immunocompromised patients, as well as in those with chronic liver disease.²¹

In HAV, IgG antibodies appear early in the infection phase and remain throughout the patient's life, preventing disease. The decision to pursue prevaccination serological testing in adults should be based on the expected prevalence of immunity within the given population, as well as on the cost of vaccination compared with the cost of testing. According to rapid economic development in Korea, a rapid epidemiological shift in HAV infection has occurred.^{20,23} Most adults had immunity to HAV because of childhood exposure in 1980, but people in their teens or twenties had less than 10% of anti-HAV IgG in 2010.²³ Thus, the number of adult infected with acute hepatitis A has increased rapidly over the past decade. Since May 2015, HAV vaccination has been recommended for all children between 12 and 23 months in Korea, with high-risk groups being prioritized. Considering the age differences in anti-HAV seroprevalence, the cost of testing, and vaccine prices, those under 30 years of age, who have low HAV antibody rates, are recommended to receive the vaccine without prevaccination serological testing, while those over 40 years of age should undergo a prevaccination serological test and receive the vaccine if the antibody is absent.²²

In patients with IBD, we recommend HAV testing (IgG anti-HAV antibody) in patients with no history of HAV vaccination or HAV-related hepatitis, as well as in those whose history of HAV vaccination is uncertain. In patients with IBD who are

negative for IgG anti-HAV antibody, vaccination is recommended.

3. Vaccination

Statement 2

HAV vaccination should be administered to patients with IBD using inactivated vaccine, and again at least 6 months later (strong recommendation, with very low level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 35%, agree 55%, uncertain 7.5%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 2.5%

Hepatitis A is preventable by vaccination. Currently, inactivated HAV vaccines are used as standard vaccination agents and are licensed for administration in a 2-dose schedule given at 6- to 12-month intervals (single dose plus booster dose). Since 1992, 4 interchangeable inactivated monovalent hepatitis A vaccines have become available which provided protection against all strains of the virus.²⁴ Protective levels of anti-HAV viruses, persists for at least 20–25 years after vaccination.²⁵ Although a live, attenuated hepatitis A vaccine is used in some areas because it is cheaper, it has a major disadvantage in that reverse mutation of the live vaccine strains is theoretically possible.²⁶ Moreover, live vaccines do not offer post-exposure protection because they only illicit a slow immune response.²⁶

The immune and memory response to the booster dose and the post-booster geometric mean titer, are independent of the interval since initial vaccination.²⁷ In one study, the effect of the booster on seroprotection was the same across all sexes and age-groups, and the longest time interval between initial dose and booster dose was 11 years, indicating that booster dose can be highly immunogenic for up to 11 years after primary vaccination.²⁷

Hepatitis A vaccines are safe and highly immunogenic in healthy adults. After 2 vaccinations, with an interval of ≥ 6 months, protective antibody titers reported in $>99\%$ of patients.²⁸⁻³⁰ Regarding antibody response according to the number of hepatitis A vaccinations, several studies involving patients with various diseases and medications have reported a significantly higher response after 2 vaccinations than after one vaccination.^{27,31-33}

Immunosuppressive therapy can lower the antibody response rate of a vaccination. One study including 419 patients with IBD investigated the immunogenicity of the HAV vaccine.³⁴ Patients were given the HAV vaccine at 0 and 6–12 months, and IgG anti-HAV antibody was measured 1 to 3 months after

the second dose.³⁴ The overall seroconversion rate was 97.6%, but it was significantly lower in patients treated with the anti-TNF monoclonal antibody than in those not treated (92.4% vs. 99.1%, respectively; $P=0.001$). Furthermore, the seroconversion rate was significantly lower in patients treated with ≥ 2 than with, <2 immunosuppressants (92.6% vs. 98.4%, $P=0.03$). No significant differences in seroconversion rates were seen between patients treated with anti-TNF alone and those treated with anti-TNF plus other immunomodulators (OR, 1.2; 95% CI, 0.2–5.6).³⁴ Therefore, the optimal timing to vaccinate patients with IBD against HAV may be at IBD diagnosis, and the vaccine is recommended to be administered prior to immunosuppressive therapy. If HAV vaccines were not available prior to immunosuppressive therapy, it also is recommended to be administered to patients with treated immunosuppression.¹²

As the main transmission route of HAV is the fecal-oral route, HAV transmitted through ingestion of contaminated food or drink and causes acute hepatitis.³⁵ Soon after IBD diagnosis, clinicians should check hepatitis A vaccination history for patients, evaluate their protective antibody status, and carry out vaccination, and again in patients who are planning travel to areas that are highly endemic for HAV, including Southeast Asia, Eastern Europe, and Africa.³⁵ Patients should also be educated in hand sanitation and cautioned about contaminated food and water.

In general, routine anti-HAV IgG testing is not necessary after vaccination, since the seroconversion rate is very high after the standard 2-dose vaccinations. However, as described above, antibody production after vaccines may be reduced in patients undergoing immunosuppressive therapies, thus measurement of anti-HAV IgG in such patients could be considered 4–6 weeks after a primary course of vaccinations.¹⁵ There are no data on the efficacy and safety of revaccination in patients who are anti-HAV IgG negative after administration of the standard vaccination.

HEPATITIS B VIRUS

1. Epidemiology

The HBV is an infectious disease, with 248 million carriers globally. About 600,000 people die of HBV-related liver disease annually.³⁶ Although effective vaccination programs are underway, and the rate of new HBV infections has been significantly reduced, HBV is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality.

HBV can be clinically classified as acute and chronic. In the

acute phase, the virus may manifest as subacute, non-icteric hepatitis, icteric hepatitis, and sometimes fulminant hepatitis. The chronic phase can be an asymptomatic carrier phase, but it may also manifest as chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, or hepatocellular carcinoma. Both acute and chronic HBV infection may be accompanied by extrahepatic symptoms.

The prevalence of the virus varies by country and region, from 2% in countries with low prevalence (e.g., UK, Canada, Western Europe, etc.), 2%–7% in those with moderate prevalence (e.g., South Korea, Mediterranean countries, Japan, Central Asia, Middle East, and parts of South America), and more than 8% in West Africa and South Sudan, which have the highest prevalence rates.^{37,38}

In Korea, HBV vaccination of all newborns has been implemented since 1983 (vaccination coverage rate: 79.7%), and the Expanded Program on Immunization (coverage rate: 98.9%) was rolled out in 1995. As a result, Korea is no longer an endemic area, but is classified as having intermediate endemicity.^{39,40} In the 1980s, HBsAg-positive carriers comprised 8%–10% of the population. The equivalent rates were 4.6% in the 1990s and 2.9% in 2010. At younger ages, the positive rate of HBsAg was 2.2% in 1998, 1.9% in 2001, and 0.3% in 2016. In IBD patients, the prevalence of chronic HBV infection (positive HBsAg, positive anti-HBc, and negative anti-HBs) was 3.8% and past infection (negative HBsAg, positive anti-HBc, and positive or negative anti-HBs) was 26.2%.⁴¹ The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that the prevalence of chronic hepatitis B infection in children under 5 years will be 1% in 2020 and 0.1% in 2030.

2. Screening

Statement 3

All patients with IBD should be tested for HBV (HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc IgG) at the time of IBD diagnosis. HBV DNA should be quantified in patients with positive HBsAg or anti-HBc IgG (strong recommendation, with high level of evidence).

- Level of agreement: strongly agree 95%, agree 5%, uncertain 0%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

To the patients in countries with moderate to high prevalence, test for HBV before starting immunosuppressive therapy is recommended.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Moreover, reactivation of HBV infection, with serious consequences, has been reported in immunosuppressed patients with IBD.⁴⁵ Therefore, all patients with IBD should be assessed for HBV infection (HBsAg, anti-HBs,

anti-HBc) or immunization status. Patients who are positive for HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, and HBV DNA should also be evaluated,² as should HBV infection. Vaccination of the unimmunized patients is recommended, especially before biologic treatments are started.^{2,12} The risk of HBV reactivation can be increased in patients who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy (including biologics) and are (1) HBsAg-positive or (2) HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc positive.⁴² In one study, the rate of HBV reactivation during biologic treatments for patients with a rheumatic disease was 12.3% in HBsAg-positive plus anti-HBc positive patients,⁴⁶ while in another it was 1.7% in HBsAg-positive plus anti-HBc positive and HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc positive patients.⁴⁷ Therefore, both HBsAg and anti-HBc (IgG or total) should be tested. HBV DNA should be quantified in patients scheduled to start immunosuppressive therapy who are either HBsAg-positive or anti-HBc-positive at diagnosis.³

The role of anti-HBs screening before immunosuppressive therapy remains unclear, and the presence of anti-HBs does not imply prevention of HBV reactivation. However, anti-HBs testing may be helpful for detecting past infection in patients who are HBsAg-negative and anti-HBc-positive. Furthermore, loss of anti-HBs can predict HBV reactivation during surveillance.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰

3. Vaccination

Statement 4

HBV vaccination should be administered to IBD patients who have negative serology for HBV (HBsAg, anti-HBc IgG, and anti-HBs negative) with no or unclear HBV vaccination history at the time of IBD diagnosis (strong recommendation, high level of evidence).

- Level of agreement: strongly agree 72.5%, agree 20%, uncertain 5%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 2.5%

The prevalence of HBV in patients with IBD is not different from that in the general population.⁵¹⁻⁵³ In all HBV seronegative patients (HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBs negative) with IBD, regardless of the degree of immunosuppression, HBV vaccination is recommended.^{2,5} However, some questions have been raised about whether universal vaccination is necessary in areas with low HBV prevalence.^{54,55} The WHO recommended administering the HBV vaccine after birth—in all countries with high, intermediate and low endemicity.⁵⁶ Despite these recommendations, the rate of routine HBV vaccination in patients with IBD is not high,^{53,57} according to a study

conducted in Spain involving more than 2,000 patients with IBD, only 12% of patients were administered HBV vaccine.⁵³ Since HBV infection is relatively common in Korea, specific attention should be given to HBV serology testing and HBV vaccination in patients with IBD.

It is not yet clear whether patients with isolated anti-HBc positivity (seronegative for both HBsAg and anti-HBs and seropositive for anti-HBc IgG) require vaccination against HBV. The most common cause of isolated anti-HBc positive cases in areas with high prevalence of hepatitis B, such as Korea, is past HBV infection, where immunization is not necessary, but could be considered in an environment where the risk of exposure to HBV is high.^{58,59}

HBV vaccines should be administered before starting immunosuppression if feasible, and the inactive vaccine is recommended at least 2 weeks before. As the immune response to vaccination is generally decreased by immunosuppression, patients with IBD should be administered HBV vaccine soon after diagnosis, immunosuppressive therapy is commenced, where possible, especially because the disease follows an unpredictable course and necessitates immunosuppressive therapy.^{5,12} According to a meta-analysis based on a random-effects model, the pooled rate of a response to HBV vaccination among patients with IBD was 61% (95% CI, 53%–69%) and no immunosuppressive therapy was predictive of an immune response compared to immunomodulatory (RR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.08–1.63) or anti-TNF- α (RR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.19–2.08) therapy.⁶⁰ However, in patients who require immunosuppression from the time of diagnosis of IBD, HBV vaccination could be administered with commencement of immunosuppressive drugs.

The standard course of hepatitis B vaccination consists of 3 doses administered at 0, 1, and 6 months, and antibodies are usually produced in more than 90% of general population who vaccinated.⁶¹ This standard vaccination may be ineffective in eliciting seroprotection in the majority of previously unvaccinated patients with IBD, particularly those being treated using immunosuppressive therapy.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Higher or reinforced doses may be needed to achieve anti-HBs response in immunocompromised patients.^{42,65} In previous study involving 148 patients, 41% of those receiving standard cycle of the commercial hepatitis B vaccine at 0, 1, and 6 months attained anti-HBs titers > 10 IU/L, compared with 75% of patients receiving an accelerated double-dose protocol at 0, 1, and 2 months.⁶⁴ A study reported that the receiving accelerated double-dose at 0, 1, 2 months followed by revaccination (0, 1, and 2 months) at a

double-dose if no adequate response is achieved has demonstrated a higher efficacy than the standard schedule.⁶⁶

Statement 5

Patients with IBD require to undergo serology testing 1 to 3 months after administration of the last dose of vaccination to assess whether they require revaccination (weak recommendation, with very low level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 20%, agree 55%, uncertain 20%, disagree 5%, strongly disagree 0%

Generally, immune response to vaccination in patients on immunomodulator monotherapy are not different from controls.⁶⁶ Therefore, IBD itself may play a role in the suboptimal response to the HBV vaccine.⁶⁷ In patients receiving monotherapy with anti-TNF, some but not all studies have demonstrated a decreased immune response compared with controls or patients on 5-ASAs. The immunogenicity of inactivated vaccines may be preserved when administered on the same day as infusion of the anti-TNF, but it may be reduced when the full immunosuppressive effect of the inhibitor has developed after several weeks. This was observed in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, but patients with ankylosing spondylitis revealed good antibody responses, regardless of the time of infliximab infusion.⁶⁸ In patients prescribed both an immunomodulator and anti-TNF agent, the immune response to vaccines is lower than in those on monotherapy with an immunomodulator, anti-TNF, or 5-ASAs.⁶⁹ In contrast, vedolizumab which shows gut-selective mechanism does not influence the response to parenterally administered HBV vaccine, but did reduce the response to oral cholera vaccine.⁷⁰

In healthy individuals, routine immunity testing after vaccination is not necessary. However, in the patients with IBD, whose treatment depends on immune status, serology testing 1 to 3 months after administration of the last dose are required to assess the need for revaccination.^{44,56,71}

Statement 6

An additional cycle of revaccination is recommended if seroprotection is not achieved after HBV vaccination in patients with IBD (weak recommendation, with low level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 12.5%, agree 70%, uncertain 17.5%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

An additional cycle (0, 1, and 6 months) of revaccination is recommended if seroprotection is not achieved. There is some debate about what titer level represents adequate protection

against hepatitis B, with some groups recommending titers of anti-HBs above 10 IU/L, and others recommending titers above 100 IU/L.^{61,72} According to recently published clinical practice guidelines for hepatitis B in Korea, the level of protective titer of anti-HBs is defined as above 10 IU/L, and if the anti-HBs level is below 10 IU/L in immunosuppressed patients, additional vaccinations are recommended.³ In nonresponders to HBV vaccination, seroprotection was achieved in 44%–100% after 3 additional doses of revaccination. Considering the cost-effectiveness in nonresponders to HBV vaccination, vaccination can be discontinued if anti-HBs \geq 10 mIU/mL after one month of additional first dose (4th) of administration, however, additional second and third doses (5th and 6th) are administered if anti-HBs < 10 mIU/mL. Then HBV serology testing should be performed 1 to 2 months after completion of vaccinations.⁷³

Although primary hepatitis B vaccination series is completed and HBV seroprotection is achieved, it is highly likely that patients with IBD, especially those on immunosuppressive therapy, experience loss of seroprotection (anti-HBs level below 10 IU/L) during follow-up. In fact, seroprotection falls by 18% per patient-year in this regard.⁷⁴ Therefore, serum anti-HBs titers should be monitored in patients with IBD who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy, even if HBV seroprotection is achieved after complete vaccination. Based on expert opinion, anti-HBs should be monitored every 12–24 months, especially in the intermediate- and high-prevalence countries.^{2,5}

Immunocompromised patients with IBD who have loss of seroprotection during follow-up should be advisable given a single booster dose, and clinicians should consider administering another vaccination series at the regular dose if anti-HBs titers fail to rise sufficiently. A booster dose is an extra administration of a vaccine after an earlier (prime) vaccination, which differ from revaccination that requires an entire additional cycle (0, 1, and 6 months) of vaccination. T and B cell immune response to the vaccine booster dose is better preserved than that to primary vaccination, because more memory T and B cells are present, having been induced by the previous dose.^{75,76} In one prospective study, a booster dose restored immune response in 76% of pediatric patients with IBD who had lost seroprotection.⁷⁷ In cases of HIV-infected children, approximately 30% of patients on antiviral therapy who were vaccinated had no protective titers 3 years after HBV vaccination, but 82% had an anamnestic response to a single booster dose.⁷⁸ Thus, a booster dose in patients with IBD on

immunosuppressive therapy usually generates protective titers, but antibody responses may be lower than in those without immunosuppressive therapy.

A booster dose may be unnecessary in immunocompetent patients with IBD, even though protective titers of anti-HBs wane during follow-up. Long-term follow-up studies have demonstrated that immune memory persists in immunocompetent individuals, even though anti-HBs levels decline.⁷⁹

4. Antiviral Prophylaxis

Statement 7

HBsAg-positive and/or HBV DNA-positive (i.e., those with chronic HBV infection) IBD patients who require moderate-to-high risk immunosuppressive therapy should receive antiviral prophylaxis that starts before and continue for at least 6–12 months after the duration of immunosuppression (strong recommendation, with high level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 87.5%, agree 12.5%, uncertain 0%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

HBV reactivation is defined as active inflammation occurring after inactivity in chronic hepatitis B or after resolution of a past HBV infection. HBV reactivation is classified as follows: (1) exacerbation of chronic HBV infection in patients who are HBsAg-positive, or (2) relapse of past HBV infection in patients who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive.⁸⁰ Exacerbation of chronic HBV infection is defined as a more than a 100-fold rise in serum HBV DNA compared to baseline among patients who are HBsAg-positive.³ Relapse of past HBV infection is detected based on reverse seroconversion (seroreversion) from HBsAg-negativity to HBsAg-positivity, or on the detection of serum HBV DNA in patients who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive.³ In patients with HBV reactivation, a hepatitis flare-up can occur, which is evidenced demonstrated by a more than 3-fold or 100 IU/L increase in serum ALT compared to baseline.^{81,82}

The risk of HBV reactivation depends on host factors, virological factors, and type and degree of immunosuppression.⁸³ The host factors include male sex, older age, cirrhosis, and comorbidity requiring immunosuppressive therapy, such as malignancy and IBD.^{84,85} Virological factors comprise high baseline HBV DNA level, HBeAg positivity,⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ and possibly HBV genotype.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ Clinicians should consider assessing host and virological risk factors to decide whether antiviral prophylaxis should be initiated before starting immunosuppressive therapy. Immunosuppression induces HBV reactivation in up to

50% of patients undergoing organ transplantations or anticancer chemotherapy if antiviral therapy is not administered.² HBV reactivation has been also reported in patients with rheumatological diseases or IBD who are receiving anti-TNF, such as infliximab, etanercept, or adalimumab.^{86,92-96} In two large, retrospective cohort studies, liver dysfunction occurred in 25%–36% of HBsAg-positive patients with IBD receiving immunosuppressive agents, including corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and anti-TNF, and more than 50% of patients who experienced HBV reactivation had liver failure.^{45,97} Importantly, most cases of HBV reactivation developed in patients receiving 2 or more immunosuppressive agents for a long period who were positive for HBV DNA and/or had not undergone antiviral prophylaxis. In contrast, HBV reactivation seems to be extremely rare in HBsAg-negative and anti-HBc-positive patients with IBD.^{45,51}

The risk of HBV reactivation can be divided into high risk ($\geq 10\%$), moderate risk (1%–10%), and low risk ($< 1\%$) based on the type of immunosuppressive therapy used, which was stratified by HBsAg-positive, or HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc positive.^{83,98,99} Prophylactic therapy with potent oral antiviral agents to treat HBV is highly recommended in patients at high or moderate risk of reactivation. In contrast, close monitoring for HBV reactivation is recommended in patients at low risk of reactivation. The risk of HBV reactivation is listed in Table 3.

Long-term moderate-to-high dose corticosteroid therapy (oral prednisone ≥ 10 mg/day over 4 weeks) increases the risk of HBV reactivation in patients who are HBsAg-positive, or HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc positive.⁹⁸ Long-term, low-dose corticosteroid therapy (oral prednisone of less than 10 mg/day over 4 weeks) may also increase the risk of reactivation up to 10% in patients who are HBsAg-positive,⁹⁹ which necessitates antiviral prophylaxis. In contrast, patients receiving short-term oral prednisone over 1 week are at low risk of reactivation and do not need antiviral prophylaxis.⁹⁸ Immunophilin inhibitors such as cyclosporine and tacrolimus may increase the likelihood of HBV reactivation.¹⁰⁰ However, patients receiving methotrexate, azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine do not require antiviral prophylaxis because they are at low risk of HBV reactivation.⁹⁸

Anti-TNF treatments, such as infliximab and adalimumab, confer a high risk of HBV reactivation—up to 40% in patients who are HBsAg-positive.^{95,101} Furthermore, this risk is higher when using infliximab than when using etanercept, which is correlated with potency. TNF- α may regulate the adaptive immune response to HBV infection, and recent evidence has demonstrated that TNF- α and related cytokines may play a crucial role in regulating covalently closed circular DNA and HBV replication.¹⁰² Thus, TNF- α blockade can lead to enhanced HBV replication and subsequent reactivation. Anti-integrins,

Table 3. Risk of HBV Reactivation Related to Immunosuppressive Therapy for IBD

Risk of reactivation	Immunosuppressive agents	Antiviral prophylaxis
HBsAg-positive		
High risk ($\geq 10\%$)	Long-term moderate-to-high dose corticosteroids (prednisone ≥ 10 mg/day, ≥ 4 weeks) More potent anti-TNF- α (infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab, and golimumab)	Yes
Moderate risk (1%–10%)	Long-term low-dose corticosteroids (prednisone < 10 mg/day, ≥ 4 weeks) Less potent anti-TNF- α (etanercept) Cytokine-based therapies (ustekinumab, natalizumab, and vedolizumab) Immunophilin inhibitors (tacrolimus, cyclosporine)	Yes
Low risk ($< 1\%$)	Short-term corticosteroids (≤ 1 week) Azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate	No ^a
HBsAg-negative/anti-HBc-positive		
High risk ($\geq 10\%$)	Not available	Yes
Moderate risk (1%–10%)	Long-term moderate-to-high dose corticosteroids (prednisone ≥ 10 mg/day, ≥ 4 weeks) Less potent anti-TNF- α (etanercept) Cytokine-based therapies (ustekinumab, natalizumab, and vedolizumab) Immunophilin inhibitors (tacrolimus, cyclosporine)	No ^a
Low risk ($< 1\%$)	Short-term corticosteroids (≤ 1 week) Long-term low-dose corticosteroids (prednisone < 10 mg/day, ≥ 4 weeks) Azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate	No ^a

^aPreemptive therapy.

such as natalizumab, vedolizumab, and ustekinumab, have been associated with a moderate risk of HBV reactivation in patients who are HBsAg-positive,^{103,104} perhaps because the liver is an immune organ with an active influx and efflux of immune cells,¹⁰⁵ so these agents may reduce local immune control of HBV replication in the liver.

In patients who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive, antiviral prophylaxis should be considered on a case-by-case basis, considering comorbidities, prevalence of anti-HBc positivity, and health care resources.⁵ However, antiviral prophylaxis is not generally recommended in patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive and are at low or moderate risk of HBV reactivation.^{3,6} The crucial virological event in patients who are anti-HBc-positive is HBsAg reappearance (seroreversion), which is closely associated with hepatitis flare.¹⁰⁶ Therefore, pre-emptive therapy is based upon monitoring of HBsAg, HBV DNA, and ALT during and after immunosuppression in patients who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive, and antiviral prophylaxis should be considered in cases of HBsAg seroreversion and/or detectable HBV DNA, regardless of serum ALT.^{3,6,8,83}

Antiviral prophylaxis should be initiated in patients who are HBsAg-positive and/or have detectable HBV DNA before starting immunosuppressive therapy—most literature specifies 7 days before.¹⁰⁷ Entecavir and tenofovir are preferred to other antiviral agents, because they have high potency and a high resistance barrier, and because several meta-analyses have associated them with reduced reactivation, hepatitis, and mortality.^{98,107-109} The antiviral prophylaxis is recommended to be administered 6 to 12 months after discontinuation of immunosuppression,¹⁰⁷ as HBV reactivation beyond 12 months reported, particularly in patients who received rituximab (anti-CD20 antibody).¹¹⁰⁻¹¹²

5. Monitoring

Statement 8

HBV status should be carefully monitored in patients with IBD who are positive for HBsAg or anti-HBc and treated using immunosuppressive therapy (strong recommendation, high evidence level). In patients who are positive for HBsAg, HBV DNA and ALT levels should be monitored every 3 to 6 months during prophylaxis, and for at least 12 months after cessation of anti-HBV prophylaxis (strong recommendation, low evidence level).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 47.5%, agree 52.5%, uncertain 0%, disagree 0% strongly disagree 0%

In patients who are HBsAg-negative and anti-HBc positive, HBsAg, HBV DNA, and ALT should be assessed every 2–3 months. Antiviral therapy is recommended when HBV reactivation occurs (strong recommendation, very low evidence level).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 45%, agree 55%, uncertain 0%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

In patients who are HBsAg-positive, anti-HBV prophylaxis is recommended independent of serum HBV DNA, and HBV DNA and ALT should be monitored every 3 to 6 months during, and for at least 12 months after anti-HBV prophylaxis, because many HBV reactivations develop after antiviral therapy has been discontinued.¹¹³⁻¹¹⁷

Patients who are HBsAg-negative plus anti-HBc-positive are at lower risk of HBV reactivation than those who are HBsAg-positive. However, HBV reactivation can also occur in these patients,¹¹⁸ and HBsAg seroreversion is constantly associated with hepatitis flare-ups, even though HBV DNA detection leads to seroreversion and hepatitis in only 50% of cases.¹⁰⁶ These patients should be monitored every 2 to 3 months for increased ALT, HBsAg and changes in HBV DNA, both during and after immunosuppressive therapy. Antiviral treatment should be started in cases of detectable HBV DNA or HBsAg seroreversion.⁶

HEPATITIS C VIRUS

1. Epidemiology

The global HCV prevalence is estimated at 2.5% (177.5 million of HCV-infected adults), ranging from 2.9% in Africa and 1.3% in the Americas.¹¹⁹ In the early 1990s, the prevalence of HCV was reported as 1.7% by enzyme immunoassay method among Korean individuals who underwent health screening program.¹²⁰ More recently, a nationwide seroepidemiology study demonstrated that HCV antibody positivity rate in Korea was 0.78%.¹²¹ Additionally, HCV antibody positivity rate tended to increase with age. The rate was 0.34%, 0.41%, 0.60%, 0.80%, 1.53%, and 2.31% in individuals aged 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and ≥ 70 years, respectively.¹²¹ A high-risk group for HCV infection includes individuals who inject drugs, patients who undergo dialysis, those with hemophilia or Hansen’s disease, and children born to HCV-positive mothers.^{119,122} HCV is typically transmitted parenterally. Sexual, perinatal, and sporadic transmission are reported relatively infrequently.²

2. Screening

Statement 9

We recommend testing newly diagnosed patients with IBD for IgG anti-HCV antibody. If positive, HCV RNA titer should be checked to confirm HCV infection (strong for recommendation, moderate level of evidence).

· Level of agreement: strongly agree 85%, agree 12.5%, uncertain 2.5%, disagree 0%, strongly disagree 0%

Thanks to recent advancements in medication for HCV, most infections can be cured. In fact, HCV elimination is now an achievable goal, and the WHO has set an ambitious but achievable 2030 elimination target.¹²³ Although patients with IBD are not a high-risk group for HCV infection, those who are infected with HCV should be treated in the same way as the general population. In addition, patients with IBD are at risk of worsening liver function due to immunosuppressive therapy such as TNF- α inhibitors.¹²⁴ Therefore, we recommend that patients with IBD be tested for IgG anti-HCV antibody at the time of IBD diagnosis. When IgG anti-HCV antibody is detected by screening,¹²⁵ the patients' HCV RNA titer should be tested to confirm HCV infection.¹²⁶ If infection is confirmed, patients should be treated according to the HCV clinical practice guidelines.⁷ If possible, HCV infection should be treated before biologic treatments of IBD are initiated. However, if HCV-infected patients with IBD cannot delay their immunosuppressive therapy, their liver function should be monitored closely. In a previous systematic review involving a total of 153 patients with HCV who had been treated using TNF- α inhibitor, mainly for rheumatoid arthritis, worsening HCV infection was identified in only one patient.¹²⁴ The benefits of immunosuppressive therapy in patients with IBD who have active disease status likely outweigh the risks of the therapy. However, based on current existing evidence, we cannot recommend simultaneous treatment of IBD (using a TNF- α inhibitor) and HCV infection. Drug-drug interactions between HCV and IBD medications may occur, which may increase drug toxicity or reduce drug efficacy.⁷

CONCLUSION

In patients with IBD, opportunistic infection is a key safety concern with the increasing use of immunomodulators and biologics. As HBV reactivation in immunosuppressed patients has been associated with hepatic decompensation in a considerable proportion of cases, clinicians are faced with many

challenges in the management of patients with IBD.

As Korea is still an area of intermediate HAV and HBV endemicity, we hope that these guidelines for the prevention and management of viral hepatitis in IBD will prevent hepatitis viral infection and reactivation, and that it will lessen confusion among physicians and researchers.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Park DI, Jeon YT. Methodology: Lee H., Writing - original draft: Park SK, Choi CH, Chun J, Kim ES, Park JJ, Park CH, Lee BI, Jung Y. Writing - review and editing: Kim DY, Park H, Jeon YT, Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

ORCID

Park SK	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8822-9632
Choi CH	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7089-532X
Chun J	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4212-0380
Lee H	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4830-9851
Kim ES	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1820-459X
Park JJ	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9974-1658
Park CH	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3824-3481
Lee BI	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0218-4136
Jung Y	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7760-0050
Park DI	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2307-8575
Kim DY	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8327-3439
Park H	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8449-034X
Jeon YT	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0220-3816

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases (KASID) and Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) for their contribution to the agreement voting and reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Ooi CJ, Hilmi I, Banerjee R, et al. Best practices on immunomodulators and biologic agents for ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease in Asia. *Intest Res* 2019;17:285-310.
2. Rahier JF, Magro F, Abreu C, et al. Second European evidence-based consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2014;8:443-468.
3. Korean Association for the Study of the Liver. KASL clinical practice guidelines: management of chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2016;22:18-75.
4. Ye BD, Travis S. Improving the quality of care for inflammatory bowel disease. *Intest Res* 2019;17:45-53.
5. Farraye FA, Melmed GY, Lichtenstein GR, Kane SV. ACG clinical guideline: preventive care in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017;112:241-258.
6. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2017;67:370-398.
7. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C 2018. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:461-511.
8. Terrault NA, Lok ASF, McMahon BJ, et al. Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance. *Hepatology* 2018;67:1560-1599.
9. Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL). 2017 KASL clinical practice guidelines management of hepatitis C: treatment of chronic hepatitis C. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2018; 24:169-229.
10. Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL). KASL clinical practice guidelines for management of chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2019;25:93-159.
11. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL Jr, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2016;68:1-25.
12. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58:309-318.
13. Bombardier C, Hazlewood GS, Akhavan P, et al. Canadian Rheumatology Association recommendations for the pharmacological management of rheumatoid arthritis with traditional and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: part II safety. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:1583-1602.
14. van Assen S, Agmon-Levin N, Elkayam O, et al. EULAR recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:414-422.
15. Bühler S, Eperon G, Ribi C, et al. Vaccination recommendations for adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2015;145:w14159.
16. Cordeiro I, Duarte AC, Ferreira JF, et al. Recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with systemic inflammatory rheumatic diseases from the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology. *Acta Reumatol Port* 2016;41:112-130.
17. Cuthbert JA. Hepatitis A: old and new. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2001;14:38-58.
18. Hussain Z, Das BC, Husain SA, Murthy NS, Kar P. Increasing trend of acute hepatitis A in north India: need for identification of high-risk population for vaccination. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;21:689-693.
19. Bell BP, Shapiro CN, Alter MJ, et al. The diverse patterns of hepatitis A epidemiology in the United States: implications for vaccination strategies. *J Infect Dis* 1998;178:1579-1584.
20. Moon HW, Cho JH, Hur M, et al. Laboratory characteristics of recent hepatitis A in Korea: ongoing epidemiological shift. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010;16:1115-1118.
21. Nelson NP, Link-Gelles R, Hofmeister MG, et al. Update: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices for use of hepatitis A vaccine for postexposure prophylaxis and for preexposure prophylaxis for international travel. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2018;67:1216-1220.
22. Korea Centers for Disease Control (KCDC). Epidemiology and management of vaccine preventable disease. 5th ed. Cheongju: KCDC, 2017.
23. Lee D, Cho YA, Park Y, et al. Hepatitis a in Korea: epidemiological shift and call for vaccine strategy. *Intervirology* 2008; 51:70-74.
24. Orenstein WA, Offit PA, Edwards KM, Plotkin SA. Preface to the seventh edition. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA, Offit PA, Edwards KM, eds. *Plotkin's vaccines*. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2018:xi.
25. Van Damme P, Banatvala J, Fay O, et al. Hepatitis A booster vaccination: is there a need? *Lancet* 2003;362:1065-1071.
26. Xu ZY, Wang XY. Live attenuated hepatitis A vaccines developed in China. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2014;10:659-666.
27. Ott JJ, Wiersma ST. Single-dose administration of inactivated hepatitis A vaccination in the context of hepatitis A vaccine recommendations. *Int J Infect Dis* 2013;17:e939-e944.
28. Innis BL, Snitbhan R, Kunasol P, et al. Protection against hepatitis A by an inactivated vaccine. *JAMA* 1994;271:1328-1334.
29. Irving GJ, Holden J, Yang R, Pope D. Hepatitis A immunisa-

- tion in persons not previously exposed to hepatitis A. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;(7):CD009051.
30. Riedemann S, Reinhardt G, Frösner GG, et al. Placebo-controlled efficacy study of hepatitis A vaccine in Valdivia, Chile. *Vaccine* 1992;10 Suppl 1:S152-S155.
 31. Radzikowski A, Banaszekiewicz A, Łazowska-Przeorek I, et al. Immunogenicity of hepatitis A vaccine in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;17:1117-1124.
 32. Stark K, Günther M, Neuhaus R, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of hepatitis A vaccine in liver and renal transplant recipients. *J Infect Dis* 1999;180:2014-2017.
 33. Arslan M, Wiesner RH, Poterucha JJ, Zein NN. Safety and efficacy of hepatitis A vaccination in liver transplantation recipients. *Transplantation* 2001;72:272-276.
 34. Park SH, Yang SK, Park SK, et al. Efficacy of hepatitis A vaccination and factors impacting on seroconversion in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:69-74.
 35. Yoon EL, Sinn DH, Lee HW, Kim JH. Current status and strategies for the control of viral hepatitis A in Korea. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2017;23:196-204.
 36. Ott JJ, Stevens GA, Groeger J, Wiersma ST. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B virus infection: new estimates of age-specific HBsAg seroprevalence and endemicity. *Vaccine* 2012;30:2212-2219.
 37. Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. *Lancet* 2015;386:1546-1555.
 38. Zhang Q, Qi W, Wang X, et al. Epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C infections and benefits of programs for hepatitis prevention in northeastern China: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62:305-312.
 39. Park NH, Chung YH, Lee HS. Impacts of vaccination on hepatitis B viral infections in Korea over a 25-year period. *Inter-virology* 2010;53:20-28.
 40. Korea Centers for Disease Control (KCDC). Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). KCDC Web site. <http://knhanes.cdc.go.kr>. Accessed Dec 31, 2019.
 41. Yeo SJ, Lee HS, Jang BI, et al. Nonimmunity against hepatitis B virus infection in patients newly diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease. *Intest Res* 2018;16:400-408.
 42. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2012;57:167-185.
 43. Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. *Hepatology* 2016;10:1-98.
 44. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2007;45:507-539.
 45. Loras C, Gisbert JP, Mínguez M, et al. Liver dysfunction related to hepatitis B and C in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with immunosuppressive therapy. *Gut* 2010;59:1340-1346.
 46. Lee YH, Bae SC, Song GG. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation in rheumatic patients with hepatitis core antigen (HBV occult carriers) undergoing anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2013;31:118-121.
 47. Mori S, Fujiyama S. Hepatitis B virus reactivation associated with antirheumatic therapy: risk and prophylaxis recommendations. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015;21:10274-10289.
 48. Hammond SP, Borchelt AM, Ukomadu C, Ho VT, Baden LR, Marty FM. Hepatitis B virus reactivation following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009;15:1049-1059.
 49. Kanaan N, Kabamba B, Maréchal C, et al. Significant rate of hepatitis B reactivation following kidney transplantation in patients with resolved infection. *J Clin Virol* 2012;55:233-238.
 50. Onozawa M, Hashino S, Izumiyama K, et al. Progressive disappearance of anti-hepatitis B surface antigen antibody and reverse seroconversion after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patients with previous hepatitis B virus infection. *Transplantation* 2005;79:616-619.
 51. Papa A, Felice C, Marzo M, et al. Prevalence and natural history of hepatitis B and C infections in a large population of IBD patients treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha agents. *J Crohns Colitis* 2013;7:113-119.
 52. Chevaux JB, Nani A, Oussalah A, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B and C and risk factors for nonvaccination in inflammatory bowel disease patients in northeast France. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010;16:916-924.
 53. Loras C, Saro C, Gonzalez-Huix F, et al. Prevalence and factors related to hepatitis B and C in inflammatory bowel disease patients in Spain: a nationwide, multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104:57-63.
 54. Zuckerman J, van Hattum J, Cafferkey M, et al. Should hepatitis B vaccination be introduced into childhood immunisation programmes in northern Europe? *Lancet Infect Dis* 2007;7:410-419.
 55. Mereckiene J, Cotter S, Lopalco P, et al. Hepatitis B immunisation programmes in European Union, Norway and Iceland:

- where we were in 2009? *Vaccine* 2010;28:4470-4477.
56. WHO Publication. Hepatitis B vaccines: WHO position paper: recommendations. *Vaccine* 2010;28:589-590.
 57. Melmed GY, Ippoliti AF, Papadakis KA, et al. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at risk for vaccine-preventable illnesses. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1834-1840.
 58. Lok AS, Lai CL, Wu PC. Prevalence of isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen in an area endemic for hepatitis B virus infection: implications in hepatitis B vaccination programs. *Hepatology* 1988;8:766-770.
 59. McMahon BJ, Parkinson AJ, Helminiak C, et al. Response to hepatitis B vaccine of persons positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. *Gastroenterology* 1992;103:590-594.
 60. Jiang HY, Wang SY, Deng M, et al. Immune response to hepatitis B vaccination among people with inflammatory bowel diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Vaccine* 2017; 35:2633-2641.
 61. Coates T, Wilson R, Patrick G, André F, Watson V. Hepatitis B vaccines: assessment of the seroprotective efficacy of two recombinant DNA vaccines. *Clin Ther* 2001;23:392-403.
 62. Vida Pérez L, Gómez Camacho F, García Sánchez V, et al. Adequate rate of response to hepatitis B virus vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Med Clin (Barc)* 2009;132:331-335.
 63. Altunöz ME, Senateş E, Yeşil A, Calhan T, Ovünç AO. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have a lower response rate to HBV vaccination compared to controls. *Dig Dis Sci* 2012;57: 1039-1044.
 64. Gisbert JP, Menchén L, García-Sánchez V, Marín I, Villagrasa JR, Chaparro M. Comparison of the effectiveness of two protocols for vaccination (standard and double dosage) against hepatitis B virus in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;35:1379-1385.
 65. Lampertico P, Maini M, Papatheodoridis G. Optimal management of hepatitis B virus infection: EASL Special Conference. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:1238-1253.
 66. Gisbert JP, Villagrasa JR, Rodríguez-Nogueiras A, Chaparro M. Efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination and revaccination and factors impacting on response in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:1460-1466.
 67. Gisbert JP, Chaparro M. Vaccination strategies in patients with IBD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;10:277-285.
 68. Elkayam O, Bashkin A, Mandelboim M, et al. The effect of infliximab and timing of vaccination on the humoral response to influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010;39: 442-447.
 69. Agarwal N, Ollington K, Kaneshiro M, Frenck R, Melmed GY. Are immunosuppressive medications associated with decreased responses to routine immunizations?: a systematic review. *Vaccine* 2012;30:1413-1424.
 70. Wyant T, Leach T, Sankoh S, et al. Vedolizumab affects antibody responses to immunisation selectively in the gastrointestinal tract: randomised controlled trial results. *Gut* 2015;64: 77-83.
 71. Mast EE, Weinbaum CM, Fiore AE, et al. A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP): part II: immunization of adults. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2006; 55(RR-16):1-33.
 72. European Consensus Group on Hepatitis B Immunity. Are booster immunisations needed for lifelong hepatitis B immunity? *Lancet* 2000;355:561-565.
 73. Korean Society of Infectious Diseases. *Vaccination for adult*. 2nd ed. Seoul: M.I.P, 2012.
 74. Gisbert JP, Villagrasa JR, Rodríguez-Nogueiras A, Chaparro M. Kinetics of anti-hepatitis B surface antigen titers after hepatitis B vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013;19:554-558.
 75. Visser LG. TNF-alpha antagonists and immunization. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2011;13:243-247.
 76. Visser LG. The immunosuppressed traveler. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2012;26:609-624.
 77. Moses J, Alkhouri N, Shannon A, et al. Hepatitis B immunity and response to booster vaccination in children with inflammatory bowel disease treated with infliximab. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:133-138.
 78. Lao-Araya M, Puthanakit T, Aupibul L, Taecharoenkul S, Sirisanthana T, Sirisanthana V. Prevalence of protective level of hepatitis B antibody 3 years after revaccination in HIV-infected children on antiretroviral therapy. *Vaccine* 2011;29: 3977-3981.
 79. FitzSimons D, Hendrickx G, Vorsters A, Van Damme P. Hepatitis B vaccination: a completed schedule enough to control HBV lifelong? Milan, Italy, 17-18 November 2011. *Vaccine* 2013;31:584-590.
 80. Lok AS, McMahon BJ; Practice Guidelines Committee, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). Chronic hepatitis B: update of recommendations. *Hepatology* 2004;39:857-861.
 81. Hwang JP, Lok AS. Management of patients with hepatitis B

- who require immunosuppressive therapy. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;11:209-219.
82. Bessone F, Dirchwolf M. Management of hepatitis B reactivation in immunosuppressed patients: an update on current recommendations. *World J Hepatol* 2016;8:385-394.
 83. Loomba R, Liang TJ. Hepatitis B reactivation associated with immune suppressive and biological modifier therapies: current concepts, management strategies, and future directions. *Gastroenterology* 2017;152:1297-1309.
 84. Loomba R, Rowley A, Wesley R, et al. Systematic review: the effect of preventive lamivudine on hepatitis B reactivation during chemotherapy. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:519-528.
 85. Yeo W, Chan PK, Zhong S, et al. Frequency of hepatitis B virus reactivation in cancer patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy: a prospective study of 626 patients with identification of risk factors. *J Med Virol* 2000;62:299-307.
 86. Yeo W, Chan PK, Ho WM, et al. Lamivudine for the prevention of hepatitis B virus reactivation in hepatitis B s-antigen seropositive cancer patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:927-934.
 87. Yeo W, Chan PK, Hui P, et al. Hepatitis B virus reactivation in breast cancer patients receiving cytotoxic chemotherapy: a prospective study. *J Med Virol* 2003;70:553-561.
 88. Yeo W, Johnson PJ. Diagnosis, prevention and management of hepatitis B virus reactivation during anticancer therapy. *Hepatology* 2006;43:209-220.
 89. Tohme RA, Bulkow L, Homan CE, Negus S, McMahon BJ. Rates and risk factors for hepatitis B reactivation in a cohort of persons in the inactive phase of chronic hepatitis B: Alaska, 2001-2010. *J Clin Virol* 2013;58:396-400.
 90. Borentain P, Colson P, Coso D, et al. Clinical and virological factors associated with hepatitis B virus reactivation in HBsAg-negative and anti-HBc antibodies-positive patients undergoing chemotherapy and/or autologous stem cell transplantation for cancer. *J Viral Hepat* 2010;17:807-815.
 91. Hayashi K, Ishigami M, Ishizu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and molecular analysis of hepatitis B virus reactivation in hepatitis B surface antigen-negative patients during or after immunosuppressive or cytotoxic chemotherapy. *J Gastroenterol* 2016;51:1081-1089.
 92. Xu Z, Dai W, Wu YT, et al. Prophylactic effect of lamivudine on chemotherapy-induced hepatitis B virus reactivation in patients with solid tumour: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2018;27:e12799.
 93. El-Sayed MH, Mohamed MM, Karim A, et al. Severe liver disease is caused by HBV rather than HCV in children with hematological malignancies. *Hematol J* 2003;4:321-327.
 94. Chung SJ, Kim JK, Park MC, Park YB, Lee SK. Reactivation of hepatitis B viral infection in inactive HBsAg carriers following anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2009;36:2416-2420.
 95. Esteve M, Saro C, González-Huix F, Suarez F, Forné M, Viver JM. Chronic hepatitis B reactivation following infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease patients: need for primary prophylaxis. *Gut* 2004;53:1363-1365.
 96. Kim YJ, Bae SC, Sung YK, et al. Possible reactivation of potential hepatitis B virus occult infection by tumor necrosis factor-alpha blocker in the treatment of rheumatic diseases. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:346-350.
 97. Park SH, Yang SK, Lim YS, et al. Clinical courses of chronic hepatitis B virus infection and inflammatory bowel disease in patients with both diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2012;18:2004-2010.
 98. Perrillo RP, Gish R, Falck-Ytter YT. American Gastroenterological Association Institute technical review on prevention and treatment of hepatitis B virus reactivation during immunosuppressive drug therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:221-244.
 99. Reddy KR, Beavers KL, Hammond SP, Lim JK, Falck-Ytter YT; American Gastroenterological Association Institute. American Gastroenterological Association Institute guideline on the prevention and treatment of hepatitis B virus reactivation during immunosuppressive drug therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:215-219.
 100. Calabrese LH, Zein NN, Vassilopoulos D. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation with immunosuppressive therapy in rheumatic diseases: assessment and preventive strategies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:983-989.
 101. Lan JL, Chen YM, Hsieh TY, et al. Kinetics of viral loads and risk of hepatitis B virus reactivation in hepatitis B core antibody-positive rheumatoid arthritis patients undergoing anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:1719-1725.
 102. Lucifora J, Xia Y, Reisinger F, et al. Specific and nonhepatotoxic degradation of nuclear hepatitis B virus cccDNA. *Science* 2014;343:1221-1228.
 103. Koskinas J, Tampaki M, Doumba PP, Rallis E. Hepatitis B virus reactivation during therapy with ustekinumab for psoriasis in a hepatitis B surface-antigen-negative anti-HBs-positive patient. *Br J Dermatol* 2013;168:679-680.
 104. Nakano N, Kusumoto S, Tanaka Y, et al. Reactivation of hepatitis B virus in a patient with adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma

- receiving the anti-CC chemokine receptor 4 antibody mogamulizumab. *Hepatology* 2014;44:354-357.
105. Marra F, Tacke F. Roles for chemokines in liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2014;147:577-594.
 106. Mozessohn L, Chan KK, Feld JJ, Hicks LK. Hepatitis B reactivation in HBsAg-negative/HBcAb-positive patients receiving rituximab for lymphoma: a meta-analysis. *J Viral Hepat* 2015; 22:842-849.
 107. Zhang MY, Zhu GQ, Shi KQ, et al. Systematic review with network meta-analysis: comparative efficacy of oral nucleos(t)ide analogues for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced hepatitis B virus reactivation. *Oncotarget* 2016;7:30642-30658.
 108. Yang C, Qin B, Yuan Z, Chen L, Zhou HY. Meta-analysis of prophylactic entecavir or lamivudine against hepatitis B virus reactivation. *Ann Hepatol* 2016;15:501-511.
 109. Yu S, Luo H, Pan M, et al. Comparison of entecavir and lamivudine in preventing HBV reactivation in lymphoma patients undergoing chemotherapy: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2016;38:1035-1043.
 110. Cerva C, Colagrossi L, Maffongelli G, et al. Persistent risk of HBV reactivation despite extensive lamivudine prophylaxis in haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients who are anti-HBc-positive or HBV-negative recipients with an anti-HBc-positive donor. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016;22:946.e1-946.e8.
 111. Liu WP, Wang XP, Zheng W, et al. Hepatitis B virus reactivation after withdrawal of prophylactic antiviral therapy in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2016;57:1355-1362.
 112. Nakaya A, Fujita S, Satake A, et al. Delayed HBV reactivation in rituximab-containing chemotherapy: how long should we continue anti-virus prophylaxis or monitoring HBV-DNA? *Leuk Res* 2016;50:46-49.
 113. Viganò M, Serra G, Casella G, Grossi G, Lampertico P. Reactivation of hepatitis B virus during targeted therapies for cancer and immune-mediated disorders. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2016;16:917-926.
 114. Cholongitas E, Tziomalos K, Pipili C. Management of patients with hepatitis B in special populations. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015;21:1738-1748.
 115. Pattullo V. Prevention of hepatitis B reactivation in the setting of immunosuppression. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2016;22:219-237.
 116. Phipps C, Chen Y, Tan D. Lymphoproliferative disease and hepatitis B reactivation: challenges in the era of rapidly evolving targeted therapy. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2016; 16:5-11.
 117. Voican CS, Mir O, Loulergue P, et al. Hepatitis B virus reactivation in patients with solid tumors receiving systemic anti-cancer treatment. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:2172-2184.
 118. Madonia S, Orlando A, Scimeca D, Olivo M, Rossi F, Cottone M. Occult hepatitis B and infliximab-induced HBV reactivation. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2007;13:508-509.
 119. Petruzzello A, Marigliano S, Loquercio G, Cozzolino A, Cacciapuoti C. Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: an up-date of the distribution and circulation of hepatitis C virus genotypes. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22:7824-7840.
 120. Kim YS, Pai CH, Chi HS, Kim DW, Min YI, Ahn YO. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibody among Korean adults. *J Korean Med Sci* 1992;7:333-336.
 121. Kim DY, Kim IH, Jeong SH, et al. A nationwide seroepidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection in South Korea. *Liver Int* 2013;33:586-594.
 122. Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL). KASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatitis C. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2014;20:89-136.
 123. Hellard M, Sacks-Davis R, Doyle J. Hepatitis C elimination by 2030 through treatment and prevention: think global, act in local networks. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2016;70:1151-1154.
 124. Brunasso AM, Puntoni M, Gulia A, Massone C. Safety of anti-tumour necrosis factor agents in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection: a systematic review. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2011;50:1700-1711.
 125. Pawlotsky JM. Molecular diagnosis of viral hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:1554-1568.
 126. Vermehren J, Yu ML, Monto A, et al. Multi-center evaluation of the Abbott RealTime HCV assay for monitoring patients undergoing antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *J Clin Virol* 2011;52:133-137.