

# Primal-Dual Bilinear Programming Solution of the Absolute Value Equation

Olvi L. Mangasarian\*

## Abstract

We propose a finitely terminating primal-dual bilinear programming algorithm for the solution of the NP-hard absolute value equation (AVE):  $Ax - |x| = b$ , where  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  square matrix. The algorithm, which makes no assumptions on AVE other than solvability, consists of a finite number of linear programs terminating at a solution of the AVE or at a stationary point of the bilinear program. The proposed algorithm was tested on 500 consecutively generated random instances of the AVE with  $n = 10, 50, 100, 500$  and  $1,000$ . The algorithm solved 88.6% of the test problems to an accuracy of  $1e - 6$ .

**Keywords:** absolute value equation, bilinear programming, linear programming

## 1 INTRODUCTION

We consider the absolute value equation (AVE):

$$Ax - |x| = b, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $A \in R^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in R^n$  are given, and  $|\cdot|$  denotes absolute value. A slightly more general form of the AVE,  $Ax + B|x| = b$  was introduced in [14] and investigated in a more general context in [9]. The AVE (1.1) was investigated in detail theoretically in [11], and a bilinear program in the *primal* space of the problem was prescribed there for the special case when the singular values of  $A$  are not less than one. No computational results were given in either [11] or [9]. In contrast in [8], computational results were given for a linear-programming-based successive linearization algorithm utilizing a concave minimization model. As was shown in [11], the general NP-hard linear complementarity problem (LCP) [3, 4, 2], which subsumes many mathematical programming problems, can be formulated as an AVE (1.1). This implies that (1.1) is NP-hard in its general form. More recently a generalized Newton method was proposed for solving the AVE [10], while a uniqueness result for the AVE is presented in [15] and for a more general version of the AVE in [16], and finally existence and convexity results are given in [6].

Our point of departure here is to look at the AVE in its primal and dual spaces of the problem and formulate an algorithm that minimizes a bilinear function (that is the scalar product of two linear functions) in the combined primal-dual space which has a global minimum of zero that yields an exact solution of the AVE. In Section 2 we describe our bilinear formulation of the AVE and show that a zero minimum of the bilinear program yields a solution to the AVE. In Section 3 of the paper we state our algorithm for the bilinear program consisting of a succession of linear programs that terminate at a global solution of the the AVE or at a stationary point of the bilinear program. In Section 4 we give computational results that show the effectiveness of our approach by solving 88.6% of a sequence of 500

---

\*Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 and Department of Mathematics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093. *olvi@cs.wisc.edu*.

randomly generated consecutive AVEs in  $R^{10}$  to  $R^{1,000}$  to an accuracy of  $1e - 6$ . Section 5 concludes the paper.

We describe our notation now. All vectors will be column vectors unless transposed to a row vector by a prime  $'$ . For a vector  $x \in R^n$  the notation  $x_j$  will signify the  $j$ -th component. The scalar (inner) product of two vectors  $x$  and  $y$  in the  $n$ -dimensional real space  $R^n$  will be denoted by  $x'y$ . For  $x \in R^n$ ,  $\|x\|$  denotes the 2-norm:  $(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The notation  $A \in R^{m \times n}$  will signify a real  $m \times n$  matrix. For such a matrix,  $A'$  will denote the transpose of  $A$ . A vector of ones in a real space of arbitrary dimension will be denoted by  $e$ . Thus for  $e \in R^m$  and  $y \in R^m$  the notation  $e'y$  will denote the sum of the components of  $y$ . A vector of zeros in a real space of arbitrary dimension will be denoted by  $0$ . The abbreviation "s.t." stands for "subject to".

## 2 Bilinear Formulation of the Absolute Value Equation

We begin with the linear program:

$$\min_{x,y} h'y \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax - y = b, \quad x + y \geq 0, \quad -x + y \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

and its dual:

$$\max_{u,v,w} b'u \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A'u + v - w = 0, \quad -u + v + w = h, \quad (v, w) \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $h$  is some vector in  $R^n$  that will play a key role in a bilinear programming formulation. We now state the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(x, y)$  be a solution of the primal problem (2.2) and  $(u, v, w)$  be a solution of the corresponding dual problem (2.3). Then:*

$$v + w > 0 \implies Ax - |x| = b \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof* From the complementarity condition we have that:

$$v'(x + y) + w'(-x + y) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, if  $v + w > 0$  it follows that either  $(x + y)_i = 0$ , or  $(-x + y)_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $y = |x|$  and from the constraint  $Ax - y = b$  it follows that  $Ax - |x| = b$ .  $\square$

Based on this lemma it follows that for a primal-dual optimal solution  $(x, y, u, v, w)$ , if  $v + w \geq \epsilon e$  for a positive  $\epsilon$ , then  $Ax - |x| = b$ . Furthermore, from the dual constraints we have that  $h = -u + v + w$  and hence the difference between the primal and dual objective functions evaluated at a primal-dual feasible point becomes:

$$h'y - b'u = (-u + v + w)'y - b'u \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where the inequality of (2.6) follows from the fact that at a primal-dual feasible point, the primal objective function exceeds or equals the dual objective function. At a primal-dual optimal point this difference is zero. Hence combining these statements with Lemma 2.1 and the extra imposed condition that  $v + w \geq \epsilon e$ , we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. **Equivalence of AVE and Zero Minimum of the Bilinear Program** *At a zero minimum of the following bilinear program:*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{x,y,u,v,w} & y'(-u + v + w) - b'u \\
\text{s.t.} & Ax - y = b \\
& x + y \geq 0 \\
& -x + y \geq 0 \\
& A'u + v - w = 0 \\
& v + w \geq \epsilon e \\
& (v, w) \geq 0
\end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

we have that  $y = |x|$  and  $Ax - |x| = b$  for any solution point  $(x, y, u, v, w)$ .

We establish now the existence of a zero-minimum solution to the bilinear program (2.7) under the assumption that AVE (1.1) is solvable.

PROPOSITION 2.3. **Existence of a Zero-Minimum Solution to the Bilinear Program** *Under the assumption that the absolute value equation (1.1) is solvable, the bilinear program (2.7) has a zero minimum solution  $(x, y, u, v, w)$  such that  $x$  solves the absolute value equation (1.1).*

*Proof* Since AVE (1.1) has a solution, say  $x$ , then the feasible region of the bilinear program (2.7) is nonempty because the point  $(x, y = |x|, u = 0, v = w = \epsilon e/2)$  satisfies the constraints of (2.7). Hence the quadratic bilinear objective function of (2.7) which by Proposition 2.2 is bounded below by zero must by [5] have a solution. Since by Proposition 2.2 a zero-minimum solution solves AVE, and AVE is solvable by assumption, such a zero-minimum solution exists that solves AVE.  $\square$

We now present a computational algorithm for solving the bilinear program (2.7) that consists of solving a finite number of linear programs.

### 3 Bilinear Programming Algorithm for the Absolute Value Equation

We begin by stating our bilinear algorithm as follows.

ALGORITHM 3.1. *Choose parameter value  $\epsilon$  for the constraint of (2.7) (typically  $\epsilon = 1e - 2$ ), tolerance (typically  $tol = 1e - 6$ ), and maximum number of iterations  $itmax$  (typically  $itmax = 40$ ).*

(I) *Initialize the algorithm by determining an initial  $(x^0, y^0)$  by solving the following linear program:*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{x,y} & e'y \\
\text{s.t.} & Ax - y = b \\
& x + y \geq 0 \\
& -x + y \geq 0
\end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

*Set iteration number  $i = 0$ .*

(II) **While  $\|Ax^i - |x^i| - b\| > tol$ , the bilinear objective function of (2.7) is decreasing, and  $i \leq itmax$  perform the following three steps.**

(III) *Solve the following linear program for  $(u^{i+1}, v^{i+1}, w^{i+1})$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{u,v,w} & y^i(-u + v + w) - b'u \\
\text{s.t.} & A'u + v - w = 0 \\
& v + w \geq \epsilon e \\
& (v, w) \geq 0
\end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

(IV) Solve the following linear program for  $(x^{i+1}, y^{i+1})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,y} \quad & (-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1})'y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax - y = b \\ & x + y \geq 0 \\ & -x + y \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

(V)  $i = i + 1$ . Go to Step (II).

We establish now finite termination of our bilinear algorithm.

**PROPOSITION 3.2. Finite Termination of the Bilinear Algorithm** *Under the assumption that the absolute value equation (1.1) is solvable and the maximum number of iterations  $itmax$  is sufficiently large, the Bilinear Algorithm 3.1 terminates in a finite number of iterations at a global zero-minimum point that solves the absolute value equation (1.1), or at iteration  $i$  with a solution  $(x^{i+1}, y^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, v^{i+1}, w^{i+1})$  that satisfies the following minimum principle necessary optimality condition for the bilinear program (2.7):*

$$\begin{aligned} (-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1})'(y - y^{i+1}) - (y^{i+1} + b)'(u - u^{i+1}) + y^{i+1}'(v - v^{i+1}) + y^{i+1}'(w - w^{i+1}) \geq 0, \\ \forall x \in X, (u, v, w) \in U, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$X = \{(x, y) \mid Ax - y = b, x + y \geq 0, -x + y \geq 0\}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$U = \{(u, v, w) \mid A'u + v - w = 0, v + w \geq \epsilon e, (v, w) \geq 0\}. \tag{3.13}$$

*Proof* Note first that the sets  $X$  and  $U$  defined above are nonempty because as pointed out earlier that under the assumption that AVE has a solution  $x$  then  $(x, |x|) \in X$  and  $(0, \epsilon e/2, \epsilon e/2) \in U$ . To keep the proof simple we shall assume that neither  $X$  nor  $U$  have straight lines going infinity in both directions. This assumption which allows us to utilize [13, Corollary 32.3.4], can be easily achieved by defining  $x = x_I - x_{II}$ ,  $x_I \geq 0$ ,  $x_{II} \geq 0$  and  $u = u_I - u_{II}$ ,  $u_I \geq 0$ ,  $u_{II} \geq 0$ . Hence, the bilinear program (2.7) with an objective function bounded below by zero, which is equivalent to a concave function minimization [1, Proposition 2.2], has a vertex solution on the polyhedral set  $X \times U$ . If for some  $i$ th iteration the bilinear objective function does not decrease, then each of the linear programs of steps (III) and (IV) of the algorithm must have returned  $(x^{i+1}, y^{i+1})$  and  $(u^{i+1}, v^{i+1}, w^{i+1})$  such that:

$$y^{i+1}'(-u + v + w) - b'u \geq y^{i+1}'(-u^i + v^i + w^i) - bu^i = y^{i+1}'(-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1}) - bu^{i+1}, \forall (u, v, w) \in U, \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$(-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1})'y \geq (-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1})'y^i = (-u^{i+1} + v^{i+1} + w^{i+1})'y^{i+1}, \forall (x, y) \in X. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining the inequalities of (3.14) and (3.15) gives the minimum principle necessary optimality condition (3.11). Since there are a finite number of vertices of the set  $X \times U$ , and since each vertex visited by Algorithm 3.1 gives a lesser value for the bilinear objective than the previous vertex, no vertex is repeated. Thus our algorithm must terminate at either a global zero minimum solution or a point satisfying the minimum principle necessary optimality condition.  $\square$

We turn now to our computational results.

## 4 Computational Results

We implemented our algorithm by solving 500 solvable random instances of the absolute value equation (1.1) consecutively generated. Elements of the matrix  $A$  were random numbers picked from a uniform distribution in the interval  $[-5, 5]$ . A random solution  $x$  with random components from  $[-.5, .5]$  was generated and the right hand side  $b$  was computed as  $b = Ax - |x|$ . All computation was performed on 4 Gigabyte machine running i386 rhe15 Linux. We utilized the CPLEX linear programming code [7] within MATLAB [12] to solve our linear programs.

Of the 500 test problems, 88.6% were solved exactly to a tolerance set to  $tol = 1e-6$ . The maximum number of iterations was set at 40. The computational results are summarized in Table 1.

Problem Size n	Number of AVEs out of 100 with 2-norm error $\leq tol=1e-6$	Time in Seconds for Solving 100 Equations
10	90	1.805
50	87	5.725
100	88	20.605
500	88	1,996.6
1,000	90	19,008

Table 1: Computational Results for 500 Randomly Generated Consecutive AVEs

## 5 Conclusion and Outlook

We have proposed a bilinear programming formulation for solving the NP-hard absolute value equation. The bilinear program was solved by a finite succession of linear programs. In 88.6% of 500 instances, for each solvable random test problem, the proposed algorithm solved the problem to an accuracy of  $1e-6$ . Possible future work may consist of precise sufficient conditions under which the proposed formulation and solution method is guaranteed to solve this NP-hard problem exactly.

**Acknowledgments** The research described in this Data Mining Institute Report 11-01, February 2011, was supported by the Microsoft Corporation and ExxonMobil.

## References

- [1] K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian. Bilinear separation of two sets in n-space. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 2:207–227, 1993.
- [2] S.-J. Chung. NP-completeness of the linear complementarity problem. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 60:393–399, 1989.
- [3] R. W. Cottle and G. Dantzig. Complementary pivot theory of mathematical programming. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 1:103–125, 1968.
- [4] R. W. Cottle, J.-S. Pang, and R. E. Stone. *The Linear Complementarity Problem*. Academic Press, New York, 1992.
- [5] M. Frank and P. Wolfe. An algorithm for quadratic programming. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 3:95–110, 1956.
- [6] Sheng-Long Hu and Zheng-Hai Huang. A note on absolute equations. *Optimization Letters*, 4:417–424, 2010.
- [7] ILOG, Incline Village, Nevada. *ILOG CPLEX 9.0 User's Manual*, 2003. <http://www.ilog.com/products/cplex/>.

- [8] O. L. Mangasarian. Absolute value equation solution via concave minimization. *Optimization Letters*, 1(1):3–8, 2007. <ftp://ftp.cs.wisc.edu/pub/dmi/tech-reports/06-02.pdf>.
- [9] O. L. Mangasarian. Absolute value programming. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 36(1):43–53, 2007. <ftp://ftp.cs.wisc.edu/pub/dmi/tech-reports/05-04.ps>.
- [10] O. L. Mangasarian. A generalized newton method for absolute value equations. Technical Report 08-01, Data Mining Institute, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, May 2008. <ftp://ftp.cs.wisc.edu/pub/dmi/tech-reports/08-01.pdf>. *Optimization Letters* 3(1), January 2009, 101-108. Online version: <http://www.springerlink.com/content/c076875254r7tn38/>.
- [11] O. L. Mangasarian and R. R. Meyer. Absolute value equations. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 419:359–367, 2006. <ftp://ftp.cs.wisc.edu/pub/dmi/tech-reports/05-06.pdf>.
- [12] MATLAB. *User's Guide*. The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA 01760, 1994-2006. <http://www.mathworks.com>.
- [13] R. T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [14] J. Rohn. A theorem of the alternatives for the equation  $Ax + B|x| = b$ . *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 52(6):421–426, 2004. <http://www.cs.cas.cz/~rohn/publist/alternatives.pdf>.
- [15] J. Rohn. On unique solvability of the absolute value equation. *Optimization Letters*, 3:603–606, 2009.
- [16] J. Rohn. A residual existence theorem for linear equations. *Optimization Letters*, 4:287–292, 2010.