



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-014-0275-x Math. Slovaca **64** (2014), No. 5, 1277–1290

# PRINCIPAL BUNDLE STRUCTURE ON JET PROLONGATIONS OF FRAME BUNDLES

J. Brajerčíк<sup>\*</sup> — M. Demko<sup>\*</sup> — D. Krupka<sup>\*\*</sup>

(Communicated by Július Korbaš)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the structure of a principal bundle on the r-jet prolongation  $J^r FX$  of the frame bundle FX over a manifold X. Our construction reduces the well-known principal prolongation  $W^r FX$  of FX with structure group  $G_n^r$ . For a structure group of  $J^r FX$  we find a suitable subgroup of  $G_n^r$ . We also discuss the structure of the associated bundles. We show that the associated action of the structure group of  $J^r FX$  corresponds with the standard actions of differential groups on tensor spaces.

> ©2014 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

# 1. Introduction

The concept of jet prolongations of principal and associated bundles is a fundamental tool in higher order differential geometry, the theory of differential invariants, and in applications (see, e.g., Brajerčík [2], Doupovec and Mikulski [3], Janyška [4], Kolář, Michor and Slovák [7], Kowalski and Sekizawa [9], Krupka [11], Kureš [13], Paták and Krupka [15]). This paper is a contribution to the structure theory of the prolongations.

One of the structure problems is the existence of a principal bundle structure on a jet prolongation of a principal bundle. This problem was originally studied by Kolář. His analysis was based on the works by Ehresmann and Libermann.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 58A20; Secondary 53C10, 55R10. Keywords: principal bundle, frame bundle, structure group, prolongation, associated bundle.

The first and third authors acknowledge support of the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10932002) and of the Czech Science Foundation (Grant 201/09/0981). This research was also supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (Grant MVTS SK-CZ-0006-09) and by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (Grant KONTAKT MEB0810005). The first two authors are also grateful to the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic (Grant VEGA 1/0577/10). The first author was also supported by the University of Prešov, Slovakia, and its Faculty of Humanities and Natural Sciences.

For a principal G-bundle P over an n-dimensional manifold X he introduced a new principal bundle  $W^r P$ , where a structure group is the (r, n)-prolongation of G, denoted by  $G_n^r$  [5,6]. Later, a modified prolongation formula was stated in [10], and the theory was explained in a more systematic way in [7] and [12].

The principal prolongation  $W^r P$  has found numerous applications in the geometry of differential invariants, calculus of variations, etc. In most of them, the underlying principal bundle was a bundle FX of frames over X. It was shown, in particular, that many applications utilize only the subgroup  $L_n^r$ , the differential group, and do not require properties of the whole structure group  $G_n^r$ . Geometrically it means, that in many applications only a reduction of  $G_n^r$  to a subgroup is needed (e.g., differential invariants, natural Lagrange structures, gauge theories). In the case of a frame bundle FX over a manifold X it is possible to use reduction of  $W^r FX$  to the bundle  $F^{r+1}X$  of frames of order r + 1, where the structure group  $G_n^r$  is reduced to the differential group  $L_n^{r+1}$  ([12]).

Jet prolongations of FX are also studied by Libermann in connection with prolongations of higher order connections [14]. She showed that the first jet prolongation  $J^1FX$  can be naturally identified with the principal bundle of second order *semi-holonomic* frames over X (see also [8]). Also a correspondence between the bundle of semi-holonomic r-jets of sections of FX and the bundle of semi-holonomic frames of order r + 1 over X was described.

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of principal bundle structures on *holonomic* frame bundle of order r,  $J^r F X$ . Our main result consists in finding a Lie group which defines a principal bundle structure of  $J^r F X$ . The construction gives us a reduction of the principal prolongation  $W^r F X$  to  $J^r F X$ . We also study the associated actions of this newly introduced group on the corresponding associated fibre bundles. These actions generalize the standard tensor actions of differential groups to a broader class of type fibres.

Note that the prolongation procedure presented in this paper can be applied to an arbitrary principal  $L_n^1$ -bundle P. As an example we can take a principal  $L_n^1$ -bundle  $J^r P$  over the bundle  $C^r P$  of r-th order connections of P for any r(see, e.g., [1]).

### 2. Preliminaries

Let G be a Lie group, and denote by  $T_n^r G$  the set of all r-jets with source at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and target in G.  $T_n^r G$  is a closed submanifold of  $J^r(\mathbb{R}^n, G)$  of r-jets with source in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and target in G.

Let  $S, T \in T_n^r G, S = J_0^r s, T = J_0^r t$ , where  $s, t \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to G$ , be any elements. The rule

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T} = J_0^r(s \cdot t),$$

where  $(s \cdot t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(x)$  is the group multiplication in G, defines a structure of Lie group on  $T_n^r G$ .

### PRINCIPAL BUNDLE STRUCTURE ON JET PROLONGATIONS OF FRAMES

Let us consider the r-th differential group of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted  $L_n^r$ , which consists of all invertible r-jets of mappings  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  with source and target at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and multiplication is given by the composition of jets. Each element  $A = J_0^r \alpha \in L_n^r$  defines a mapping  $\varphi(A) \colon T_n^r G \to T_n^r G$  by the formula  $\varphi(A)(\mathcal{S}) =$  $\mathcal{S} \circ A^{-1}$ .  $\varphi(A)$  is an automorphism of the Lie group  $T_n^r G$ , and the mapping  $A \mapsto \varphi(A)$  is a homomorphism of the Lie group  $L_n^r$  into the group Aut  $T_n^r G$  of automorphisms of  $T_n^r G$ . The exterior semi-direct product  $L_n^r \times_{\varphi} T_n^r G$ , associated with the homomorphism  $\varphi$  (see [12]), is a Lie group with the multiplication

$$(A, \mathcal{S}) \cdot (B, \mathcal{T}) = (A \cdot B, \mathcal{S} \cdot (\mathcal{T} \circ A^{-1})),$$

where  $A, B \in L_n^r, S, T \in T_n^r G$ . This Lie group is called the (r, n)-prolongation of G and is denoted by  $G_n^r$ .

Let  $F^r X$  denote the set of all *r*-frames, i.e., invertible *r*-jets  $Z = J_0^r \zeta$  with source at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and target in the *n*-dimensional manifold X.  $F^r X$  is endowed with a natural structure of principal bundle with the structure group  $L_n^r$  and is called the bundle of *r*-frames over X.

Let P be a principal bundle over an n-dimensional manifold X, let  $\pi$  be its projection. Let  $J^r P$  denote the r-jet prolongation of P. The r-jet of a section  $\gamma$  of P at a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma$ .

Consider the fibre product  $W^r P = F^r X \oplus J^r P$ , i.e., the submanifold in  $F^r X \times J^r P$  of pairs  $(Z, \Upsilon)$  such that Z and  $\Upsilon$  belong to the fibre over the same point of X. For every  $(Z, \Upsilon) \in W^r P$ ,  $Z = J_0^r \zeta$ ,  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma$ , where  $x = \zeta(0)$ , and every  $(A, \mathcal{S}) \in G_n^r$ ,  $A = J_0^r \alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$ , we put

$$(Z,\Upsilon)\cdot(A,\mathcal{S}) = (Z\cdot A,\Upsilon\cdot(\mathcal{S}\circ Z^{-1})) = (J_0^r(\zeta\circ\alpha), J_x^r(\gamma\cdot(s\circ\zeta^{-1}))), \quad (1)$$

where  $(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \zeta^{-1}))(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot s(\zeta^{-1}(x))$  is the right action of G on P. Then (1) is a right action of the group  $G_n^r$  on  $W^r P$  which defines a structure of principal  $G_n^r$ -bundle on  $W^r P$ .  $W^r P$  is called the *(principal) r-prolongation* of P.

In this paper we consider a frame bundle over an *n*-dimensional manifold X instead of P. A frame at a point  $x \in X$  is a pair  $\Xi = (x, \xi)$ , where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$  is an ordered basis of the tangent space  $T_x X$ . The set of all frames in all points of X is denoted by FX and the structure  $\mu: FX \to X$  is a principal bundle with the structure group  $Gl_n(R)$  (the general linear group of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ); the dimension of a fibre is  $n^2$ .

For every chart  $(U, \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi = (x^i)$ , on  $X, x \in U$ , the associated chart  $(V, \psi)$ ,  $\psi = (x^i, x^i_i)$ , on FX, is defined by  $V = \mu^{-1}(U)$ , and

$$x^{i}(\Xi) = x^{i}(\mu(\Xi)), \quad \xi_{j} = x_{j}^{i}(\Xi) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)_{x},$$

where  $\Xi \in V$ .

FX can be identified with the bundle  $F^1X$  of all invertible 1-jets with source at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and target in X. To every  $\Xi = (x,\xi) \in FX$ ,  $x \in U$ , we assign a 1-jet  $Z = J_0^1\zeta$  such that  $\zeta(0) = x$  and  $D_j(x^i \circ \zeta)(0) = x_j^i(\Xi)$ . This defines a bijection between the bundles FX and  $F^1X$ . Due to this identification, in what follows, we will use the notation FX also for  $F^1X$ .

Let us consider a local trivialization of the principal bundle FX. For every  $x \in X$  there exists an open subset  $U \subset X$  and a diffeomorphism  $\phi$ , such that the diagram

$$\mu^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\phi} U \times L_n^1$$

$$\mu \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow p_1$$

$$U \xrightarrow{\text{id}} U \qquad (2)$$

commutes. By  $p_1$  ( $p_2$ ) we denote the projection onto the first (second) component. Let  $J_0^1 \zeta \in \mu^{-1}(U), \zeta(0) = x$ , and let  $t_z \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , be a translation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $t_z(w) = w - z$ . Then an identification  $\phi \colon \mu^{-1}(U) \to U \times L_n^1$ , associated with a chart  $(U, \varphi)$ , is defined by  $J_0^1 \zeta \mapsto (\zeta(0), J_0^1 \tilde{\zeta})$ , where  $\tilde{\zeta} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\tilde{\zeta} = t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi \circ \zeta. \tag{3}$$

If  $\Xi = J_0^1 \zeta \in \mu^{-1}(U)$ , and  $(a_j^i)$  are the (first) canonical coordinates on  $L_n^1$ , then  $\phi(\Xi) = (\mu(\Xi), A)$ , where  $a_j^i(A) = x_j^i(\Xi)$ .

Let  $FX \times L_n^1 \ni (\Xi, A) \mapsto \Xi \cdot A \equiv R_A(\Xi) \in FX$  be a right action of the structure group  $L_n^1$  on FX, in the corresponding coordinates given by

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i \circ R_A = x^i, \quad \bar{x}^i_j = x^i_j \circ R_A = x^i_k \cdot a^k_j(A).$$

The mapping  $\chi = p_2 \circ \phi \colon \mu^{-1}(U) \to L^1_n$  satisfies

$$\chi(R_A(\Xi)) = \chi(\Xi) \cdot A \tag{4}$$

for every  $\Xi \in \mu^{-1}(U)$  and every  $A \in L_n^1$ .

By  $J^r FX$  we denote the *r*-jet prolongation of FX. The *r*-jet of a section  $\gamma$  of FX, at a point  $x \in X$ , is denoted by  $J_x^r \gamma$ , and the assignment  $x \mapsto J^r \gamma(x) = J_x^r \gamma$  is the *r*-jet prolongation of  $\gamma$ . The canonical jet projections  $\mu^{r,0} \colon J^r FX \to FX$  (the target projection), and  $\mu^r \colon J^r FX \to X$  (the source projection), are defined by  $\mu^{r,0}(J_x^r \gamma) = \gamma(x)$ , and  $\mu^r(J_x^r \gamma) = x$ , respectively. With a chart  $(U, \varphi)$  we also associate a chart  $(V^r, \psi^r)$  on  $J^r FX$ , where  $V^r = (\mu^r)^{-1}(U)$ , and  $\psi^r = (x^i, x^i_j, x^i_{j,k_1}, x^i_{j,k_1,k_2}, \dots, x^i_{j,k_1k_2\dots k_r})$ .

It is well known that  $J^r F X$  has a structure of fibre bundle with the standard fibre  $T_n^r L_n^1 = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^n, L_n^1)$  (the manifold of all jets of mappings from  $\mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ with source in  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and target in  $L_n^1$ ). To describe a fibre bundle structure on  $J^r F X$ , we take a local trivialization of F X, consisting of pairs  $(U, \phi)$  (see (2)), and we introduce the corresponding local trivialization of  $J^r F X$ . Let  $(U, \varphi)$  be a coordinate chart on X. Over U we have the mapping

$$\Phi: J^r F X|_U = (\mu^r)^{-1}(U) \to U \times T_n^r L_n^1, \tag{5}$$

in the form  $J^r FX|_U \ni J^r_x \gamma \mapsto (x, J^r_0 \bar{\gamma})$ , where  $\bar{\gamma} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L^1_n$  is defined by  $\bar{\gamma} = \chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}.$  (6)

Obviously,  $\Phi$  is smooth. Its inverse  $\Phi^{-1}: U \times T_n^r L_n^1 \to J^r FX|_U; (x, J_0^r s) \mapsto J_x^r \delta$ , with  $\delta: U \to FX, \, \delta(y) = \phi^{-1}(y, (s \circ t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi)(y)), \, y \in U$ , is also smooth.

Thus, the collection of pairs  $(U, \Phi)$  represent the local trivializations of  $J^r F X$ such that the diagram

commutes. In (7),  $\rho: T_n^r L_n^1 \to L_n^1$  is the projection (of the fibred manifold) defined by  $T_n^r L_n^1 \ni J_0^r s \mapsto s(0) \in L_n^1$ .

# **3.** Principal bundle structure on $J^r F X$

In contrast with [7,12], in this section we introduce a modified group operation on  $T_n^r L_n^1$ , denoted \*, and a structure of principal bundle on  $J^r F X$  with the structure group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ .

Let us recall that  $T_n^r L_n^1$  consists of all jets of smooth mappings from  $\mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ with source in  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and target in  $L_n^1$ . The identification  $T_n^r L_n^1 = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^n, L_n^1)$ (closed submanifold of  $J^r(\mathbb{R}^n, L_n^1)$ ) induces a structure of smooth manifold (also a structure of fibred manifold  $\rho: T_n^r L_n^1 \to L_n^1$ ). Moreover,  $T_n^r L_n^1$  is endowed with a Lie group structure, where multiplication is given as follows (see, e.g., [12]). Let  $S, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1, S = J_0^r s, \mathcal{T} = J_0^r t$ , where  $s, t: \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ , then

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T} = J_0^r(s \cdot t),$$

where  $(s \cdot t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(x)$  (the group multiplication in  $L_n^1$ ). In what follows we wish to introduce another Lie group structure on  $T_n^r L_n^1$ . Under the correspondence between the general linear group  $Gl_n(\mathbb{R})$  and the differential group  $L_n^1$  we have the following

**LEMMA 1.** For every  $S \in L_n^1$  there exists a unique linear automorphism  $s_0$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $S = J_0^1 s_0$ .

As a direct consequence of Lemma 1 we have:

**COROLLARY 1.** For every  $S = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$  there exists a unique linear automorphism  $s_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $s(0) = J_0^1 s_0$ .

The mapping  $s_0$  is said to be associated with S.

For every  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ , we define a multiplication on  $T_n^r L_n^1$ , denoted \*, by

$$\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T} = J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})), \tag{8}$$

where  $\cdot$  denotes the group multiplication in  $L_n^1$ , and  $s_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the mapping associated with  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**LEMMA 2.**  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  is a Lie group.

Proof.  $T_n^r L_n^1$  has a structure of smooth manifold. Obviously,  $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$  for every  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ .

Further, we show that  $(\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}) * \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{S} * (\mathcal{T} * \mathcal{U})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s, \ \mathcal{T} = J_0^r t, \ \mathcal{U} = J_0^r u, \ s, t, u \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ . By Lemma 1, there exist mappings  $s_0, t_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $s(0) = S = J_0^1 s_0, \ t(0) = T = J_0^1 t_0$ . If we denote  $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T} = J_0^r v$ , then by  $(8), \ v(0) = (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))(0) = s(0) \cdot t(0) = S \cdot T$ , and the mapping  $v_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  associated with  $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}$  is of the form  $v_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$ . Indeed,  $J_0^1(s_0 \circ t_0) = J_0^1 s_0 \cdot J_0^1 t_0 = S \cdot T = v(0)$ , and  $s_0 \circ t_0$  is linear, thus, by Corollary 1, we get  $v_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}) * \mathcal{U} &= J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})) * J_0^r u = J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}) \cdot (u \circ (s_0 \circ t_0)^{-1})) \\ &= J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}) \cdot (u \circ t_0^{-1} \circ s_0^{-1})) = J_0^r (s \cdot (t \cdot (u \circ t_0^{-1}) \circ s_0^{-1})) \\ &= J_0^r s * J_0^r (t \cdot (u \circ t_0^{-1})) = \mathcal{S} * (\mathcal{T} * \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

The identity element of \* defined on  $T_n^r L_n^1$  is  $\mathcal{E} = J_0^r e$ , where  $e : \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$  is the constant mapping assigning the identity matrix  $E \in L_n^1$  to every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The corresponding mapping  $e_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  with the property  $J_0^1 e_0 = e(0)$  is  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ . Note, that  $\mathcal{E}$  coincides with the identity element of  $(T_n^r L_n^1, \cdot)$ .

The inverse  $S^{-1}$  of an element  $S = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$  is given by  $S^{-1} = J_0^r s^{-1}$ , where  $s^{-1}(z) = ((s \circ s_0)(z))^{-1}$ . The mapping associated with  $S^{-1}$  is  $s_0^{-1}$ .

Denoting for a moment the group multiplication in  $L_n^1$  by  $\Psi$ , we obtain (8) in the form

$$\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T} = J_{(S,T)}^r \Psi \circ J_0^r (s \times (t \circ s_0^{-1})) = J_{(S,T)}^r \Psi \circ (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \circ (J_0^r \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}, J_0^r s_0^{-1}).$$

Since the composition of jets is smooth, the product S \* T depends smoothly on S and T, and we see that the group structure in  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  is compatible with its smooth structure. Thus  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  is a Lie group.

Let  $(a_j^i, a_{j,k}^i, a_{j,kl}^i)$  denote the coordinates on  $T_n^2 L_n^1$ , let  $(b_j^i)$  be the second canonical coordinates on  $L_n^1$ , i.e.,  $a_k^i \cdot b_j^k = \delta_j^i$ . Then, for any  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^2 L_n^1$ , the

coordinate expressions of \* on  $T_n^2 L_n^1$  are

$$\begin{split} a_j^i(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a_k^i(\mathcal{S})a_j^k(\mathcal{T}), \quad b_j^i(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) = b_k^i(\mathcal{T})b_j^k(\mathcal{S}), \\ a_{j,k}^i(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a_{l,k}^i(\mathcal{S})a_j^l(\mathcal{T}) + a_l^i(\mathcal{S})a_{j,m}^l(\mathcal{T})b_k^m(\mathcal{S}), \\ a_{j,kl}^i(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a_{m,kl}^i(\mathcal{S})a_j^m(\mathcal{T}) + a_{m,k}^i(\mathcal{S})a_{j,p}^m(\mathcal{T})b_l^p(\mathcal{S}) \\ &\quad + a_{m,l}^i(\mathcal{S})a_{j,p}^m(\mathcal{T})b_k^p(\mathcal{S}) + a_m^i(\mathcal{S})a_{j,pq}^m(\mathcal{T})b_l^q(\mathcal{S})b_k^p(\mathcal{S}), \end{split}$$

and the coordinates of the identity element  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  are

$$a_j^i(\mathcal{E}) = \delta_j^i, \qquad a_{j,k_1k_2\dots k_m}^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0, \quad 1 \le m \le r.$$
(9)

Unless otherwise stated, from now on by the Lie group  $T_n^r L_n^1$  we mean  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ . Now we are in a position to define an action of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  on  $J^r F X$ . Let  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$ , where  $\gamma \colon U \to F X$  is a smooth section,  $x \in U \subset X$ . Using the local trivialization of F X, mentioned in Section 2, and Lemma 1, we have

**LEMMA 3.** For every  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r FX$  there exists a unique invertible smooth mapping  $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$  such that  $\gamma_0(0) = x$ ,  $J_0^1 \gamma_0 = \gamma(x)$ , and  $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by (3), is a linear mapping.

The mapping  $\gamma_0$  is said to be associated with  $\Upsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$ . We define a mapping  $J^r FX \times T_n^r L_n^1 \ni (\Upsilon, \mathcal{S}) \mapsto \Upsilon * \mathcal{S} \in J^r FX$  by

 $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})).$ <sup>(10)</sup>

**LEMMA 4.** (10) defines a right action of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  on  $J^r F X$ .

Proof. Let  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r FX$ ,  $\gamma : U \to FX$  and  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = J_0^r t$ . Let us denote  $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \delta$ . First, we notice that the corresponding mapping  $\delta_0$  associated with  $J_x^r \delta$  is equal to  $\gamma_0 \circ s_0$ . Indeed,

$$(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)(0) = \gamma_0(s_0(0)) = \gamma_0(0) = x = \delta_0(0).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^i(J_0^1(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)) &= D_j(x^i(\gamma_0 \circ s_0))(0) = D_k(x^i \circ \gamma_0)(s_0(0)) \cdot D_j(a^k \circ s_0)(0) \\ &= D_k(x^i \circ \gamma_0)(0) \cdot D_j(a^k \circ s_0)(0) = x_k^i(J_0^1\gamma_0) \cdot a_j^k(J_0^1s_0) \\ &= x_k^i(\gamma(x)) \cdot a_j^k(s(0)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x_{j}^{i}(\delta(x)) = x_{j}^{i}((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_{0}^{-1}))(x)) = x_{k}^{i}(\gamma(x)) \cdot a_{j}^{k}(s(0)).$$

Thus  $\delta(x) = (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(x) = J_0^1(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)$ . Moreover, denoting  $\omega_0 = \gamma_0 \circ s_0$ , by (3) we have that

 $\tilde{\omega}_0 = t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma_0 \circ s_0 = \tilde{\gamma}_0 \circ s_0$ 

is linear, and therefore, using Lemma 3, we can conclude that

$$\delta_0 = \gamma_0 \circ s_0. \tag{11}$$

Now, by (10) and (11) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) * \mathcal{T} &= J_x^r ((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \cdot (t \circ (\gamma_0 \circ s_0)^{-1})) \\ &= J_x^r ((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \\ &= J_x^r (\gamma \cdot ((s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})) \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = \Upsilon * (\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is obvious that  $\Upsilon * \mathcal{E} = J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (e \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \gamma = \Upsilon$ , because e(z) = E for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $(x^i, x^i_j, x^i_{j,k}, x^i_{j,kl})$  denote the fibred coordinates on  $J^2FX$ , and let  $y^j_k$ be the inverse matrix of  $x^i_j$ . For any  $\Upsilon \in J^2FX$ ,  $\mathcal{S} \in T^2_n L^1_n$ , the coordinate expressions of (10) on  $J^2FX$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^{i}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) &= x^{i}(\Upsilon), \\ x^{j}_{j}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) &= x^{i}_{k}(\Upsilon)a^{k}_{j}(\mathcal{S}), \quad y^{i}_{j}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = b^{i}_{k}(\mathcal{S})y^{k}_{j}(\Upsilon), \\ x^{i}_{j,k}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) &= x^{i}_{l,k}(\Upsilon)a^{l}_{j}(\mathcal{S}) + x^{i}_{l}(\Upsilon)a^{l}_{j,m}(\mathcal{S})y^{m}_{k}(\Upsilon), \\ x^{i}_{j,kl}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) &= x^{i}_{m,kl}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j}(\mathcal{S}) + x^{i}_{m,k}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,p}(\mathcal{S})y^{p}_{l}(\Upsilon) \\ &\quad + x^{i}_{m,l}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,p}(\mathcal{S})y^{p}_{k}(\Upsilon) + x^{i}_{m}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,pq}(\mathcal{S})y^{q}_{l}(\Upsilon)y^{p}_{k}(\Upsilon). \end{aligned}$$
(12)

**THEOREM 1.**  $J^r FX$  with the right action (10) becomes a principal  $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle.

Proof.  $J^r F X$  has a structure of fibre bundle with the standard fibre  $T_n^r L_n^1$ ; its local trivialization is described in Section 2. The action (10) of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  on  $J^r F X$  is free. Indeed, if we suppose that for some  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$  and  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$ , we have  $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = \Upsilon$ , then by (10),

$$J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \gamma.$$
(13)

This implies  $(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot s(0) = \gamma(x)$ , which gives us s(0) = E(identity element of  $L_n^1$ ), i.e.,  $a_j^i(s(0)) = a_j^i(\mathcal{S}) = \delta_j^i$ , because the action of  $L_n^1$ on FX is free. Further, from (13),  $x_{j,k}^i(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x_{j,k}^i(\Upsilon)$ , and using (12), we get  $a_{j,k}^i(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ . Since relations similar to equations (12) hold for any r, continuing analogously, we finally get that  $a_{j,k_1k_2...k_m}^i(\mathcal{S}) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq m \leq r$ , which by (9) means that  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{E}$  and (10) is free.

Finally, using the local trivialization of  $J^r F X$ , consisting of the collection of pairs  $(U, \Phi)$ , where the diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  (5) is defined by (6), we shall show that  $\Phi$  is equivariant with respect to the right action (10) of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  on  $J^r F X$  and the group operation (8) on  $T_n^r L_n^1$ . Let  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X|_U$  and  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$ . Let us denote  $\tau = p_2 \circ \Phi$ . We wish to show that  $\tau(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \tau(\Upsilon) * \mathcal{S}$ . We have  $\tau(\Upsilon) = J_0^r \bar{\gamma}$  with  $\bar{\gamma} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$  given by (6), and by (8) we get

$$\tau(\Upsilon) * \mathcal{S} = J_0^r(\bar{\gamma} \cdot (s \circ \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1})) = J_0^r((\chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}) \cdot (s \circ \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1})), \quad (14)$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is associated with  $\bar{\gamma}$ , i.e.,  $J_0^1 \bar{\gamma}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(0) = \chi(\gamma(x))$ .

### PRINCIPAL BUNDLE STRUCTURE ON JET PROLONGATIONS OF FRAMES

In addition, let us denote  $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \delta$ , where  $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$  is associated with  $\Upsilon$ . Then  $\tau(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = J_0^r \overline{\delta}$ , where  $\overline{\delta}$  is defined by (6), and using (4), we get

$$\bar{\delta} = \chi \circ (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)} 
= (\chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}) \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}).$$
(15)

Using (6) and according to Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, we have

$$J_0^1 \bar{\gamma}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(0) = \chi(\gamma(x)) = \chi(J_0^1 \gamma_0) = J_0^1 \tilde{\gamma}_0,$$

where both  $\bar{\gamma}_0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_0$  are linear. Corollary 1 gives us that  $\bar{\gamma}_0 = \tilde{\gamma}_0$ , and (3) implies  $\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)} = \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1}$ . Using it,  $J_0^r \bar{\delta}$  for  $\bar{\delta}$  (15) coincides with (14) which means that  $\tau(\Upsilon * S) = \tau(\Upsilon) * S$ . Since  $\Upsilon$  and S are arbitrary, this completes the proof.

## 4. Prolongation of associated bundles

Let Q be a left  $L_n^1$ -manifold and let  $F_Q X$  be a bundle with fibre Q, associated with the principal  $L_n^1$ -bundle FX; a point of  $F_Q X$  is, by definition, the equivalence class  $[\Xi, q]$  of a pair  $(\Xi, q) \in FX \times Q$  with respect to the right action

$$((\Xi,q),A) \mapsto (\Xi \cdot A, A^{-1} \cdot q)$$

of  $L_n^1$  on  $FX \times Q$ .

Let  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  be a Lie group as in Section 3. Consider the mapping

$$T_n^r L_n^1 \times T_n^r Q \to T_n^r Q; \quad (J_0^r s, J_0^r f) \mapsto J_0^r (s \cdot (f \circ s_0^{-1})). \tag{16}$$

**LEMMA 5.** (16) defines a left action of  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  on  $T_n^r Q$ .

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.

The action (16) will be denoted by  $J_0^r s * J_0^r f = J_0^r (s \cdot (f \circ s_0^{-1})).$ 

Let  $J^r F X$  be a principal  $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle with the structure group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ . Using (16) we can construct a bundle  $(J^r F X)_Y$  with type fibre  $Y = T_n^r Q$ , associated with  $J^r F X$ . The group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  acts on  $J^r F X \times Y$  by the formula

$$((J_x^r\gamma, J_0^rf), \mathcal{S}) \to (J_x^r\gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^rf)$$

where  $S^{-1} = J_0^r s^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $S = J_0^r s \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  defined in the proof of Lemma 2. The corresponding invertible linear mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , associated with  $S^{-1}$ , is  $s_0^{-1}$ . Thus we can write

$$\left(J_x^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f\right) = \left(J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})), J_0^r (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0))\right), \tag{17}$$

where  $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$  is the mapping associated with the *r*-jet  $J_x^r \gamma$ .

**THEOREM 2.** The r-jet prolongation  $J^r F_Q X$  of  $F_Q X$  has a structure of fibre bundle with fibre  $T_n^r Q$ , associated with the principal  $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle  $J^r F X$ .

Proof. Let  $(J^r FX)_Y$  be a fibre bundle with fibre  $Y = T_n^r Q$ , associated with the principal  $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle  $J^r FX$ . We are going to show that there exists an isomorphism of manifolds  $\Psi: (J^r FX)_Y \to J^r F_Q X$ , commuting with the projections onto the base X of FX.

Let  $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$  be the mapping associated with  $J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$ , where  $\gamma \colon U \to F X$  is a local section over an open subset  $U \subset X, x \in U$ . Putting

$$\gamma_Q(z,q) = [\gamma\gamma_0(z),q] \tag{18}$$

we obtain a mapping  $\gamma_Q \colon \gamma_0^{-1}(U) \times Q \to F_Q X$ . Consider

$$\Psi\colon (J^r FX)_Y \to J^r F_Q X; \quad [J^r_x \gamma, J^r_0 f] \mapsto J^r_x \beta$$

where  $\beta(y) = \gamma_Q(\gamma_0^{-1}(y), f(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)))$ , i.e.,  $\beta = \gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $\beta$  is a local section of  $F_Q X$  defined on  $U \subset X$ . To show that  $\Psi$  is a well-defined mapping, take any pair  $(J_x^r \gamma', J_0^r f') \in [J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f]$ . There exists  $\mathcal{S} \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$ , such that

$$(J_x^r\gamma', J_0^rf') = (J_x^r\gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^rf).$$

In (17),  $(J_x^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f) = (J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})), J_0^r (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0)))$ , denote  $\delta = \gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})$  and  $h = s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0)$ . Consider the *r*-jet  $J_x^r (\delta_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\delta_0^{-1}(U)} \times h) \circ \delta_0^{-1})$  and take its representative  $y \mapsto \delta_Q (\delta_0^{-1}(y), h(\delta_0^{-1}(y)))$ . In view of (18), we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_Q(\delta_0^{-1}(y), h(\delta_0^{-1}(y))) &= [\delta(y), h(\delta_0^{-1}(y))] \\ &= [(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(y), (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0))(\delta_0^{-1}(y))] \\ &= [\gamma(y) \cdot s(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)), s^{-1}(\delta_0^{-1}(y)) \cdot f(s_0(\delta_0^{-1}(y)))]. \end{split}$$

Using  $s^{-1}(y) = (s \circ s_0(y))^{-1}$  and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\delta_Q(\delta_0^{-1}(y), h(\delta_0^{-1}(y))) \\ &= \left[\gamma(y) \cdot s(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)), \left((s \circ s_0)(\delta_0^{-1}(y))\right)^{-1} \cdot f\left(s_0(\delta_0^{-1}(y))\right)\right] \\ &= \left[\gamma(y) \cdot s(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)), \left((s \circ s_0 \circ s_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_0^{-1})(y)\right)^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0 \circ s_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_0^{-1})(y)\right] \\ &= \left[\gamma(y) \cdot s(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)), \left(s(\gamma_0^{-1}(y))\right)^{-1} \cdot (f \circ \gamma_0^{-1})(y)\right] \\ &= \left[\gamma(y), f \circ \gamma_0^{-1}(y)\right] = \left(\gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1}\right)(y). \end{split}$$

This proves the independence of the r-jet  $J_x^r(\gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1})$  of the choice of  $(J_x^r \gamma', J_0^r f') \in [J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f]$ . Thus

$$\Psi \colon (J^r FX)_Y \to J^r F_Q X; \quad [J^r_x \gamma, J^r_0 f] \mapsto J^r_x \big(\gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1}\big)$$

is a well-defined mapping. Moreover, it can be verified that this mapping has the inverse  $\Psi^{-1}$  defined by the formula

$$\Psi^{-1} \colon J^r F_Q X \to (J^r F X)_Y; \quad J^r_x \beta \mapsto \left[ J^r_x \gamma, J^r_0(p_2 \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \beta \gamma_0) \right],$$

where  $\gamma$  is any local section of FX over  $U \subset X$ ,  $x \in U$ , and  $p_2 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times Q \to Q$ is the second projection. Thus  $\Psi$  is a bijection. The differentiability of both  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi^{-1}$  follows from the differentiability of  $\gamma_Q$  and the composition of jets. The commutativity of  $\Psi$  with the projections onto X is obvious.

### 5. Reduction of $W^r F X$ to $J^r F X$

Let P (resp.  $P_1$ ) be a principal G-bundle (resp.  $G_1$ -bundle) over a manifold X. We say that P is a reduction of  $P_1$  if there exists a pair  $(\nu_X, \nu)$ , where  $\nu: G \to G_1$  is an injective homomorphism of Lie groups and  $\nu_X: P \to P_1$  is a homomorphism of principal fibre bundles over  $\mathrm{id}_X$ , i.e.,  $\nu_X$  is smooth with  $\mathrm{proj}\,\nu_X = \mathrm{id}_X$  and  $\nu_X(p \cdot g) = \nu_X(p) \cdot \nu(g)$  for all  $p \in P$  and  $g \in G$ .

The aim of this section is to show that the principal  $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle  $J^r F X$  with the structure group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  is a reduction of the principal  $(L_n^1)_n^r$ -bundle  $W^r F X$ .

Consider the mapping  $\nu$  assigning to  $\mathcal{S} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$ , the element  $\nu(\mathcal{S}) \in (L_n^1)_n^r$  defined by the formula

$$\nu(\mathcal{S}) = (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s),$$

where  $s_0$  is the mapping associated with  $\mathcal{S}$ . Clearly,  $\nu$  is a well-defined mapping.

Let  $S = J_0^r s$ ,  $T = J_0^r t$  be elements of the Lie group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ . Since  $S * T = J_0^r u$ , where  $u = s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})$  and for the mapping  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , associated with  $J_0^r u$ , we have  $u_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$  (see proof of Lemma 2), we can write

$$\nu(\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}) = (J_0^r(s_0 \circ t_0), J_0^r(s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))).$$

Additionally, with respect to the operation defined on  $(L_n^1)_n^r$ , we have

$$\nu(\mathcal{S}) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{T}) = (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s) \cdot (J_0^r t_0, J_0^r t) = (J_0^r (s_0 \circ t_0), J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))).$$

Thus  $\nu$  is a homomorphism of groups. Clearly,  $\nu$  is an injective smooth mapping, and therefore we can conclude that  $\nu$  is an injective immersion of the Lie group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  to  $(L_n^1)_n^r$ .

Now, consider

$$\nu_X : J^r F X \to W^r F X; \quad J^r_x \gamma \mapsto (J^r_0 \gamma_0, J^r_x \gamma),$$

where  $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$  is the mapping associated with  $J_x^r \gamma$ . It is easy to see that  $\nu_X$  is a well-defined injective smooth mapping and  $\operatorname{proj} \nu_X = \operatorname{id}_X$ . We are going to show that

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \nu_X(\Upsilon) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{S}) \tag{19}$$

for all  $\Upsilon \in J^r F X$  and  $\mathcal{S} \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ .

First, we notice that the mapping associated with  $\Upsilon * S$ , where  $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma$ ,  $S = J_0^r s$ , is equal to  $\gamma_0 \circ s_0$  (see Proof of Lemma 4). Now, we can write

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \nu_X(J_x^r \gamma * J_0^r s) = \nu_X(J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})))$$
$$= (J_0^r(\gamma_0 \circ s_0), J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})))$$

and (using the action of  $(L_n^1)_n^r$  on  $W^r F X$ )

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{S}) = (J_0^r \gamma_0, J_x^r \gamma) \cdot (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s) = (J_0^r (\gamma_0 \circ s_0), J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))).$$

Thus (19) is true.

Summarizing, we obtain the following main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.** The principal bundle  $J^r F X$  with the structure group  $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$  is a reduction of the principal  $(L_n^1)_n^r$ -bundle  $W^r F X$ .

This is analogous to the result on reduction of  $W^r F X$  to the principal bundle  $F^{r+1}X$  with the structure group  $L_n^{r+1}$  (see [12]).

Remark 1. We have an injective homomorphism of Lie groups

$$\iota \colon L_n^{r+1} \to T_n^r L_n^1, \quad J_0^{r+1} \alpha \mapsto J_0^r \tilde{\alpha}, \tag{20}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$  is for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(z) = J_0^1(t_z \circ \alpha \circ t_{-\alpha_0^{-1}(z)}),$$

and  $\alpha_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear mapping satisfying  $J_0^1 \alpha_0 = J_0^1 \alpha$ .

Using (20) and the corresponding local trivializations of principal bundles  $F^{r+1}X$  and  $J^rFX$  we obtain that  $F^{r+1}X$  is a reduction of  $J^rFX$ . Thus, we have the sequence of reductions

 $F^{r+1}X \longrightarrow J^r F X \longrightarrow W^r F X.$ 

**Remark 2.** Let  $\bar{F}^2 X$  be the *semi-holonomic* frame bundle of order 2. In [14], it is stated that there exists a natural diffeomorphism from  $J^1 F X$  onto the principal bundle  $\bar{F}^2 X$  (without any reference to the principal bundle structure on  $J^1 F X$ ). Considering the *holonomic* frame bundle  $F^2 X$ , this statement transforms into the following one: The mapping

$$\iota_X \colon F^2 X \to J^1 F X; \quad \iota_X(J_0^2 \zeta) = J_x^1(J^1 \zeta \circ \zeta^{-1}),$$

is a homomorphism of principal fibre bundles over  $id_X$ .

**Remark 3.** Let Q be a left  $L_n^1$ -manifold. By the general prolongation theory,  $T_n^r Q$  has a (canonical) structure of a left  $L_n^{r+1}$ -manifold. For any  $J_0^{r+1} \alpha \in L_n^{r+1}$ ,  $J_0^r f \in T_n^r Q$ , a left action of  $L_n^{r+1}$  on  $T_n^r Q$  is given by

$$J_0^{r+1} \alpha \cdot J_0^r f = J_0^r (\bar{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha^{-1})),$$
(21)

where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is defined by

$$\bar{\alpha}(z) = J_0^1(t_z \circ \alpha \circ t_{-\alpha^{-1}(z)}).$$

Denoting 
$$\iota(J_0^{r+1}\alpha) = J_0^r \tilde{\alpha}$$
, and  $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1} = \beta$ , we have  

$$J_0^{r+1}\alpha \cdot J_0^r f = J_0^r (\bar{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= J_0^r ((\bar{\alpha} \circ \alpha \circ \alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}) \cdot (f \circ \alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= J_0^r ((\tilde{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha_0^{-1})) \circ (\alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= (J_0^r \tilde{\alpha} * J_0^r f) \cdot J_0^r \beta.$$
(22)

Let us denote by  $\pi_n^{r+1,1} \colon L_n^{r+1} \to L_n^1$  the canonical jet projection, by  $\iota_n^{1,r+1} \colon L_n^1 \to L_n^{r+1}$  the canonical injective Lie group morphism, and put  $K_n^{r+1,1} = \operatorname{Ker} \pi_n^{r+1,1}$ . Then  $L_n^{r+1}$  is the interior semi-direct product of  $\iota_n^{1,r+1}(L_n^1)$  and  $K_n^{r+1,1}$ .

Consider the subgroup  $\iota(L_n^{r+1})$  of  $T_n^r L_n^1$ , defined by  $\iota$  (20). Then (22) means that the left action (21) of  $L_n^{r+1}$  on  $T_n^r Q$  corresponds with the action (16) of  $\iota(L_n^{r+1})$  on  $T_n^r Q$  through the element  $J_0^r \beta \in K_n^{r+1,1}$ .

**Remark 4.** The action (16) of  $T_n^r L_n^1$  on  $T_n^r Q$  is in some sense more general than the left action (21) of  $L_n^{r+1}$  on  $T_n^r Q$  given by the general prolongation theory. Consider a vector bundle with type fibre Q with dim Q = m. Let Q be a left  $L_m^1$ -manifold. Let  $(z^I)$  denote coordinates on Q,  $1 \le I \le m$ . Then (16) allows us to consider actions of  $L_m^1$  on Q of the form

$$\bar{z}^I = P^I_I(x^k) z^J,$$

where  $P_J^I : U \to L_m^1$  are arbitrary smooth mappings.

The first author wishes to thank Professor Donghua Shi for kind hospitality and discussions during his stay at Beijing Institute of Technology, China,

#### REFERENCES

- BRAJERČÍK, J.: Invariant variational problems on principal bundles and conservation laws, Arch. Math. (Brno) 47 (2011), 357–366.
- BRAJERČÍK, J.: Second order differential invariants of linear frames, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 15 (2010), 14–25.
- [3] DOUPOVEC, M.—MIKULSKI, W. M.: Reduction theorems for principal and classical connections, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010), 169–184.
- [4] JANYŠKA, J.: Higher order Utiyama-like theorem, Rep. Math. Phys. 58 (2006), 93–118.
- KOLAR, I.: Canonical forms on the prolongations of principal fibre bundles, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971), 1091–1106.
- KOLAR, I.: On the prolongations of geometric object fields, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 17 (1971), 437–446.
- [7] KOLÁŘ, I.—MICHOR, P. W.—SLOVÁK, J.: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] KOLÁŘ, I.—VADOVIČOVÁ, I.: On the structure function of a G-structure, Math. Slovaca 35 (1985), 277–282.
- [9] KOWALSKI, O.—SEKIZAWA, M.: Invariance of the naturally lifted metrics on linear frame bundles over affine manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen (To appear).

- [10] KRUPKA, D.: A setting for generally invariant Lagrangian structures in tensor bundles, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. XXII (1974), 967–972.
- [11] KRUPKA, D.: Natural Lagrangian structures. In: Differential Geometry. Banach Center Publ. 12, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1984, pp. 185–210.
- [12] KRUPKA, D.—JANYŠKA, J.: Lectures on Differential Invariants, Folia Fac. Sci. Natur. Univ. Masaryk. Brun. Math. 1, Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1990.
- [13] KUREŠ, M.: Torsions of connections on tangent bundles of higher order. In: Proc. 17th Winter School "Geometry and Physics", (J. Slovák, M. Čadek, eds.); Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. 54 (1998), 65–73.
- [14] LIBERMANN, P.: Introduction to the theory of semi-holonomic jets, Arch. Math. (Brno) 33 (1997), 173–189.
- [15] PATÁK, A.—KRUPKA, D. Geometric structure of the Hilbert-Yang-Mills functional, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 5 (2008), 387–405.

Received 11. 1. 2012 Accepted 16. 7. 2012 \* Department of Physics, Mathematics and Techniques University of Prešov Ul. 17. novembra 1 SK-081 16 Prešov SLOVAKIA E-mail: jan.brajercik@unipo.sk milan.demko@unipo.sk

\*\* School of Mathematics Beijing Institute of Technology
5 South Zhongguancun Street, Haidian zone Beijing 100081 CHINA

Department of Mathematics La Trobe University Melbourne, Victoria 3086 AUSTRALIA

Department of Mathematics University of Ostrava 30. dubna 22 CZ-701 03 Ostrava CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail:krupka@physics.muni.cz