Probing the three shapes in $^{186}{\rm Pb}$ using in-beam $\gamma\text{-ray}$ spectroscopy

J. Pakarinen^{1,a}, I. Darby^{1,2}, S. Eeckhaudt¹, T. Enqvist¹, T. Grahn¹, P. Greenlees¹, F. Johnston-Theasby³, P. Jones¹, R. Julin¹, S. Juutinen¹, H. Kettunen¹, M. Leino¹, A.-P. Leppänen¹, P. Nieminen¹, M. Nyman¹, R. Page², P. Raddon³, P. Rahkila¹, C. Scholey¹, J. Uusitalo¹, and R. Wadsworth³

¹ Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014, Jyväskylä, Finland

² Oliver Lodge Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, UK

³ Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York Y01 5DD, UK

Received: 2 December 2004 / Published online: 3 May 2005 – ⓒ Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2005

Abstract. This measurement represents the first observation of a non-yrast band in the ¹⁸⁶Pb nucleus by employing the Recoil-Decay Tagging (RDT) technique. Previously known yrast levels have been confirmed and the band is extended up to level $I^{\pi} = (16^+)$.

PACS. 27.70.+q $150 \le A \le 189 - 21.10$.Re Collective levels - 23.20.Lv γ transitions and level energies - 25.70.Gh Compound nucleus

1 Introduction

Triple shape coexistence in the light Pb region has been an intriguing topic for more than a decade. At the neutron midshell prolate and oblate minima are driven down in energy providing a unique laboratory for nuclear structure studies [1]. In 2000 Andreyev *et al.* [2] carried out an α -decay fine-structure measurement associating the three lowest states $(I^{\pi} = 0^+)$ in ¹⁸⁶Pb with three different nuclear shapes. The ground state of ¹⁹⁰Po has a mixed character of 2p and 4p-2h configuration (spherical and oblate, respectively). Based on the reduced α -decay widths and on in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy [3,4] the second minimum is associated with prolate shape. To confirm the structure of the third minimum, associated with oblate shape, it would be important to observe the band built above this state.

In-beam spectroscopy of ¹⁸⁶Pb has been hindered by 1) γ -ray background from fission and from various open fusion evaporation-reaction channels 2) low cross-section and 3) a relatively long half-life of 4.83(3) s [5] for tagging techniques. So far the examination of this nucleus has been based on recoil- γ^n ($n \geq 2$) coincidence measurements [3,4,6]. Recent improvements in tagging techniques at the Accelerator Laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä (JYFL) have made it possible to explore nuclei under these extreme conditions using the RDT technique.

2 Experimental aspects

Excited states of ¹⁸⁶Pb were populated in the ¹⁰⁶Pd(⁸³Kr, 3n)¹⁸⁶Pb reaction at a beam energy of 355 MeV. The target was a 1 mg/cm^2 thick metallic foil enriched in ¹⁰⁶Pd. Prompt γ rays were detected at the target position by the JUROGAM γ -ray spectrometer consisting of 33 EUROGAM Phase1 [7] and 9 GASP-type [8] Compton suppressed Ge detector modules.

Fusion-evaporation residues were separated from the primary beam and transported to the focal plane using the gas filled recoil separator RITU [9]. Recoils were implanted into the Double-Sided Silicon Strip Detectors (DSSSD), which are part of the GREAT [10] focal plane detector set-up. GREAT consists of two DSSSDs to detect the recoils and their decay products, a MultiWire Proportional Counter (MWPC) for energy loss and time-of-flight measurement of the recoils, a box of PIN-diodes to detect escaping α -particles and conversion electrons and a double-sided germanium strip detector for low-energy γ rays.

Data were collected using the Total Data Readout (TDR) system providing minimal dead time [11]. In this method, each channel is run independently and associated in software for event reconstruction. This is made possible by time-stamping each event with a global 100 MHz clock. Data were sorted and $\gamma\gamma$ -matrices constructed using the analysis package GRAIN [12], whereas the analysis was completed with the software package RADWARE [13]. Prompt γ rays associated with the observation of a recoil together with a subsequent α decay at the same position

^a Conference presenter;

e-mail: janne.pakarinen@phys.jyu.fi



Fig. 1. γ -ray energy spectra measured with JUROGAM. Top: singles γ -ray energy spectrum gated with fusion-evaporation residues and tagged with ¹⁸⁶Pb α decays. Bottom: recoil-gated, α -tagged $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence spectrum with a sum of gates on the three lowest non-yrast transitions.



Fig. 2. Partial level scheme of 186 Pb deduced from the present data. (The two 0⁺ states on the left side are taken from ref. [2].)

in the focal plane DSSSD within 15 s were selected in the data analysis. Escaping α particles within the same time window were collected using a PIN-diode box enhancing the $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data by ~ 6%. During 151 hours of effective beam time ~ $1.06 \times 10^6 \alpha$'s were recorded. The cross section for the production of ¹⁸⁶Pb was estimated to be 185 μ b.

3 Results

The power of JUROGAM + RITU + GREAT combined with the TDR system is substantiated in fig. 1, which shows two γ -ray spectra with different gating conditions.



Fig. 3. Kinematic moment of inertia as a function of rotational frequency for a nuclei in the vicinity of 186 Pb. Data for other nuclei is taken from refs. [14, 15].

The recoil-gated α -tagged γ -ray singles spectrum in the upper part presents the previously known yrast band and its extension up to $I^{\pi} = (16^+)$. In the lower part a recoil-gated α -tagged $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence condition is employed with a sum of gates on the three lowest non-yrast transitions.

The $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data, transition energy sums and relative intensity arguments have allowed the level scheme shown in fig. 2 to be constructed. All spin and parity assignments are tentative at the present stage of the analysis.

The kinematic moment of inertia for the new band in 186 Pb is plotted in fig. 3 together with those for the prolate band in 186 Pb and proposed oblate bands in 188 Pb and 196 Pb. The behavior of the new band is somewhat similar to that of the oblate band in 188 Pb, but its origin is still open to debate.

References

- 1. R. Julin et al., J. Phys. G. 27, R109 (2001).
- 2. A.N. Andreyev et al., Nature 405, 430 (2000).
- 3. J. Heese et al., Phys. Lett. B 302, 390 (1993).
- 4. A.M. Baxter et al., Phys. Rev. C 48, R2140 (1993).
- 5. J. Wauters et al., Phys. Rev. C 50, 2768 (1994).
- 6. W. Reviol et al., Phys. Rev. C 68, 054317 (2003).
- C.W. Beausang *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **313**, 37 (1992).
- 8. C. Rossi Alvarez, Nucl. Phys. News 3, 3 (1993).
- 9. M. Leino et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 99, 653 (1995).
- R.D. Page *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B **204**, 634 (2003).
- 11. I.H. Lazarus et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 48, 567 (2001).
- 12. P. Rahkila, to be published in Nucl. Instrum. Methods A.
- D. Radford, http://radware.phy.ornl.gov/main.html (2000).
- 14. G.D. Dracoulis et al., Phys. Rev. C 67, 051301 (2003).
- 15. J. Penninga *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **471**, 535 (1987).