



Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Psychiatry. 2011 June ; 72(6): 836–845. doi:10.4088/JCP.10m06057.

Problematic Internet Use and Health in Adolescents: Data from a High School Survey in Connecticut

Timothy C. Liu, MD^{1,2}, Rani A. Desai, PhD¹, Suchitra Krishnan-Sarin, PhD¹, Dana A. Cavallo, PhD¹, and Marc N. Potenza, MD, PhD^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine

²Yale Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine

Abstract

Objective—This study aims to explore the prevalence and health correlates of problematic internet use among high school students in the United States.

Method—A cross-sectional survey with a sample size of 3560 students was conducted among high schools in Connecticut, USA. Demographic data, characteristics of internet use, health measures and risk behaviors were assessed. Chi square and logistic regression analyses were used to study the relationship between problematic internet use and risk behaviors as well as related gender differences.

Results—When problematic internet use was diagnosed with criteria that address core features of impulse-control disorder (strong urge, growing tension and attempts to cut back), the overall prevalence was about 4% with no significant difference between genders. Problematic internet use was more common among Asian and Hispanic students. Even though boys spent significantly more time on the internet and more frequently missed important school or social activities as a result, girls more frequently self-reported measures of excessive use of the internet. After adjustment of socio-demographic factors, problematic internet use was found to associate significantly with substance use, depression and aggression, with largely similar patterns of associations between genders.

Conclusion—Problematic internet use may be present in about 4% of high school students in the United States. It may be associated with depression, substance use and aggressive behaviors. High school boys, though may have heavier internet use, may be less self-aware of the related problems.

Keywords

adolescence; aggression; depression; impulsivity; internet; prevalence

Corresponding Author: Timothy C. Liu, MD, Yale Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, 230 South Frontage Road, New Haven, CT 06520, Tel: 203-974-7356; Fax: 203-974-7366; timothy.liu@yale.edu.

Disclosure: All authors reported no conflict of interest in the content of this paper. Dr. Potenza has received financial support or compensation for the following: Dr. Potenza consults for and is an advisor to Boehringer Ingelheim; has financial interests in Somaxon; has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, Veteran's Administration, Mohegan Sun Casino, the National Center for Responsible Gaming and its affiliated Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders, and Forest Laboratories pharmaceuticals; has participated in surveys, mailings or telephone consultations related to drug addiction, impulse control disorders or other health topics; has consulted for law offices on issues related to addictions or impulse control disorders; has provided clinical care in the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services Problem Gambling Services Program; has performed grant reviews for the National Institutes of Health and other agencies; has guest-edited journal sections; has given academic lectures in grand rounds, CME events and other clinical or scientific venues; and has generated books or book chapters for publishers of mental health texts.

INTRODUCTION

Problematic internet use (PIU) can be broadly defined as uncontrolled use of the internet that leads to significant psychosocial and functional impairments, and this pattern of use is not better accounted for by a primary psychiatric disorder such as mania or the physiological effects of a substance.¹ It is a clinically recognizable behavioral syndrome albeit controversies exist about its validity as a discrete mental disorder.^{1,2} Recently it has been proposed that PIU, or alternatively termed “internet addiction”, be included as a diagnosable condition in the forthcoming fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-V).^{3,4}

Among diagnostic categories of the current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR),⁵ PIU has been most frequently likened to substance dependence and pathological gambling based on phenomenological similarities.^{1,6} However, direct evidence for the existence of physiological changes associated with tolerance or withdrawal in individuals with PIU which may qualify it as a condition of dependence is currently lacking.² The proposal to conceptualize PIU as an impulse-control disorder similar to pathological gambling is largely based on the clinical observation that patients often report their internet use as more impulsive and ego-syntonic rather than compulsive and ego-dystonic. Small case series have noted high comorbidity rates (38% and 50%) with other impulse-control disorders,^{7,8} and some empirical studies have found that adolescents with PIU might have higher impulsivity than controls on psychometric testing.^{9,10} Despite the absence of specific criteria for PIU in DSM-IV-TR,⁵ individuals with PIU have typically fulfilled criteria for a diagnosis of impulse-control disorder not otherwise specified.⁸

Given the popularity of the internet¹¹ and emergence of patients reporting distress associated with preoccupation with internet-related activities,⁶ a better understanding of this clinical phenomenon is important. Much of the existing research on PIU has been conducted in Asian countries such as Korea and Taiwan.^{3,12} There has only been one large-scale, offline epidemiological study done in the U.S. which estimated the point prevalence of PIU to be ranging from 0.3% to 0.7% depending on diagnostic thresholds.¹³ It employed a telephone survey methodology and the sample consisted of adults 18 years of age.¹³ To our knowledge, no large-scale epidemiological study of PIU has been conducted in high school students in the U.S., individuals who are likely to have a high prevalence of internet use,¹⁴ and may be at higher risk of PIU than the general population.¹⁵ Epidemiological studies of PIU in youths in other countries generally report higher prevalence rates than the abovementioned survey of adults,¹³ ranging from 1.4% to 2.0%.^{16–18} Certain target populations such as high school and college students may have much higher prevalence rates, up to 10% - 20%.^{15,19} However direct comparison of these studies is difficult due to differences in diagnostic criteria and methodologies.¹²

Reported co-morbidities of PIU include mood disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorder, impulse-control disorders, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and substance abuse.¹ Among these, depression appears to be the most commonly reported co-morbid condition, observed relatively consistently in case series and community surveys.^{7,8,15,16,20–21} Excessive internet use may lead to decreased communication with family members and depressed mood as shown in a longitudinal study.²² However, the nature of the relationship between PIU and mood symptomatology, as well as other clinically relevant disorders and behaviors, remains poorly understood,²³ particularly among U.S. adolescents.¹

To address this gap in knowledge, we conducted in ten Connecticut high schools a large cross-sectional survey of risk behaviors including PIU. Data from this survey were used in the present analysis to investigate the extent of PIU and its relationships with other health

measures among a U.S. sample of high school students. Previous research suggests important gender differences with regard to the prevalence of PIU,¹⁹ favorite internet activities²² and motivations to use.⁶ Based on these findings the current analysis was conducted in a gender-specific manner, and we hypothesized that (1) PIU would be more prevalent in males than in females; (2) males would spend more hours online than females; (3) PIU would be more significantly associated with other risk behaviors including substance use and aggressive behaviors in males; and, (3) PIU would be more significantly associated with depression in females.

METHOD

Study procedures and sampling

Methodology for the high school survey has been described previously.²⁴ Briefly, the study team sent invitation letters by mail to all public four-year and non-vocational or special education high schools in the state of Connecticut. These letters were followed by phone calls to all school principals. Schools that expressed an interest were contacted to begin the process of obtaining permission from School Boards and/or school system superintendents, if this was needed. In many cases, the process of obtaining permission required the presentation of a specific proposal to the School Board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the board.²⁴

After the initial round of letters was mailed, the response from schools was not yet sufficient to ensure that all regions of the state were sufficiently represented. Therefore, targeted contacts were made to schools that were in geographically underrepresented areas to ensure that the sample was representative of the state. The final survey contained schools from each geographical region of the state of Connecticut, and it contained schools from each of the three tiers of the state's district reference groups (DRGs). DRGs are groupings of schools based on the socioeconomic status of the families in the school district. Sampling from each of the three tiers of the DRGs was intended to create a more socio-economically representative sample. Although this was not a random sample of public high school students in Connecticut, the sample obtained in this study is similar in demographics to the sample of Connecticut residents enumerated in the 2000 Census ages 14–18.²⁴

Once permission was obtained from the necessary parties in each school, a passive consent procedure was followed. Letters were sent through the school to parents informing them about the study. Parents were instructed to call the main office of their child's high school to deny permission for their child's participation if they wanted their child to be excluded from the survey. If no message was received from a parent, parental permission was assumed. The passive consent procedure was approved by all participating schools and by the Institutional Review Board of the Yale University School of Medicine.²⁴

In most cases, the entire student body was targeted for administration of the survey. Some schools conducted an assembly where surveys were administered, while others had students complete the survey in every health or English class throughout the day. The school was visited on a single day by a number of research staff who explained the study, distributed the surveys, answered questions, and collected the surveys. Students were told that participation was voluntary and that they could refuse to complete the survey if they wished. Students were reminded to keep surveys anonymous by not writing their name or other identifying information anywhere on the survey. Data were double-entered from the paper surveys into an electronic database. Data cleaning and random spot checks of the completed surveys were performed to ensure the accuracy of data entry.²⁴

Measures

The survey consisted of 153 questions concerning demographic characteristics, a wide range of risk behaviors (e.g., gambling, substance use, aggression and stealing), health and functioning, and an impulsive sensation seeking scale. For the current analyses, questions assessing internet use, functioning (grade average, extra-curricular activities), depression, substance use (cigarette, marijuana, alcohol, caffeine and other drugs) and aggression were used to address the abovementioned hypotheses.

There were seven questions concerning internet use. Students were first asked to report how many hours they spend using the internet in a typical week (Question #1). If students acknowledged using the internet, six additional questions were asked: Question #2) Do you think you have a problem with excessive internet use? Question #3) Have you ever experienced an irresistible urge or uncontrollable need to use the internet? Question #4) Have you ever experienced a growing tension or anxiety that can only be relieved by using the internet? Question #5) Have you ever missed school, work, or an important social activity because you were using the internet? Question #6) Have you ever tried to cut back on your internet use? Question #7) Has a family member ever expressed concern about the amount of time you use the internet? PIU was defined as simultaneously endorsing questions #3, #4 and #6. These questions were modeled after those used to assess other impulse-control disorders in the Minnesota Impulsive Disorder Inventory (MIDI), a semi-structured clinical interview with excellent classification accuracy in adults and adolescents with impulse-control disorders.^{25,26} The remaining questions serve to provide additional measures related to features of impulse-control disorders.

Measures for the use of cigarettes, marijuana, alcohol and other drugs assessed lifetime use. Respondents were asked, “Have you ever smoked a cigarette?”; “Have you ever smoked marijuana?”; “Have you ever had a sip of alcohol?”, and “Have you ever used designer or other drugs, such as Ecstasy, GHB, Special K, or cocaine?” Before the question about lifetime use of other drugs, it was explained in the questionnaire that they could include a wide variety of drugs such as XTC, Meth and heroin. For the assessment of alcohol use, participants who endorsed having had a sip of alcohol were further asked if they had ever had a “full” drink of alcohol. For those responded “yes”, frequency of current alcohol use (during the past 30 days) was also assessed. Since the prevalence of lifetime caffeine use (including soda) was presumed to be high, the measure for caffeine use assessed amount of daily use rather than lifetime use. Depression was defined as endorsement of the question “During the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing some usual activities?” This screening question was designed to capture the majority of respondents with a history of major depression while minimizing respondent burden.

Data Analysis

The associations between PIU and demographic factors were evaluated in several steps. First, using Chi square analyses, the socio-demographic characteristics of the total sample and girls and boys separately were investigated with respect to PIU status. Chi square analyses were also performed to investigate the relationships between PIU and health and other risk behaviors. Second, logistic regression models were fit to assess the association between each health correlate and PIU status overall and for girls and boys, adjusting for demographic differences. Interaction terms between gender and health correlates determined whether the associations were significantly different in girls and boys.

RESULTS

Characteristics of internet use

Overall, about one third of respondents (28.51%) reported spending fifteen or more hours per week on the internet. A gender-related difference was observed in frequency of use ($p < 0.001$), with boys typically reporting spending more time using the internet than did girls. For example, 16.52% of boys as compared with 12.62% of girls reported spending more than 20 hours using the internet in a typical week (Table 1).

Individual measures of excessive engagement in the internet were endorsed frequently among respondents (Table 1). The least frequently endorsed item was missing activities due to internet use (7.26%) and the most frequently endorsed item was a family member expressing concern about their internet use (33.90%). Gender-related differences in the frequencies of reported items were observed for five of the six measures, with similar percentages of boys and girls acknowledging a growing tension that is relieved by internet use. Although one measure was more frequently acknowledged by boys (missing important school or social activities because of internet use), the majority (feeling an irresistible urge to use, family member expressing concern regarding extent of internet use, thinking that they had a problem and having tried to cut back) were more frequently acknowledged by girls.

PIU, as defined by simultaneous acknowledgement of having an irresistible urge to use the internet, a growing tension that is relieved by internet use, and trying to cut back on internet use, was acknowledged by 4.04% of the sample (144 out of 3560) and 3.54% (57 out of 1610) of boys and 4.46% (87 out of 1950) of girls. Overall, less than half (48.31%) of the respondents did not endorse any of the six internet use measures. Significantly more girls endorsed more number of internet use measures than boys, for example, 9.28% of girls endorsed any three items, while 6.58% of boys did.

Demographic characteristics

The majority of students, about 80%, were white. Over 70% of all the students lived with two parents. 9th graders were the largest group and 12th graders were the smallest group, constituting 30.35% and 15.33% of the total sample respectively (Table 2).

In the total sample, prevalence of PIU was higher among Asian students (7.86%) than non-Asian students (3.89%) and among Hispanic students as compared with non-Hispanic students (6.07% vs. 3.84%). These two associations were significant at $p < 0.05$ among boys but not among girls, among whom no relationship between race and PIU status was found (Table 2). In the total sample and in gender-specific analyses, no significant association was found between PIU status and grade level or family structure (Table 2).

Health and functioning measures

Among the total sample, PIU was associated at $p < 0.05$ with multiple health and functioning measures including lifetime smoking, lifetime marijuana use, lifetime other drug use, depression, serious fights and carrying a weapon (Table 3). All of these associations were significant at $p < 0.05$ among boys. Among girls, only depression and serious fights were associated at $p < 0.05$ with PIU (Table 3).

Among the entire sample, logistic regression analyses that were corrected for between-PIU-group sociodemographic differences identified elevated odds between PIU and multiple measures including alcohol use, tobacco smoking, marijuana use, other drug use, depression, getting into serious fights, and carrying a weapon (Table 4). Largely similar patterns of

associations were observed across gender groups. For example, depression and getting into serious fights were both strongly associated with PIU in girls and boys, with odds ratios ranging from three to seven (Table 4).

Models testing for differentially robust associations across gender groups identified two variables that were significant at $p < 0.05$. Interaction analysis showed that the relationships between health measures and PIU in boys and in girls were statistically different with regard to occasional smoking and other drug use. Both measures were associated with PIU in boys but not in girls (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge this study represents the first large-scale, offline survey of problematic internet use in high school students in the US. The analyses confirmed some of our *a priori* hypotheses. We estimated the prevalence of PIU among high school students in the US and demonstrated gender differences in the pattern of internet use. Our results also showed that PIU is associated with other risk behaviors including substance use (smoking, marijuana and other drugs) and aggressive behaviors (serious fights and carrying a weapon), as well as with depression. The data also showed important racial differences in internet use behaviors.

Prevalence

When defining problematic internet use as reporting: 1) that internet use interferes with relationships; 2) feeling preoccupied with internet use when offline; 3) having either tried unsuccessfully to cut down or quit; 4) staying online longer than intended, Aboujaoude et al. found a prevalence of 0.7% in adults.¹³ In a more recent study Bakken et al.²⁷ found a prevalence of internet addiction of 1.0% in the adult population in Norway, as defined by endorsing five or more of the eight questions in the Young Diagnostic Questionnaire (YDQ),⁶ which resembles the DSM-IV criteria for pathological gambling.²⁸ Our definition of PIU shares only the criterion of “attempt to cut down” with that of Aboujaoude et al.¹³ This criterion is also included in the YDQ,⁶ but not the other two of our criteria (“an irresistible urge to use” and “a growing tension only relieved by internet use”). Comparing our results with those of Aboujaoude et al.¹³ and Bakken et al.,²⁷ it appears that high school students may have a higher prevalence of PIU than adults, but differences in diagnostic criteria hinder accurate comparison. Our prevalence estimate of 4% is comparable to those reported in studies done in Asia in similar age groups, which ranged from 4.3% to 10.7%.^{29–31} Therefore, despite being less recognized in the US as compared to some Asian countries, PIU may be as commonly present among adolescents in the US. The apparent higher prevalence of PIU in US adolescents as compared to adults cannot be explained by changes in internet usage with age. Recent data showed that while 68% of 11 to 14 year-olds and 77% of 15 to 17 year-olds use the internet,¹⁴ internet usage among 18 to 42 year-olds is above 90%, and usage rate does not drop sharply until the age of 62.³² Therefore the role of other adolescence-specific propensities needs to be explored in future studies, including sensation-seeking and impulsivity which may increase with pubertal maturation and decrease with age thereafter.³³ The question then follows would be whether those who develop PIU in adolescence grow out of the problem as they mature, which needs to be clarified with longitudinal studies in the future.

The Young Diagnostic Questionnaire (YDQ) is one of the most commonly used sets of criteria in PIU research,⁶ though empirical data supporting its validity are limited. As mentioned, the criteria used in the current study were adopted from the Minnesota Impulsive Disorder Inventory (MIDI), which addresses core features of impulse-control disorders and showed excellent classification accuracy in adults and adolescents with impulse-control disorders,^{25,26} but has not been previously used to assess PIU, and currently we do not have

data on its internal consistency, reliability and validity. Given that most PIU patients fulfill current diagnostic criteria for impulse-control disorder not otherwise specified,⁸ the properties of these three criteria in identifying PIU warrant further study.

Characteristics of internet use and their gender differences

Our results showed that heavy internet use in this sample of high school students is common, with about 30% of students spending an average of two hours a day or more on the internet. According to reports from the Kaiser Family Foundation, young people have increased the amount of time they spend consuming media by an hour and seventeen minutes daily, from 6:21 to 7:38, from 2004 to 2009 – almost the amount of time most adults spend at work each day.³⁴ The increased engagement in newer media such as the internet has increased the total media use significantly, and has displaced other activities such as reading.³⁴ In addition to exposure to potentially harmful contents, increased screen time in adolescents has been associated with obesity and metabolic syndrome.^{35,36} In the current study, the high endorsement rates of individual measures of excessive internet use (averaged over 10%) may signify that a high percentage of students have had negative consequences from their use, much higher than the percentage fulfilling our criteria for PIU (4%). Moreover, “family expressing concern” was the most commonly endorsed measure (over 30%), which is consistent with previous findings that PIU is significantly associated with higher family conflicts and lower family functioning.^{37,38} It is important for families and clinicians to recognize that PIU is a significant source of parent-adolescent conflicts. Further research is needed to investigate how to effectively intervene PIU in a family-based manner.³⁷

Studies of college students and adults typically found that PIU is more common among males.^{19,29,39} We did not find a significant difference between the prevalences of the two sexes, which is consistent with epidemiological studies in other countries of a similar age range.^{17,18} However, boys and girls showed significant differences in their self-reported internet use behaviors. More girls than boys endorsed the subjective measures of PIU, including thinking that they have a problem and acknowledging an irresistible urge to use the internet. Also, more girls noted that their families have expressed concern about their use and that they have tried to cut back, despite the finding that boys spent more hours on the internet and might have more functional impairments as reflected by higher endorsement rates of missing other important school and social activities in boys. This pattern may reflect different rates of development between sexes, with high school girls being more mature than boys, and thus have more insights into their own behaviors and the consequences. Other variables should also be explored in future studies such as preferred internet activities and motivations to stay online for males and females. It has been suggested that women typically prefer to use the internet for communication and social reasons, whereas men are more likely to obtain information or play games.^{40,41} An understanding of the different reasons to use may also help us explain why in our sample substance use is associated with PIU in boys but not girls. For example, PIU in girls may predominantly be a way of coping for depression, while it represents excessive fun and sensation-seeking in boys.

Racial differences

Our data showed important racial differences, and Asian and Hispanic students were found to have higher prevalence rates of PIU than Caucasian students. As compared to the U.S., the diagnosis of PIU or internet addiction is more recognized in Asian countries such as Taiwan and Korea, and these governments have also spent more resources in its research and treatment.³ This could represent cultural differences in the perception and definition of disordered or deviant behaviors. On the other hand there could be real racial differences in the prevalence of PIU as suggested by the present data, which were solely collected in the

U.S. A study comparing college students in the U.S. and in China found that Chinese students had a higher prevalence and rated significantly higher on all five dimensions of internet addiction, namely negative outcome, social escape, secretive behavior, virtual intimacy and obsessive-compulsive behavior.⁴² Moreover, Asian Americans have long been stereotyped as having superior quantitative and technical skills, and are overrepresented in fields such as computer science and engineering.⁴³ Future research may consider differences among parents of different ethnic groups with regard to parenting attitudes, communication within the family and perception of internet use, all of which likely play an important role in adolescents' internet use behaviors.³⁰ Internet use among Hispanic high school students has not been studied specifically, and our finding that they have a comparatively higher prevalence of PIU is novel. It is unclear why these racial differences were only seen in male but not female students, which represents another important research question.

Health and functioning measures

Largely consistent with studies conducted in Asian countries, PIU is strongly associated with measures which assessed depression, aggressive behaviors and substance use in adolescents.^{15,21,29} The association between depression and PIU has been shown in adults,^{22,44} college students⁴⁵ and adolescents.^{21,29} Excessive use of the internet may lead to decreased communication with family and friends, social withdrawal, loneliness and depressed mood, as shown in a longitudinal study.²² Activities on the internet may also be a way of coping for certain depressed individuals, and PIU may represent a coping strategy going awry.³⁹ While both theories may be true and the relationship between PIU and depression may be bidirectional, recent studies have started to explore possible associations via common personality traits²⁰ and genetic polymorphisms.⁴⁶ As compared to normal controls, excessive internet users were shown to have higher frequencies of the homozygous short allelic variant of the serotonin reuptake transporter gene (SS-5HTTLPR), as well as higher levels of harm avoidance and depression.⁴⁶ Within group comparison also found that excessive users who expressed SS-5HTTLPR had higher levels of harm avoidance and problematic use of the internet than those who expressed the long allelic variant.⁴⁶ These findings give support to the hypothesis that the anonymity and secretiveness of the internet make it a preferred way of coping for those depressed and fearful.

Among all health measures in the present study, depression and aggressive behaviors are most strongly associated with PIU across genders. These results are consistent with a survey of adolescents in Taiwan which investigated a wide range of psychiatric symptoms in problematic internet users and substance users and found prominent depression and aggression in both groups.¹⁵ As compared to controls, both problematic internet users and substance users had higher rates of psychiatric symptoms ranging from depression, anxiety to paranoia and psychoticism. Only depression and hostility were found to be significantly associated with both PIU and substance use in logistic regression analysis after gender, age and school were controlled.¹⁵ Using the same data it was demonstrated that PIU and substance use experience in adolescents also shared common family characteristics including higher parent-adolescent conflicts, habitual alcohol use among siblings, perceived parents' positive attitude to adolescent substance use, and lower family function, as well as common personality traits of high novelty seeking and low reward dependence.⁴⁷ These similarities between PIU and substance use provide preliminary support to conceptualizing PIU within the spectrum of addiction disorders. The same personality traits may explain increased rates of other risk-taking behaviors such as participating in serious fights and carrying a weapon, as seen associated with PIU in the current data. Such associations also have important clinical significance. Most psychiatric disorders are not considered to be independent risk factors for violent behaviors except substance abuse and anti-social

personality.^{48,49} Future studies should examine whether PIU may be a risk factor for violent behaviors in adolescents.

The association between PIU and substance use (smoking, marijuana and other drugs) is consistent with findings from previous studies. It has been shown in an online survey⁵⁰ and a clinical case series⁸ that substance use disorders may be co-morbid in up to 60% of adult subjects with PIU. We report a strong association between PIU and substance use in high school students, which is seen in male students only in our study population. Different from findings in a sample of college students,⁵¹ measures of alcohol use were not associated with PIU in our study. This may represent difference in developmental stages, since certain risk behaviors, particularly alcohol use, have been reported to increase significantly during the transition from high school to college.⁵²

Similar to a study of Korean adolescents,²⁹ we did not find any relationship between PIU and grade average and participation in extra-curricular activities, which may be a result of 1) our thresholds for diagnosing PIU being too low, 2) our PIU category capturing students who in fact spent long hours on the internet doing academic work, and 3) grade average and participation in extra-curricular activities being inadequate measures for functioning and academic performance. Given that the internet has become an integral part of the lives of many high school students, who utilize it for both work and leisure, certain measures such as “time spent online” may not reflect a problematic pattern of use.⁵³ For example, a student spending 20 hours a week doing academic research on the internet is likely to have a different pattern of use and grade average than one who spends the same amount of time on multi-player online games. As such, the particular online activities and other behavioral features of use, e.g. feeling preoccupied and having difficulties discontinuing use, may have more relevance in measuring problematic patterns of use. In addition, data from collateral sources such as ratings by teachers and parents can be considered in future studies to increase accuracy in identifying problematic users.

The question of whether PIU should be listed as a distinct disorder in the upcoming DSM-5 is complex and under considerable discussion.^{1,2,3} The controversies and questions that make a conclusive decision difficult include: 1) Are subjects preoccupied with the contents (e.g. gambling, gaming) delivered by the internet or the process of being online itself? 2) Is PIU a coping strategy to unpleasant emotions (e.g. depression, social anxiety) gone awry; or is it a primary disorder? 3) How might listing PIU as a diagnosis impact our conceptualizations of other behavioral “addictions” such as problematic video game playing and cell phone use? 4) What are the social implications of labeling certain internet use behaviors as a psychiatric disorder? Considering the results of the current study, and the apparent consistency of case descriptions across cultures,³ data suggest that PIU warrants inclusion in Appendix B of the DSM - Criteria Sets and Axes Provided for Further Study, while clinicians can continue to use the diagnosis of impulse-control disorder not otherwise specified until more data are available.

Limitations

There are a number of limitations in the current study. First of all since it is a local sample in the state of Connecticut with about 80% of subjects being Caucasians, results may not be generalizable to the whole of United States. The cross-sectional design does not allow the demonstration of causal relationships. The inherent self-report bias might have led to under-reporting of behaviors including aggressive behaviors and substance use due to recall difficulties and social desirability concerns, as suggested by previous studies.⁵⁴ To encourage accurate reporting, we emphasized anonymity and reminded the students not to put their names on the survey. In spite of possible underestimation of the rates of aggressive and substance use behaviors, their association with PIU remained significant in our analysis,

suggesting that these correlations may even be stronger than the current results indicate. Given these preliminary findings, it would be important for future research to study subjects with PIU utilizing direct clinical assessment and standardized diagnostic scales to characterize the phenomenology of PIU and calculate actual rates of co-morbid DSM-defined psychiatric disorders, paying particular attention to depressive, substance use and impulse-control disorders.

With regard to the design of the survey, the original objective was to study a wide range of risk behaviors in high school students in Connecticut. Thus the questionnaire was relatively long to include questions for multiple behaviors of interest. To balance respondent burden and comprehensiveness of the survey, only seven questions pertaining to internet use were included, and these represented a small proportion of all the questions. Internet use in high school students warrants more focused study in the future, and additional studies should include questions addressing the extent, phenomenology, clinical characteristics (including associated co-morbidities) and other aspects of PIU.

Even though we the criteria for PIU used in the present study address the core features of impulse-control disorders, they have not been validated and data on their internal consistency or reliability are lacking. The Young Diagnostic Questionnaire (YDQ) is one of the most commonly used sets of criteria in PIU research.⁶ Although direct comparison of our results with prior studies that used the YDQ is difficult, our main findings appear to be consistent with previous studies (For example, Yen et al. 2007;²¹ Ko et al. 2008;⁴⁵ Yen et al. 2008;¹⁵ and Fu et al. 2010⁵⁵). The extent to which MIDI-type questions have clinical utility in PIU research requires further evaluation.

Given considerations of time constraints and subjects' burden for a large-scale study conducted in the classroom setting, a single question rather than full DSM-IV-TR criteria was used to define depression. Thus the variable "depression" should be regarded as suggestive, and not diagnostic of DSM-IV-TR-defined depressive disorders. Moreover, more detail measures will allow us to better delineate functional and academic impairments in problematic internet users.

CONCLUSION

This study represents an effort to begin understanding the phenomenon of problematic internet use among high school students in the U.S., and we have raised questions which warrant further research. Despite controversies about the validity of PIU as a separate disorder, we showed that it is a recognizable behavioral syndrome among U.S. high school students with prevalence comparable to those reported in other countries. Consistent with findings in other parts of the world, PIU is strongly associated with depression, substance use and aggressive behaviors among high school students in the U.S. Important racial and gender differences exist, and PIU may be more common among Asian and Hispanic students. Boys and girls, though have comparable prevalence rates, showed different internet use behaviors. Such differences suggested that they may well have different motivations to use the internet and thus develop PIU via different mechanisms, though the current study did not capture such data. We are still in need of valid and reliable research diagnostic criteria for PIU, as well as appropriate screening instruments to be used in epidemiological studies. The MIDI, which has excellent diagnostic accuracy in adults and adolescents with impulse-control disorders, may be a suitable model. Future research needs to take into consideration the roles of cultural background and gender, so that data generated would provide meaningful guides to parents, educators and clinicians.

Acknowledgments

Sources of Support

This study and the resulting publication were supported by NIH grants P50 DA016556 and RL1 AA017539, the State of Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services, and a Center of Excellence in Gambling Research Award from the National Center for Responsible Gaming and its affiliated Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Responsible Gaming or the Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders or any of the other funding agencies.

REFERENCES

1. Liu T, Potenza MN. Problematic internet use: clinical implications. *CNS Spectr*. 2007; 12(6):453–466. [PubMed: 17545956]
2. Pies R. Should DSM-V designate “internet addiction” a mental disorder? *Psychiatry*. 2009; 6(2):31–37. [PubMed: 19724746]
3. Block JJ. Issues for DSM-V: internet addiction. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008; 165(3):306–307. [PubMed: 18316427]
4. Hollander E, Kim S, Zohar J. OCSs in the forthcoming DSM-V. *CNS Spectr*. 2007; 12(5):320–323. [PubMed: 17585430]
5. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
6. Young KS. Internet addiction: the emergence of a new clinical disorder. *CyberPsychol Behav*. 1996; 1(3):237–244.
7. Black DW, Belsare G, Schlosser S. Clinical features, psychiatric comorbidity, and health-related quality of life in persons reporting compulsive computer use behavior. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1999; 60(12):839–844. [PubMed: 10665630]
8. Shapira NA, Goldsmith TD, Keck PE Jr, et al. Psychiatric features of individuals with problematic internet use. *J Affect Disord*. 2000; 57(1–3):267–272. [PubMed: 10708842]
9. Cao F, Su L, Liu T, et al. The relationship between impulsivity and internet addiction in a sample of Chinese adolescents. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2007; 22(7):466–471. [PubMed: 17765486]
10. Sun DL, Chen ZJ, Ma N, et al. Decision-making and prepotent response inhibition functions in excessive internet users. *CNS Spectr*. 2009; 14(2):75–81. [PubMed: 19238122]
11. Foehr UG, Rideout V, Generation M. *Media in the lives of 8–18 year-olds*: Kaiser Family Foundation. 2005
12. Byun S, Ruffini C, Mills J, et al. Internet addiction: metanalysis of 1996–2006 quantitative research. *CyberPsychol Behav*. 2009; 12(2):203–207. [PubMed: 19072075]
13. Aboujaoude E, Koran LM, Gamel N, et al. Potential markers for problematic internet use: a telephone survey of 2,513 adults. *CNS Spectr*. 2006; 11(10):750–755. [PubMed: 17008818]
14. DeBell, M.; Chapman, C. *Computer and internet use by children and adolescents in 2001*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; 2003.
15. Yen JY, Ko CH, Yen CF, et al. Psychiatric symptoms in adolescents with internet addiction: comparison with substance use. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2008; 62(1):9–16. [PubMed: 18289136]
16. Kim K, Ryu E, Chon MY, et al. Internet addiction in Korean adolescents and its relation to depression and suicidal ideation: a questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2006; 43(2):185–192. [PubMed: 16427966]
17. Kaltiala-Heino R, Lintonen T, Rimpel A. Internet addiction? Potentially problematic use of the internet in a population of 12–18 year-old adolescents. *Addict Res Theory*. 2004; 12(1):89–96.
18. Johansson A, Gotestam KG. Internet addiction: characteristics of a questionnaire and prevalence in Norwegian youth (12–18 years). *Scand J Psychol*. 2004; 45(3):223–229. [PubMed: 15182240]
19. Morahan-Martin J, Schumacher P. Incidence and correlates of pathological internet use among college students. *Comput Human Behav*. 2000; 16(1):13–29.
20. Ha JH, Kim SY, Bae SC, et al. Depression and internet addiction in adolescents. *Psychopathology*. 2007; 40(6):424–430. [PubMed: 17709972]

21. Yen JY, Ko CH, Yen CF, et al. The comorbid psychiatric symptoms of internet addiction: attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), depression, social phobia, and hostility. *J Adolesc Health*. 2007; 41(1):93. [PubMed: 17577539]
22. Kraut R, Patterson M, Lundmark V, et al. Internet paradox. A social technology that reduces social involvement and psychological well-being? *Am Psychol*. 1998; 53(9):1017–1031. [PubMed: 9841579]
23. Robert K, Sara K, Bonka B, et al. Internet paradox revisited. *J Soc Issues*. 2002; 58(1):49–74.
24. Schepis TS, Desai RA, Smith AE, et al. Impulsive sensation seeking, parental history of alcohol problems, and current alcohol and tobacco use in adolescents. *J Addict Med*. 2008; 2(4):185–193. [PubMed: 19956365]
25. Grant JE, Levine L, Kim D, et al. Impulse control disorders in adult psychiatric inpatients. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005; 162(11):2184–2188. [PubMed: 16263865]
26. Grant JE, Williams KA, Potenza MN. Impulse-control disorders in adolescent psychiatric inpatients: co-occurring disorders and sex differences. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007; 68(10):1584–1592. [PubMed: 17960976]
27. Bakken IJ, Wenzel HG, GÖTestam KG, et al. Internet addiction among Norwegian adults: a stratified probability sample study. *Scand J Psychol*. 2009; 50(2):121–127. [PubMed: 18826420]
28. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Fourth Edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
29. Jang KS, Hwang SY, Choi JY. Internet addiction and psychiatric symptoms among Korean adolescents. *J Sch Health*. 2008; 78(3):165–171. [PubMed: 18307612]
30. Park SK, Kim JY, Cho CB. Prevalence of internet addiction and correlations with family factors among South Korean adolescents. *Adolescence*. 2008; 43(172):895–909. [PubMed: 19149152]
31. Yang CK. Sociopsychiatric characteristics of adolescents who use computers to excess. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2001; 104(3):217–222. [PubMed: 11531659]
32. Estabrook L, Witt E, Rainie L. Information searches that solve problems: how people use the internet, libraries, and government agencies when they need help. *Pew Internet & American Life Project*. 2007
33. Steinberg L, Albert D, Cauffman E, et al. Age differences in sensation seeking and impulsivity as indexed by behavior and self-report: evidence for a dual systems model. *Dev Psychol*. 2008; 44(6):1764–1778. [PubMed: 18999337]
34. Rideout VJ, Foehr UG, Roberts DF. *Generation M2: media in the lives of 8- to 18-years olds*. Kaiser Family Foundation. 2010
35. Mark AE, Janssen I. Relationship between screen time and metabolic syndrome in adolescents. *J Public Health*. 2008; 30(2):153–160.
36. Boone J, Gordon-Larsen P, Adair L, et al. Screen time and physical activity during adolescence: longitudinal effects on obesity in young adulthood. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2007; 4(1):26–35. [PubMed: 17559668]
37. Yen J, Yen C, Chen C, et al. Family factors of internet addiction and substance use experience in Taiwanese adolescents. *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2007; 10(3):323–329. [PubMed: 17594255]
38. Yen CF, Ko CH, Yen JY, et al. Multi-dimensional discriminative factors for internet addiction among adolescents regarding gender and age. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2009; 63(3):357–364. [PubMed: 19566768]
39. Ceyhan AA. Predictors of problematic internet use on Turkish university students. *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2008; 11(3):363–366. [PubMed: 18537510]
40. Boneva B, Kraut R, Frohlich D. Using e-mail for personal relationships: the difference gender makes. *Am Behav Sci*. 2001; 45(3):530–549.
41. Ko CH, Yen JY, Chen CC, et al. Gender differences and related factors affecting online gaming addiction among Taiwanese adolescents. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2005; 193(4):273–277. [PubMed: 15805824]
42. Zhang L, Amos C, McDowell WC. A comparative study of internet addiction between the United States and China. *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2008; 11(6):727–729. [PubMed: 18991530]

43. Sanae T, Cecilia AC. Stereotypes, Asian Americans, and wages: an empirical strategy applied to computer use at work. *Am J Econ Sociol.* 2009; 68(2):427–443.
44. Young KS, Rogers RC. The relationship between depression and internet addiction. *Cyberpsychol Behav.* 1998; 1:25–28.
45. Ko CH, Yen JY, Chen CS, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity of internet addiction in college students: an interview study. *CNS Spectr.* 2008; 13(2):147–153. [PubMed: 18227746]
46. Lee YS, Han DH, Yang KC, et al. Depression like characteristics of 5HTTLPR polymorphism and temperament in excessive internet users. *J Affect Disord.* 2008; 109:165–169. [PubMed: 18045695]
47. Ko CH, Yen JY, Chen CC, et al. Tridimensional personality of adolescents with internet addiction and substance use experience. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2006; 51(14):887–894. [PubMed: 17249631]
48. Elbogen EB, Johnson SC. The intricate link between violence and mental disorder: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009; 66(2):152–161. [PubMed: 19188537]
49. Sacks S, Cleland CM, Melnick G, et al. Violent offenses associated with co-occurring substance use and mental health problems: evidence from CJDATS. *Behav Sci Law.* 2009; 27(1):51–69. [PubMed: 19156677]
50. Bai YM, Lin CC, Chen JY. Internet addiction disorder among clients of a virtual clinic. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2001; 52(10):1397. [PubMed: 11585966]
51. Yen JY, Ko CH, Yen CF, et al. The association between harmful alcohol use and internet addiction among college students: comparison of personality. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2009; 63(2):218–224. [PubMed: 19335391]
52. Fromme K, Corbin WR, Kruse MI. Behavioral risks during the transition from high school to college. *Dev Psychol.* 2008; 44(5):1497–1504. [PubMed: 18793080]
53. Liu, T.; Potenza, MN. Problematic internet use: clinical aspects. In: Aboujaoude, E.; Koran, LM., editors. *Impulse Control Disorders.* New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 167-181.
54. Johnson T, Fendrich M. Modeling sources of self-report bias in a survey of drug use epidemiology. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2005; 15(5):381–389. [PubMed: 15840552]
55. Fu KW, Chan WS, Wong PW, Yip PS. Internet addiction: prevalence, discriminant validity and correlates among adolescents in Hong Kong. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2010; 196(6):486–492. [PubMed: 20513862]

Table 1

Demographic characteristics of those who report problematic internet use

Variable	Total sample						Girls			Boys					
	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value
African-American	305	8.57	3.61	0.1652	0.6844	168	8.62	2.98	0.9515	0.3293	137	8.51	4.38	0.3088	0.5784
	3,255	91.43	4.09			1,782	91.38	4.60			1,473	91.49	3.46		
White	2,824	79.33	3.79	2.3063	0.1289	1,566	80.31	4.60	0.3459	0.5564	1,258	78.14	2.78	9.6853	0.0019
	736	20.67	5.03			384	19.69	3.91			352	21.86	6.25		
Asian	140	3.93	7.86	5.4566	0.0195	62	3.18	8.06	1.9502	0.1626	78	4.84	7.69	4.1378	0.0419
	3,420	96.07	3.89			1,888	96.82	4.34			1,532	95.16	3.33		
Other races	447	12.56	5.15	1.5950	0.2066	244	12.51	5.33	0.4911	0.4834	203	12.61	4.93	1.3062	0.2531
	3,113	87.44	3.89			1,706	87.49	4.34			1,407	87.39	3.34		
Hispanic	412	11.99	6.07	4.5903	0.0322	222	11.77	5.86	0.9710	0.3244	190	12.26	6.32	4.8456	0.0277
	3,024	88.01	3.84			1,664	88.23	4.39			1,360	87.74	3.16		
Grade	1,079	30.35	4.82	2.5382	0.4684	609	31.29	4.93	0.8044	0.8484	470	29.21	4.68	2.7162	0.4375
	988	27.79	3.85			540	27.75	4.26			448	27.84	3.35		
	943	26.53	3.50			509	26.16	3.93			434	26.97	3.00		
	545	15.33	3.85			288	14.80	4.86			257	15.97	2.72		
Family Structure	788	22.42	3.81	0.8323	0.6596	461	24.02	3.90	1.3107	0.5193	327	20.49	3.67	0.1050	0.9488
	2,578	73.34	4.11			1,377	71.76	4.72			1,201	75.25	3.41		
	149	4.24	2.68			81	4.22	2.47			68	4.26	2.94		

Table 2

Health/functioning measures' associations with problematic internet use

Variable	Total Sample					Girls					Boys				
	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value	Total N	Total %	% with 3 symptoms	Chi square	P value
Grade average	2,136	61.38	3.56	3.5113	0.1728	1,276	67.16	3.76	4.3269	0.1149	860	54.43	3.26	0.6993	0.7049
A's and B's	988	28.39	4.55			482	25.37	5.19			506	32.03	3.95		
C's	356	10.23	5.34			142	7.47	7.04			214	13.54	4.21		
D's and F's	2,726	76.57	4.26	1.3268	0.2494	1,517	77.79	4.88	2.7805	0.0954	1,209	75.09	3.47	0.0627	0.8022
Extra-curricular activities															
Yes	834	23.43	3.36			433	22.21	3.00			401	24.91	3.74		
No	2,185	63.02	3.16	15.7111	0.0004	1,171	61.31	4.01	5.4349	0.0660	1,014	65.13	2.17	14.9086	0.0006
Never	854	24.63	4.92			497	26.02	4.43			357	22.93	5.6		
Occasionally	428	12.34	7.01			242	12.67	7.44			186	11.95	6.45		
Regularly	1,293	38.61	5.41	10.4089	0.0013	695	37.55	5.61	3.6690	0.0554	598	39.92	5.18	7.9005	0.0049
Marijuana, lifetime															
Yes	2,056	61.39	3.16			1,156	62.45	3.72			900	60.08	2.44		
No	2,974	87.91	4.27	2.2965	0.1297	1,689	90.08	4.74	2.6183	0.1056	1,285	85.21	3.66	0.1480	0.7005
Sip of alcohol, lifetime															
Yes	409	12.09	2.69			186	9.92	2.15			223	14.79	3.14		
No	686	30.98	3.50	6.1413	0.1049	378	29.91	3.70	5.2974	0.1513	308	32.42	3.25	3.1772	0.3651
Current alcohol frequency															
Never regular	651	29.40	5.99			378	29.91	6.35			273	28.74	5.49		
Light	624	28.18	4.97			372	29.43	6.45			252	26.53	2.78		
Moderate	253	11.43	3.16			136	10.76	2.94			117	12.32	3.42		
Heavy	258	8.77	7.75	9.4965	0.0021	132	8.18	5.30	0.2375	0.6260	126	9.48	10.32	17.2331	<0.0001
Other drug use, lifetime															
Yes	2,684	91.23	3.76			1,481	91.82	4.39			1,203	90.52	2.99		
No	652	18.69	4.29	5.5907	0.0611	324	16.88	4.63	2.2362	0.3269	328	20.91	3.96	4.0525	0.1318
Caffeine use															
None	1,948	55.83	3.34			1,122	58.44	3.83			826	52.64	2.66		
1-2 drinks per day	889	25.48	5.17			474	24.69	5.49			415	26.45	4.82		
3+ drinks per day	731	21.34	8.76	57.9759	<0.0001	505	26.68	8.32	24.4440	<0.0001	226	14.75	9.73	35.1593	<0.0001
Sad or hopeless 2+ weeks															
Yes	2,694	78.66	2.60			1,388	73.32	3.03			1,306	85.25	2.14		
No	209	5.98	16.27	87.9318	<0.0001	82	4.26	17.07	32.5122	<0.0001	127	8.09	15.75	63.0313	<0.0001
Serious fights															
Yes	3,286	94.02	3.20			1,843	95.74	3.85			1,443	91.91	2.36		
No	629	17.95	5.88	7.3936	0.0065	153	7.94	5.23	0.2286	0.6325	476	30.16	6.09	15.6935	<0.0001
Carry a weapon															
Yes	2,876	82.05	3.55			1,774	92.06	4.40			1,102	69.84	2.18		
No															

Table 3

Adjusted odds ratios of problematic Internet use

Variable	Total Sample			Girls		Boys		Girls vs. Boys interaction	
	Odds ratio	P value	Odds ratio	P value	Odds ratio	P value	Odds ratio	P value	P value
Grade average	1.26	0.2414	1.47	0.1424	1.028	0.8246	0.2925		
(Reference: A's and B's)									
D's and F's	1.51	0.1267	2.02	0.0580	0.946	0.7413	0.3480		
Extracurricular activities	1.24	0.3283	1.66	0.1015	0.808	0.4974	0.1377		
Yes									
Smoking, lifetime	1.70	0.0095	1.17	0.5583	2.891	0.0012	0.0462		
(Reference: Never)									
Regularly	2.65	<0.0001	2.12	0.0122	3.541	0.0008	0.4314		
Yes									
Marijuana, lifetime	2.04	0.0001	1.69	0.0268	2.702	0.0010	0.3236		
Yes									
Sip of alcohol, lifetime	1.70	0.1002	2.24	0.1242	1.327	0.5040	0.2808		
Current alcohol frequency	1.98	0.0127	1.88	0.0698	2.132	0.0933	0.8655		
(Reference: Never regular)									
Moderate	1.74	0.0552	1.95	0.0570	1.230	0.6977	0.3938		
Heavy	1.12	0.7905	0.86	0.7916	1.613	0.4481	0.5110		
Other drug use, lifetime	2.30	0.0014	1.20	0.6551	4.140	<0.0001	0.0382		
Yes									
Caffeine use	0.75	0.2302	0.76	0.3760	0.717	0.3721	0.7789		
(Reference: None)									
1-2 drinks per day	1.20	0.4785	1.12	0.7451	1.361	0.4142	0.7560		
3+ drinks per day									
Sad or hopeless 2+ weeks	3.75	<0.0001	3.08	<0.0001	5.081	<0.0001	0.1479		
Yes									
Serious fights	5.50	<0.0001	5.04	<0.0001	6.857	<0.0001	0.3936		
Yes									
Carry a weapon	1.65	0.0131	1.22	0.6037	2.920	0.0002	0.0665		
Yes									

Table 4

Characteristics of internet use, among those who have used the internet

Characteristics	Level	Total Sample				Boys		Girls		Chi square	P value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Frequency of using the internet in a typical week	<7 hours	1,551	43.57	641	39.81	910	46.67	20.69	0.0001		
	7-14 hours	994	27.92	466	28.94	528	27.08				
	15-20 hours	503	14.13	237	14.72	266	13.64				
	20+ hours	512	14.38	266	16.52	246	12.62				
Ever tried to cut back?		960	26.97	321	19.94	639	32.77	73.7242	<0.0001		
Family expressed concern?		1,204	33.90	450	28.05	754	38.71	44.5414	<0.0001		
Missed activities to use?		258	7.26	144	8.97	114	5.85	12.7186	0.0004		
Do you think you have a problem?		373	10.50	143	8.90	230	11.81	7.9606	0.0048		
Experienced irresistible urge to use?		737	20.70	264	16.40	473	24.26	33.1784	<0.0001		
Experienced growing tension only relieved by using?		442	12.42	196	12.17	246	12.62	0.1581	0.691		
Total numbers of items endorsed	0	1,720	48.31	903	56.09	817	41.90	78.42	<0.0001		
	1	767	21.54	309	19.19	458	23.49				
	2	483	13.57	176	10.93	307	15.74				
	3	287	8.06	106	6.58	181	9.28				
	4	175	4.92	63	3.91	112	5.74				
	5	88	2.47	31	1.93	57	2.92				
	6	40	1.12	22	1.37	18	0.92				