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## Product of four Hadamard matrices

Abstract<br>We prove that if there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m, 4 n, 4 p$, and $4 q$ then there exists an Hadamard matrix of order 16 mnpq . This improves and extends the known result of Agayan that there exists a Hadamard matrix of order $8 m n$ if there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m$ and $4 n$.<br>\section*{Disciplines}<br>Physical Sciences and Mathematics<br>\section*{Publication Details}<br>R. Craigen, Jennifer Seberry and Xian-Mo Zhang, Product of four Hadamard matrices, Journal of Combinatorial Theory (Ser A), 59, (1992), 318-320.
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#### Abstract

We prove that if there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m, 4 n, 4 p$, and $4 q$ then there exists an Hadamard matrix of order 16 mnpq . This improves and extends the known result of Agayan that there exists a Hadamard matrix of order 8 mn if there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m$ and $4 n$. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.


A weighing matrix [3] of order $n$ with weight $k$, denoted $W=W(n, k)$, is a $(0, \pm 1)$ matrix satisfying $W W^{\mathrm{T}}=k I_{n}$. A $W(n, n)$ is an Hadamard matrix.

Two matrices $X$ and $Y$ are said to be amicable if $X Y^{t}=Y X^{t}$. They are disjoint if $X \cap Y=0$ (here, $\cap$ denotes the Hadamard, or entry-wise, product of matrices).

Lemma 1. If there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m$ and $4 n$ then there exist two $( \pm 1)$ matrices, $S$ and $R$ of order $4 m n$, satisfying
(i) $S S^{\mathrm{T}}+R R^{\mathrm{T}}=8 m n I_{4 m n}$,
(ii) $\quad S R^{\mathrm{T}}=R S^{\mathrm{T}}=0$.

Proof. We write

$$
H=\left(\frac{\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}}}{\frac{H_{3}}{H_{4}}}\right), \quad K=\left(\frac{\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}}{\frac{K_{3}}{K_{4}}}\right)
$$

where $H$ and $K$ are the given Hadamard matrices, each $H_{i}$ being of size $m \times 4 m$ and each $K_{i}$ being of size $n \times 4 n, i=1,2,3,4$. Now take

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)^{T} \times K_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1}-H_{2}\right)^{T} \times K_{2}, \\
S & =\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{3}+H_{4}\right)^{T} \times K_{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{3}-H_{4}\right)^{T} \times K_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly both $R$ and $S$ are square $\pm 1$ matrices, and $R R^{T}+S S^{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1}^{T} H_{1}+\right.$ $\left.H_{2}^{T} H_{2}+H_{3}^{T} H_{3}+H_{4}^{T} H_{4}\right) \times 4 n I$. Since $\left(H_{1}^{T} H_{1}+H_{2}^{T} H_{2}+H_{3}^{T} H_{3}+H_{4}^{T} H_{4}\right)=$ $H^{T} H$, we have $R R^{T}+S S^{T}=8 m n I_{4 m n}$. Since $K_{i} K_{j}^{T}=0$ for $i \neq j, R$ and $S$ are as claimed.

This is Theorem 3 in [2], where $S$ and $R$ of are called an orthogonal pair.
Lemma 2. If there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m$ and $4 n$ then there exist two disjoint, amicable $W(4 m n, 2 m n)$.

Proof. Let $R$ and $S$ be the matrices constructed in Lemma 1. Let $X=\frac{1}{2}(R+S)$ and $Y=\frac{1}{2}(R-S)$. We calculate

$$
X X^{T}=Y Y^{T}=\frac{1}{4}\left(R R^{T}+S S^{T}\right)=2 m n I_{4 m n}
$$

$X$ and $Y$ are disjoint since $R$ and $S$ are $\pm 1$ matrices. Therefore, $X$ and $Y$ are the desired weighing matrices.

This is Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 of [4], where it was obtained using $M$-structures. It may also be deduced from Theorem 3 and 7 of [2], which follows our method. The fact that the matrices are amicable is not needed for the theorem which follows. The two lemmas are clearly equivalent, for we may also write $S=X+Y, R=X-Y$.

Theorem 1. If there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m, 4 n, 4 p, 4 q$ then there exists an Hadamard matrix of order 16 mnpq .

Proof. By Lemma 2, there exist two disjoint $W(4 m n, 2 m n), X$ and $Y$. By Lemma 1, there exist two ( $\pm 1$ ) matrices $S$ and $R$ of order $4 p q$ satisfying (i) and (ii).

Let $H=X \times S+Y \times R$. Then $H$ is a ( $\pm 1$ ) matrix and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H H^{\mathrm{T}} & =X X^{\mathrm{T}} \times S S^{\mathrm{T}}+Y Y^{\mathrm{T}} \times R R^{\mathrm{T}}=2 m n I_{4 m n} \times\left(S S^{\mathrm{T}}+R R^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
& =2 m n I_{4 m n} \times 8 p q I_{4 p q}=16 m n p q I_{16 m n p q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $H$ is the required Hadamard matrix.
Theorem 1 gives an improvement and extension for the result of Agayan [1] that if there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 m$ and $4 n$ then
there exists an Hadamard matrix of order $8 m n$. Using the result of Agayan repeatedly on four Hadamard matrices of order $4 m, 4 n, 4 p, 4 q$, gives an Hadamard matrix of order 32 mnpq .
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