

Prognostic factors in patients admitted to an urban teaching hospital with COVID-19 infection

Donogh Maguire (Donogh.Maguire@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow School of Medicine Dentistry and Nursing https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0317-4079

Marylynne Woods

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Conor Richards

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Ross Dolan

Academic Unit of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, New Lister Building, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, G31 2ER, UK

Jesse Wilson Veitch

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Wei MJ Sim

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Olivia EH Kemmett

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

David C Milton

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Sophie LW Randall

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Ly D Bui

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Nicola Goldmann

University of Glasgow, School of Medicine Veterinary and Life Sciences, Wolfson Medical School Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, U.K

Allan Cameron

Department of Acute Medicine, Glasgow Royal infirmary, G4 0SF, U.K

Barry Laird

Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK. St Columba's Hospice, 15 Boswall Rd, Edinburgh, EH5 3RW, UK

Dinesh Talwar

The Scottish Trace Element and Micronutrient Reference Laboratory, Department of Biochemistry, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, G31 2ER, UK

lan Godber

Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Govan, Glasgow, G51 4TF, UK

Alan Davidson

Emergency Medicine Department, Glasgow Royal infirmary, G4 0SF, U.K

Donald C McMillan

Academic Unit of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, New Lister Building, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, G31 2ER, UK

Research

Keywords: COVID-19, Systemic inflammatory response (SIRS), C-reactive protein (CRP), Albumin, Peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS), Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR), 30-day mortality, Host inflammatory response

Posted Date: September 10th, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-38340/v2

License:
() This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on September 15th, 2020. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02524-4.

Abstract

Background

Severe COVID-19 infection results in a systemic inflammatory response (SIRS). This SIRS response shares similarities to the changes observed during the peri-operative period that are recognised to be associated with the development of multiple organ failure.

Methods

Electronic patient records for patients who were admitted to an urban teaching hospital during the initial 7week period of the COVID-19 pandemic in Glasgow, U.K. (17th March 2020 - 1st May 2020) were examined for routine clinical, laboratory and clinical outcome data. Age, sex, BMI and documented evidence of COVID-19 infection at time of discharge or death certification were considered minimal criteria for inclusion.

Results

Of the 224 patients who fulfilled the criteria for inclusion, 52 (23%) had died at 30-days following admission. COVID-19 related respiratory failure (75%) and multiorgan failure (12%) were the commonest causes of death recorded. Age \geq 70 years (p<0.001), past medical history of cognitive impairment (p \leq 0.001), previous delirium (p<0.001), clinical frailty score>3 (p<0.001), hypertension (p<0.05), heart failure (p<0.01), national early warning score (NEWS) >4 (p<0.01), positive CXR (p<0.01), and subsequent positive COVID-19 swab (p \leq 0.001) were associated with 30-day mortality. CRP>80 mg/L (p<0.05), albumin <35g/L (p<0.05), peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS) (p<0.05), lymphocytes <1.5 10⁹/l (p<0.05), neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (p \leq 0.001), haematocrit (<0.40 L/L (male) / <0.37 L/L (female)) (p \leq 0.01), urea>7.5 mmol/L (p<0.001), creatinine >130 mmol/L (p<0.05) and elevated urea: albumin ratio (<0.001) were also associated with 30-day mortality.

On multivariate analysis, age \geq 70 years (O.R. 3.9, 95% C.I. 1.4 – 8.2, p<0.001), past medical history of heart failure (O.R. 3.3, 95% C.I. 1.2 – 19.3, p<0.05), NEWS >4 (O.R. 2.4, 95% C.I. 1.1 – 4.4, p<0.05), positive initial CXR (O.R. 0.4, 95% C.I. 0.2-0.9, p<0.05) and poGPS (O.R. 2.3, 95% C.I. 1.1 – 4.4, p<0.05) remained independently associated with 30-day mortality.

Among those patients who tested PCR COVID-19 positive (n=122), age \geq 70 years (O.R. 4.7, 95% C.I. 2.0 - 11.3, p<0.001), past medical history of heart failure (O.R. 4.4, 95% C.I. 1.2 - 20.5, p<0.05) and poGPS (O.R. 2.4, 95% C.I. 1.1 - 5.1, p<0.05) remained independently associated with 30-days mortality.

Conclusion

Age \geq 70 years and severe systemic inflammation as measured by the peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score are independently associated with 30-day mortality among patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 infection.

Background

As of 27th May 2020, approximately 5.7 million people worldwide are known to have been infected with COVID-19 coronavirus and more than 350,000 have died (1). The severity of this viral disease for an individual is associated with a widespread perturbation of immune, physiological and metabolic parameters (2, 3). These whole body changes could be considered characteristic of a systemic inflammatory response to tissue injury and it has been long recognised that a large and ongoing systemic inflammatory response is associated with the development of multiple organ failure and infective disease (4, 5).

One of the cardinal signs of severe COVID-19 infection is a marked systemic inflammatory response (2). This response bears striking similarity to the systemic inflammatory response experienced by patients undergoing major elective surgical resections for cancer (6, 7). Indeed, the systemic inflammatory response and the associated metabolic stress has been most well characterised in major elective surgery, where the relationship between the magnitude of the post-operative systemic inflammatory response and the development of post-operative complications is now well recognised, as is the effect of patient comorbidity on this relationship (8, 9). Such work has informed therapeutic manoeuvres including minimally invasive surgery, pre-operative optimisation (e.g. anaesthesia, nutrition and steroids) and enhanced recovery protocols.

The aim of the present study was to examine whether routinely collected clinicopathological characteristics of patients with COVID-19 on admission were informative on the immune and metabolic stress experienced by patients with COVID-19 and whether such characteristics were informative on subsequent outcome.

Patients And Methods

Electronic patient records for patients who attended the Emergency Department (ED) and Acute Assessment Unit (AAU) at Glasgow Royal Infirmary (GRI), Glasgow, U.K., during the initial 7-week period of the COVID-19 pandemic in Glasgow city (17th March 2020 - 1st May 2020) were examined for routine clinical, laboratory and clinical outcome data. GRI is a university teaching hospital that serves an urban population with a high burden of socio-economic deprivation and offers the full spectrum of adult acute receiving specialties to patients over 16 years old. In line with NHS policy, this study was approved by the NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Caldicott guardian. The study protocol (GN20AE307) was approved by the North West England – Preston research ethics committee (20/NW/0336) and registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04484545).

Patients displaying clinical signs or reporting symptoms consistent with possible COVID-19 infection (as defined by Health Protection Scotland) (10) at the time of presentation to ED and AAU were assessed for inclusion in the study. Patients who were reported by a board certified radiologist to have radiological changes characteristic of COVID-19 infection reported on chest X-ray (CXR) or CT thorax, were assessed for inclusion in the study. Patients who were admitted with other conditions and tested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) positive following admission were also included in the analysis. SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing was performed on all patients included in the sample, however only 122 of the 264 patients who satisfied HPS criteria for clinical diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 and were admitted to hospital, subsequently had the diagnosis confirmed with positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test. Age, sex, BMI and documented (clinical, radiological or PCR) evidence of COVID-19 infection at time of discharge or death certification were considered minimal criteria for inclusion.

As per routine clinical practice in the Emergency Department and Acute Assessment Area at GRI, patients were scored on the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) at presentation to triage. NEWS is a validated score of severity of physiological derangement that allocates a score (0-3) to six clinical parameters (pulse rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, oxygen saturations, requirement for supplemental oxygen and level of responsiveness (alert (A), responding to verbal (V), painful (P) stimuli and unresponsive (U) AVPU scale)) (11). NEWS determines the triage category and level of immediate treatment that is required at the time of presentation, and the interval to re-administering the NEWS scoring tool according to the score achieved (i.e. the severity of physiological derangement). NEWS >4 and >7 are considered to indicate moderately severe and severe physiological derangement respectively.

Age was grouped as less than 40 years, 40-49 years, 50-59 years, 60-69 years, 70-79 years and 80 years and older. Age categories were further simplified to </≥ 70 years (see Tables 2-4). Social deprivation was defined by the Scottish Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2019 based on individual home postcode. Ethnicity was classified as White, Mixed, Asian, Black, or other ethnic group. Frailty was assessed using the Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) (12, 13).

Admission serum C-reactive protein (CRP), albumin and differential blood cell counts were categorised using local reference intervals. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and the peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS) were calculated as outlined in Tables 1(a-b) (6, 14, 15). The neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a validated prognostic scoring system that has been used in a variety of clinical settings. It utilises two components of the differential white cell count that are routinely measured in patients admitted to the general hospital setting. However, studies utilising the NLR in sepsis and peri-operative prognostic scores have used a variety of thresholds, making inter-study extrapolation of results difficult. For this study, thresholds of NLR \leq 3, >3 - <5 and \geq 5 have been chosen, indicating mild, moderate and severe systemic inflammatory response respectively (16).

Statistical Analysis

Autobiographical data, clinicopathological data and haematological/biochemical results were presented as categorical variables. Categorical variables were analysed using χ^2 test for linear-by-linear association, or χ^2 test for 2-by-2 tables.

Associations between autobiographical data, clinicopathological characteristics, haematological/biochemical results and survival were analysed using univariate and a multivariate backward conditional approach. A *p* < 0.05 was applied to inclusion at each step in the multivariate analysis.

A convenience sampling strategy was adopted based on the patients admitted during the study period; therefore a formal sample size calculation was not performed. Missing data were excluded from analysis on a variable-by-variable basis. Two-tailed *p* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 25.0. (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Of the 359 patients who attended Glasgow Royal Infirmary and satisfied HPS criteria for categorising as a possible COVID -19 related presentation, 241 patients fulfilled the criteria for inclusion with age, sex, BMI and documented evidence of COVID-19 infection at discharge or death certification. Seventeen patients were readmitted and these were excluded from the analysis at second admission leaving 224 patients to be included in the analysis. The clinicopathological characteristics at presentation are shown in Table 2. The majority of patients were \geq 70 years old (88%), male (55%), were not obese (57%) and were socioeconomically deprived (SE groups 1 and 2, 64%). The majority of patients were living independently in their own home (85%) and of white Scottish (93%) ethnicity. The majority of patients did not have comorbid disease including hypertension (60%), heart failure (90%) or type 2 diabetes (77%) and were not frail (54%). The median BMI was 29.0 kg/m², with 33% of individuals having a BMI of less than 26 kg/m², and 25% exceeding a BMI of 35.0 kg/m².

The median temperature of patients was 37.0° C (IQR $36.3 - 38.0^{\circ}$ C). The majority of patients had a temperature $<37.5^{\circ}$ C (65%) and 14% of patients had a temperature $<36^{\circ}$ C. On admission the majority of patients had a severe physiological derangement (NEWS score > 4) (60%) and had radiological changes characteristic of COVID-19 infection reported on chest X-ray (59%).

Of the laboratory analysis at presentation, the majority of patients had evidence of a systemic inflammatory response as evidenced by an elevated CRP>80 mg/L (51%) and NLR (80%). The majority of patients had bilirubin (88%), alkaline phosphatase (91%), AST (54%), ALT (79%), glucose (53%), urea (67%), creatinine (90%), sodium (86%), potassium (80%), MCV (84%) and platelets (80%) within the laboratory reference range.

At 30-days following admission, 52 patients had died and the mortality rate was 23%. COVID-19 related respiratory failure (75%) and multiorgan failure (12%) were the commonest causes of death recorded. The relationship between 30-day mortality and clinicopathological characteristics are shown in Table 2. Death following admission for COVID-19 was associated with age \geq 70 years (p<0.001), past medical history of cognitive impairment (p<0.001), previous delirium (p<0.001), clinical frailty score>3 (p<0.001), hypertension (p<0.05), heart failure (p<0.01), NEWS >4 (p<0.01), positive CXR (p<0.01), and subsequent positive COVID-19 swab (p<0.001). Death was also associated with CRP>80 mg/L (p<0.05), albumin <35g/L (p<0.05), poGPS (p<0.05), lymphocytes <1.5 10^{9} /I (p<0.05), neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (p<0.001), haematocrit (<0.40 L/L (male) / <0.37 L/L (female)) (p<0.01), urea >7.5 mmol/L (p<0.001), creatinine >130 mmol/L (p<0.05) and elevated urea: albumin ratio (<0.001).

To determine which admission parameters were independently associated with death within 30 days, binary logistic regression analysis was carried out (see Table 3). On analysis, age \geq 70 years (O.R. 3.9, 95% C.I. 1.4 – 8.2, p<0.001), past medical history of heart failure (O.R. 3.3, 95% C.I. 1.2 – 19.3, p<0.05), NEWS >4 at presentation (O.R. 2.4, 95% C.I. 1.1 – 4.4, p<0.05), positive initial CXR (O.R. 0.4, 95% C.I. 0.2-0.9, p<0.05) and poGPS (O.R. 2.3, 95% C.I. 1.1 – 4.4, p<0.05) remained independently associated with death.

Among those patients who tested PCR COVID-19 positive (n=122), age \geq 70 years (O.R. 4.7, 95% C.I. 2.0 - 11.3, p<0.001), past medical history of heart failure (O.R. 4.4, 95% C.I. 1.2 - 20.5, p<0.05) and poGPS (O.R. 2.4, 95% C.I. 1.1 - 5.1, p<0.05) remained independently associated with 30-days mortality (see Table 4).

Discussion

The results of the present study show that, on admission and using routine clinical measures, there was a wide-ranging perturbance of clinicopathological parameters in patients with COVID-19. In particular, heart failure and activation of the systemic inflammatory response were independently associated with death at 30 days. Therefore, it would appear that, in addition to the current anti-viral therapeutic targets, the host systemic inflammatory response may be a legitimate therapeutic target in patients presenting to Emergency Departments with COVID-19.

The results of the present study are consistent with a number of other small studies (17-19) from Asia that have reported the usefulness of markers of the systemic inflammatory response to risk stratify patients with COVID-19. In particular, the NLR has been previously reported to have prognostic value in these patients (14, 20, 21). For example, Liu *et al* reported in a prospective validation study that NLR was a predictor of critical illness in 61 patients with PCR confirmed COVID-19 infection (21). Similarly, Yang *et al* reported in a randomly selected cohort of 69 non-severe and 24 severe cases that NLR>3.3 was independently associated with short-term mortality (HR 2.46; 95% CI 1.98 – 4.57; p<0.05). Lagunas-Rangel reported a meta-analysis of NLR and lymphocyte-CRP ratio that included 5 studies from mainland China, comprising 828 patients, which concluded that increased NLR and low lymphocyte-CRP ratios, reflecting an enhanced inflammatory process, may suggest a poor prognosis (22). The present study, compared with these previous studies, has greater detail in the admission clinicopathological characteristics, thereby reducing the potential confounding of unmeasured factors. Moreover, it uses a standardised measure of the systemic inflammatory response (developed to assess the magnitude of the post-operative systemic inflammatory response) - the poGPS (6). This study is also among the first to provide data from a U.K. population.

Data from the present study are also consistent with recent reports of an inverse relationship between age and BMI among patients with COVID-19 who were admitted to the intensive care setting (see Figure 1) (23). However, contrary to recent reports (24, 25), no significant association was found between BMI and mortality in the present study. Interestingly, a BMI of 40kg/m² or higher was identified by the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention in the USA as a factor that may increase a person's vulnerability to severe COVID-19 infection (26). Nonetheless, despite the association between a BMI in the obese range and established risk factors for severe COVID-19 infection, such as type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease (25), data from the present study do not support an association with an increase in COVID-19 related mortality. The reasons for this are not clear. However, the present sample size may not have been large enough to detect the effect of obesity. Nevertheless, obesity compared with other physiological and inflammatory parameters is likely to have a smaller effect and may not provide a useful therapeutic target.

The post-operative systemic inflammatory response and the subsequent metabolic stress has been the subject of continuing interest over the last 40 years. In particular, there are well-developed therapeutic strategies to moderate the systemic inflammatory response. These include minimising surgical trauma and psychological distress; the use of anti-inflammatory agents (steroids) and antibiotics; fluid optimisation; optimal glucose control and nutritional support, to form an enhanced recovery strategy (7). If the present results are confirmed, then it may be that using this knowledge to moderate the systemic inflammatory response associated with COVID-19 may reduce mortality. Indeed, the role of dexamethasone is endorsed by the recent positive report from the RECOVERY trial that showed a significant survival benefit at 28-days among patients who required either invasive mechanical ventilation or oxygen alone at randomization but not among

those receiving no respiratory support (27). Furthermore, there is emerging evidence of the importance of proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor (TNF-alpha) as predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19 (28) and that IL-6 blockade appears to be beneficial (29). Biran *et al* have recently reported in a retrospective multi-centre observational study of 764 patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection requiring ICU support that treatment with a recombinant monoclonal antibody against the interleukin (IL)-6 receptor, Tocilizumab[®], was associated with a 25% reduction in hospital-related mortality (29). Randomised trials are required to confirm these results.

Despite the massive surge in COVID-19 related deaths, a relatively small proportion of the overall number infected have become unwell (1). However, among those who do become unwell, clinical deterioration due to cytokine storm can occur with alarming rapidity, and mortality is high (18, 30). The present results are consistent with these results and importantly offer a means of routine clinical assessment of an on-going systemic inflammatory response and its treatment since pro-inflammatory cytokine measurements are not routinely available from clinical laboratories.

Numerous randomised controlled trails of anti-viral agents are ongoing based on the premise that treating viral infection may benefit patients by reducing viral load and aiding recovery. To date, none of these studies have reported a significant mortality benefit. Therefore, in the absence of such direct intervention it may be important to minimise the systemic inflammatory response and support host metabolism in line with optimal peri-operative care. This strategy has the advantage of being part of routine clinical care and may complement more aggressive anti-viral strategies.

The present study has a number of limitations. There was a relatively small sample size and therefore subject to limitations such as sample bias. In addition, the ethnic background of the patients within this study was not as diverse as in other population centres globally. Furthermore, the level of deprivation was relatively high compared to other population centres in the UK. However, this study was based on routine clinical measurements and therefore readily subject to confirmation. Other less commonly utilised measurements, such as LDH and D-dimer have also been reported to have prognostic value in patients with COVID-19 infection (2). However, these are recognised to be elevated as part of the systemic inflammatory response and may reflect the same process. Therefore, further work is required to rationalise the use of markers of the systemic inflammatory response in patients with COVID-19.

Conclusion

Old age and severe systemic inflammation, as evidenced by the post-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS), were independently associated with 30-day mortality in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 infection.

Declarations

 Ethics approval and consent to participate: This study was approved by the NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Caldicott guardian. The study protocol (GN20AE307) was approved by the North West England – Preston research ethics committee (20/NW/0336) and registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04484545). Patient consent to participate was waived.

- Consent for publication: All authors have consented to publication and are guarantors of the manuscript and data presented.
- Availability of data and materials: Anonymized data will be made available on request to the corresponding author.
- Competing interests: None of the authors have any conflict of interest to declare.
- Funding: DT is funded by the Scottish Trace Elements and Micronutrients Diagnostic and Reference Laboratory. DMcM is funded by the University of Glasgow.
- Authors' contributions: DM, DCMM, RD, DT and BL conceived the idea for the study. DM, DCMM, RD, DT, IG, AD and BL contributed to the study design. MW, CR, JWV, WMS, OEK, DCM, SLR, LDB and NG performed manual data extraction from the electronic patient records. AC performed post-code analysis and deprivation scoring.
- Acknowledgements: The research team wish to acknowledge the assistance of Mrs. Jill Dempster (Project Management Unit, Research and Development Department, Greater Glasgow and Clyde) for her expertise and dedication in relation to this work.

Abbreviations

Novel corona virus - 19 (COVID-19)

systemic inflammatory response (SIRS)

national early warning score (NEWS)

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS)

neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR)

serum C-reactive protein (CRP)

Glasgow Royal Infirmary (GRI)

Emergency Department (ED)

Acute Assessment Unit (AAU)

chest X-ray (CXR)

Body mass index (BMI)

Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS)

Alert (A), responding to verbal (V), painful (P) stimuli and unresponsive (U) (AVPU scale)

```
Scottish Indices of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)
```

```
Chi-squared test (\chi^2 test)
```

References

- 1. 2020. WC-cpM. [Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/.
- Zhang ZL, Hou YL, Li DT, Li FZ. Laboratory findings of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2020:1-7.
- 3. Tian W, Jiang W, Yao J, Nicholson CJ, Li RH, Sigurslid HH, et al. Predictors of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2020.
- 4. Hotamisligil GS. Foundations of Immunometabolism and Implications for Metabolic Health and Disease. Immunity. 2017;47(3):406-20.
- 5. Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. The New England journal of medicine. 1999;340(6):448-54.
- Watt DG, McSorley ST, Park JH, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. A Postoperative Systemic Inflammation Score Predicts Short- and Long-Term Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2017;24(4):1100-9.
- Watt DG, McSorley ST, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: Which Components, If Any, Impact on The Systemic Inflammatory Response Following Colorectal Surgery?: A Systematic Review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(36):e1286.
- 8. Lobo DN, Gianotti L, Adiamah A, Barazzoni R, Deutz NEP, Dhatariya K, et al. Perioperative nutrition: Recommendations from the ESPEN expert group. Clin Nutr. 2020.
- 9. Onwochei DN, Fabes J, Walker D, Kumar G, Moonesinghe SR. Critical care after major surgery: a systematic review of risk factors for unplanned admission. Anaesthesia. 2020;75 Suppl 1:e62-e74.
- 10. Scotland HHP.
- 11. Keep JW, Messmer AS, Sladden R, Burrell N, Pinate R, Tunnicliff M, et al. National early warning score at Emergency Department triage may allow earlier identification of patients with severe sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective observational study. Emerg Med J. 2016;33(1):37-41.
- 12. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ. 2005;173(5):489-95.
- 13. Wallis SJ, Wall J, Biram RW, Romero-Ortuno R. Association of the clinical frailty scale with hospital outcomes. QJM. 2015;108(12):943-9.
- 14. Yang AP, Liu JP, Tao WQ, Li HM. The diagnostic and predictive role of NLR, d-NLR and PLR in COVID-19 patients. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020;84:106504.
- 15. Dolan RD, Laird BJA, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The prognostic value of the systemic inflammatory response in randomised clinical trials in cancer: A systematic review. Critical Reviews in Oncology / Hematology. 2018;132:130-7.

- 16. Dolan RD, McSorley ST, Park JH, Watt DG, Roxburgh CS, Horgan PG, et al. The prognostic value of systemic inflammation in patients undergoing surgery for colon cancer: comparison of composite ratios and cumulative scores. Br J Cancer. 2018;119(1):40-51.
- 17. Zhu W, Xie K, Lu H, Xu L, Zhou S, Fang S. Initial clinical features of suspected coronavirus disease 2019 in two emergency departments outside of Hubei, China. J Med Virol. 2020.
- 18. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. BMJ. 2020;368:m1091.
- 19. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet. 2020.
- 20. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020.
- 21. Liu J, Liu Y, Xiang P, Pu L, Xiong H, Li C, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts critical illness patients with 2019 coronavirus disease in the early stage. J Transl Med. 2020;18(1):206.
- 22. Lagunas-Rangel FA. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte-to-C-reactive protein ratio in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2020.
- 23. Kass DA, Duggal P, Cingolani O. Obesity could shift severe COVID-19 disease to younger ages. Lancet. 2020;395(10236):1544-5.
- 24. Caussy C, Wallet F, Laville M, Disse E. Obesity is Associated with Severe Forms of COVID-19. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020.
- 25. Stefan N, Birkenfeld AL, Schulze MB, Ludwig DS. Obesity and impaired metabolic health in patients with COVID-19. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020.
- 26. Flint SW, Tahrani AA. COVID-19 and obesity-lack of clarity, guidance, and implications for care. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020;8(6):474-5.
- 27. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020.
- 28. Del Valle DM, Kim-Schulze S, Huang HH, Beckmann ND, Nirenberg S, Wang B, et al. An inflammatory cytokine signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival. Nat Med. 2020.
- 29. Biran N, Ip A, Ahn J, Go RC, Wang S, Mathura S, et al. Tocilizumab among patients with COVID-19 in the intensive care unit: a multicentre observational study. Lancet Rheumatol. 2020.
- 30. Goh KJ, Choong MC, Cheong EH, Kalimuddin S, Duu Wen S, Phua GC, et al. Rapid Progression to Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Review of Current Understanding of Critical Illness from COVID-19 Infection. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2020;49(1):1-9.

Tables

Table 1(a). Calculation of the Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR),

Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR):	Ratio	SIRS Severity
Neutrophil count: lymphocyte count	<3	Mild
Neutrophil count: lymphocyte count	3-5	Moderate
Neutrophil count: lymphocyte count	>5	Severe

 Table 1(b).
 Peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS)

peri-operative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS)	Score	SIRS Severity
C-reactive protein \leq 150mg/l and Albumin \geq 25 g/l	0	Mild
C-reactive protein > 150mg/l and Albumin \geq 25 g/l	1	Moderate
C-reactive protein \leq 150mg/l and Albumin <25 g/l	1	Moderate
C-reactive protein > $150mg/l$ and Albumin < $25 g/l$	2	Severe

Table 2. Univariate analysis of clinicopathological characteristics of patients admitted withsymptoms of COVID-19 (n=224)

	A line $(n-172)$	Dead $(n-52)$	
A_{rec} ($z > 70$ wears)	Alive (n=172)	Dead (n=52)	p-value
Age (≥70 years)<br Sex (male/female)	124/48 91/81	19/33 33/19	<0.001 0.181
	13/85/74	4/25/23	0.161
BMI ($\langle 20; \geq 20 - 29; \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$)			
SIMD (1 (most) – 6 (least) deprived) Ethnicity (1-5)	81/31/17/23/1/19 159/0/7/2/3	24/4/7/11/0/6 50/0/0/0/2	$0.685 \\ 0.774$
Living circumstances (0-3)	151/11/7/3	39/2/8/3	0.008
Past Medical History	101/11/7/0	00/2/0/0	0.000
Hypertension (y/n)	63/109	28/24	0.027
Heart failure (y/n)	12/160	11/41	0.003
T1DM (y/n)	2/170	0/52	0.436
T2DM (y/n)	37/135	15/37	0.273
Chronic renal failure (y/n)	18/154	10/42	0.095
Cognitive impairment (y/n)	16/156	14/38	0.001
Previous delirium (y/n)	6/166	10/40 14/38	<0.001 <0.001
Frailty score (\leq /> 3) COPD (y/n)	107/65 29/143	12/40	<0.001 0.311
Smoker (never/ex/active)	82/70/20	24/27/1	0.428
Alcohol excess (y/n)	22/150	9/43	0.410
Liver disease (y/n)	15/157	4/48	0.816
Hep C (never/previous/active)	167/2/2	51/1/0	0.650
Active cancer (y/n)	6/166	3/49	0.464
Asthma (y/n)	42/130	4/48	0.009
Surgery <1 yr (y/n)	17/154	4/44	0.277
Cancer resection (y/n)	1/171	0/52	0.582
Diagnostic criteria	74/7/01	37/1/14	0.001
PCR positive/Clinical Dx/Radiological Dx PCR negative/indeterminate/positive	74/7/91 43/42/83	4/9/39	0.001
CXR negative/positive	63/107	30/21	0.001
Physiology at presentation	00/10/	00/21	0.000
NEWS $(\leq / > 4)$	75/96	12/39	0.009
Delirium (y/n)	14/158	12/36	0.001
Laboratory results at presentation			
CRP (< $/ \geq 150 \text{ mg/L}$)	134/37	34/18	0.058
Albumin (\geq /<35 g/L)	82/87	15/35	0.021
poGPS (0/1/2)	130/35/3 23/118/30	32/15/3 7/34/11	$0.032 \\ 0.750$
WCC (< 4.5 / \geq 4.5 - \leq 11.0 / >11.0 x 10 ⁹ /L)			
Neutrophils (< / \geq 7.5 x 10 ⁹ /L)	132/39	34/18	0.088
Lymphocytes (\geq / < 1.5 x 10 ⁹ /L)	52/118	7/45	0.015
NLR $(<3/3.5 \ge 5)$	39/46/85	5/9/38	0.004
Hb $(\geq /<12.0 \text{ g/dL})$	143/28	38/13	0.142
MCV (>/ \leq 99 fl) Hct (male \geq /< 0.40) (female \geq /< 0.37) L/L	149/21 126/45	40/11 29/23	$\begin{array}{c} 0.102 \\ 0.014 \end{array}$
	140/30	40/12	0.383
Platelets (≥/< 150x10 ⁹) Sodium (<133/≥133- ≤146/>146 mmol/L)	17/153/2	9/40/3	0.013
Potassium ($<13.5/\geq13.5 \le 140/>140$ mmol/L)	13/142/2	5/36/0	0.822
Mg (\geq /< 0.75 mmol/L)	33/60	8/28	0.148
Urea (\leq /> 7.5 mmol/L)	125/47	24/28	< 0.001
Creatinine (\leq />130 umol/L)	159/13	43/9	0.039
AST (\leq / > 40 IU)	96/55	24/16	0.678
ALT (\leq / > 56 IU)	136/33	41/9	0.810
ALP (\leq / > 130 IU)	155/14	49/2	0.294
Bilirubin (\leq / > 17 mmol/L)	151/18	45/6	0.823
Glucose (\leq / > 7 mmol/L)	96/51	22/20	0.128

Lactate (<math \geq 2 mmol/L)	43/21	17/12	$0.426 \\ 0.813$
HCO ₃ (\geq / < 22 mmol/L)	29/7	14/4	
PT (\leq / > 13 seconds)	94/ 50	24/17	$0.429 \\ 0.642$
APPT (\leq / > 38 seconds)	133/8	36/3	

Living circumstances: 0= independent, 1=sheltered accommodation, 2=care home, 3=nursing home.

Ethnicity: White=1, Mixed=2, Asian=3, Black=4, Other ethnic groups or missing=5.

poGPS: peri-operative Glasgow prognostic score; NLR: neutrophil lymphocyte ratio

Table 3. Binary logistic regression analysis of clinicopathological characteristics of patientsadmitted with symptoms of COVID-19 (n=224)

	Alive (n=172)	Dead (n=52)	p-value (O.R. 95% p-value CI
Age (≥70 years)</td <td>124/48</td> <td>19/33</td> <td><0.001 3</td> <td>3.9 1.4 <0.001</td>	124/48	19/33	<0.001 3	3.9 1.4 <0.001
Sex (male/female) BMI (<20; ≥20 - 29; ≥30 kg/m ²)	91/81 13/85/74	33/19 4/25/23	0.181 0.867	8.2
SIMD (1 (most) - 6 (least) deprived)	81/31/17/23/1/19	24/4/7/11/0/6	0.685	
Ethnicity (1-5) Living circumstances (0-3) Past Medical History	159/0/7/2/3 151/11/7/3	50/0/0/0/2 39/2/8/3	$0.774 \\ 0.008$	
Hypertension (y/n) Heart failure (y/n)	63/109 12/160	28/24 11/41	0.027 0.003 3	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & - & 0.229 \\ 3.3 & 1.2 & 0.028 \\ - & - \end{array}$
T1DM (y/n) T2DM (y/n) Chronic renal failure (y/n) Cognitive impairment (y/n) Previous delirium (y/n) Frailty score (\leq /> 3) COPD (y/n) Smoker (never/ex/active) Alcohol excess (y/n) Liver disease (y/n) Hep C (never/previous/active) Active cancer (y/n) Diagnostic criteria PCR positive/Clinical Dx/Radiological Dx PCR negative/indeterminate/positive CXR negative/positive	2/170 37/135 18/154 16/156 6/166 107/65 29/143 82/70/20 22/150 15/157 167/2/2 6/166 74/7/91 43/42/83 63/107	0/52 15/37 10/42 14/38 10/40 14/38 12/40 24/27/1 9/43 4/48 51/1/0 3/49 37/1/14 4/9/39 30/21	$\begin{array}{c} 0.436\\ 0.273\\ 0.095\\ 0.001\\ < 0.001\\ < 0.001\\ 0.311\\ 0.428\\ 0.410\\ 0.816\\ 0.650\\ 0.464\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.006 \end{array}$	19.3 0.40_0.4 0.020 0.9
Physiology at presentation NEWS ($\leq / > 4$)	75/96	12/39	0.009 2	2.4 1.1 0.024
Delirium (y/n) Laboratory results at presentation	14/158	12/36	0.001	4.4
CRP (< $/ \ge 150 \text{ mg/L}$) Albumin ($\ge /<35 \text{ g/L}$) poGPS (0/1/2)	134/37 82/87 130/35/3	34/18 15/35 32/15/3	0.058 0.021 0.032 2	2.2 1.1 0.024 - 4.4
WCC (< 4.5 / \geq 4.5 - \leq 11.0 / >11.0 x 10 ⁹ /L)	23/118/30	7/34/11	0.750	4.4
Neutrophils (< $/ \ge 7.5 \ge 10^{9}$ /L) Lymphocytes ($\ge / < 1.5 \ge 10^{9}$ /L)	132/39 52/118	34/18 7/45	0.088 0.015	
NLR (<3/ 3-5 / \geq 5) Hb (\geq /<12.0 g/dL) MCV (>/ \leq 99 fl)	39/46/85 143/28 149/21 Page 15/18	5/9/38 38/13 40/11	0.004 - 0.142 0.102	0.126

Page 15/18

Hct (male $\geq < 0.40$) (female $\geq < 0.37$) L/L	126/45	29/23	0.014 0.125
Platelets (\geq /< 150x10 ⁹)	140/30	40/12	0.383
Sodium $(<133/\geq 133)$	17/153/2	9/40/3	0.013
$\leq 146 /> 146 \text{ mmol/L})$ Potassium (<3.5/ \geq 3.5-	13/142/2	5/36/0	0.822
<u><</u> 5.5/>5.5 mmol/L)		, ,	
Mg (<u>></u> /< 0.75 mmol/L)	33/60	8/28	0.148
Urea (<u><</u> /> 7.5 mmol/L)	125/47	24/28	< 0.001
Creatinine (\leq />130 umol/L)	159/13	43/9	0.039
AST (\leq / > 40 IU)	96/55	24/16	0.678
ALT (<u><</u> / > 56 IU)	136/33	41/9	0.810
ALP (\leq / > 130 IU)	155/14	49/2	0.294
Bilirubin (\leq / > 17 mmol/L)	151/18	45/6	0.823

Living circumstances: 0= independent, 1=sheltered accommodation, 2=care home, 3=nursing home.

Ethnicity: White=1, Mixed=2, Asian=3, Black=4, Other ethnic groups =5.

poGPS: peri-operative Glasgow prognostic score; NLR: neutrophil lymphocyte ratio

Table 4. Binary logistic regression analysis of clinicopathological characteristics of patientsadmitted who had COVID-19 PCR + (n=122)

	Alive (n=83)	Dead (n=39)	p-value O.R. 95 p-
Age (<u >70 years)	53/30	12/27	%CI value <0.001 4.7 2.0 - 0.001
Sex (male/female) BMI (<20; \geq 20 - 29; \geq 30 kg/m ²) SIMD (1 (least) - 6 (most)	39/44 5/37/41 9/1/16/10/21/37	16/23 3/18/18	11.3 0.219 0.798 0.959
deprived) Ethnicity (1-5) Living circumstances (0-3) Past Medical History	79/0/3/1/0 72/8/2/1	37/0/0/0/2 30/0/7/2	0.441 0.027
Hypertension (y/n) Heart failure (y/n)	33/50 4/79	20/19 7/32	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
T1DM (y/n) T2DM (y/n) Chronic renal failure (y/n) Cognitive impairment (y/n) Previous delirium (y/n) Frailty score (\leq /> 3) COPD (y/n) Smoker Alcohol excess (y/n) Liver disease (y/n) Hep C (never/previous/active)	1/82 22/61 9/74 8/75 3/80 53/30 11/72 41/35/7 5/78 6/77 82/0/1	0/39 10/29 8/31 12/27 10/28 8/31 11/28 16/22/1 5/34 2/37 39/0/0	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0.493 \\ 0.920 \\ 0.152 \\ 0.003 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ 0.046 \\ - \\ 0.833 \\ 0.204 \\ 0.663 \\ 0.493 \end{array}$
Active cancer (y/n) Diagnostic criteria CXR negative/positive	4/79 38/45	1/38 22/16	0.560 0.218
Physiology at presentation NEWS (\leq / > 4) Delirium (y/n) Laboratory results at presentation	36/46 6/77	10/29 10/27	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
poGPS $(0/1/2)$	63/16/2	24/11/3	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.063 & 2.4 & 1.1 - 0.027 \\ & 5.1 \end{array}$
NLR (<3/ 3-5 / \geq 5) Hb (\geq /<12.0 g/dL) MCV (\leq /> 99 fl) Hct (male \geq /< 0.40) (female \geq /<	21/23/38 65/17 75/7 59/23	5/6/28 28/10 30/9 23/16	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
0.37) L/L Platelets (<150/ \geq 150- <450/	14/67/0	9/30/1	0.452
≥450 x10 ⁹) Sodium (≤ 133 /134 -145/≥ 146 mmol/L)	10/71/2	5/31/3	0.240
Potassium (<3.5/≥3.5 - ≤5.3/>53 mmol/L)	5/73/0	3/27/0	0.525
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mg} \ (\geq < 0.75 \text{ mmol/L}) \\ \text{Urea} \ (\leq > 7.0 \text{ mmol/L}) \\ \text{Creatinine} \ (\leq > 130 \text{ umol/L}) \\ \text{AST} \ (\leq > 40 \text{ IU}) \\ \text{ALT} \ (\leq > 56 \text{ IU}) \\ \text{ALP} \ (\leq > 150 \text{ IU}) \\ \text{Bilirubin} \ (\leq > 17 \text{ mmol/L}) \\ \text{Glucose} \ (\leq > 7 \text{ mmol/L}) \\ \text{Lactate} \ (< \ge 2 \text{ mmol/L}) \\ \text{HCO}_3 \ (\geq < 22 \text{ mmol/L}) \end{array}$	12/3 57/26 76/7 48/26 69/12 75/6 78/3 40/27 24/6 16/4	4/2 17/22 34/5 21/28 33/5 37/1 35/3 18/12 13/7 9/3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.527\\ 0.008\\ 0.450\\ 0.466\\ 0.810\\ 0.304\\ 0.332\\ 0.978\\ 0.241\\ 0.744 \end{array}$
PT (\leq / > 13 seconds)	53/16	19/11	0.169

Living circumstances: 0= independent, 1=sheltered accommodation, 2=care home, 3=nursing home.

Ethnicity: White=1, Mixed=2, Asian=3, Black=4, Other ethnic groups =5.

poGPS: peri-operative Glasgow prognostic score; NLR: neutrophil lymphocyte ratio

Figures




Figure 1

Relationship between BMI and age for patients admitted with COVID-19 (n=244)