

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.2996/KMJ/1138035441

Projectable almost complex contact structures — Source link <a> ☑

David E. Blair, Shigeru Ishihara, G. D. Ludden

Institutions: Michigan State University, Tokyo Institute of Technology

Published on: 01 Jan 1978 - Kodai Mathematical Journal (Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology)







PROJECTABLE ALMOST COMPLEX CONTACT STRUCTURES

BY D. E. BLAIR, S. ISHIHARA AND G.D. LUDDEN

A complex manifold of complex dimension 2m+1 is said to be a *complex contact manifold* if it admits an open covering $\{u_{\alpha}\}$ such that on each u_{α} there is a holomorphic 1-form ω_{α} with $\omega_{\alpha} \wedge (d\omega_{\alpha})^m \neq 0$ on $u_{\alpha} \cap u_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, $\omega_{\beta} = f\omega_{\alpha}$ for some non-vanishing holomorphic function f. In general such a structure is not given by a global 1-form ω ; in fact this is the case for a compact complex manifold if and only if its first Chern class vanishes [6]. However, a complex contact manifold is the base space of a principal fibre bundle with 1-dimensional fibres and real contact structure. Homogeneous complex contact manifolds were studied by Boothby in [3].

It is also shown in [6] that the structural group of the tangent bundle of a Hermitian contact manifold M is reducible to $(Sp(m)\cdot Sp(1))\times U(1)$ where $Sp(m)\cdot Sp(1)=Sp(m)\times Sp(1)/\{\pm I\}$ and hence equivalently M admits the following local structure tensors. Let F denote the almost complex structure and g the Hermitian metric on M. Then each coordinate neighborhood admits tensor fields G, H of type (1,1) and vector fields U, V with covariant forms u and v such that (G,U,V,g) and (H,U,V,g) are metric f-structures with complemented frames (see e.g. [1]), FU=V and $GH=-HG=F+v\otimes U-u\otimes V$. In the overlap of coordinate neighborhoods we have

$$G'=aG+bH$$
, $u'=au+bv$,
 $H'=-bG+aH$, $v'=-bu+av$ (0.1)

with $a^2+b^2=1$. Such a structure is called an almost complex contact structure [5] and our first project here will be to given an equivalent definition in terms of global tensor fields.

A standard example of a complex contact manifold is the odd-dimensional complex projective space PC^{2m+1} . It is also well known that PC^{2m+1} is a fibre space over the quaternionic projective space PH^m with fibres $S^2 \approx PC^1$. In sections 3 and 4 we generalize this situation to a projectable almost complex contact structure on a Kählerian manifold.

§ 1. Almost Complex Contact Structures

In terms of the above local tensor fields G, H, U, V we can define global

Received June 14, 1976

tensor fields Σ of type (1, 3) and S of type (1, 1). For local vector fields X, Y, Z set

$$\Sigma_{XY}Z = g(GX, Y)GZ + g(HX, Y)HZ \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$SX = u(X)U + v(X)V. (1.2)$$

It is then easy to check using equations (0.1) that Σ and S are globally defined. Note also that S is a projection tensor field of rank 2, i.e. $S^2=S$. For a unit vector $A \in T_p M$ with SA = 0, let

$$\sigma_A = \{B \in T_p M | g(A, B) = 0, \|B\| = 1, \underline{\Sigma}(A, B, A, B) = 1\}$$

where $\Sigma(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\Sigma_{XY}Z, W)$ and $[\sigma_A]$ the subspace of T_pM generated by

The following properties of Σ and S are now easily deduced. 1)-8) are straightforward computations using equations (1.1) and (1.2) and elementary properties of metric f-structures. For 9) given A set B=GA and it is easy to see that $B \in \sigma_A$.

- 1) SF = FS
- 2) $\Sigma_{XY} = -\Sigma_{YX}$
- 3) $\Sigma_{XY}^{=}=\underline{\Sigma}(X, Y, X, Y)(-I+S)$
- 4) $\Sigma_{XY}S = S\Sigma_{XY} = 0$
- 5) $\Sigma_{XYF} = -F\Sigma_{XY}$
- 6) $\Sigma_{XFY}F = \Sigma_{XY}$ 7) $\underline{\Sigma}(X, Y, Z, W) = \underline{\Sigma}(Z, W, X, Y)$
- 8) $\Sigma_{X \Sigma_{YZ} X} W = g(X, (I-S)X) \Sigma_{YZ} W$ 9) $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$ for any unit vector A with SA = 0 and at any point p of M.

Conversely we will show that an almost Hermitian manifold M with structure tensors (F, g) admitting global tensor fields Σ and S satisfying 1)-9) is an almost complex contact manifold. We first give several lemmas.

LEMMA 1.1. For $B \in \sigma_A$, $\Sigma_{AB}A = B$, SB = 0 and σ_A is invariant under F.

Proof. Since $\underline{\Sigma}(A, B, A, B) = g(\Sigma_{AB}A, B) = 1$ to show that $\Sigma_{AB}A = B$ it suffices to show that $\Sigma_{AB}A$ is a unit vector.

$$g(\Sigma_{AB}A, \Sigma_{AB}A) = -g(\Sigma_{AB}^2A, A) = -g(-A + SA, A) = 1$$

by 2), 7) and 3), since A is a unit vector and SA=0. Now $SB=S\Sigma_{AB}A=0$ by 4). Finally for the invariance by F,

$$\Sigma_{AFB}A = -\Sigma_{AFB}F^2A = F\Sigma_{AB}A = FB$$
,

from which $\underline{\Sigma}(A, FB, A, FB) = 1$ and $g(FB, A) = g(\Sigma_{AFB}A, A) = 0$.

LEMMA 1.2. For any unit vector $B \in \sigma_A$ set $C = FB \in \sigma_A$, then

$$\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC} = F - SF$$
.

Proof. If we take an arbitrary vector $D \in T_pM$ then, using (3) and (6), we have

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC} \boldsymbol{D} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AFB} \boldsymbol{D} = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AFB} \boldsymbol{F}^2 \boldsymbol{D} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{D} = (I - S) \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{D} \; . \end{split}$$

LEMMA 1.3. For any orthonormal pair $\{B, C\} \in \sigma_A$,

$$\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC} = -\Sigma_{AC}\Sigma_{AB}$$
.

Proof. First, using (3), we have

$$\Sigma_{AB+C}^{2} = \Sigma(A, B+C, A, B+C)(-I+S)$$
$$= 2(-I+S).$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{AB+C}^2 &= (\Sigma_{AB} + \Sigma_{AC})^2 \\ &= 2(-I+S) + (\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC} + \Sigma_{AC}\Sigma_{AB}) \,. \end{split}$$

Thus we have $\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC}+\Sigma_{AC}\Sigma_{AB}=0$.

LEMMA 1.4. $\dim[\sigma_A]=2$.

Proof. Take B and C as in Lemma 1.1 and assume that there is a unit vector $D \in [\sigma_A]$ such that D is orthogonal to B and C. They by Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 we have

$$\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC}\Sigma_{AD} = \Sigma_{AD}\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{AC}$$

and so

$$(F-SF)\Sigma_{AD}=\Sigma_{AD}(F-SF)$$
.

Thus, using (1) and (4), we obtain

$$F\Sigma_{AD} = \Sigma_{AD}F$$
,

which contradicts (5). Therefore, $[\sigma_A]$ is necessarily of dimension 2.

LEMMA 1.5. For any vectors $B, C \in T_pM$, satisfying $\underline{\Sigma}(B, C, B, C) = 1$, $\Sigma_{BC}A \in \sigma_A$.

Proof. Using (8), we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{{\scriptscriptstyle B}{\scriptscriptstyle C}}{\scriptscriptstyle A}}\boldsymbol{A}\!=\!\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{{\scriptscriptstyle B}{\scriptscriptstyle C}}\boldsymbol{A}$$
 ,

from which it follows that $\Sigma_{BC}A \in \sigma_A$.

Lemma 1.6. Take a unit vector $A \in T_pM$ with SA=0 and a unit vector $B \in \sigma_A$. Put $C=FB \in \sigma_A$. The $\Sigma_{AB}D$ and $\Sigma_{AC}D$ are orthonormal, where D is an arbitrary unit vector at p such that SD=0.

Proof. $g(\Sigma_{AB}D, \Sigma_{AB}D) = -g(\Sigma_{AB}^2D, D) = g(D-SD, D) = 1$ and similarly $\Sigma_{AC}D$ is also a unit vector. Finally

$$\begin{split} g(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC}D) &= -g(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AFB}F^2D) \!=\! -g(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}FD) \\ &= g(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D, F\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D) \!=\! 0 \; . \end{split}$$

Summing up Lemmas 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6, we have

PROPOSITION 1. Take a unit vector $A \in T_pM$ such that SA = 0 and a unit vector $B \in \sigma_A$. Put $C = FB \in \sigma_A$. Then, for any unit vector $D \in T_pM$ with SD = 0, $\Sigma_{AB}D$ and $\Sigma_{AC}D$ form an orthonormal basis of $[\sigma_D]$.

LEMMA 1.7. Take A, B and C as in Proposition 1. Then, for any D, E $\in T_pM$,

$$\Sigma_{DE} = \underline{\Sigma}(A, B, D, E) \Sigma_{AB} + \underline{\Sigma}(A, C, D, E) \Sigma_{AC}$$
.

Proof. When D (or E) satisfies SD=D (or SE=E), then both sides of the equation above vanish because of (4). So, D and E may be assumed to satisfy SD=SE=0 and also that D and E are unit. First, we consider the case in which E is orthogonal to σ_D . Linearizing (3) we have $\sum_{XY}\sum_{XZ}+\sum_{XZ}\sum_{XY}=2\sum_{(X,Y,X,Z)}(-I+S)$. Thus if $Y\in\sigma_D$, \sum_{DE} anti-commutes with \sum_{DY} and \sum_{DFY} and hence \sum_{DE} commutes with $\sum_{DY}\sum_{DFX}$ which by Lemma 1.2 is equal to F-SF. Therefore using (1) and (4)

$$F\Sigma_{DE} = (F - SF)\Sigma_{DE} = \Sigma_{DE}(F - SF) = \Sigma_{DE}F$$
,

from which by (5) and the non-singularity of F we have $\Sigma_{DE}=0$ and again both sides of the above equation vanish.

Finally we consider the case where $E \in \sigma_D$. For simplicity set $a = g(\Sigma_{AB}D, E)$ and $b = g(\Sigma_{AC}D, E)$. Then as $\{\Sigma_{AB}D, \Sigma_{AC}D\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $[\sigma_D]$,

$$E=a\Sigma_{AB}D+b\Sigma_{AC}D$$
.

Using (8) we have

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{DE} \boldsymbol{A} &= a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{D\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB}D} \boldsymbol{A} + b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{D\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC}D} \boldsymbol{A} \\ &= a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} \boldsymbol{A} + b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC} \boldsymbol{A} \\ &= a \boldsymbol{B} + b \boldsymbol{C} \,. \end{split}$$

Using (8) again

$${\mathcal{\Sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle DE}}{=}{\mathcal{\Sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle A\Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle DE}A}}{=}a{\mathcal{\Sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle AB}}{+}b{\mathcal{\Sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle AC}}$$
 ,

which is the desired formula.

Take a suitable coordinate neighborhood u of an arbitrary point p of M and a unit vector field A in u. Then there is in u a unit vector field B belonging to σ_A at each point of u. On putting $C=FB \in \sigma_A$ we define locally in u two tensor fields G and H of type (1,1) respectively by

$$G=\Sigma_{AB}$$
, $H=\Sigma_{AC}$.

Then setting $F^H = F - FS$ and using (3) and (4) and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$(F^{H})^{2}=G^{2}=H^{2}=-I+S$$
,
 $GH=-HG=F^{H}$, $HF^{H}=-F^{H}H=G$, $F^{H}G=-GF^{H}=H$, (1.3)
 $F^{H}S=SF^{H}=GS=SG=HS=SH=0$.

Next, (1), (2), and (7) imply

$$g(F^{H}X, Y) = -g(F^{H}Y, X),$$

 $g(GX, Y) = -g(GY, X), g(HX, Y) = -g(HY, X),$

for all X and Y. By Lemma 1.7, a local expression for Σ_{XY} in u is the following

$$\Sigma_{XY} = g(GX, Y)G + g(HX, Y)H. \tag{1.4}$$

We now take another coordinate neighborhood $u'(u \cap u' \neq \emptyset)$ and define G' and H' as in u, say $G' = \Sigma_{A'B'}$ and $H' = \Sigma_{A'C'}$. By the formula of Lemma 1.7

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{A'B'} = \underline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}(A, B, A', B') \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} + \underline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}(A, C, A', C') \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC} \,. \\ & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{A'C'} = \underline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}(A, B, A', C') \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AB} + \underline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}(A, C, A', C') \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AC} \,. \end{split}$$

Setting $a = \underline{\Sigma}(A, B, A', B')$ and $b = \underline{\Sigma}(A, C, A', B')$ we have that

$$1 = g(\Sigma_{A'B'}A', B') = \underline{\Sigma}(A, B, A', B')^{2} + \underline{\Sigma}(A, C, A', B')^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \underline{\Sigma}(A,C,A',C') &= -g(\Sigma_{AFB}F^2A',FB') = -g(\Sigma_{AB}FA',FB') \\ &= g(F\Sigma_{AB}A',FB') = g(\Sigma_{AB}A',B') = a \;, \\ \underline{\Sigma}(A,B,A',C') &= -g(\Sigma_{AFB}FA',F^2C') = -g(F\Sigma_{AC}A',FB') \\ &= -g(\Sigma_{AC}A',B') = -b \;. \end{split}$$

so that G'=aG+bH and H'=-bG+aH.

Theorem 1. Let (M,G,F) be an almost Hermitian manifold. Then M is an almost complex contact manifold if and only if M admits a global tensor field Σ of type (1,3) and a projection tensor field S of rank 2 satisfying 1)-9).

§ 2. Horizontal and Vertical Tensors

Given a vector field X on an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, F) with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) , $X^{\nu} = SX$ and $X^{\mu} = X - X^{\nu}$ will be called the *vertical* and the *horizontal parts* of X, respectively. For a 1-form ω , $\omega^{\nu} = \omega \circ S$ and $\omega^{\mu} = \omega - \omega^{\nu}$ will be called the *vertical* and the *horizontal parts* of ω , respectively. We now define, for a function $f, f^{\mu} = f^{\nu} = f$. Then we easily have

(2.1)
$$(fX+hY)^{H} = f^{H}X^{H} + h^{H}Y^{H}, (fX+hY)^{V} = f^{V}X^{V} + h^{V}Y^{V},$$

$$(f\omega + h\pi)^{H} = f^{H}\omega^{H} + h^{H}\pi^{H}, (f\omega + h\pi)^{V} = f^{V}\omega^{V} + h^{V}\pi^{V},$$

where f, h are arbitrary functions and ω , π are arbitrary 1-forms.

We now define the *horizontal* part T^H of an arbitrary tensor field T. Assume that the operation of taking the horizontal part satisfies

$$(2.2) (P+Q)^{H} = P^{H} + Q^{H}, (P \otimes U)^{H} = P^{H} \otimes U^{H},$$

where P and Q are arbitrary tensor fields of the same type and U another arbitrary tensor field, then by using (2.1) we can inductively define the horizontal part T^H of an arbitrary tensor field T on M.

§ 3. Almost Complex Contact Structures which are Projectable

The Riemannian connection is denoted by V in a Kählerian manifold M with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) . We define a tensor field P of type (1, 2) by

$$(3.1) P_X Y = ((\nabla_Y S)X)^H.$$

Note that

$$SP_{x}=0.$$

Next, differentiating covariantly $S^2=S$ we have

$$(3.3) P_{SX} = P_X$$

and differentiating covariantly (1)

$$(3.4) P_{FX} = FP_{X}.$$

Lemma 3.1. When P=0, a Kählerian manifold M of complex dimension 2m+1 with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) is locally a product of two Kählerian manifolds of complex dimensions 2m and 1 respectively.

Proof. If
$$P=0$$
, (3.1) implies

$$(\nabla_{Y}(SX))^{H} = ((\nabla_{Y}S)X)^{H} = 0$$
,

which means that the distribution determined by S and its complement are parallel. This with SF=FS proves the lemma.

We now consider the following conditions:

(P1) for any vector $A \in T_p M$, there are two vectors $B, C \in T_p M$ such that $P_A = \sum_{BC}, \sum_{C} (B, C, B, C) = ag(SA, SA)$ with constant a;

$$(P2) (\nabla_{SX}\underline{\Sigma})^H = 0.$$

When an almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) satisfies the conditions (P1) and (P2), it is said to be *projectable*.

In this section, the almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) is assumed to be projectable. Then 3)-4) and (P1) imply

(3.5)
$$P_X^2 = ag(SX, SX)(-I+S)$$

for some a and

$$(3.6) P_X S = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$S(\nabla_{SY}S) = \nabla_{SY}S.$$

Thus we now have from (1) and (3.7)

PROPOSITION 2. In a Kählerian manifold M with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) which satisfies (P1), the distribution determined by S is integrable and each of its integral submanifolds is totally geodesic and holomorphic.

Since (P1) is satisfied, restricting ourselves to a coordinate neighborhood u in which (1.4) is established, we find

$$(3.8) P_X = c(u(X)G + v(X)H)$$

with local 1-forms u and v defined in u, where the associated vector fields U of u and V of v satisfy $||U||^2 = ||V||^2 = 1$, g(U, V) = 0, i.e.,

$$S=u\otimes U+v\otimes V.$$

(3.8) implies that

(3.10)
$$(\nabla(SX))^{H} = c(u(x)G + v(x)H).$$

The fundamental 2-form Φ of the Kählerian manifold (M, g, F) is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(FX, Y)$. We now define in M a tensor field $\underline{\Lambda}$ of type (0, 4) by

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \Phi^H \otimes \Phi^H + \underline{\Sigma} ,$$

which is horizontal, that is, $\underline{\Lambda}^H = \underline{\Lambda}$. Then, using (1.3) and (3.8), we can verify that in u

$$P_{U} \cdot \underline{\Lambda} = 0$$
, $P_{V} \cdot \underline{\Lambda} = 0$,

where P_X denotes the action of P_X as a derivation. Thus, using (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.13) P_X \cdot \underline{\Lambda} = 0.$$

Since $\nabla F = 0$, we find

$$(3.14) (\nabla_{SX}\Lambda)^H = 0$$

as a consequence of (P2). As is well known, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{SX}\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{SX}\underline{\Lambda} = \nabla_{SX}\underline{\Lambda} + P_X \cdot \underline{\Lambda}$$

(See e.g. Yano [8]). Thus we have

$$(3.15) \qquad (\mathcal{L}_X v \underline{\Lambda})^H = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. If an almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) is projectable, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_X v \Lambda^H)^H = 0$$
.

On the other hand, by Proposition 2, each integral submanifold of the distribution determined by S is totally geodesic. Thus we have (see Ishihara and Konishi [5])

Lemma 3.3. If an almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) is projectable, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_X v g^H)^H = 0$$
.

We now put

$$\Lambda = \Phi \otimes F + \Sigma$$
.

Then Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply

Lemma 3.4. If an almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) is projectable, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_X v \Lambda^H)^H = 0$$
.

§ 4. Submersion of a Kählerian Manifold with Almost Complex Contact Structure

Let (M, G, F) be a Kählerian manifold of complex dimension 2m+1 with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) , which is projectable, and \widetilde{M} a manifold of real dimension 4m. Suppose that there is a differential mapping $\pi: M \to \widetilde{M}$ which is of rank 4m everywhere and satisfies $\pi(M) = \widetilde{M}$ and that for each point p of \widetilde{M} , $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is a connected integral submanifold of the distribution

determined by S. In such a case, the Kählerian manifold M with almost complex contact structure is said to have a *fibred Riemannian structure* $\pi: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ and \widetilde{M} is called the *base space*. When M is compact and the distribution $\mathscr D$ determined by S is regular, M has a fibred Riemannian structure if \widetilde{M} is defined as the set of all maximal integral submanifolds of $\mathscr D$, $\pi: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ being defined by $\pi(p) = \mathscr D p$, $p \in M$, where $\mathscr D p$ is the maximal integral submanifold passing through p, and \widetilde{M} is naturally topologized.

Consider a Kählerian manifold M with almost complex contact structure (g,F,Σ,S) , which is projectable, and with fibred Riemannian structure $\pi:M\to \tilde{M}$. Then, taking account of arguments developed in [5], we see by Lemma 3.4 that the tensor field Λ is projectable in M and its projection is a tensor field $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of type (1,3) in the base space \tilde{M} . The metric tensor g in M is, by Lemma 3.3, projectable and its projection \tilde{g} defines a Riemannian structure on \tilde{M} . Thus, (2)-(9) implies that $(\tilde{g},\tilde{\Lambda})$ is an almost quaternionic structure in the base space \tilde{M} (see Blair and Showers [2]). Thus, summing up, we have

Theorem 2. Suppose that (M, g, F) is a Kählerian manifold with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) , which is projectable. Assume moreover that (M, g, F) has a fibred Riemannian structure $\pi: M \to \widetilde{M}$. Then $(\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ is an almost quaternionic structure in the base space \widetilde{M} , where \widetilde{g} and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ are the projections of g and Λ , respectively.

If in a Kählerian manifold M satisfying the conditions given in Theorem 2

$$(\nabla \Lambda^H)^H = 0$$

holds, then the projection \tilde{A} of A in \tilde{M} is covariantly constant. Thus in such a case (\tilde{g}, \tilde{A}) is a quaternionic Kählerian structure (see Ishihara [4]). Thus we have

Theorem 3. If, an a Kählerian manifold M satisfying the conditions given in Theorem 2, $(\nabla \Lambda^H)^H = 0$, then $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\Lambda})$ is a quaternionic Kählerian structure in the base space \tilde{M} .

Taking account of Lemma 3.1, we easily have

PROPOSITION 3. If a Kählerian manifold M of complex dimension 2m+1 with almost complex contact structure (g, F, Σ, S) , which is projectable, satisfies the condition P=0, then M is locally a product of Kählerian manifolds (M_1, g_1, F_1) of complex dimension 2m and (M_2, g_2, F_2) of complex dimension 1, where M_1 admits quaternion structure (g_1, Λ_1) .

PROPOSITION 4. If, in a Kählerian manifold M satisfying the conditions given in Proposition 3 $(\nabla \Lambda^H)^H = 0$ then M_1 admits a quaternionic Kählerian structure (g_1, Λ_1) with vanishing Ricci tensor (see Ishihara [4]).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. E. BLAIR, Geometry of Manifolds with Structural Group $u(n) \times O(S)$, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970) 155-167.
- [2] D. E. BLAIR AND D. K. SHOWERS, A Note on Quaternionic Geometry, to appear.
- [3] W.M. BOOTHBY, Homogeneous Complex Contact Manifolds, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. of A.M.S., Vol. III, Diff. Geom., 1961, 144-154.
- [4] S. Ishihara, Quaternion Kählerian manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 9 (1974) 483-500.
- [5] S. ISHIHARA AND M. KONISHI, Complex Almost Contact Structures and Fiberings, to appear.
- [6] S. KOBAYASHI, Remarks On Complex Contact Manifolds, Proc. of A. M. S. 10 (1959), 164-167.
- [7] S. Sasaki, Almost Contact Manifolds I, II, III, Lecture Notes, Tôhoku University, 1965.
- [8] K. YANO, Theory of Lie Derivatives, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING