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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extend some classes of structured matrices to higherorder tensors. We discuss their relationships with positive semi-definite tensors and some other structured tensors. We show that every principal sub-tensor of such a structured tensor is still a structured tensor in the same class, with a lower dimension. The potential links of such structured tensors with optimization, nonlinear equations, nonlinear complementarity problems, variational inequalities and the non-negative tensor theory are also discussed.
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## 1 Introduction

P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrices have a long history and wide applications in mathematical sciences. Fiedler and Pták first studied P matrices systematically in [1]. For the applications of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrices and functions in linear complementarity problems, variational inequalities and nonlinear complementarity problems, we refer readers to [2-4]. It is well known that a symmetric matric is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ matrix if and only if it is positive (semi-)definite [2, pp. 147, 153].

On the other hand, motivated by the discussion on positive definiteness of multivariate homogeneous polynomial forms [5-8], in 2005, Qi [9] introduced the concept of positive (semi-)definite symmetric tensors. In the same time, Qi also introduced eigenvalues, H-eigenvalues, E-eigenvalues and Z-eigenvalues for symmetric tensors. It was shown that an even order symmetric tensor is positive (semi-)definite if and only if all of its H-eigenvalues or Z-eigenvalues are positive (nonnegative) [9, Theorem 5]. Beside automatical control, positive semi-definite tensors also found applications in magnetic resonance imaging [10-13] and spectral hypergraph theory [14-16].

The following questions are natural. Can we extend the concept of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrices to P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors? If this can be done, is it true a symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if it is positive (semi-)definite? Are there any odd order $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensors?

In Sect. 3, we will extend the concept of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrices to P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors. We will show that a symmetric tensor is a $P\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if it is positive (semi-) definite. The close relationship between $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensors and positive (semi-)definite tensors justifies our research on P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors. We will show that there does not exist an odd order symmetric P tensor. If an odd order non-symmetric P tensor exists, then it has no Z-eigenvalues. An odd order $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor has no nonzero Z-eigenvalues.

In Sect. 4, we will further study some properties of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors. We will show that every principal sub-tensor of a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor is still a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor, and give some sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor.

The class of B matrices is a subclass of P matrices $[17,18]$. We will extend the concept of $B$ matrices to $B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors in Sect. 5. It is easily checkable if a given tensor is a B or $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensor or not. We will show that a Z tensor is diagonally dominated if and only if it is a $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensor. It was proved in [19] that a diagonally dominated Z tensor is an M tensor. Laplacian tensors of uniform hypergraphs, defined as a natural extension of Laplacian matrices of graphs, are M tensors [16,20-23]. This justifies our research on $B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors.

Some final remarks will be given in Sect. 6. The potential links of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors with optimization, nonlinear equations, nonlinear complementarity problems, variational inequalities and the non-negative tensor theory are discussed. These encourage further research on $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will define the notations and collect some basic definitions and facts, which will be used later on.

Denote $I_{n}:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} ; x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i \in I_{n}\right.$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. The definitions of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrices are as follows.

Definition 2.1 Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix. We say that $A$ is
(i) a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ matrix iff for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists $i \in I_{n}$ such that $x_{i} \neq 0$ and

$$
x_{i}(A x)_{i} \geq 0
$$

(ii) a P matrix iff for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}(A x)_{i}>0 .
$$

A real $m$ th order $n$-dimensional tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right)$ is a multiarray of real entries $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}$, where $i_{j} \in I_{n}$ for $j \in I_{m}$. Denote the set of all real $m$ th order $n$-dimensional tensors by $T_{m, n}$. Then, $T_{m, n}$ is a linear space of dimension $n^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. If the entries $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}$ are invariant under any permutation of their indices, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a symmetric tensor. Denote the set of all real $m$ th order $n$-dimensional tensors by $S_{m, n}$. Then, $S_{m, n}$ is a linear subspace of $T_{m, n}$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $\mathcal{A} x^{m}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m$, defined by

$$
\mathcal{A} x^{m}:=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} .
$$

A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$ is called positive semi-definite if for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m} \geq 0$, and is called positive definite if for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}>0$. Clearly, if $m$ is odd, there is no non-trivial positive semi-definite tensors.

In the following, we extend the definitions of eigenvalues, H-eigenvalues, Eeigenvalues and Z-eigenvalues of tensors in $S_{m, n}$ in [9] to tensors in $T_{m, n}$.

Denote $\mathbb{C}^{n}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} ; x_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, i \in I_{n}\right\}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with its $i$ th component defined as $x_{i}^{m-1}$ for $i \in I_{n}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$. If and only if there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}=\lambda \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ is called an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$, associated with $\lambda$. If the eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$ is real, then the eigenvalue $\lambda$ is also real. In this case, $\lambda$ and $\mathbf{x}$ are called an $H$-eigenvalue and an $H$-eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. For an even order symmetric tensor, H-eigenvalues always exist. An even order symmetric tensor is positive (semi-)definite if and only if all of its H -eigenvalues are positive (non-negative). Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$. If and only if there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}=\lambda \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}=1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\lambda$ is called an E-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ is called an E-eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$, associated with $\lambda$. If the E-eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$ is real, then the E-eigenvalue $\lambda$ is also real. In this case, $\lambda$ and $\mathbf{x}$ are called an Z-eigenvalue and an Z-eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. For a symmetric tensor, H-eigenvalues always exist. An even order symmetric tensor is positive (semi-)definite if and only if all of its H -eigenvalues or Z-eigenvalues are positive (non-negative) [9, Theorem 5].

Throughout this paper, we assume that $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. We use small letters $x, u, v, \alpha, \ldots$, for scalars, small bold letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \ldots$, for vectors, capital letters $A, B, \ldots$, for matrices, calligraphic letters $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \ldots$, for tensors. All the tensors discussed in this paper are real. We denote the zero tensor in $T_{m, n}$ by $\mathcal{O}$.

## 3 P and $P_{0}$ Tensors

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with its $i$ th component as

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}:=\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

for $i \in I_{n}$. We now give the definitions of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors.
Definition 3.1 Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. We say that $A$ is
(i) a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor iff for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists $i \in I_{n}$ such that $x_{i} \neq 0$ and

$$
x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i} \geq 0
$$

(ii) a P tensor iff for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0
$$

Clearly, this definition is a natural extension of Definition 2.1.
We first prove a proposition.
Proposition 3.1 Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m, n}$. If $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}=0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}$.
Proof Denote $f(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}$. Then, $f(\mathbf{x})=0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This implies all the partial derivatives of $f$ are zero. Since the entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are just some higher-order partial derivatives of $f$, we see that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}$.

We now have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2 Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$ be a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor. Then, when $m$ is even, all of its $H$ eigenvalues and $Z$-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ are positive (non-negative). A symmetric tensor is a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if it is positive (semi-)definite. There does not exist an odd order symmetric $P$ tensor. If an odd order non-symmetric $P$ tensor exists, then it has no Z-eigenvalues. An odd order $P_{0}$ tensor has no nonzero Z-eigenvalues.

Proof Let $m$ be even and an H -eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\mathcal{A}$ be given. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor, then by the definition of H-eigenvalues, there is a nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a number $\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that (1) holds. Then by the definition of P tensors, there exists $i \in I_{n}$ such that

$$
0<x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\lambda x_{i}^{m} .
$$

Since $m$ is an even number, we have $\lambda>0$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor, we may prove that $\lambda \geq 0$. By [9, Theorem 5], if all H -eigenvalues of an even order symmetric tensor are positive (non-negative), then that tensor is positive (semi-)definite. We see now that an even order symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor only if it is positive (semi-) definite. By the definitions of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensors and positive (semi-)definite tensors, it is easy to see that an even order symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor if it is positive (semi-)definite. Thus, an even order symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if it is positive (semi-)definite.

Now, let an Z-eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\mathcal{A}$ be given. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor, then by the definition of Z-eigenvalues, there is an $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a number $\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that (2) holds. Then by the definition of P tensors, there exists an $i \in I_{n}$ such that

$$
0<x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\lambda x_{i}^{2} .
$$

Thus, $\lambda>0$. Note that for this, we do not assume that $m$ is even. However, when $m$ is odd, if $\lambda$ is a Z-eigenvalue of a tensor in $T_{m, n}$ with a Z-eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$, by the definition of Z-eigenvalues, $-\lambda$ is also a Z-eigenvalue of that tensor with an Z-eigenvector $-\mathbf{x}$. Thus, if an odd order P tensor exists, then it has no Z-eigenvalues. However, by [ 9 , Theorem 5], a symmetric tensor always has Z-eigenvalues. Thus, an odd order symmetric P tensor does not exist. Since an odd order symmetric positive definite tensor also does not exist and an even order symmetric tensor is a P tensor if and only if it is positive definite, we conclude that a symmetric tensor is a P tensor if and only if it is positive definite.

Similarly, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor, we may prove that all of its Z-eigenvalues are nonnegative. When $m$ is odd, this also means that all of its Z-eigenvalues are non-positive. Thus, an odd order $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor has no nonzero Z -eigenvalues. By [9, Theorem 5], a symmetric tensor always has Z-eigenvalues. Thus, both the largest Z-eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ and the smallest Z -eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ of an odd order symmetric $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor $\mathcal{A}$ are zero. By [9, Theorem 5], we have

$$
\lambda_{\max }=\max \left\{\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}: \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}=1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{\min }=\min \left\{\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}: \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}=1\right\} .
$$

Thus, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an odd order symmetric $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor, $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}=0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Proposition 3.1, this implies that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}$. By the definition of positive semi-definite tensors, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an odd order symmetric positive semi-definite tensor, then $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}$. Since an even order symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor if and only if it is positive semi-definite, we
conclude that a symmetric tensor is a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor if and only if it is positive semi-definite. The theorem is proved.

## 4 Properties of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ Tensors

In this section, we will study some properties of P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors. Based on the definition of P matrices, Mathias and Pang [24] introduced a fundamental quantity $\alpha(A)$ corresponding to a P matrix $A$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(A):=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}(A \mathbf{x})_{i}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and studied its properties and applications. Mathias [25] showed that $\alpha(A)$ has a lower bound that is larger than 0 whenever $A$ is a P matrix. Xiu and Zhang [26] gave some extensions of such a quantity and obtained global error bounds for the vertical and horizontal linear complementarity problems. Also see García-Esnaola and Peña [27] for the error bounds for linear complementarity problems of B matrices.

In the following, we will show that every principal sub-tensor of a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor is still a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor and give some sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be a P tensor. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$. Define an operator $T_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}):= \begin{cases}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}, & \mathbf{x} \neq 0  \tag{4}\\ 0, & \mathbf{x}=0\end{cases}
$$

When $m$ is even, define another operator $F_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}):=\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{m-1}\right]} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{y}^{\left[\frac{1}{m-1}\right]}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with its $i$ th component to be $y_{i}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$. When $m$ is even, this is well defined. Then, we define two quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right):=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $m$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right):=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $m$ is even. When $m=2, \alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ are simply $\alpha(A)$ defined by (3). We will establish monotonicity and boundedness of such two quantities when $\mathcal{A}$ is a P $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor. Furthermore, we will show that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is positive (non-negative), and when $m$ is even, $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is positive (non-negative).

### 4.1 Principal Sub-tensors of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ Tensors

Recall that a tensor $\mathcal{C} \in T_{m, r}$ is called a principal sub-tensor of a tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in$ $T_{m, n}(1 \leq r \leq n)$ iff there is a set $J$ that composed of $r$ elements in $I_{n}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right), \quad \text { for all } i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in J
$$

The concept was first introduced and used in [9] for symmetric tensor. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$ the principal sub-tensor of a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$ such that the entries of $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$ are indexed by $J \subset I_{n}$ with $|J|=r(1 \leq r \leq n)$, and denoted by $\mathbf{x}_{J}$ the $r$-dimensional sub-vector of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with the components of $\mathbf{x}_{J}$ indexed by $J$. Note that for $r=1$, the principal sub-tensors are just the diagonal entries.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor. Then, every principal sub-tensor of $\mathcal{A}$ is $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor. In particular, all the diagonal entries of a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor are positive (non-negative).

Proof Let a principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ be given. Then for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{r}}\right)^{\top} \in \mathfrak{R}^{r}$, we may choose $\mathbf{x}^{*}=\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $x_{i}^{*}=x_{i}$ for $i \in J$ and $x_{i}^{*}=0$ for $i \notin J$. Suppose now that $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor, then there exists $j \in I_{n}$ such that

$$
0<x_{j}^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)^{m-1}\right)_{j}=x_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J} \mathbf{x}_{J}^{m-1}\right)_{j}
$$

By the definition of $\mathbf{x}^{*}$, we have $j \in J$, and hence, $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$ is a P tensor. The case for $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors can be proved similarly.

### 4.2 A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for P Tensors

The following is a sufficient and necessary condition for a tensor to be a P tensor.
Theorem 4.2 Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a $P$ tensor if and only if for each nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists an n-dimensional positive diagonal matrix $D_{\mathbf{x}}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\top} D_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)$ is positive.

Proof First, we show the necessity. Take a nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. It follows from the definition of P tensors that there is $k \in I_{n}$ such that $x_{k}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{k}>0$. Then for an enough small $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
x_{k}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{k}+\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j \in I_{n}, j \neq k} x_{j}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{j}\right)>0
$$

Take $D_{\mathbf{x}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with $d_{k}=1$ and $d_{j}=\varepsilon$ for $j \neq k$. Then, we have

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\top} D_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)>0 .
$$

Now we show the sufficiency. Assume that for each nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists an $n$-dimensional diagonal matrix $D_{\mathbf{x}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with $d_{i}>0$ for all $i \in I_{n}$ such that

$$
0<\mathbf{x}^{\top} D_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $d_{i}>0$ for all $i \in I_{n}$, there is an $i \in I_{n}$ such that $x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0$. Otherwise, $x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i} \leq 0$ for all $i$. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right) \leq 0$, a contradiction. Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor.

The desired conclusion follows.

### 4.3 Monotonicity and Boundedness of $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$

Recall that an operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called positively homogeneous iff $T(t \mathbf{x})=$ $t T(\mathbf{x})$ for each $t>0$ and all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it is known well that

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{\left|x_{i}\right| ; i \in I_{n}\right\} \text { and }\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}:=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

are two main norms defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then for a continuous, positively homogeneous operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it is obvious that

$$
\|T\|_{\infty}:=\max _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty}
$$

is an operator norm of $T$ and $\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \leq\|T\|_{\infty}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$, let $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ be defined by (4). When $m$ is even, let $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ be defined by (5). Clearly, both $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ are continuous and positively homogeneous. The following upper bounds of the operator norm were established by Song and Qi [28].
Lemma 4.1 (Song and Qi [28, Theorem 4.3]) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. Then
(i) $\left\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|\right)$;
(ii) $\left\|F_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$, when $m$ is even.

Let $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ be defined by (7) and (6). We now establish their monotonicity and boundedness. The proof technique is similar to the proof technique of [26, Theorem 1.2]. For completeness, we give the proof here.

Theorem 4.3 Let $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a non-negative diagonal tensor in $T_{m, n}$ and $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right)$ be a $P_{0}$ tensor in $T_{m, n}$. Then,
(i) $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leq \alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}}\right)$ whenever $m$ is even;
(ii) $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leq \alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}}\right)$ for all principal sub-tensors $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$;
(iii) $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leq \alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}}\right)$ for all principal sub-tensors $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$, when $m$ is even;
(iv) $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leq \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|\right)$;
(v) $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \leq \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n}\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$, when $m$ is even.

Proof (i) By the definition of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors, clearly $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor. Then, $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is well defined. Since $m$ is even, then $x_{i}^{m}>0$ for $x_{i} \neq 0$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right) & =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& \leq \min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left\{x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}+d_{i} x_{i}^{m}\right\}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m}(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}) \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{D}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Let a principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ be given. Then for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)^{\top} \in \mathfrak{R}^{r}$, we may define $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})=\left(y_{1}(\mathbf{z}), y_{2}(\mathbf{z}), \ldots, y_{n}(\mathbf{z})\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $y_{i}(\mathbf{z})=z_{i}$ for $i \in J$ and $y_{i}(\mathbf{z})=0$ for $i \notin J$. Thus, $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty}=\|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}=\|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right) & =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& \leq \min _{\|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{2}^{2-m} \max _{i \in I_{n}}\left\{\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right\}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} z_{i}\left(\|\mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A}_{r}^{J} \mathbf{z}^{m-1}\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\min _{\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} z_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}}(\mathbf{z})\right)_{i}\right\} \\
& =\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we may show (iii).
(iv) Since for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i} \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}\left\|T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|_{\infty}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

Then,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i} \leq\left\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|_{\infty}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\} \leq\left\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|_{\infty},
$$

and hence, by Lemma 4.1, the desired conclusion follows.
Similarly, we may show (v).

### 4.4 Necessary and Sufficient Conditions Based Upon $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions for a tensor $A \in T_{m, n}$ to be a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor, based upon $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$.

Theorem 4.4 Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is positive (non-negative);
(ii) when $m$ is even, $\mathcal{A}$ is a $P\left(P_{0}\right)$ tensor if and only if $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is positive (non-negative).

Proof We only prove the case for P tensors. The proof for the $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor case is similar.
(i) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a P tensor. Then, it follows from the definition of P tensors that for each nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0
$$

and so

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0 .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\}>0
$$

If $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0$, then it is obvious that for each nonzero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)\right)_{i} \geq \alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0
$$

Hence,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}} y_{i}\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y})\right)_{i}=\max _{i \in I_{n}} y_{i}\left(\|\mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0
$$

Thus, $y_{j}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_{j}>0$ for some $j \in I_{n}$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor.
(ii) Assume that $m$ is even.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a P tensor. Then for each nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists an $i \in I_{n}$ such that $x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}>0$, and so

$$
0<x_{i}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}=x_{i}^{\frac{2-m}{m-1}}\left(x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\right) .
$$

Since $m$ is even, we have $x_{i}^{\frac{2-m}{m-1}}>0$ for $x_{i} \neq 0$, and so,

$$
0<x_{i}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_{i}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}=x_{i}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i} .
$$

That is, for each nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}>0$. Thus, we have

$$
\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1}\left\{\max _{i \in I_{n}} x_{i}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i}\right\}>0 .
$$

If $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0$, then it is obvious that for each nonzero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max _{i \in I_{n}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)_{i}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)\right)_{i} \geq \alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0
$$

Hence, there exists a $j \in I_{n}$ such that

$$
y_{j}\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y})\right)_{j}=y_{j}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_{j}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}>0
$$

Thus,

$$
y_{j}^{m-2}\left(y_{j}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_{j}\right)=y_{j}^{m-1}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_{j}>0
$$

Since $m$ is even, we have $y_{j}^{m-2}>0$. Hence, $y_{j}\left(\mathcal{A} y^{m-1}\right)_{j}>0$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is a P tensor.

## 5 B and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ Tensors

An $n$-dimensional B matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is a square real matrix with its entries satisfying that for all $i \in I_{n}$

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}>0 \text { and } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}>b_{i k}, \quad i \neq k
$$

Many nice properties and applications of such B matrices have been studied by Peña [17,18]. It was proved that $B$ matrices are a subclass of $P$ matrices in [17].

As a natural extension of $B$ matrices, we now give the definition of $B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors.

Definition 5.1 Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a B tensor iff for all $i \in I_{n}$

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right)>b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} \quad \text { for all }\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)
$$

We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensor iff for all $i \in I_{n}$

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \geq b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} \quad \text { for all }\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)
$$

Unlike P and $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensors, it is easily checkable if a given tensor in $T_{m, n}$ is a B or $B_{0}$ tensor or not.

### 5.1 Entries of $B$ and $B_{0}$ Tensors

We first study some properties of entries of $B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors.
Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B$ tensor, then for each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
b_{i i \cdots i}>\max \left\{0, b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} ;\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i), j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m} \in I_{n}\right\} .
$$

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B_{0}$ tensor, then for each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
b_{i i \cdots i} \geq \max \left\{0, b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} ;\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i), j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m} \in I_{n}\right\} .
$$

Proof Suppose that $\mathcal{B} \in T_{m, n}$ is a B tensor. By Definition 5.1 that for all $i \in I_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right)>b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} \quad \text { for all }\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}=\max \left\{b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}:\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)\right\}$. Then, it follows from (9) that

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>n^{m-1} b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}} \geq b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}+\sum_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)} b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}
$$

Thus,

$$
b_{i i i \cdots i}>b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}=\max \left\{b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}:\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)\right\}
$$

Therefore, $b_{i i i \cdots i}>0$. In fact, suppose $b_{i i i \cdots i} \leq 0$. Then, $\max \left\{b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}\right.$ : $\left.\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)\right\}<b_{i i i \cdots i} \leq 0$, which implies that

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \leq 0
$$

This contradicts to (8). The case for $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors can be proved similarly.
Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. For each $i \in I_{n}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})=\max \left\{0, b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} ;\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i), j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m} \in I_{n}\right\} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of the quantity $\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})$, we will study further the properties of B tensors.
Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. Then, $\mathcal{B}$ is $B$ tensor if and only if for each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>n^{m-1} \beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})
$$

and $\mathcal{B}$ is $B_{0}$ tensor if and only if for each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \geq n^{m-1} \beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})
$$

Proof Since $\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B}) \geq 0$, the desired conclusion directly follows from Definition 5.1.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B$ tensor, then for each $i \in I_{n}$,
(i) $b_{i i \cdots i}>\sum_{b_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}<0}\left|b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right|$;
(ii) $b_{i i \cdots i}>\left|b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}\right|$ for all $\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i), j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m} \in I_{n}$.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B_{0}$ tensor, then (i) and (ii) hold with " $>$ " being replaced by " $\geq$."
Proof Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a B tensor. (i) It follows from Proposition 5.2. that for each $i \in I_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i i \cdots i}-\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})>\sum_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)}\left(\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})-b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \ldots j_{m}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Definition 5.1 that for all $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B}) \geq 0 \text { and } \beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})-b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}} \geq 0 \quad \text { for all }\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)
$$

Then for all $b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}<0$,

$$
\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})-b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \geq\left|b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right|
$$

and

$$
b_{i i \cdots i} \geq b_{i i \cdots i}-\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})
$$

So by (11), we have

$$
b_{i i \cdots i}>\sum_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)}\left(\beta_{i}(\mathcal{B})-b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}\right) \geq \sum_{b_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}<0}\left|b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right| .
$$

(ii) is an obvious conclusion by combining Theorem 5.1. with (i).

The case for $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors can be proved similarly.

### 5.2 Principal Sub-tensors of $B$ and $B_{0}$ Tensors

We now show that every principal sub-tensor of a $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor is a $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor.
Theorem 5.4. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor, then every principal sub-tensor of $\mathcal{B}$ is also a $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor.

Proof Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a B tensor. Let a principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{B}_{r}^{J}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ be given. Then, it follows from Theorem 5.3. (i) that for all $i \in J$,

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in J} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>0
$$

Now it suffices to show that for all $i \in J$,
$\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in J} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>r^{m-1} b_{i j_{2} \cdots j_{m}} \quad$ for all $\left(j_{2}, j_{3}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i), j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m} \in J$.

Suppose not. Then, there is $\left(i, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ such that $i, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m} \in J$ and

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in J} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \leq r^{m-1} b_{i j_{2} \cdots j_{m}}
$$

Let $b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}=\max \left\{b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} ;\left(i_{2}, i_{3}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, i, \ldots, i)\right.$ and $i_{2}, i_{3}, \ldots, i_{m} \in$ $\left.I_{n}\right\}$. Then, $b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}} \geq b_{i j_{2} \cdots j_{m}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{m-1} b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}} & \geq r^{m-1} b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}+\sum\left\{b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}: \text { not all of } i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \text { are in } J\right\} \\
& \geq r^{m-1} b_{i j_{2} j_{3} \cdots j_{m}}+\sum\left\{b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}: \text { not all of } i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \text { are in } J\right\} \\
& \geq \sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in J} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}+\sum\left\{b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}: \text { not all of } i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \text { are in } J\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \leq b_{i k_{2} k_{3} \cdots k_{m}}
$$

which obtains a contradiction since $\mathcal{B}$ is a $B$ tensor.
The case for $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors can be proved similarly.

### 5.3 The Relationship with M Tensors

Recall that a tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$ is called a Z tensor iff all of its off-diagonal entries are non-positive, i.e., $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}} \leq 0$ when never $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i)[19] ; \mathcal{A}$ is called diagonally dominated iff for all $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
a_{i \cdots i} \geq \sum\left\{\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|:\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i), i_{j} \in I_{n}, j=2, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is called strictly diagonally dominated iff for all $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
a_{i \cdots i}>\sum\left\{\left|a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right|:\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i), i_{j} \in I_{n}, j=2, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

It was proved in [19] that a diagonally dominated Z tensor is an M tensor, and a strictly diagonally dominated Z tensor is a strong M tensor. The definition of M tensors may be found in [19,29]. Strong M tensors are called non-singular tensors in [29]. Laplacian tensors of uniform hypergraphs, defined as a natural extension of Laplacian matrices of graphs, are M tensors [16,21-23].

Now we give the properties of a $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor under the condition that it is a $Z$ tensor.

Theorem 5.5. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right) \in T_{m, n}$ be a $Z$ tensor. Then, the following properties are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{B}$ is $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor;
(ii) for each $i \in n, \quad \sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}$ is positive (non-negative);
(iii) $\mathcal{B}$ is strictly diagonally dominant (diagonally dominated).

Proof We now prove the case for B tensors. The proof for the $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensor case is similar. It follows from Definition 5.1 that (i) implies (ii).

Since $\mathcal{B}$ be a $Z$ tensor, all of its off-diagonal entries are non-positive. Thus, for any of its off-diagonal entry $b_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}$, we have $\left|b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right|=-b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}$. Thus, (ii) means that for $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{i i i \cdots i} & >-\sum\left\{b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}:\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i), i_{j} \in I_{n}, j=2, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& =\sum\left\{\left|b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}\right|:\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i), i_{j} \in I_{n}, j=2, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (ii) implies (iii).
From (iii), it is obvious that for each $i \in I_{n}$,

$$
\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>0
$$

Since all the off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{B}$ are non-positive, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=1}^{n} b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}}>0 \geq b_{i i_{2} i_{3} \cdots i_{m}} \quad \text { for all }\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \neq(i, \ldots, i)
$$

This shows that (iii) implies (i).
From this theorem, we see that if a $Z$ tensor is also a $B_{0}(B)$ tensor, then it is a (strong) M tensor.

## 6 Questions and Perspectives

Question 6.1 Is there an odd order non-symmetric P tensor? Is there an odd order nonzero nonsymmetric $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor?

Question 6.2 For a P matrix $P$, Mathias [25] showed that $\alpha(A)$ has a strictly positive lower bound. Then for a P tensor $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}(m>2)$, does $\alpha\left(F_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ or $\alpha\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ have a strictly positive lower bound?

Question 6.3 It is well known that $A$ is a P matrix if and only if the linear complementarity problem

$$
\text { find } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { such that } \mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q}+A \mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0} \text {, and } \mathbf{z}^{\top}(\mathbf{q}+A \mathbf{z})=0
$$

has a unique solution for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then for a P tensor $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m, n}(m>2)$, does a similar property hold for the following nonlinear complementarity problem

$$
\text { find } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { such that } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q}+\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0}, \text { and } \mathbf{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{q}+\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)=0 \text { ? }
$$

Question 6.4 When $m=2$, it is known that each B matrix is a P matrix. If $m$ is odd, in general, a $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right)$ tensor is not a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor. For example, let $a_{i \cdots i}=1$ and $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}=0$ otherwise. Then, $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}\right)$ is the identity tensor. When $m$ is odd, the identity tensor is a $B$ tensor, but not a P or $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ tensor. But we still make ask, when $m \geq 4$ and is even, is a $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right)$ tensor a $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor?

Question 6.5 A symmetric $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ tensor is positive (semi-)definite. When $m \geq 4$ and is even, is a symmetric $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor positive (semi-)definite? If the answer is "yes" to this question, then we will have another checkable sufficient condition for positive (semi-)definite tensors.

Question 6.6 What are the spectral properties of a B $\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right)$ tensor?
Question 6.7 When $m \geq 4$ and is even, is a symmetric non-negative $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensor positive (semi-)definite? If the answer is "yes" to this question, then we will have more understanding on positive semi-definite, non-negative tensors.

We may also ask the following question:
Question 6.8 What is the relation between non-negative $B\left(B_{0}\right)$ tensors and completely positive tensors introduced in [33]?

In this paper, we make an initial study on $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors. We see that they are linked with positive (semi-)definite tensors and M tensors, which are useful in automatical control, magnetic resonance imaging and spectral hypergraph theory. The six questions at the ends of Sects. 3-5 pointed out some further research directions.

In the following, we point out the potential links between the above results and optimization, nonlinear equations, nonlinear complementarity problems, variational inequalities and the non-negative tensor theory.
(i) We now discuss the potential link between the above results and optimization, nonlinear equations, nonlinear complementarity problems and variational inequalities. Question 6.3 has also pointed out the potential link between P tensor and nonlinear complementarity problems. We may also consider the optimization problem

$$
\min \left\{\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m}+\mathbf{q}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right\}
$$

the nonlinear equations [30]

$$
\mathcal{A} x^{m-1}=\mathbf{q}
$$

and the variational inequality problem [3,4]

$$
\text { find } \mathbf{x}_{*} \in X \text {, such that }\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)^{\top} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}_{*}^{m-1} \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \in X \text {, }
$$

where $X$ is a non-empty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. When $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}$, B or $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensor, what properties we can obtain for the above problems?
(ii) We now further discuss the potential link between the above results and the nonnegative tensor theory. The non-negative tensor theory at least include two parts: the non-negative tensor decomposition [31] and the spectral theory of non-negative tensors [32]. The recent paper [33] linked these two parts. However, there are still many questions not answered in non-negative tensors. In the non-negative matrix theory [34], a doubly non-negative matrix is a positive semi-definite, non-negative matrix. The research on positive semi-definite, non-negative tensors is very little. Thus, we may ask a question weaker than Question 6.5.

In a word, this paper is only an initial study on $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors. Many questions for these tensors are waiting for answers.

It should be pointed out that after the first version of this paper, two more papers $[35,36]$ on $P, P_{0}$, $B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors appeared. In [35], it was proved that an even order symmetric $B_{0}$ tensor is positive semi-definite and an even order symmetric $B$ tensor is positive definite. Some further properties of $P, P_{0}, B$ and $B_{0}$ tensors were obtained in [36]. These answered some questions raised in this paper and enriched the theory of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{0}$, B and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ tensors.

## 7 Conclusions

In this paper, we extend some classes of structured matrices to higher-order tensors. We discuss their relationships with positive semi-definite tensors and some other structured tensors. We show that every principal sub-tensor of such a structured tensor is still a structured tensor in the same class, with a lower dimension. The potential links and applications of such structured tensors are also discussed.

There are more research topics on structured tensors. In particular, can one construct an efficient algorithm to compute the extreme eigenvalues of a special structured tensor, other than the largest eigenvalue of a non-negative tensor? It is well known [32] that there are efficient algorithms for computing the largest eigenvalue of a non-negative tensor. Until now, there are no polynomial-time algorithms for computing extreme eigenvalues of structured tensors in the other cases. The first challenging problem is to construct an efficient algorithm to compute the smallest real eigenvalue of a Hilbert tensor [37], with the condition that such a real eigenvalue has a real eigenvector. A further challenging problem is to address the above problem for a Cauchy tensor [38] instead of a Hilbert tensor. Note that the Hilbert tensor is a special case of the Cauchy tensor [38].
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