

PROPERTY L AND W^* ALGEBRAS OF TYPE I¹

EDWARD SARIAN

(Received September 29, 1978, revised March 10, 1979)

Abstract. Type I W^* algebras do not have property L .

Let \mathcal{A} be a W^* algebra acting in separable Hilbert space h and let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the unitary operators in \mathcal{A} . Corollary I.5.10 of [3] states that \mathcal{A} has direct integral decomposition into factors given by $\mathcal{A} = \int_A \bigoplus \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$. This paper assumes the reader is familiar with [4] and Chapter I of [3].

DEFINITION. \mathcal{A} has *property L* if there is a sequence $\{U_n\}$ contained in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\{U_n\} \rightarrow 0$ weakly and such that $\{U_n A U_n^*\} \rightarrow A$ strongly for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Property L is a partial form of commutivity that was introduced by Pukánszky in [2]. We shall use direct integral theory to show that no type I W^* algebra has property L .

We establish some notation before proving two essential lemmas. \mathcal{A}' denotes the commutant of \mathcal{A} and is also a W^* algebra. By the center of \mathcal{A} , we mean the abelian W^* algebra $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}'$. \mathcal{A}_1 represents the unit ball of \mathcal{A} and h_∞ denotes the underlying Hilbert space of h , i.e., $h = \int_A \bigoplus h_\infty \mu(d\lambda)$ (cf. [3] Definition I.2.4).

LEMMA 1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \int_A \bigoplus \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ be a W^* algebra acting in h and let S denote $B(h_\infty)_1$ taken with the strong- $*$ operator topology. Then if N is a Borel subset of A , the set $F = \{(\lambda, T) \mid \lambda \in N, T \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \cap S\}$ is a Borel subset of $A \times S$.

PROOF. By [3] Lemma I.4.11, S is a complete separable metric space. Let d denote the metric which defines the topology on S . By [4] Lemma 1.5(a, c), there is a countable sequence of disjoint closed subsets e_i of A such that if $e = A - \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty e_i$, then $\mu(e) = 0$ and there is

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L10.

Key Words and Phrases. Type I W^* algebra, property L .

¹ This paper is part of the author's doctoral dissertation which was completed at Stevens Institute of Technology under the direction of Dr. Paul Willig.

a countable sequence of operators $\{A_n\}$ contained in \mathscr{A} such that $\{A_n(\lambda)\}$ is strong-* dense in $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)_1$ μ -a.e., and each $A_n(\lambda)$ is strong-* continuous on each set e_i .

Define subsets $F(i, j, m)$ of $A \times S$ as sets of all pairs (λ, T) satisfying the following conditions:

- a) $\lambda \in N \cap e_i$,
- b) $d(T, A_m(\lambda)) \leq 1/j$.

Condition (a) defines a Borel set. Condition (b) defines a closed set. Thus $F(i, j, m)$ is a Borel subset of $A \times S$ and so is $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty F(i, j, m)$.
 q.e.d.

LEMMA 2. Let \mathscr{A} be a type I W^* algebra acting in h . Let $\{V_n\}$ be a sequence contained in $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{A})$ such that $\{V_n^*AV_n - A\} \rightarrow 0$ strongly for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$. Then $\{V_n\}$ has a subsequence that converges strongly to a unitary $V \in \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{A})$.

PROOF. Let $\mathscr{A} = \int_A \bigoplus \mathscr{A}(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$ be the direct integral decomposition of \mathscr{A} into factors. Since \mathscr{A} is of type I, $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$ is a type I factor μ -a.e. For each $x \in h$ and $A \in \mathscr{A}$, $|(AV_n - V_nA)x| = |V_n(V_n^*AV_n - A)x| \leq |V_n| |(V_n^*AV_n - A)x| = |(V_n^*AV_n - A)x| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Thus $\{AV_n - V_nA\} \rightarrow 0$ strongly for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$. By weak compactness of $B(h)_1$, $\{V_n\}$ has a subsequence, again called $\{V_n\}$, that converges weakly to some operator V . Thus $|V| \leq 1$. Since \mathscr{A} is weakly closed, $V \in \mathscr{A}$ and we may write $V = \int_A \bigoplus V(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$ by [3] Lemma I.5.2. Also $|V| = \mu$ -ess. sup. $|V(\lambda)|$ by [3] Lemma I.3.1. We shall show that $|V(\lambda)| \geq 1$ μ -a.e. so that $|V| \geq 1$ also, and it follows that $|V| = 1$.

To prove our assertion we argue as follows. Let $\{x_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for h_∞ such that $\{x_1\}$ is a basis for h_1 , $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is a basis for h_2 , etc., where $\{h_i\}$ is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces generating h_∞ (cf. [3] Definition I.2.4).

Let S, e and the e_i be as in Lemma 1 and define subsets $E(i)$ of $A \times S$ as sets of all pairs (λ, T) satisfying the following conditions:

- a) $\lambda \in e_i$,
- b) $T \in \mathscr{A}(\lambda) \cap S$,
- c) $Tx_1 = x_1, Tx_j = 0$ for $j > 1$.

Condition (a) defines a closed set. By Lemma 1, conditions (a) and (b) define a Borel set. Condition (c) defines a closed set and shows that T is an operator belonging to S . Thus $E(i)$ is a Borel subset of $A \times S$ and so is $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E(i)$. By [3] Lemma I.4.3, E is analytic.

If Π is the projection of $A \times S$ onto A , then $F = \Pi(E)$ is contained

in $A - e$ and by [3] Lemmas I.4.4 and I.4.6, F is analytic and μ -measurable. Since $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ is a type I factor μ -a.e., we know that $T \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ μ -a.e., and it follows that F differs from A by a μ -null set. By [3] Lemma I.4.7, there exists a Borel subset F_1 of F with positive measure and a μ -measurable mapping g of F_1 into S such that $(\lambda, g(\lambda)) \in E$ for each $\lambda \in F_1$. Put $g(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \notin F_1$ and define μ -measurable operator valued function $B(\lambda)$ by $B(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$. Then by [3] Definition I.2.5, we may write $B = \int_A \oplus B(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ by [3] Lemma I.5.2. By hypothesis, $\{V_n^* B V_n\}$ converges strongly and hence weakly to B .

Since \mathcal{A} is decomposable, V_n is decomposable for each n and we may write $V_n = \int_A \oplus V_n(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$. By [4] Lemma 1.7, $([V_n(\lambda)^* B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda) - B(\lambda)]x, y) \rightarrow 0$ in μ -measure for each $x, y \in h_\infty$ and in particular for $x = y = x_1$. Since $\{V_n\} \rightarrow V$ weakly, the same reasoning shows that $(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1) \rightarrow (V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)$ in μ -measure. Since μ is a finite measure it follows that $|(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1 \rightarrow |(V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1$ in μ -measure also (cf. [1] Section 3.20).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & ([V_n(\lambda)^* B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda) - B(\lambda)]x_1, x_1) \\ &= (V_n(\lambda)^* B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1) - (B(\lambda)x_1, x_1) \\ &= (B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda)x_1, V_n(\lambda)x_1) - (x_1, x_1) \\ &= ((V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)x_1, V_n(\lambda)x_1) - 1 \\ &= (V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)(x_1, V_n(\lambda)x_1) - 1 \\ &= (V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)\overline{(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)} - 1 \\ &= |(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

That $B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda)x_1 = (V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)x_1$ can be obtained as follows. Let $V_n(\lambda)x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i(\lambda)x_i$. Then $B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda)x_1 = c_1(\lambda)x_1 = (V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)x_1$. Thus $|(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1 \rightarrow 0$ in μ -measure and it follows that $|(V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)| = 1$ μ -a.e. (cf. [1] Section 3.20 Theorem 3). Now $|(V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)| \leq |V(\lambda)||x_1|^2 = |V(\lambda)|$ by the Schwarz inequality; thus $1 \leq |V(\lambda)|$ μ -a.e. Then by the last sentence of the first paragraph of the present proof, we have $|V| = 1$.

We shall show next that $V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ and that V is unitary. Since strong convergence implies weak convergence, we know that $\{AV_n - V_n A\} \rightarrow 0$ weakly for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and since $\{V_n\} \rightarrow V$ weakly, it follows that $\{AV_n - V_n A\} \rightarrow AV - VA$ weakly for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $AV - VA = 0$ or, equivalently, $V \in \mathcal{A}'$ so that $V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$. By [3] Theorem I.5.9, V is a diagonal operator. Thus for μ -a.a. λ , $V(\lambda)$ is a bounded

Borel measurable scalar valued function by [3] Definition I.2.5. Then if we apply [3] Lemma I.3.1 to VV^* , we have $VV^* = \int \oplus V(\lambda)V(\lambda)^*\mu(d\lambda) = \int \oplus V(\lambda)\overline{V(\lambda)}\mu(d\lambda) = \int \oplus |V(\lambda)|^2 I\mu(d\lambda) = \int \oplus I\mu(d\lambda) = I$ and we can show $V^*V = I$ similarly.

Finally, the strong convergence of $\{V_n\}$ to V is an immediate consequence of the weak convergence, the identity $| (V_n - V)x |^2 = ([V_n - V]x, [V_n - V]x) = (V_n x, V_n x) - (Vx, V_n x) - (V_n x, Vx) + (Vx, Vx)$ and the fact that $(V_n x, V_n x) = (x, x) = (Vx, Vx)$. q.e.d.

THEOREM 3. *Type I W^* algebras do not have property L.*

PROOF. If $\{U_n\}$ is a sequence of unitaries demonstrating property L, then by putting $V_n = U_n^*$ and applying Lemma 2, we arrive at a contradiction. q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. K. BERBERIAN, *Measure and Integration*, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1965.
- [2] L. PUKÁNSZKY, Some examples of factors, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 4 (1956), 135-156.
- [3] J. T. SCHWARTZ, *W^* algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
- [4] P. WILLIG, Trace norms, global properties, and direct integral decompositions of W^* algebras, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22 (1969), 839-862.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE
 NEW JERSEY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102
 U.S.A.