

Prosopis pods as human food, with special reference to Kenya[#]

SK Choge¹, NM Pasiecznik², M Harvey³, J Wright³, SZ Awan³ and PJC Harris^{4*}

¹ Kenya Forestry Research Institute, P.O. Box 20412, 00200 Nairobi, Kenya

² Agroforestry Enterprises, Villebeuf, 71550 Cussy-en-Morvan, France

³ Henry Doubleday Research Association, Ryton Organic Gardens, Coventry CV8 3LG, UK

⁴ Department of Geography, Environment and Disaster Management, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK

Abstract

Several legume tree and shrub species of the genus *Prosopis* from South and Central America have been distributed around the dry regions of the world over the past 200 years. The first documented introduction of *Prosopis* in Kenya was in 1973, since when it has spread widely, adversely affecting natural habitats, rangelands and cultivated areas. *P. juliflora* is the most common naturalised species in Kenya, but *P. pallida* also occurs. In contrast to their undesirable effects as invasive weeds, many *Prosopis* species are valuable multipurpose resources in their native range, providing timber, firewood, livestock feed, human food, shade, shelter and soil improvement. The pods, which are high in sugars, carbohydrates and protein, have been a historic source of food for human populations in North and South America providing flour and other edible products. However, this indigenous knowledge has not followed the *Prosopis* trees and the fruit are unused or provide only fodder for livestock in most of Africa and Asia. Although *Prosopis* will not easily be eradicated in Kenya, a degree of control may be achieved through intensive utilisation of tree products and by improved management. In 2005, a project was launched in Kenya to develop income-generating activities using *Prosopis*. A workshop in 2006 explored the possibility of producing locally-acceptable food from *Prosopis* flour. Taste tests and feedback on the different recipes indicated that all of the food made with 20% *Prosopis* flour had a pleasant taste. Preliminary analyses of *Prosopis* flour samples from Kenya indicate good nutritional properties, but also the presence of aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A. Further study is required to determine toxin levels in freshly harvested pods, and in pods and flour after various periods of storage, and to develop appropriate harvesting and storage methods to maximise nutritional benefit and minimise risk to human health.

Keywords: Aflatoxin, human food, Kenya, Ochratoxin A, *Prosopis*

Introduction

The genus *Prosopis* in the family *Leguminosae* (*Fabaceae*), subfamily *Mimosoideae* is native to the Americas, Africa and Asia, and comprises 44 species (Burkart, 1976). *Prosopis* species are generally fast-growing, drought-resistant, nitrogen-fixing trees or shrubs adapted to poor and saline soils in arid and semi-arid zones. Several species from South and Central America, especially the sub-tropical *P. chilensis*, *P. glandulosa* and *P. velutina*, and the tropical *P. juliflora* and *P. pallida*, have been distributed around the world over the last 200 years and are now widespread in dry parts of Sahelian and East Africa, South Africa, Pakistan, India, Brazil and Australia (Pasiecznik et al., 2001).

The earliest known introductions of *Prosopis* to Africa were to Senegal (1822), South Africa (1880) and Egypt (1900) (Zimmerman, 1991; Pasiecznik et al., 2001). In Kenya, the first documented introduction of *Prosopis*, from Brazil and Hawaii, was in 1973 for rehabilitation of quarries near Mombassa (Johansson, 1990). During the 1970s and early 1980s, there were uncoordinated introductions of *Prosopis* to many parts of Kenya by NGOs and government departments with seed sourced from

commercial suppliers without reference to origin or quality (Johansson, 1990; Otsamo and Maua, 1993). Over the years, *Prosopis* has spread outside the designated plantation areas, adversely affecting natural habitats, rangelands and cultivated areas in many parts of the country (Choge et al., 2002). *P. juliflora* is the most common naturalised species but *P. pallida* has also been confirmed by the present authors. *Prosopis* has been declared a noxious weed in Kenya, with legal disputes over compensation for its spread and subsequent loss of livelihoods, especially in pastoral regions.

In contrast to their undesirable effects as invasive weeds, many *Prosopis* species are valuable multipurpose resources in their native range, providing timber, firewood, livestock feed, human food, shade, shelter and soil improvement (Pasiecznik et al., 2001). This paper reviews the extensive scientific literature on the uses of *Prosopis* as human food. Also presented are the results of a project launched in Kenya to develop income-generating activities using *Prosopis*. Analysis of *Prosopis* pod flour from Kenya was undertaken to assess the food quality and safety of locally prepared material. A workshop in Kenya in 2006 explored the possibility of producing locally acceptable food from *Prosopis* flour.

Prosopis as human food

Pod yields as high as 100 kg·yr⁻¹, and often 20 to 50 kg·yr⁻¹ have been recorded for single trees. If cultivated as an orchard crop, production is highly variable, normally ranging from 1 to 8 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹, but *Prosopis* can yield up to 10 t·ha⁻¹ of fruit (Felker, 1979). The pods have been an historic source of food for human populations, for example in the Sonoran Desert in North Amer-

[#] Revised version. Originally presented at the International Symposium on the Nutritional Value and Water Use of Indigenous Crops for Improved Livelihoods held on 19 and 20 September 2006 at the University of Pretoria in Pretoria, South Africa

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

☎ +44 24 7688 8483; fax: +44 24 7688 8400;

e-mail: p.harris@coventry.ac.uk

ica, where Indian tribes made flour and dough with the dried or toasted pulp from ripe pods (Simpson, 1977). In Northern Argentina, flour made from the pulp of *Prosopis* is known as 'patay' and is still consumed today (Ochoa, 1996). In Peru, *P. pallida* pods are ground into flour to make bread, cakes or a rich porridge. Traditionally, dried pods were pounded in a pestle and mortar to produce a coarse flour, or ground using a variety of stone mills (Felger, 1977). Other methods of manual or mechanical grinding have also proved successful for processing *Prosopis* pods (Meyer et al., 1982; Saunders et al., 1986; Del Valle et al., 1987; Grados and Cruz, 1996). The absence of starch is a limitation to *Prosopis* flour levels in bread formulations. Mixing 5-25% *Prosopis* flour with wheat flour produces products which have acceptable taste. Sweet bread containing 5% *P. pallida* flour is acceptable in texture and taste, though up to 25% has been used in making biscuits, which also reduces the amount of additional sugar required (Cruz, 1999).

Flour is the main product considered in this paper, but *Prosopis* pods also yield an impressive range of other food products. In Peru, pods of *P. pallida* are boiled into syrup called 'algarrobina'. Another food product from *Prosopis* is 'yupisín', a beverage which is obtained by water extraction of the sugars from the pod. A fermented beverage, 'aloja' can be produced and is used as a substitute for beer or wine (Cruz, 1986; Ochoa, 1996). In Brazil, the production of a protein isolate (Baião et al., 1987) and a protein-enriched flour (Ruiz, 1997) from *P. juliflora* seeds and its application in bread-making have been reported. *P. pallida* pulp flour can also be used as an ingredient in many other food preparations, such as cakes, ice creams and other desserts. *P. pallida* pulp flour has been converted into an instantly soluble powder, and could be used as a cocoa powder substitute. Coffee substitute has been made from *P. juliflora* in Brazil (Azevedo Rocha, 1987).

der substitute. Coffee substitute has been made from *P. juliflora* in Brazil (Azevedo Rocha, 1987).

Nutritional qualities

The fruit produced by *Prosopis* is high in sugars, carbohydrates and protein. Pods from species of section *Algarobia* of the genus, which includes the common weedy species in Africa, contain 7 to 22% protein, 30 to 75% carbohydrates, 11 to 35% crude fibre, 1 to 6% fat and 3 to 6% ash (e.g. Oduol et al., 1986; Galera et al., 1992; Anttila et al., 1993). Care should be taken in interpreting food value data for *Prosopis* from the literature as these may be given for whole pods, or for only the pulp (mesocarp) or seed fractions. Table 1 shows the proximate analyses of whole pods of *P. juliflora* and *P. pallida*, the two species introduced into Kenya.

Further analyses of *P. pallida* fruit, but for pulp only, are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4. The main soluble component of the pulp of *P. pallida* is sucrose (46%), representing over 90% of total soluble sugars, while the reducing sugars, glucose, fructose and xylose, are present in very small amounts (Cruz et al., 1987; Sáenz et al., 1987). Talpada (1985) found that the sugar content of *P. juliflora* pods varied from 13 to 20% in different seasons and years, showing a strong environmental effect on pod composition. Soluble sugars from the pericarp of *P. juliflora* from Ecuador comprise 75% sucrose, 12% fructose, 5% glucose, 5% inositol and 1% raffinose (Marangoni and Alli, 1988).

Dietary fibre represents 30% of the pulp and is largely insoluble. More than half of the fibre fraction consists of neutral polysaccharides (Bravo et al., 1994). High iron levels have been reported in *P. juliflora* from Ecuador and Brazil (Figueiredo,

TABLE 1
Proximate analysis of whole *P. juliflora* (PJ) and *P. pallida* (PP) pods (%)

Species	Location	Dry matter	Crude protein	Crude fibre	Ether extract	Ash	Nitrogen free extract	Source
PP	Peru	85.9	9.1	18.4	1.0	3.9	65.3	a
PJ	Peru	82.0	9.1	13.6	0.4	5.8	71.1	a
PP	Brazil	-	8.1	22.1	1.3	5.0	64.0	b
PJ	Brazil	87.4	7.1	12.3	1.1	3.3	63.6	c
PJ	India	88.5	12.3	28.0	1.3	1.4	46.3	d
PJ	Mexico	90.1	16.2	23.4	3.5	6.0	50.9	a
PJ	Niger	92.6	12.9	18.0	4.0	4.5	58.9	e
PJ	Kenya	-	16.0	22.0	3.4	4.5	54.1	f
PJ	S. Africa	-	13.9	27.7	3.0	4.8	50.6	g

From: a - Díaz Celis (1995); b - Lima (1994); c - Galera et al. (1992); d - Anon. (1943); e - Touzeau (1973); f - Anttila et al. (1993); g - Gohl (1981).

TABLE 2	
Composition of <i>P. pallida</i> pulp	
Component	(g/100 g dry matter)
Total soluble sugars	48.5
Total dietary fibre	32.2
Protein (N x 6.25)	8.1
Fat	0.8
Ash	3.6

Adapted from: Cruz et al. (1987); Cruz (1990); Saura et al. (1991); Salazar (1993); Bravo et al. (1994); Grados and Cruz (1996).

TABLE 3
Mineral and vitamin composition of pulp from *P. pallida* pods

Minerals	(g/kg dry matter)	Vitamins	(mg/kg sample)
Potassium	26.5	Vitamin A	not detected
Sodium	1.1	Vitamin E	5
Calcium	0.8	Vitamin B1	1.9
Magnesium	0.9	Vitamin B2	0.6
Copper	Trace	Vitamin B6	2.35
Zinc	Trace	Nicotinic acid	31
Manganese	Trace	Vitamin C	60
Iron	0.3	Folic acid	0.18
		Calcium pantothenate	10.5

From: Cruz et al. (1987).

Amino acid	(g/100 g dry matter)	Amino acid	(g/100 g dry matter)
Aspartic acid	8.51	Isoleucine	3.26
Threonine	4.68	Leucine	7.94
Serine	4.96	Tyrosine	2.84
Glutamic acid	10.07	Phenylalanine	2.98
Proline	23.40	Lysine	4.26
Glycine	4.68	Histidine	1.99
Alanine	4.26	Arginine	4.82
Cysteine	0.43	Tryptophan	0.89
Methionine	0.57	Hydroxyproline	2.13
Valine	7.80		

From: Cruz et al. (1987).

1975; Marangoni and Alli, 1988) but no figures for its bio-availability are given. The vitamins C, B6 and calcium pantothenate are present in significant amounts in pulp from *P. pallida* pods (Grados and Cruz, 1996).

Nearly all the essential amino acids are present in amounts which fulfil the requirements of the FAO/WHO 'standard protein', thus indicating an acceptable nutritional quality of the protein. Methionine and cysteine are the limiting amino acids. The fat content of pulp is low (Table 2), but is reported to be 7% in *P. pallida* seed cotyledons (Jiménez and Vergara 1977) with the major fatty acids found in extracted oil being linoleic acid (39%), oleic acid (29%), palmitic acid (13%) and stearic acid (10%). Similar values have been reported for *P. juliflora* (Marangoni and Alli, 1988). Table 5 shows the composition of flour from whole *P. juliflora* pods produced in the course of the present study. This confirms the product as a high protein, high sugar material of considerable human food value.

Food safety

Consumption of *Prosopis* products by humans, even as a staple food, has not resulted in any recorded harmful effects on health (Pasiiecznik et al., 2001). Both Pak et al. (1977) with *P. tamarugo* and Becker and Grosjean (1980) with *P. glandulosa* and *P. velutina* failed to detect cyanogenic glycosides, but the latter authors did find phytohaemagglutinins in the seeds which meant they had to be heated (cooked) to eliminate this. Significantly smaller amounts of polyphenols and tannins were found in pods of *P. pallida* fruit than in carob (*Ceratonia siliqua*) pods (Salazar 1993; Bravo et al., 1994). *Prosopis* pods and seeds have been reported to be almost totally devoid of trypsin inhibitor activity (< 6 TIU/mg) (Zolfaghari and Harden, 1982; Ortega-Nieblas et al., 1996), although a trypsin inhibitor from *P. juliflora* seeds has been characterised (Monte-Negreiros et al., 1991). Hexanal, which is an indicator of rancidity from oxidation of fats, was found in whole ground *Prosopis* seeds while mesocarp flour was devoid of this compound (Felker, pers. comm.). *Prosopis* flour from Argentina has been found to be free of aflatoxins (Felker, pers. comm.). In contrast, pods of *Prosopis* spp. in the wild in the Sonoran Desert in Arizona, USA, have been reported to have high levels of aflatoxin and to be important reservoirs of aflatoxin-producing fungi (Boyd and Cotty, 2001). Insect damaged pods had significantly higher aflatoxin than intact pods.

From weed to resource

Although food products made from *Prosopis* flour are consumed

Component	(value/100 g dry matter)
Protein (g)	16.2
Total sugars (g)	13.0
Fructose (g)	3.2
Glucose (g)	0.8
Galactose (g)	0.8
Sucrose (g)	7.5
Maltose (g)	<0.4
Lactose (g)	0.7
Carbohydrate (g)	69.2
Energy value (kJ)	1530
Dietary fibre (g)	47.8
Fat (g)	2.12
Monosaturated fatty acids (g)	0.4
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (g)	1.06
Saturated fatty acids (g)	0.56
Sodium (mg)	20
Ash (g)	6.0
Total solids (g)	93.5

in the native range in South and Central America, this indigenous knowledge has not followed *Prosopis* trees across the Atlantic, where the fruits are unused or provide only fodder for livestock. Pasiiecznik (2002) argues that in Central and South America, many rural economies rely heavily on native *Prosopis* to supply a trade in processed products, while this is not the case where it was introduced. Thus, one reason why it often becomes an invasive weed, is because the full range of its uses and management is not known.

Although *Prosopis* will not easily be eradicated in Kenya, a degree of control may be achieved through intensive utilisation of tree products and by improved management. Trading of its products, converting a weed into a valuable resource, presents an opportunity for socio-economic benefits to the communities living in marginal areas of the country where extensive areas of *Prosopis* are found. Furthermore, invasive *Prosopis* is now common in many of the parts of Sahelian and eastern Africa, including Kenya and Niger where large populations face malnutrition due to drought, a situation exacerbated by mass movements of refugees in response to food shortages and military conflicts. In these situations *Prosopis* has potential at least as a famine food, if not as a regular source of nutrition.

Developing *Prosopis* as a human food in Kenya

Village-level trials

In 2005, a project was launched in Kenya to develop income-generating activities using *Prosopis*. This project is working with villages in the Baringo District, which was selected because of the problem with invasive *Prosopis*, its dry bushland ecology and low agricultural potential, high levels of poverty, good communication facilities, and proximity to urban markets. *P. juliflora* was introduced to the area in 1982 and has spread considerably.

The first phase of the project was a workshop in Marigat village in February 2006. The aim of the workshop was to

demonstrate and discuss *Prosopis* management and utilisation methods including its use as human food. The workshop ran over four days with twenty-six trainees and a further ten participants including village chiefs, district officials and farmer field school facilitators.

In Baringo District, the common types of flour used are maize, wheat and occasionally millet. Wheat flour is used for chapattis, pancakes, mandazi and cakes, and maize flour for the traditional ugali and uji. For mandazi, it was noted, a proportion of wheat flour can be substituted with maize flour, being cheaper, to reduce the cost with no real effect on taste. This was taken as an example of how *P. juliflora* flour could be used as a low-cost and nutritious substitute for up to a quarter of the flour in traditional recipes.

A tractor-powered hammer mill was used to mill whole pods, including seeds, into coarse flour. This was milled again in a local common roller mill ('pasha') for finer flour, but was still considered unsuitable and was passed through a still finer sieve. Flour from whole machine-milled pods that include seeds was preferred in terms of taste to that from pestle and mortar ground pods with seeds and capsules removed. Including seeds will increase the protein content and reduce the bitterness. Pods from *P. pallida* are known to be sweeter, but are relatively rare in Kenya.

After demonstration by a trainer using a mixture of wheat flour with 20% finely sieved *Prosopis* flour, the participants made chapattis at different mix ratios, pancakes, mandazi, ugali, uji and cake, and were proactive in developing new recipes, which are now available as a booklet. All of the food was cooked on traditional stoves using *Prosopis* charcoal. Taste tests and feedback on the different recipes indicated that all of the food made with 20% *Prosopis* flour had a pleasant taste, whereas ugali made with 40% flour was not liked. Outreach strategies to spread the knowledge further are to include cookery demonstrations, samples and photos at public functions, and church and women's groups, and promotion of the recipes in the media and in a local hotel.

Analysis of food quality and safety

Clearly, if *Prosopis* is to be adopted as a human food in Kenya as is already the case in South America, then it will be necessary to confirm the nutritional value of the product and to test it for microbial contamination, mycotoxins and antinutritional factors. A sample of flour from Kenya was tested for aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A by Leatherhead Food International, Leatherhead, UK. The results in Table 6 indicate the presence of aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A in the *Prosopis* flour. The levels of total aflatoxin and Aflatoxin B1 exceed the stringent EU maximum levels for cereals of 5 and 3 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, respectively, but not the maximum levels adopted in the USA (10 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$), Brazil (20 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) or India (30 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) (FAO, 2004). The Ochratoxin A level in *Prosopis* flour exceeded the maximum level of 5 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ that has been proposed as an international standard by CODEX for Ochratoxin A in wheat, barley, rye and their derived products (USFDA, 2003), though this standard was opposed by some countries such as India who argued for a maximum level of 20 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$.

However, only one Kenyan *Prosopis* flour sample has been analysed so far and this had been produced from pods harvested in the wild and stored for several months. Levels of Ochratoxin A far higher than the levels shown in Table 6 are occasionally found in samples, including in European grain samples, when harvest, drying and storage conditions favour fungal growth and toxin production (Jørgensen et al., 1996; Elmholt

Analysis	Level detected ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$)
Ochratoxin A	38.0
Total aflatoxin	5.8
Aflatoxin B1	4.7

#Flour produced from whole pods harvested from wild trees and milled into flour

and Rasmussen, 2005). Similarly, significant levels of aflatoxin in common food products have been routinely reported in West Africa (Bankole and Adebajo, 2003) and East Africa (Kaaya and Warren, 2005). In Uganda 29.6% of common food samples analysed tested positive for aflatoxin and approximately 12% exceeded 100 ppm total aflatoxin (Kaaya and Warren, 2005). Nevertheless, the results in Table 6 are of sufficient concern to warrant further study to determine toxin levels in freshly harvested pods, and in pods and flour after various periods of storage, and to develop appropriate harvesting and storage methods to minimise risk to human health. Among steps that may be necessary are early harvest, thorough drying and control of moisture levels during storage, discarding infested seeds, control of insect infestation and avoidance of carry over of inoculum in storage facilities.

Some indications of appropriate methods can be found in the traditional and improved storage and handling methods used in South America. Traditional pod stores in North America tended to consist of large baskets made from natural fibres, with a rain-proof roof, raised off the ground to prevent predation and to keep the pods dry (Felger, 1977). In Brazil, standard agricultural barns used for storing other dried animal feeds or special rooms with wooden floors and walls are used (e.g. Da Silva, 1996). In Peru, rustic closed rooms were used, made from mud bricks. These have largely been replaced with built block buildings (Grados and Cruz, 1996). Special storage units for *P. pallida* pods are built, 5 x 5 x 4 m high, which are capable of storing 40 t of pods (Díaz Celis, 1995). In India, layers of dry pods are laid down alternately with layers of sand. This is said to increase storage time to three years. Periodic checking of the pods in the store is recommended to assess any damage due to fungal infections, high moisture or pests. Removal of infected pods should be carried out immediately. In Peru, however, once a pod store is filled, it is sealed with clay and opened only when the entire batch is to be sold.

Further work

To further disseminate the findings of the study, women from Baringo District ran a *Prosopis* cookery demonstration day at KEFRI in Nairobi. Plans are in progress to introduce the idea to other drought-affected areas of Kenya. Further work is required on:

- Milling, capacity for crushing the seeds, and accessibility and cost to pod collectors
- Pod collection methods, drying time and methods and storage for preserving flour quality and pest control
- Different recipes and preparation methods for flour products
- Nutritional composition of the flour, and different ratios of *Prosopis* to other flours
- The potential for local production of other food products from *Prosopis* pods.

The potential for transferring this experience to other countries, nourishing rural people while controlling an invasive weed, appears enormous.

References

- ANON. (1943) Nutritive values of *Prosopis juliflora* pods and some grasses. *Indian For.* **69** 483-585.
- ANTTILA LS, ALAKOSKI-JOHANSSON GM and JOHANSSON SG (1993) Browse preference of orma livestock and chemical composition of *Prosopis juliflora* and nine indigenous woody species in Bura, eastern Kenya. *East Afr. Agric. For. J.* **58** 83-90.
- AZEVEDO ROCHA RG (1987) Algaroba na alimentação e farmacopeia do homem rural Norte-Riograndense. *Rev. Assoc. Brasileira de Algaroba (Mossoró)* **1** 67-96.
- BAIÃO VB, GOMES JC, SANT'ANNA R and CRUZ R (1987) Chemical characteristics of mesquite beans (*Prosopis juliflora*) and amino acid composition of concentrate and a protein isolate. *Arg. Biol. Technol.* **30** 275-286.
- BANKOLE SA and ADEBANJO A (2003) Mycotoxins in food in West Africa: current situation and possibilities of controlling it. *Afr. J. Biotech.* **2** 254-263.
- BECKER R and GROSJEAN O-KK (1980) A compositional study of pods of two varieties of mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*, *P. velutina*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **28** 22-25.
- BOYD ML and COTTY PJ (2001) *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin contamination of leguminous trees of the Sonoran Desert in Arizona. *Phytopathol.* **91** 913-919.
- BRAVO L, GRADOS N and SAURA-CALIXTO F (1994) Composition and potential uses of mesquite pods (*Prosopis pallida* L) – comparison with carob pods (*Ceratonia siliqua* L). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **65** 303-306.
- BURKART A (1976) A monograph of the genus *Prosopis* (Leguminosae subfam. Mimosoideae). (Part 1 and 2). Catalogue of the recognized species of *Prosopis*. *J. Arnold Arbor. Harv. Univ.* **57** 219-249 and 450-525.
- CHOGE SK, NGUNJIRI FD, KURIA MW, BASAKA EA and MUTHONDEKI JK (2002) Status and Impact of *Prosopis* in Kenya. Unpublished Technical Report. Kenya Forestry Research Institute and Forest Department, Nairobi, Kenya.
- CRUZ G (1986) Obtención de Harina de Algarroba y Posibilidades de Usarla en Productos para la Alimentación Humana. Unpublished Eng. Thesis, Universidad de Piura, Peru.
- CRUZ G (1990) Chemical Changes in Sugars and Amino Acids during the Roasting of *Prosopis* Pulp. Unpublished Report of a Research Stage at the ETH Zurich, Switzerland.
- CRUZ G (1999) Production and Characterization and Uses of *Prosopis* Seed Galactomannan. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, ETH No. 13153, Zurich, Switzerland.
- CRUZ G, DEL RE B and AMADO R (1987) Contribución al Estudio de la Composición Química de los Frutos Maduros del Algarrobo. In: *Abstracts of III Jornadas Peruanas de Fitoquímica* 122. Soc. Química del Peru, Lima, Peru.
- DA SILVA JI (1996) *Prosopis juliflora* as an alternative source of food in the world's semiarid areas. In: Felker P and Moss J (eds.) *Prosopis: Semiarid Fuelwood and Forage Tree; Building Consensus for the Disenfranchised*. Center for Semi-Arid Forest Resources, Kingsville, Texas, USA 3.19-3.24.
- DEL VALLE FR, MARCO E, BECKER R and SAUNDERS RM (1987) Development and evaluation of a procedure to produce mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) pod protein-concentrate. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **11** 237-246.
- DÍAZ CELIS A (1995) *Los Algarrobos*. Concytec, Lima, Peru.
- ELMHOLT S and RASMUSSEN PH (2005) *Penicillium verrucosum* occurrence and Ochratoxin A contents in organically cultivated grain with special reference to ancient wheat types and drying practice. *Mycopathol.* **159** 421-432.
- FAO (2004) Aflatoxin Contamination in Foods and Feeds in the Philippines. Document 13. FAO/WHO Regional Conference on Food Safety for Asia and Pacific Seremban, Malaysia, 24-27 May. [Online]. Available at: <<http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/MEET-ING/006/AD729E/AD729E00.htm>> (Accessed on 14/07/06).
- FELGER RS (1977) Mesquite in Indian cultures of southwestern North America. In: Simpson BB (ed.) *Mesquite: Its Biology in Two Desert Ecosystems*. Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, USA 150-176.
- FELKER P (1979) Mesquite: An all-purpose leguminous arid land tree. In: Ritchie GA (ed.) *New Agricultural Crops*. AAAS Symposium **38** 89-125.
- FIGUEIREDO AA (1975) Lebensmittelchemisch Relevanter Inhaltsstoff der Schoten der Algarobeira (*Prosopis juliflora* DC). Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Würzburg, Germany.
- GALERA FM, TREVISSON M and BRUNO SA (1992) *Prosopis* in Argentina: initial results on cultivation in greenhouses and orchards, and pod quality for food or feed of five native *Prosopis* species of Córdoba Province. In: Dutton RW (ed.) *Prosopis Species: Aspects of their Value, Research and Development*. CORD, University of Durham, Durham, UK 145-156.
- GOHL B (1981) *Tropical Feeds*. FAO Animal Production and Health Series **12** FAO, Rome, Italy.
- GRADOS N and CRUZ G (1996) New approaches to industrialization of algarrobo (*Prosopis pallida*) pods in Peru. In: Felker P and Moss J (eds.) *Prosopis: Semiarid Fuelwood and Forage Tree; Building Consensus for the Disenfranchised*. Center for Semi-Arid Forest Resources, Kingsville, Texas, USA 3.25-3.42.
- JIMÉNEZ B and VERGARA AN (1977) Estudio sobre la Composición Química y Posibilidades de Industrialización de la Algarroba. Unpublished Eng. Thesis, Universidad de Piura, Peru.
- JOHANSSON SG (1990) Controlling and Containing the Spreading of *Prosopis* spp. at Bura. An Outline of Options and Required Actions. Research Component in Bura Fuelwood Project. Unpublished Working Paper **47**.
- JØRGENSEN K, RASMUSSEN G, and THORUP I (1996) Ochratoxin A in Danish cereals 1986-1992 and daily intake by the Danish population. *Food Addit. Contam.* **13** 95-104.
- KAAYA NA and WARREN HL (2005) A review of past and present research on aflatoxin in Uganda. *Afr. J. Food Agric. Nut. Develop.* (On-line Version), 5. Available at <http://www.ajfand.net/Vol5no1.htm> (Accessed on 14/07/06).
- LIMA PCF (1994) Comportamento Silvicultural de Espécies de *Prosopis*, em Petrolina-PE, Região Semi-Arida Brasileira. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil.
- MARANGONI A and ALLI I (1988) Composition and properties of seeds and pods of the tree legume *Prosopis juliflora* (DC). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **44** 99-110.
- MEYER D, BECKER R and NEUKOM H (1982) Milling and separation of *Prosopis* pod components and their application in food products. In: Parker HW (ed.) *Mesquite Utilization*. Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA L1-L12.
- MONTE-NEGREIROS A, CARVALHO M, FILHO JX, BLANCO-LABRA A, SHREWRY PR and RICHARDSON M (1991) The complete sequence of the major kunitz trypsin inhibitor from the seeds of *Prosopis juliflora*. *Phytochem.* **30** 2829-2833.
- OCHOA J (1996) A review of the social and economic opportunities for *Prosopis* (algarrobo) in Argentina. In: Felker P and Moss J (eds.) *Prosopis: Semiarid Fuelwood and Forage Tree; Building Consensus for the Disenfranchised*. Center for Semi-Arid Forest Resources, Kingsville, Texas, USA 5.19-5.34.
- ODUOL PA, FELKER P, MCKINLEY CR and MEIER CE (1986) Variation among selected *Prosopis* families for pod sugar and pod protein contents. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **16** 423-431.
- ORTEGA-NIEBLAS M, VAZQUEZ-MORENO L and ROBLES-BURGUÑO MR (1996) Protein-quality and antinutritional factors of wild legume seeds from the Sonoran desert. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **44** 3130-3132.
- OTSAMO A and MAUA JO (1993) Observations on pod production of planted *Prosopis juliflora*. *East Afr. Agric. For. J.* **58** 111-114.
- PAK N, ARAYA H, VILLALON R and TAGLE MA (1977) Analytical study of tamarugo (*Prosopis tamarugo*) an autochthonous Chilean feed. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **28** 59-62.
- PASIECZNIK N (2002) *Prosopis juliflora* (Vilayati babul) in the Drylands of India: Develop this Valuable Resource, Don't Eradicate It. HDRA, Coventry, UK.

- PASIECZNIK NM, FELKER P, HARRIS PJC, HARSH LW, CRUZ G, TEWARI JC, CADORET K and MALDONADO LJ (2001) The *Prosopis juliflora* – *Prosopis pallida* Complex: A Monograph. HDRA, Coventry, UK.
- RUIZ W (1997) Enriquecimiento Proteico de la Pulpa de Algarroba Mediante Multiplicación de Levaduras. Unpublished Eng. Thesis, Universidad de Piura, Peru.
- SÁENZ G, SERRA JA and ESCRICHE I (1987) Composición química de la algarroba peruana (*Prosopis* sp.). In: Fito P and Mulet A (eds.) *Proc. 2nd Int. Carob Symp.* Generalitat Valenciana, Valencia, Spain. 419-427.
- SALAZAR I (1993) Cuantificación de taninos en cada una de las fracciones del fruto del algarrobo. Unpublished Eng. Thesis, Universidad de Piura, Peru.
- SAUNDERS RM, BECKER R, MEYER D, DEL VALLE FR, MARCO E and TORRES ME (1986) Identification of commercial milling techniques to produce high sugar, high-fiber, high protein, and high galactomannan gum fractions from *Prosopis* pods. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **16** 169-180.
- SAURA F, CLAVIJO J and ABIA R (1991) Características químicas del endocarpio del fruto del algarrobo piurano. *Bol. Soc. Quim. Peru* **57** 245-250.
- SIMPSON BB (1977) *Mesquite, Its Biology in Two Desert Shrub Ecosystems*. Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, USA.
- TALPADA PM (1985) Study on *Prosopis juliflora* Pods and Utilization as Cattle Feed by Lactating Cows. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Anand, India.
- TOUZEAU J (1973) *Les Arbres Fouragers de la Zone Sahélienne de l'Afrique* Volume 75. Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse, Toulouse, France.
- USFDA (2003) Mycotoxins in domestic foods. Chapter 7. In: *Mol. Biol. and Natural Toxins*. [Online]. Available at: <<http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/cp07001.html>> (Accessed on 14/07/06).
- ZIMMERMANN HG (1991) Biological control of mesquite, *Prosopis* spp (Fabaceae) in South Africa. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **37** 175-186.
- ZOLFAGHARI R and HARDEN M (1982) Nutritional value of mesquite beans (*Prosopis glandulosa*). In: Parker HW (ed.) *Mesquite Utilization*. Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA K1-K16.
-