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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Oral health care management among the elderly differs from the rest of the population, due to some specific physiological changes and general health status related to age. We know very little about the oral health in elderly in the Republic of Macedonia, because there are only a few articles published about dental health status and edentulism of this population.

AIM: The study aimed to evaluate the prosthodontic status of older adults over 65 years in the Republic of Macedonia, about their socio-economic status and individual factors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: A cross-sectional study was conducted in 8 regions, in rural and urban areas of Macedonia and a representative sample of 432 people (age > 65 years) was examined. Statistical analyses of the data were made by chi-square tests and the corresponding C-coefficient.

RESULTS: Only 6% of all participants had not any prosthetic appliance. 9.5% had more than one bridge, 28.7% of examinees had partial dentures, both bridge(s) and partial denture(s) had 10.7% participants, and 45.1% of examinees were toothless. There was a significant difference between patients who visited the dentist more than once a year and those who did not (γ² = 14.2; df = 4, p < 0.01). From all of the participants, 40.3% used public dental care organisations.

CONCLUSIONS: We found a high prevalence of edentulousness among older adults over 65 years in Macedonia. The study confirmed the necessity for establishing healthcare educational programs for the dental treatment of elderly in Macedonia.

Introduction

Oral health care management among the elderly differs from the rest of the population due to some physiological and general health status changes related to age [1]. The most frequent oral diseases which affect older adults as dental caries and periodontal diseases could lead to teeth loss which is an important predictor for the oral health-related quality of life [2] [3] [4] [5]. DMFT is the most common index used for registration of dental health status in epidemiological studies, but it could not provide enough information about the functionality of remaining dentition [6]. Many epidemiological studies expressed oral functionality by a number of the remaining teeth, but it was questioned whether just the number was adequate to describe the functional status of dentition. According to Locker and Slade, the occluding pairs of natural teeth are strongly correlated with oral function [7] [8]. The number of missing teeth is increased with age, and it was recommended for future reports to include, not only the number but the additional information regarding their location, for it is very important to describe the functionality too [6]. The number of “20 natural teeth” is the generally accepted World Health Organization (WHO) operative criterion for a functional natural dentition [9].

In most worldwide countries including the developing, life expectancy is continuously increasing. It is expected that by 2030 almost one billion people will be 65 years and older, accounting for 13 percent
of the total population [10]. Population ageing is a progressive trend in the Republic of Macedonia also. The proportion of elderly at age over 65 years had increased from 11.2% in 2006 to 13.3% in 2016 [11].

There are numerous studies reporting the dental prosthetic status in edentulous elderly population and their need for prosthodontics treatment [12] [13] [14] [15]. Sometimes, there is a discrepancy between the real need for treatment and actual complaints by this group of patients [14] [16]. The need for prosthodontics appliances may be assessed by comparing the need perceived subjectively by a patient (self-perception), with that assessed by an examiner according to the (WHO) diagnostic criteria [14] [17], or through the use of the Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI) [8] [14].

We have no enough information about the oral health in elderly in the Republic of Macedonia because there are only a few articles published about edentulism of this population. The last national oral health survey was conducted in 2007, but there is not any official data about oral health and prosthodontics status of the population over 65 years. To improve the oral health and quality of life among older adults, besides the prevalence of dental caries, it is necessary to know their prosthodontics status and needs for prosthodontics treatment.

This study aimed to evaluate the prosthodontics status of older adults over 65 years in the Republic of Macedonia about some socio-economic and individual factors.

Material and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in 8 regions (Skopje, Vardar, Eastern, Northeastern, Southeastern, Southwestern, Pelagonia and Polog region) in rural and urban areas of Macedonia in 2015/16 study year. A representative sample of 432 people (age ≥ 65 years) was examined with a questionnaire, by calibrated postgraduate students following the procedures and diagnostic criteria recommended by the WHO Oral Health Assessment Form [19]. The patients excluded from the study were those without any prosthodontics appliances or those with the presence of dental crowns (without missing tooth). The patients included in the study had dental bridges, dentures, removable partial dentures or both bridges and partial dentures. There were 243 (56.3%) male and 189 (43.7%) female patients participated in the study. The average age was 74 years. According to the main demographic variables (ethnicity, sex, education and marital status), the sample represented the population well (Table 1, Table 2).

The participants in the study were asked to self-report their dentistry scaring experience from childhood, education, oral hygiene habits, habits in visiting a dentist, approximate last year expenditures for dental care and type of dental organisation (public/private).

The information we gathered was used to assess the relationship of the variables with the prosthodontics status. The data were analysed using the SPSS 13 statistical package. The analyses were made by chi 13 square tests and the corresponding C-coefficient.

Results

Twenty-six of all participants (6%) had not any prosthetic appliance in mouth, so they were excluded from the study, forty-one (9.5%) had more than one bridge, 124 of examinees (28.7%) were wearer of partial dentures, both bridge(s) and partial denture(s) had 46 participants (10.7%) and 195 of examinees (45.1%) were without any tooth in the mouth (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Prosthodontic status](image)

There was a significant difference between patients who visited a dentist more than once a year and those who did not ($\chi^2 = 14.2; df = 4, p < 0.01$).

The correlation between the prosthodontic appliance and oral hygiene showed that the patients with removable dentures had poorest hygiene habits, while most patients with both bridge and denture,
brushed regularly, twice a day ($\chi^2 = 17.53$; $df = 8$, $p < 0.05$) (Table 3, Table 4).

**Table 3: Prosthodontics status and Oral Hygiene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosthetics appliance</th>
<th>Brushing teeth/ not every day</th>
<th>Brushing teeth/ once a day</th>
<th>Brushing teeth/ twice a day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without any appliance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one bridge</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denture</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both bridge and partial denture</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable partial dentures</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Last year, 317 (73.4%) of the examinees didn’t visit a dentist. There was no correlation between prosthodontic status and scaring experience from childhood dentistry ($\chi^2 = 9.4$; $df = 4$, $p > 0.05$) (Table 5).

**Table 4: Prosthodontics status and dentist visits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosthetics appliance</th>
<th>Once a year or none</th>
<th>More than once a year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without any appliance</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one bridge</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denture</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no correlation between the cost of a dental care ($\chi^2 = 5.6$; $df = 4$, $p > 0.05$) (Table 6) and dental organization used ($\chi^2 = 4.9$; $df = 4$, $p > 0.05$). From all participants, 40.3% (174) used public dental care organizations.

**Table 5: Prosthodontics status and frightening experience(s) from childhood dentistry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosthetics appliance</th>
<th>Afraid</th>
<th>Not afraid</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without any appliance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one bridge</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denture</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proportion of elderly over 65 years with 20 or more natural teeth was 24.1% (104 of examinees).

**Table 6: Prosthodontics status and cost of dental care**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosthetics appliance</th>
<th>&lt;500 euro per year</th>
<th>&gt;500 euro per year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without any appliance</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one bridge</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable partial dentures</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both bridge and partial denture</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denture</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The present findings demonstrated a high prevalence of edentulousness (45.1%), removable partial dentures wearer were 27.7%, and only 6% of the patients were without any prosthodontics appliance. The data about edentulous in patients over 65 years in Macedonia is almost same with Belgium old population, 45% (2007). Belgium is the country with the highest percent of edentulousness among the population over 65 years old in EU countries, and Malta has lowest 8% (2002) [20].

Differences in the prosthodontics status were associated with gender, educational background, dental attendance patterns, tooth brushing frequency, scaring experience from childhood dentistry, cost of a dental care and care organisation used. These were objective findings which could not be about the subjective patients’ needs or complaints.

Mac Enteet al. reported that about two-thirds of the elderly population has poor oral health, but that only about one-third complained of a problem. In their investigation, about half (54%) of the sample identified a problem, and 83% of the subjects were either using a denture with a major fault or were missing a denture [16].

The professional criteria based on WHO guidelines also differed from the self-perceived need. The analysis is given by Colussi et al. showed that the variables age, gender, residential area and form of service, most significantly associated with a better self-perception of oral health [17]. The number of “20 natural teeth” is the generally accepted WHO operative criterion for a functional natural dentition [9] which is very important for the masticatory efficiency. Akifusa et al. reviled that 85-year-old participants with > or = 20 teeth had better subjective physical health than those with < or = 19 teeth [21]. In a systematic review conducted by Zhang et al., it was reported that an average of 20 teeth was present at the age of 65 among the Chinese population [22]. The number of remaining teeth in the elderly in Japan tends to increase year by year, and an average of 14 teeth remained in the mouth even by the age of 80 [23]. The Findings in our study showed that there was a high prevalence of elderly with less than 20 teeth (75.9%) in Macedonian population, which might lead to weaker masticatory efficiency, malnutrition and other health issues.

Most of the samples examined in this study brushed their teeth once a day, but 137 (31.7%) of the examiners reviled that they did not brush their teeth or dentures every day. Kulak-Ozkan et al. have found a statistically significant relationship between denture stomatitis, yeasts’ presence and denture cleanliness in their study [24]. Sometimes teeth brushing may be in a relationship with the limitation of manual dexterity resulting from arthritis and/or stroke and special oral hygiene measures might be required for the elderly [25].

Regarding the visits to dentists, most of the examined elderly in our study reviled that they visited a dentist less than once a year (73.4%). The most common major barriers which were identified included poor general health, cost and the physical aspect of being unable to travel to a dentist. These barriers are
also most common for elderly worldwide [26]. Improving access to dental health care involves actions at individual, societal and system levels [27]. People’s perception of dentists is influenced by some factors that depend on the professional, mass media and the overall health system [28]. Around 71.5% of the examined samples in our study reviled that they are not afraid of the dentist.

Dental health expenditures could be a problem for the elderly in Macedonia to visit a dentist. 85% of the patients involved in our study spent less than 50 euro per year on the dentist, and most of them were in private dental practices (69.7%). Edentulous is highly associated with socioeconomic status. Poor oral health among older adults is an important public health issue and a growing burden to countries worldwide [29]. Among elderly world population, 20-80% is edentulous, and 60-80% has immediate dental needs [30]. It is necessary to improve elders’ quality of life, by minimising the risk factors and ameliorating the protective factors [31]. According to the samples that had been chosen for our study (patient’s wearers of the prosthodontics devices) the treatment needs were covered with the prosthodontics status.

In conclusion, after statistical analysis of the data from our study, it can be concluded that there is a high prevalence of edentulousness in older adults (over 65 years) in Macedonia. Unfortunately, analyses showed that this population has no habit to visit a dentist regularly, but only when the dental problem appears. The anticipated connection between frequency of visiting a dentist and the prosthodontic status was also confirmed, as well as between this status and the oral hygiene habits.

This study also confirmed the necessity of establishing dental care educational programs in Macedonia which has already been done through involving the new programs and subjects at the Faculties of Dental medicine (Gerontostomatologi) for doctors and oral hygienist too. Education and continuous training of dental staff towards special needs of the elderly patients should provide those particular skills and knowledge of medical, psychological and social aspects of this particular age group.
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