Tropical Agricultural Research & Extension 11, 2008

PROVENANCE VARIATION IN POD, SEED AND SEEDLING TRAITS OF *DALBERGIA SISSOO* ROXB., CENTRAL HIMALAYA, INDIA

B Singh^{1*} and BP Bhatt²

¹Department of Forestry, PO Box-59, HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar Garhwal 246 174, Uttaranchal, India ²ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Nagaland Centre, Medziphema 797 106, Nagaland, India

Accepted: 4th September 2008

ABSTRACT

Seeds collected from 19 different altitudinal sources ranging from 120 to 1130m were evaluated for pod/ seed morphology, seed weight, seed germination and seedling growth in nursery and also in field trial. Considerable morphological and physiological variations between provenances for all the pod and seed traits including germination, plant height and collar diameter were found among the seed sources. Among various parameters, seed weight and plant height were most heritable traits, followed by genetic advance and genetic gain. Characters those showed greater genetic influence can be directly screened/ selected for the improvement of this potential tree species in Central Himalaya, India for raising quality plating material.

Key words: Dalbergia sissoo, morphological characters, seed source, heritability, genetic variation.

INTRODUCTION

Dalbergia sissoo, a large deciduous tree, is one of the most common, versatile, multipurpose, drought resistant, frost hardy and widely distributed indigenous tree species valued for its timber, fodder and nitrogen fixing quality (Tewari, 1994). It is distributed throughout the sub-Himalayan tract and Himalayan valleys up to 1000m. The tree is also excellent species for afforestation, reforestation and restoration of degraded lands. Due to its versatile nature, it has also been included in agroforestry system. However, problems are associated with quality planting material of *D. sissoo* in Central Himalaya as most of the stands produce forked/twisted trees, which reduce its acceptability as timber.

Screening and selection of suitable seed sources was emphasized to provide quality planting material to resource poor farmers of the region. Information on morphological and genetic variation in pod, seed size and weight among natural populations of *D. sissoo* will be useful to provide healthy (genetically and phenotypically superior) seed source for mass afforestation or tree breeding strategy. Several workers have already reported that information on seed characteristic may be useful for tree improvement (Uniyal, 1998; Kumar and Toky, 1993; Singh, 2004; Milberg et al., 1996). In this paper, we report the results of provenance variation in pod characters, seed morphology, germination and seedling growth of D. sissoo in order to help develop a strategy for the production of quality seedling for afforestation/reforestation of wastelands in Central Himalayan region.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An extensive survey was conducted to screen natural populations of D. sissoo in the Central Himalaya and Siwalik foothills. Nineteen locations having abundant D. sissoo populations were selected for sampling and superior ideotypes were marked at each locality. Fresh ripen pods of D. sissoo were collected from marked parent trees from December 2000 to January 2001.Collected pods were sun dried and pod length, breadth and number of seeds/ pod were recorded. For each provenance, seeds were separately extracted from sun-dried pods and thereafter seed length, breadth, thickness (five replicates with 20 seed each) and seed weight was measured in seven replicates (each with 1000 seeds) as per ISTA (1998). For laboratory germination, five replicates (each consisting of 20 seeds) were placed in Petri dishes (9 cm diameter) at room temperature ($25\pm2^{\circ}$ C). After completion of germination, germinated seeds were shifted to soil media in polythene bags filled with sand, soil and farmyard manure (in 2:1:1 ratio) and arranged in randomized fashion in shade house. Manual watering and weeding was done at regular interval. After 6 months, 10 randomly selected seedlings were uprooted and shoot length and collar diameter was recorded. Six months old 30 seedlings were planted in experimental garden of forestry and after one year, growth, survival, seedling height and collar

^{*}Corresponding author

diameter were recorded. The results were statistically analyzed by computing coefficient of variation (CV) and correlation coefficient 'r'. Heritability values and genetic advance were worked out following the methodology of Johnson *et al.* (1955).

RESULTS

The geographical range of seed sources extended from 29° to 30° N latitude, 77° to 79° E longitude and 120 to 1130m altitude (Table 1). Significant (P < 0.05) variations were observed in pod length, breadth and number of seeds per pod among seed sources. However, average highest pod length was recorded in Rampurmandi and least in Langasu populations, respectively. The pod breadth varied from 0.59 to 1.12cm among provenances. Highest number of seeds per pod were also recorded highest in Rampurmandi and the lowest in Kalyani source (Table 1). Co-efficient of variation (CV %) which helps in comparing the variability for different characters exhibited that there was 75.8% difference between lowest and highest values for pod length; 92.6% in pod breadth; 81.5% number of seed per pod, irrespective of seed sources.

The highest average seed length was recorded in the seeds collected from Badapur and the lowest in Gadolia and Mahandrath seed sources. Seed thickness was recorded highest in Chidiapur and lowest in Gadolia populations. Seed weight was

Table 1. Geographical description and morphological characteristics of *D. sissoo* provenances, Central Himalaya, India

| Provenances . | Altitude (m | asl) District | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) | Pod length (cm) | Pod breadth (cm) | No. of seeds/ pod |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Badapur | 120 | Bijnor | 29 ⁰ 40' | 78 ⁰ 15' | 6.61 | 1.12 | 1.36 (13.36) |
| | | | | | (3.41) | (4.46) | |
| Najeebabad | 200 | Bijnor | 29 ⁰ 47' | 78 ⁰ 32' | 5.83 | 0.92 | 1.30 (5.44) |
| | | | 0 | 0 | (3.52) | (7.83) | |
| Cheela | 210 | Pauri | 29 ⁰ 56' | 78 ⁰ 18' | 5.94 | 1.06 | 1.86 (15.95) |
| | | | 0 | 0 | (8.38) | (1.07) | |
| Rishikesh | 215 | Tehri | $29^{0} 7$ | $78^{0} 18'$ | 6.05 | 1.05 | 1.35 (15.06) |
| | | | 0 | 2 | (7.85) | (9.05) | |
| Afjalgarh | 220 | Bijnor | 29 ⁰ 31' | 78 ³ 43' | 5.52 | 0.97 | 1.42 (13.55) |
| | | | 0 | 0 | (3.91) | (1.87) | |
| Kalagarh | 220 | Pauri | 29 ⁰ 28' | 78 ⁰ 46' | 6.05 | 0.94 | 1.36 (6.58) |
| | | | 0 | 0 | (13.88) | (14.48) | |
| Bhaguwala | 225 | Bijnor | 29 ⁰ 52' | 78 ⁰ 15' | 6.27 | 0.91 | 1.58 (22.11) |
| | | | 0 | 0 | (14.09) | (8.25) | |
| Chidiapur | 225 | Haridwar | 29 ⁰ 45' | 78 ⁰ 34' | 7.20 | 1.09 | 1.26 (9.05) |
| | | | | | (7.56) | (6.37) | |
| Lachhiwala | 340 | Dehradun | 30 ⁰ 14' | 78 ⁰ 12' | 5.94 | 0.66 | 1.46 (11.46) |
| | | | | | (6.38) | (7.07) | |
| Rampurmandi | 400 | Dehradun | 30 ⁰ 42' | 77 ⁰ 56' | 8.21 | 1.03 | 2.06 (14.40) |
| | | | | | (9.30) | (4.97) | |
| Satpuli | 500 | Pauri | 29 ⁰ 57' | $78^{0}48'$ | 5.71 | 0.59 | 1.32 (6.34) |
| | | | | | (5.55) | (9.63) | |
| Haripur | 500 | Dehradun | $30^{0}46'$ | 77 ⁰ 31' | 5.94 | 0.92 | 1.58 (10.40) |
| | | | | | (5.25) | (3.95) | |
| Fathepur | 510 | Dehradun | 30 ⁰ 12' | $78^{0}10'$ | 5.4 | 0.86 | 1.60 (17.12) |
| | | | | | (5.89) | (6.73) | |
| Srinagar | 550 | Pauri | 30 ⁰ 13' | $78^{0}48'$ | 5.77 | 0.92 | 1.52 (18.26) |
| | | | | | (5.98) | (8.17) | |
| Dogadda | 670 | Pauri | $29^{0}48'$ | 78 ⁰ 36' | 5.38 | 1.06 | 1.16 (13.07) |
| | | | | | (5.03) | (3.05) | |
| Langasu | 815 | Chamoli | $30^{0}18'$ | $79^{0}17'$ | 4.88 | 0.94 | 1.34 (4.10) |
| U U | | | | | (6.35) | (4.29) | |
| Gadolia | 840 | Tehri | $30^{0}23$ | 78 ⁰ 35' | 6.78 | 0.79 | 1.52 (15.71) |
| | | | | | (4.52) | (8.65) | |
| Kalyani | 1040 | Uttarkashi | $30^{0}16$ | $78^{0}16$ | 6.07 | 0.98 | 1.14 (13.30) |
| 2 | | | | | (3.43) | (8.33) | · · · · |
| Mahandrath | 1130 | Uttarkashi | $30^{0}57$ | 77 ⁰ 56' | 6.17 | 0.96 | 1.46 (15.77) |
| | | | | | (8.99) | (5.48) | . , |
| value | | | | | 12.86** | 23.41** | 5.60** |

* Value in parenthesis indicate coefficient of variation




Figure 1. Median Germination Time (MGT) and Germination Index (GI) at room temperature (25±2⁰C) of *D. sissoo* provenances, Central Himalaya, India

recorded highest in Nagebabad and lowest in Afjalgarh populations. On average, there was 87.6% difference in lowest and highest CV in seed length; 85.3% in seed breadth, 74.1% in seed thickness and 88.3% in seed weight, irrespective of seed source, indicating that seed weight was the most variable trait while seed thickness the least variable character (Table 2).

On average, there was 98.9% germination, irrespective of seed populations at room temperature. Afjalgarh was the provenance, which had completed the germination quickly, while, Mahandrath took comparatively longer time for completing the process. It was also evidenced by




Figure 2. Provenance variation in survival, plant height and collar diameter in *Dalbergia sissoo* (after six months under nursery condition), Central Himalaya, India

their respective germination index values (Table 2 and Fig. 1).

Height growth varied significantly (P < 0.05) between provenances. On average, Fathepur population had highest shoot length. Lowest shoot length was, however, recorded in Haripur population. Collar diameter of seedlings varied from 2.01 to 4.32 mm among various populations (Fig. 2).

Survival, height and diameter growth of oneyear-old *D. sissoo* seedlings in field trial has also been recorded. On average, 100.0% survival was recorded for Bhaguwala, Cheela, Rishikesh, Chiadiapur, Gadolia, Kalayni, Lachhiwala, Mahantrath, Najebabad, Rampurmandi and Srinagar popu-

Table 2. Morphological characteristics of seeds and germination per cent of *D. sissoo* provenances at room temperature $(25\pm2^{0}C)$, Central Himalaya, India

| Provenances | Seed length (cm) | Seed breadth (cm) | Seed thick-ness (mm) | Seed weight (g/1000 seed) | Seed germination |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Badapur | 0.97 (4.47) | 0.50 (3.35) | 0.58 (2.62) | 15.50 (5.76) | 100.0 |
| Najebabad | 0.90 (2.52) | 0.49 (3.41) | 0.58 (2.45) | 22.33 (3.51) | 96.66 |
| Cheela | 0.85 (8.36) | 0.46 (3.22) | 0.55 (1.99) | 16.10 (3.98) | 100.0 |
| Rishikash | 0.81 (16.05) | 0.45 (17.78) | 0.54 (12.75) | 17.50 (1.74) | 100.0 |
| Afjalgarh | 0.81 (2.97) | 0.44 (4.13) | 0.52 (2.51) | 13.50 (2.91) | 100.0 |
| Kalagarh | 0.82 (2.35) | 0.45 (3.72) | 0.48 (4.52) | 19.81 (7.51) | 100.0 |
| Bhaguwala | 0.87 (5.14) | 0.51 (6.29) | 0.54 (3.61) | 20.10 (2.13) | 100.0 |
| Chidiapur | 0.84 (3.10) | 0.48 (10.21) | 0.71 (2.23) | 19.30 (4.11) | 96.66 |
| Lacchiwala | 0.79 (3.42) | 0.45 (1.98) | 0.53 (5.72) | 15.80 (2.89) | 100.0 |
| Rampurmandi | 0.87 (3.53) | 0.48 (4.52) | 0.54 (6.06) | 19.70 (2.67) | 96.66 |
| Haripur | 0.77 (7.55) | 0.41 (4.08) | 0.53 (2.53) | 13.52 (9.59) | 100.0 |
| Satpuli | 0.86 (1.95) | 0.47 (1.50) | 0.61 (6.07) | 17.90 (8.31) | 93.33 |
| Fathpur | 0.85 (3.68) | 0.48 (3.89) | 0.57 (3.37) | 19.00 (2.32) | 100.0 |
| Srinagar | 0.80 (8.72) | 0.46 (3.22) | 0.47 (2.43) | 15.41 (2.72) | 10.0 |
| Dogadda | 0.84 (2.58) | 0.45 (1.99) | 0.55 (3.64) | 16.90 (1.18) | 100.0 |
| Langasu | 0.77 (5.92) | 0.45 (7.03) | 0.54 (7.69) | 14.81 (3.68) | 100.0 |
| Gadolia | 0.76 (4.34) | 0.42 (4.45) | 0.42 (4.82) | 14.91 (2.94) | 100.0 |
| Kalyani | 0.83 (1.08) | 0.49 (4.92) | 0.53 (5.40) | 18.93 (4.64) | 100.0 |
| Mahandrath | 0.76 (2.85) | 0.53 (6.02) | 0.45 (4.33) | 13.64 (2.14) | 96.66 |
| "F" | 11.86** | 8.67** | 44.03** | 44.15** | 4.15* |

** Significant at P < 0.01. * Significant at P < 0.05, Value in parenthesis indicates co- efficient of variation (CV).

Table 3. Estimates of genetic parameters of pod andseed characters in D. sissoo provenances, CentralHimalaya, India

| Character | Heritability(%) (broad sense) | Genetic advance | Genetic gain (%) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Pod length | 70.29 | 0.27 | 20.85 | | |
| Pod breadth | 81.82 | 0.250 | 26.91 | | |
| No of seeds/pod | 47.96 | 0.294 | 20.14 | | |
| Seed length | 67.57 | 0.084 | 10.24 | | |
| Seed breadth | 60.00 | 0.048 | 10.28 | | |
| Seed thickness | 88.63 | 0.121 | 22.57 | | |
| Seed weight | 94.36 | 0.634 | 36.02 | | |
| Germination (%) | 18.94 | 1.32 | 1.33 | | |
| Plant height | 22.90 | 7.60 | 11.10 | | |
| Collar diameter | 5.56 | 0.19 | 2.18 | | |

lations. Although survival was only 81.0% to Kalagarh seed source, it showed highest plant height and collar diameter in seedlings. Among various populations, Srinagar seed source resulted into lowest collar diameter growth (Fig. 3).

Among various pod and seed traits, heritability values were noted highest for the seed weight, followed by seed thickness and pod breadth. Highest genetic advance was noticed for plant height while the least for seed breadth. Similarly, genetic gain was recorded highest for seed weight, followed by pod breadth. Germination, however, had least ge-




Figure 3. Provenance variation in survival, plant height and collar diameter in *D. sissoo* (after one year of field trial), Central Himalaya, India

netic gain (Table 3). Variations were random between the sources and these differences might have arisen due to the fact that genotypes grew under different environmental conditions in Central Himalaya, India.

Altitude of seed source showed significant (P < 0.01) inverse correlation with seed length, thickness and weight. Contrary to this, field survival was significantly positively (P < 0.05) correlated with altitude (Table 4a). Studies on correlation coefficient computed for pod, seed and seedling parameters showed that out of 55 coefficients, 29 were found

Table 4a. Correlation coefficient between geographical variable and seed and seedling traits of *D. sissoo* provenances, Central Himalaya, India

| Variable | Morphological characters | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Altitude | -0.155 ^{NS} | -0.115^{NS} | -0.129 ^{NS} | -0.521** | 0.068^{NS} | -0.479** | -0.421** | -0.04^{NS} | 0.19* | -0.01 ^{NS} | 0.03 ^{NS} |
| Latitude | 0.148^{NS} | -0.348** | 0.173^{NS} | -0.425** | 0.071^{NS} | -0.373** | -0.225* | -0.06^{NS} | 0.23** | -0.05 ^{NS} | 0.01 ^{NS} |
| Longitude | 0.297** | 0.341** | -0.140^{NS} | -0.220* | 0.105^{NS} | -0.196* | -0.350* | -0.016 ^{NS} | -0.03 ^{NS} | 0.21* | 0.14^{NS} |

Table 4b. Correlation coefficient among pod and seed parameters in D. sissoo provenances, Central Himalaya, India

| Parameters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| 2 | 0.241* | - | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0.422** | 0.042^{NS} | - | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.237* | 0.415** | 0.043^{NS} | - | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.178^{NS} | 0.401** | 0.054^{NS} | 0.461** | - | | | | | |
| 6 | 0.165^{NS} | 0.256* | -0.072^{NS} | 0.526** | 0.181 ^{NS} | - | | | | |
| 7 | 0.368** | 0.248* | -0.062^{NS} | 0.624** | 0.223* | 0.386** | - | | | |
| 8 | -0.08^{NS} | -0.16 ^{NS} | -0.13 ^{NS} | 0.15 ^{NS} | 0.09^{NS} | 0.16^{NS} | 0.01^{NS} | | | |
| 9 | 0.23* | 0.12 ^{NS} | -0.31** | -0.01 ^{NS} | 0.14^{NS} | -0.14 ^{NS} | 0.42** | -0.15 ^{NS} | | |
| 10 | -0.06^{NS} | 0.09^{NS} | 0.25* | -0.49** | -0.29* | -0.27* | -0.37** | 0.33** | -0.28* | |
| 11 | 0.04^{NS} | 0.01 ^{Ns} | 0.22* | -0.31** | -0.25** | -0.29** | -0.20* | -0.03 ^{NS} | -0.12^{NS} | 0.80** |

** Significant at P = 0.01, *Significant P=0.05, NS- Non-Significant

1. Pod length, 2. Pod breath, 3. No of seeds/pod, 4. Seed length, 5. Seed breadth, 6. Seed thickness, 7. Seed weight, 8. Germination % in room, 9. Field survival, 10. Plant height, 11. Collar diameter

significant at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 level of probabilities. These relationships were expected because of inter dependence of all the combinations (Table 4b).

DISCUSSION

The results revealed that D. sissoo populations, collected from 19 sources showed large variations in pod and seed morphology, including seed weight. A basic knowledge about the nature and extent of seed variation in relation to seed parameters will be very useful for the production of quality seedlings. Various workers have proved that, seeds of a single species when collected from different sources or from different altitudes differ in viability, germination, growth and biomass performance (Isik, 1986; Singh et al., 2006). Variation in seed and seedling traits among and within sources suggest that selection among sources might result in rapid genetic gain for the traits (Dhillon and Khajuria 1995). Variation in seed morphology characters of D. sissoo may probably be due to resource availability, which varies over season and therefore, may influence seed size (Murali 1997). Similarly differences in seed weight between populations could have been a result of differences in the environmental conditions e.g. nutrients, light or water to which the mother plants were subjected during growing season (Gutterman 1992).

Although heritability in broad sense may give useful indication about the relative value of selection in the material at hand to arrive at a more reliable conclusion, heritability and expected genetic gain should be considered jointly. Volker et al. (1990) has shown that heritability estimates along with genetic gain is more useful than the heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect for selecting the best genotypes for given trait. Higher heritability values accompanied by high genetic gain have earlier been reported for seed weight in Grewia optiva (Uniyal, 1998) and Celtis australis (Singh, 2004). The critical evaluations of various genotypes revealed significant inter genotypic variation, reflecting wide range of genetic difference in accordance with the reports of Bagchi and Dobriyal (1990). Moderately high heritability estimates associated with moderate genetic advance have earlier been reported for plant height in Terminalia species (Chauhan, 1998) and for plant height and stem diameter in Grewia optiva (Uniyal, 1998), which supports to present findings.

The pod length and breadth of *D. sissoo* showed significant (P < 0.01) positive correlation, while seed length, thickness and seed weight showed significant (P < 0.05) inverse correlation with longitude. Among two growth traits, plant

height had significant positive (P< 0.05) relation with longitude of the seed source. These correlations revealed that pod size and plant height increased towards eastern location, whereas, seed size and weight was found decreasing. In an earlier study, Vakshayas *et al.* (1992) observed negative correlation of seed size of *D. sissoo* with latitude but Kumar and Toky (1993) reported that pod, seed size and seed weight in *Albizia lebbek* increased with increase in latitude, which supports to the present findings.

Among various provenances of *D. sissoo*, Rampurmandi, Badapur, Nagebabad and Kalagarh, has greater pod size, more seeds/pod, larger and heavier seeds with higher per cent germination and taller seedlings compared to those of other populations. These populations may be selected to raise quality planting material of this promising species of Central Himalaya, India.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education (ICFRE), Dehradun, Uttaranchal, India for financial assistance.

REFERENCES

- Arya S, Toky OP, Tomar R, Bisht RP and Harris PJC 1992 Provenances variation in seed and pod characteristics of *Prosopis cineraria* (C) Druce in Arid India. J. Tree. Sci. 11: 86-94.
- Bagachi JK and Dobriyal ND 1990 Provenance variation in seed parameters of *Acacia nilotica*. Indian Forester. 116 : 958-961.
- Chauhan, S. 1998. Germination bahaviour of three *Ter-minalia* species. D. Phil Thesis, H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar Garhwal Uttaranchal, pp. 141
- Dhillon GPS and Khajuria HN 1995 Variation in seed Characters of *Acacia nilitica* (L). J. Tropical. Forestry. 2: 17-19.
- Gutterman Y 1992 Maternal effects on seed during development. In: Fenner, M. (ed.) *Seeds*. The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities. Wallingford CAB International, pp. 27-59.
- Isik K 1986 Altitudinal variation in *Pinus brutia* Ten: seed and seedling characteristics. Silvae Genetica. 35: 59-67.
- ISTA 1998 Tropical and Sub-tropical Tree and Shrub Seed Handbook. In: Poulwsen, KM, MJ Parratt and P.G. Gosling (Eds.), International Seed Testing Association, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Combstock RE 1955 Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. Agron J 47: 314-318.
- Kumar N and Toky OP 1993 Variations in pod and seed size among *Albizia lebbek* provenances. Nitrogen Fixing Tree Res. Reports. 2: 64-67.

- Milberg P, Andersson L, Elfverson C and Regner S 1996 Germination characteristics of seeds differing in mass. Seed science Research. 6: 191-197.
- Murali KS 1997 Patterns of seed size, germination and seed viability of tropical tree species in southern India. Biotropica. 29: 271-279.
- Singh Bhupendra 2004 Altitudinal variation in relation to seed, seedling and fodder quality of *Celtis australis* L.: A promising agroforestry tree-crop of Central Himalaya (Garhwal & Kumaon), India. D.Phil thesis, HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar Garhwal, Uttaranchal, 122 p.
- Singh Bhupensra, Bhatt BP and Prasad P 2006 Variation in seed and seedling traits of *Celtis australis*, a multipurpose tree, in Central Himalaya, India Agroforestry systems. 67: 115-122.

- Tewari DN 1994 A Monograph on *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. International Book Distributors, Dehradun, India.
- Uniyal AK 1998 Provenance variation in seed and seedling of *Grewia optiva* Drumm. D. Phil. Thesis, H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar Garhwal, Uttaranchal, India, 143 p.
- Vakshayas RK, Rajora OP and Rawat MJ 1992 Seed and seedling traits of *Dalbergia sissoo*.Roxb. Seed source variation studies among ten source in India. Forest Ecology & Management. 48: 265-275.
- Volker PW, Dean CA, Tibbits WB and Ravenwood IC 1990 Genetic parameters and gain expected from selection in *Eucalyptus globulus* in Tasmania. Silvae Genetica. 39: 18-21.