Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PSEUDO-ANALYTIC VECTORS ON PSEUDO-KÄHLERIAN MANIFOLD

SHIGEO SASAKI AND KENTARO YANO

PSEUDO-ANALYTIC VECTORS ON PSEUDO-KÄHLERIAN MANIFOLDS

SHIGEO SASAKI AND KENTARO YANO

1. Introduction. A pseudo-Kählerian manifold is by definition a Riemannian manifold M^{2n} of class C^r $(r\geq 2)$ which has a skew-symmetric tensor field I_{AB} of class C^{r-1} with non-vanishing determinant satisfying following two conditions:

- (1) $I^{A}{}_{B}I^{B}{}_{C} = -\delta^{A}_{C}, \qquad (I^{AB}I_{BC} = -\delta^{A}_{C})$
- $(2) I_{AB,C}=0,$

where

(3)
$$I^{A}{}_{B} = g^{AE} I_{EB}, \qquad I^{AB} = g^{AE} g^{BF} I_{EF},$$

and a comma denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to g_{AB} . It is known that the real representation of a Kählerian manifold of complex dimension n is a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of dimension 2n and of class C^{ω} and the converse is also true. However, the problem whether a pseudo-Kählerian manifold M^{2n} of class C^r $(r \neq \omega)$ can be regarded, by introducing suitable complex coordinate systems on M^{2n} , as a real representation of a (complex) Kählerian manifold or not is, as far as we know, still an open problem. In this paper we shall generalize some theorems which concern analytic vectors on Kählerian manifolds to pseudo-Kählerian manifolds.

2. Definitions of pseudo-analyticity.

DEFINITION 1. A set of *functions* (ϕ, ϕ) defined over a pseudo-Kählerian manifold M^{2n} is said to be *pseudo-analytic* if

(4)
$$I^{A}_{B}\phi_{,A} = \psi_{,B}$$
.

If (ϕ, ψ) is pseudo-analytic, then $(-\psi, \phi)$ is pseudo-analytic too.

DEFINITION 2. A contravariant vector field u^{A} defined over M^{2n} is said to be pseudo-analytic if

$$(5) I^{A}{}_{B}u^{B}{}_{,C} = u^{A}{}_{,B}I^{B}{}_{C}.$$

Received June 14, 1954.

¹We assume that the indices run as follows:

 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n, A, B, C, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, 2n.$

DEFINITION 3. A covariant vector field u_A defined over M^{2n} is said to be pseudo-analytic if

$$(6) I^{A}{}_{B}u_{A,C} = I^{A}{}_{C}u_{B,A}.$$

If we denote a complex coordinate system of a Kählerian manifold K^n by z^{α} ($=x^{\alpha}+iy^{\alpha}$) and take (x^{α}, y^{α}) as coordinates of the real representation of K^n , then (cf. [3])

(7)
$$I^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = I^{n+\alpha}{}_{n+\beta} = 0, \qquad I^{\alpha}{}_{n+\beta} = -I^{n+\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}.$$

In this case, (4), (5) and (6) are nothing but Cauchy-Riemann equations for a complex analytic function $\phi + i\psi$, for a self-adjoint complex analytic contravariant vector $u^{\alpha} + iu^{n+\alpha}$ and for a self-adjoint complex analytic covariant vector $u_{\alpha} + iu_{n+\alpha}$. (We must take account of the fact that the real representation of a contravariant vector $u^{\alpha} + iu^{n+\alpha}$ is $(u^{\alpha}, u^{n+\alpha})$ and that of a covariant vector $u_{\alpha} + iu_{n+\alpha}$ is $(2u_{\alpha}, -2u_{n+\alpha})$). Hence, the Definitions 1, 2 and 3 are appropriate.

When I_{B}^{4} takes the value (7), (5) means that u_{B}^{4} is a matrix which is the real representation of a unitary $(n \times n)$ matrix. Hence we may say that u_{B}^{4} is pseudo-unitary.

THEOREM 1. If a set of functions (ϕ, ψ) is pseudo-analytic, then ϕ and ψ are both harmonic functions on our pseudo-Kählerian manifold.

Proof. By hypothesis

$$\psi_{,B} = I^{A}{}_{B}\phi_{,A}$$
,

hence we get

$$\Delta \psi = \psi_{,BC} g^{BC} = I^{AC} \phi_{,AC} = 0.$$

As (4) can be written also in the form

$$\phi_{,B} = -I^A_{\ B}\psi_{,A},$$

we get in the same way $\Delta \phi = 0$.

THEOREM 2. If a contravariant vector field u^{A} and its associated covariant vector u_{A} are both pseudo-analytic, then u^{A} is a parallel vector field.

Proof. If we use covariant components of u^4 , then (5) can be written as

$$-I^{A}{}_{B}u_{A,C}=I^{A}{}_{C}u_{B,A}.$$

Comparing the last equation with (6), we can immediately see that our assertion is true.

THEOREM 3. If u^{A} is a pseudo-analytic contravariant vector field, then $I^{A}{}_{B}u^{B}$ is also pseudo-analytic.

Proof.
$$(I^{A}{}_{B}u^{B})_{,c}I^{c}{}_{D} = I^{A}{}_{B}u^{B}{}_{,c}I^{c}{}_{D}$$

= $I^{A}{}_{B}I^{B}{}_{c}u^{c}{}_{,D} = I^{A}{}_{B}(I^{B}{}_{c}u^{c}){}_{,D}$.

We shall remark that equation (6) can be written also as

$$(8) XI^{A}{}_{c}=0$$

where $X=u^4(\partial/\partial x^4)$ and XI^4_c is the Lie derivative of I^4_c (cf. [5]). We put further $Y=v^4(\partial/\partial x^4)$ and

$$[uv]^{A} = u^{B}(v^{A}_{,B}) - v^{B}(u^{A}_{,B})$$

and similarly define $[Iu, v]^4$, $[u, Iv]^4$, $[Iu, Iv]^4$. Then we get the following

THEOREM 4. Let u^{4} and v^{4} be two pseudo-analytic contravariant vector fields, then $[uv]^{4}$, $[Iu, v]^{4}$, $[u, Iv]^{4}$ and $[Iu, Iv]^{4}$ are pseudo-analytic too.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the pseudo-analyticity of $[uv]^4$. As

$$(XY - YX)f = [uv]^{A} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{A}},$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$(XY - YX)I^{A}{}_{B} = 0.$$

However, this follows immediately from the assumption that u^{4} and v^{4} are pseudo-analytic.

3. Curvature tensors.

THEOREM 5. (cf. [3])

(9) (i)
$$R^{A}_{BCD}I^{E}_{B} = I^{A}_{E}R^{E}_{BCD}$$
,
(ii) $R_{ABCD} = I^{E}_{A}I^{F}_{B}R_{EFCD}$.

Proof. From (1) we get

$$0 = I^{A}_{B,CD} - I^{A}_{B,DC} = R^{A}_{ECD} I^{E}_{B} - R^{E}_{BCD} I^{A}_{E}.$$

Equation (9ii) follows immediately from (9i). The curvature tensor R^{A}_{BCD} is pseudo-unitary with respect to the first two indices.

989

THEOREM 6.

	(i)	$R_{_{BC}} \!=\! I^{_{E}}{}_{_{B}} I^{_{F}}{}_{_{C}} R_{_{EF}}$,
(10)	(ii)	$R^{A}_{\ B} \!=\! -I^{A}_{\ E}I^{F}_{\ B}R^{E}_{\ F}$,
(10)	(iii)	$I^{A}_{\ B}R^{B}_{\ C}\!=\!R^{A}_{\ B}I^{B}_{\ C}$,
	(iv)	$I^{\scriptscriptstyle E}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}R_{\scriptscriptstyle EB}=-I^{\scriptscriptstyle E}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle B}R_{\scriptscriptstyle EA}.$

 R^{A}_{B} is pseudo-unitary too.

THEOREM 7.

(11)
$$R_{ABCD}I^{AB} = 2I^{E}{}_{C}R_{ED} \ (= -2I^{E}{}_{D}R_{EC}) \,.$$

Let us prove Theorems 6 and 7 at the same time. First

 $R_{ABCD}I^{AB} = -I^{A}{}_{E}R^{E}{}_{ACD} = I^{A}{}_{E}(R^{E}{}_{CDA} + R^{E}{}_{DAC}) = I^{E}{}_{C}R^{A}{}_{EDA} + I^{E}{}_{D}R^{A}{}_{EA}$

Hence we get

(12)
$$R_{ABCD}I^{AB} = I^{E}{}_{C}R_{ED} - I^{E}{}_{D}R_{EC}$$

Now, from (9) we see that

$$R_{\rm BC} = I^{\rm E}{}_{\rm A} I^{\rm F}{}_{\rm B} R_{\rm EFCD} g^{\rm AD} = -I^{\rm ED} I^{\rm F}{}_{\rm B} (R_{\rm FCED} + R_{\rm CEFD}) \,.$$

By virtue of (12), the first term of the right hand side of equation becomes $R_{BC} - R_{EF}I^{E}{}_{B}I^{F}{}_{C}$ and the second term can be east to be $-R_{BC}$. Hence we get

$$R_{BC} = R_{EF} I^E{}_B I^F{}_C .$$

(10 ii, iii, iv) can be immediately seen to be equivalent to (10 i). use (10 iii), then (12) reduces to (11).

4. Pseudo-analytic vector fields.

THEOREM 8. Let u_A be a pseudo-analytic covariant vector fi pseudo-Kählerian manifold M^{2n} , then it satisfies the relation

$$u_{A,BC}g^{BC} - R^B{}_A u_B = 0$$

Especially, if M^{2n} is compact, then u_A is a harmonic vector. (cf. |

Proof. By hypothesis

$$I^{A}{}_{E}u_{A,B} = I^{A}{}_{B}u_{E,A},$$

$$I^{A}{}_{E}u_{A,BC}g^{BC} = I^{A}{}_{B}u_{E,AC}g^{BC} = I^{AC}u_{E,AC} = -\frac{1}{2}R^{F}{}_{EAC}u_{F}I^{AC}.$$

The last equation can be transformed by (12) into

$$I^{A}{}_{E}u_{A,BC}g^{BC} = -I^{H}{}_{F}R_{HE}g^{FB}u_{B} = I^{A}{}_{E}R_{A}{}^{B}u_{B} .$$

990

As det $(I_{E})\neq 0$, we see that (13) is true. Especially, if M^{2n} is compact and orientable, then by de Rham's theorem [2], u_{A} is a harmonic vector.

THEOREM 9. Let u^4 be a pseudo-analytic contravariant vector field over a pseudo-Kählerian manifold M^{2n} , then

(14)
$$u^{A}_{,B0}g^{B0} + R^{A}_{B}u^{B} = 0$$

Especially, if $u_{A}^{A}=0$ and M^{2n} is compact, then u^{A} is a Killing vector. (cf. [4], [6]).

The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 8. Instead of de Rham's theorem we use a theorem due to one of the authors (cf. [4], [6]).

THEOREM 10. Suppose that u^{A} and v_{A} are contravariant and covariant pseudo-analytic vector field over a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold M^{2n} . Then $u^{4}v_{A}$ is a constant over the manifold M^{2n} .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that u^4v_4 is harmonic. We put

 $\phi = u^A v_A$.

Then we get

$$d\phi = (u_{,BC}^{A}v_{A} + 2u_{,B}^{A}v_{A,C} + u^{A}v_{A,BC})g^{BC}.$$

Putting (13) and (14) into the right hand side of the last equation we get

$$\Delta \phi = 2 v_{A,c} u^{A}_{,B} g^{BC}$$

However, the right hand side can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2v_{A,o}u^{A}{}_{,B}g^{Bc} &= -2(u^{A}{}_{,E}I^{E}{}_{D}I^{D}{}_{B})g^{Bc}v_{A,o} = -2(I^{A}{}_{B}u^{E}{}_{,D}I^{D}{}_{B})g^{Bc}v_{A,c} = -2u^{E}{}_{,D}I^{Dc}I^{F}{}_{c}v_{E,F} \\ &= -2u^{E}{}_{,D}(-I^{F}{}_{c}I^{C}{}_{K}g^{KD})v_{E,F} = -2u^{E}{}_{,D}v_{E,F}g^{FD} = -\varDelta\phi \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta \phi = 0$, and ϕ is a harmonic function.

THEOREM 11. Suppose that M^{2n} is a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold. If the Ricci tensor R_{AB} is positive definite, then there exists no pseudo-analytic covariant tensors other than the zero vector. (If R_{AB} is positive semi-definite, then the covariant derivative of any pseudoanalytic covariant vector field vanishes). (cf. [1], [6]).

Proof. We put

$$\phi = g^{AB} u_A u_B ,$$

then we get

$$\Delta \phi = (g^{AB} u_A u_B)_{,CD} g^{CD} = 2g^{AB} (u_{A,C} u_{B,D} + u_{A,CD} u_B) g^{CD} .$$

If we substitute (13) in the second term of the last equation we get

$$\Delta \phi = 2g^{AB}g^{CD}u_{A,C}u_{B,D} + 2R^{AB}u_{A}u_{B}$$
.

Hence, by virtue of Bochner's lemma (cf. [1], [6]), we can see immediately that our assertion is true.

THEOREM 12. Suppose that M^{2n} is a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold. If the Ricci tensor R_{AB} is negative definite, then there exists no pseudo-analytic contravariant vector field other than the zero vector. (If R_{AB} is negative semi-definite, then the covariant derivative of any pseudo-analytic contravariant vector field vanishes). (cf [1], [6]).

The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 11.

THEOREM 13. Suppose that M^{2n} is a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold and u_B is a covariant vector field over M^{2n} such that u_B is expressible in a neighborhood of each point of M^{2n} as $\phi_{,B} + I^A_B \psi_{,A}$ where ϕ and ψ are harmonic functions in such neighborhood with respect to the pseudo-Kählerian metric. If the Ricci tensor R_{AB} is positive definite, then $u_B \equiv 0$, that is, the set of functions (ϕ, ψ) is pseudo-analytic. (If R_{AB} is positive semi-definite, then the covariant derivative of u_B vanishes). (cf. [1], [6]).

Proof. We put

$$T = g^{_AB} u_{_A} u_{_B}$$
 , $u_{_A} = \phi_{_{,A}} + I^{_E}{}_A \psi_{_{,E}}$,

then we get

$$\varDelta T = (2g^{AB}u_{A,C}u_{B,D} + 2g^{AB}u_{A,CD}u_{B})g^{CD}.$$

Now, the second term of the right hand side of the last equation is transformed in the following way:

$$\begin{split} II = & 2g^{AB}(\phi_{,CAD} + I^{E}{}_{A}\psi_{,CED})(\phi_{,B} + I^{F}{}_{B}\psi_{,F})g^{CD} \\ = & 2g^{AB}(-R^{H}{}_{CAD}\phi_{,H} - I^{E}{}_{A}R^{H}{}_{CED}\psi_{,H})(\phi_{,B} + I^{F}{}_{B}\psi_{,F})g^{CD} \\ = & 2g^{AB}(R^{H}{}_{A}\phi_{,H} + I^{E}{}_{A}R^{H}{}_{E}\psi_{,H})(\phi_{,B} + I^{F}{}_{B}\psi_{,F})g^{CD} \\ = & 2R^{HB}u_{H}u_{B} . \end{split}$$

In the process of the transformation we used (10 ii) and the fact that ϕ and ψ are harmonic functions. Hence

$${\it \Delta}T{=}2g^{{\scriptscriptstyle A}{\scriptscriptstyle B}}g^{{\scriptscriptstyle C}{\scriptscriptstyle D}}u_{{\scriptscriptstyle A},{\scriptscriptstyle C}}u_{{\scriptscriptstyle B},{\scriptscriptstyle D}}{+}2R^{{\scriptscriptstyle A}{\scriptscriptstyle B}}u_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}}u_{{\scriptscriptstyle B}}$$
 ,

so $\Delta T \ge 0$. Accordingly, by virtue of Bochner's lemma (cf. [1], [6]), we see that the theorem is true.

992

References

S. Bochner, Vector fields and Ricci curvature, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1946), 3-797.

G. de Rham, Remarque au sujet de la théorie des formes différentielles harmoniques, n. l'Univ. Grenoble, **23** (1947-48), 55-56.

S. Sasaki, On the real representation of spaces with Hermitian connexion, Sci. Rep. boku Univ. Ser. I, **33** (1949), 53-61.

K. Yano, On harmonic and Killing vector fields, Ann. of Math., **55** (1952), 38-45. Groups of transformations in generalized spaces, Tokyo (1949).

K. Yano, and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Ann. of Math. Studies, **32** 153).

STITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON AND RITUTO NAZIONALE DI ALTA MATEMATICA, ROME

../../FrontMatter/paper.pdf

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 5, No. 6 , 1955

Louis Auslander, The use of forms in variational calculation Paul Civin, Abstract Riemann sum Paul Civin, Some ergodic theorems involving two operator Paul Civin, Some ergodic theorems involving two operator Eckford Cohen, The number of solutions of certain cubic congruence Paul Civin, Some ergodic theorems involving two operator Richard M. Cohn, Specializations over difference field Paul Civin, Abstract Riemann sum Jean Dieudonné, Pseudo-discriminant and Dickson invarian Paul Civin, A comparison theorem for eigenvalues of normal matrice Richard P. Gosselin, On the convergence behaviour of trigonometric interpolating polynomial Peter K. Henrici, On generating functions of the Jacobi polynomial Meyer Jerison, An algebra associated with a compact grou Wilhelm Magnus, Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos Paul Civin ring Lyle E. Pursell, An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring Paul Civin ring C. T. Rajagopal, Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás Paul Civin ring Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold Paul Civin ring Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio Paul Civin ring	Nesmith Cornett Ankeny and Theodore Joseph Rivlin, <i>On a theorem of S.</i> <i>Bernstei</i>	8
 Paul Civin, Abstract Riemann sum		8
 Paul Civin, Some ergodic theorems involving two operator		8
 Eckford Cohen, <i>The number of solutions of certain cubic congruence</i> Richard M. Cohn, <i>Specializations over difference field</i>		8
 Richard M. Cohn, Specializations over difference field		8
Ky Fan, A comparison theorem for eigenvalues of normal matrice Image: State Stat	Richard M. Cohn, Specializations over difference field	8
 Richard P. Gosselin, On the convergence behaviour of trigonometric interpolating polynomial	Jean Dieudonné, Pseudo-discriminant and Dickson invarian	9
 interpolating polynomial	Ky Fan, A comparison theorem for eigenvalues of normal matrice	9
 Peter K. Henrici, On generating functions of the Jacobi polynomial Meyer Jerison, An algebra associated with a compact grou Wilhelm Magnus, Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos	Richard P. Gosselin, On the convergence behaviour of trigonometric	
 Meyer Jerison, An algebra associated with a compact grou	interpolating polynomial	9
 Wilhelm Magnus, Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio	Peter K. Henrici, On generating functions of the Jacobi polynomial	9
 G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, <i>The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos</i>. Lyle E. Pursell, <i>An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring</i>. C. T. Rajagopal, <i>Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás</i>. Louis Baker Rall, <i>Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation</i>. Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, <i>Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold</i>. Eugene Schenkman, <i>On the tower theorem for finite group</i>. P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, <i>On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl</i>. Morgan Ward, <i>The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio</i>. 	Meyer Jerison, An algebra associated with a compact grou	ç
 semi-elliptical bos Lyle E. Pursell, An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring C. T. Rajagopal, Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás Louis Baker Rall, Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra 	Wilhelm Magnus, Infinite determinants associated with Hill's equatio	ç
Lyle E. Pursell, An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring International construction of fixed ideals in certain C. T. Rajagopal, Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás International construction Louis Baker Rall, Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation International construction Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, Pseudo-analytic vectors pseudo-Kählerian manifold International construction Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group International construction of Poisson's equation over a rectangl Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio International construction Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra International construction	G. Power and D. L. Scott-Hutton, <i>The slow steady motion of liquid past a semi-elliptical bos</i>	ç
 C. T. Rajagopal, Additional note on some Tauberian theorems of O. Szás Louis Baker Rall, Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio	Lyle E. Pursell, An algebraic characterization of fixed ideals in certain function ring	ç
Louis Baker Rall, Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral equation Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifold Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio 10 Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra		ç
equation	Louis Baker Rall, Error bounds for iterative solutions of Fredholm integral	
pseudo-Kählerian manifold P. Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group P. P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl P. Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio P. Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra P.	equation	9
Eugene Schenkman, On the tower theorem for finite group 9 P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl 9 Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio 10 Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra 10	Shigeo Sasaki and Kentaro Yano, <i>Pseudo-analytic vectors</i> on pseudo-Kählerian manifold	ç
 P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's equation over a rectangl		ç
Morgan Ward, The mappings of the positive integers into themselves which preserve divisio 10 Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra 10	P. Stein and John E. L. Peck, On the numerical solution of Poisson's	Ç
preserve divisio 10 Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra 10	• •	
Seth Warner, Weak locally multiplicatively-convex algebra		10
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
		10