

PSEUDOPARALLEL ANTI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

Sibel Sular^{*†}, Cihan Özgür^{*} and Cengizhan Murathan[‡]

Received 09:11:2009 : Accepted 18:03:2010

Abstract

We consider an anti-invariant, minimal, pseudoparallel and Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel submanifold M of a Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, for which ξ is tangent to M .

Keywords: Kenmotsu space form, Anti-invariant submanifold, Pseudoparallel submanifold, Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel submanifold.

2000 AMS Classification: 53 C 40, 53 C 25, 53 C 42.

1. Introduction

An n -dimensional submanifold M in an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} is *pseudoparallel* [1], if its second fundamental form σ satisfies the following condition

$$(1.1) \quad \overline{R} \cdot \sigma = L_{\sigma} Q(g, \sigma).$$

Pseudoparallel submanifolds in space forms were studied by A. C. Asperti, G. A. Lobos and F. Mercuri (see [1] and [2]). Also, R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and Ş. Yaprak [6] obtained some results on pseudoparallel hypersurfaces in a 4-dimensional space form $N^4(c)$. Moreover, C -totally real pseudoparallel submanifolds of Sasakian space forms were studied by A. Yıldız, C. Murathan, K. Arslan and R. Ezentaş in [12].

On the other hand, in [9], C. Murathan, K. Arslan and R. Ezentaş defined submanifolds satisfying the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \overline{R} \cdot \sigma = L_S Q(S, \sigma).$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Balıkesir University, 10145 Balıkesir, Turkey.
E-mail: (S. Sular) csibel@balikesir.edu.tr (C. Özgür) cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr

[†]Corresponding Author.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey.
E-mail: cengiz@uludag.edu.tr

This kind of submanifold is called *Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel*. In [13], A. Yıldız and C. Murathan studied pseudoparallel and Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. In [10], the present authors considered pseudoparallel and Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel invariant submanifolds of contact metric manifolds.

In the present study, we consider pseudoparallel and Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, anti-invariant, minimal submanifolds of Kenmotsu space forms. We find a necessary condition for the submanifold to be totally geodesic.

2. Preliminaries

Let $f : M^n \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{n+d}$ be an isometric immersion of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M into an $(n+d)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} . We denote by ∇ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections of M and \widetilde{M} , respectively. Then we have the Gauss and Weingarten formulas

$$(2.1) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N,$$

where ∇^\perp denotes the normal connection on $T^\perp M$ of M , and A_N is the shape operator of M , for $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and a normal vector field N on M . We call σ the *second fundamental form* of the submanifold M . If $\sigma = 0$ then the submanifold is said to be *totally geodesic*. The second fundamental form σ and A_N are related by

$$g(A_N X, Y) = \widetilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), N),$$

where g is the induced metric of \widetilde{g} for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M . The mean curvature vector H of M is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(\sigma).$$

The first derivative $\overline{\nabla}\sigma$ of the second fundamental form σ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad (\overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is called the *van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection* of M [4]. If $\overline{\nabla}\sigma = 0$, then f is said to be a *parallel immersion*.

The second covariant derivative $\overline{\nabla}^2\sigma$ of the second fundamental form σ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\overline{\nabla}^2\sigma)(Z, W, X, Y) &= (\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) \\ &= \nabla_X^\perp ((\overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(\nabla_X Z, W) \\ &\quad - (\overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Z, \nabla_Y W) - (\overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} \sigma)(Z, W)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Z, W) \\ &= (\overline{R}(X, Y) \cdot \sigma)(Z, W) \\ &= R^\perp(X, Y)\sigma(Z, W) - \sigma(R(X, Y)Z, W) - \sigma(Z, R(X, Y)W), \end{aligned}$$

where \overline{R} is the curvature tensor belonging to the connection $\overline{\nabla}$, and

$$R^\perp(X, Y) = [\nabla^\perp X, \nabla^\perp Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^\perp,$$

(see [4]).

Now for a $(0, k)$ -tensor field T , $k \geq 1$, and a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field A on (M, g) , we define $Q(A, T)$ (see [5]) by

$$(2.6) \quad Q(A, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) = -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots \\ \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k),$$

where $X \wedge_A Y$ is an endomorphism defined by

$$(2.7) \quad (X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y.$$

Substituting $T = \sigma$ and $A = g$ or $A = S$ in formula (2.6), we obtain $Q(g, \sigma)$ and $Q(S, \sigma)$, respectively. In case $A = g$ we write $X \wedge_g Y = X \wedge Y$ for short.

3. Submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds

Let \widetilde{M} be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold with structure (φ, ξ, η, g) , where φ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ξ a vector field, η a 1-form and g the Riemannian metric on \widetilde{M} satisfying

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \\ g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad g(\varphi X, Y) = -g(X, \varphi Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y on \widetilde{M} [3]. An almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} is said to be a *Kenmotsu manifold* [7] if the relation

$$(3.1) \quad (\widetilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X$$

holds on \widetilde{M} , where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . From the above equation, for a Kenmotsu manifold we also have

$$(3.2) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi.$$

Moreover, the curvature tensor \widetilde{R} and the Ricci tensor \widetilde{S} of \widetilde{M} satisfy [7]

$$(3.3) \quad \widetilde{R}(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \widetilde{S}(X, \xi) = -2n\eta(X).$$

A Kenmotsu manifold is normal (that is, the Nijenhuis tensor of φ equals $-2d\eta \otimes \xi$), but not Sasakian. Moreover, it is also not compact since from the equation (3.2) we get $\text{div}\xi = 2n$. In [7], K. Kenmotsu showed:

(1) That locally a Kenmotsu manifold is a warped product $I \times_f N$ of an interval I and a Kaehler manifold N , with warping function $f(t) = ce^t$, where c is a nonzero constant; and

(2) That a Kenmotsu manifold of constant sectional curvature is a space of constant curvature -1 , and so it is locally hyperbolic space.

A plane section in the tangent space $T_x \widetilde{M}$ at $x \in \widetilde{M}$ is called a φ -section if it is spanned by a vector X orthogonal to ξ and φX . The sectional curvature $K(X, \varphi X)$ with respect to a φ -section, denoted by the vector X , is called a φ -sectional curvature. A Kenmotsu manifold with constant holomorphic φ -sectional curvature c is a *Kenmotsu space form*, and is denoted by $\widetilde{M}(c)$. The *curvature tensor* of a Kenmotsu space form is

given by

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{4}(c-3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}(c+1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &+ \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi \\ &+ g(X, \varphi Z)\varphi Y - g(Y, \varphi Z)\varphi X + 2g(X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let M be a $(m+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} , with ξ tangent to M . Then we have from Gauss' formula

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \sigma(X, \xi),$$

which implies from (3.2) that

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi \text{ and } \sigma(X, \xi) = 0,$$

for each vector field X tangent to M (see [8]). It is also easy to see that for a submanifold M of a Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M}

$$(3.7) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M . From the equation (3.7) we get

$$(3.8) \quad R(\xi, X)\xi = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$

for a submanifold M of a Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} . Moreover, the Ricci tensor S of M satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad S(X, \xi) = -m\eta(X).$$

We proved the following theorems in [11]:

3.1. Theorem. [11] *Let M be a $(m+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} , with ξ tangent to M . If M is pseudoparallel such that $L_\sigma \neq -1$, then it is totally geodesic.*

3.2. Theorem. [11] *Let M be a $(m+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} , with ξ tangent to M . If M is Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel such that $L_S \neq \frac{1}{m}$, then it is totally geodesic.*

The technique used in the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 is not sufficient to interpret the cases $L_\sigma = -1$ and $L_S = \frac{1}{m}$. These cases are open. For this reason, we give solutions of these cases in Section 4, for anti-invariant, minimal submanifolds of a Kenmotsu space form.

4. Anti-invariant Submanifolds of Kenmotsu Space Forms

Let M be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} . A submanifold M of a Kenmotsu manifold \widetilde{M} is called *anti-invariant* if and only if $\varphi(T_x M) \subset T_x^\perp M$ for all $x \in M$ ($T_x M$ and $T_x^\perp M$ are the tangent space and normal space of M at x , respectively).

For an anti-invariant submanifold M of a Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, with ξ tangent to M , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{4}(c-3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} + \frac{1}{4}(c+1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &- \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi\} \\ &+ A_{\sigma(Y, Z)}X - A_{\sigma(X, Z)}Y. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by S and r the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M , respectively. Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} S(Y, Z) = & \frac{1}{4}[n(c-3) - (c+1)]g(Y, Z) - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(c+1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\ & - \sum_i g(\sigma(Y, e_i), \sigma(Z, e_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad r = \frac{1}{4}[n^2(c-3) - n(c+5)] - \sum_{i,j} g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)),$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M .

By an easy calculation, we have the following proposition:

4.1. Proposition. *Let M^{n+1} be an anti-invariant, minimal submanifold of a Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. Then we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = & \|\overline{\nabla}\sigma\|^2 + \left[\frac{(n+1)(c-3)}{4}\right]\|\sigma\|^2 \\ & - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=n+2}^{2n+1} \{[Tr(A_\alpha \circ A_\beta)]^2 + \|[A_\alpha, A_\beta]\|^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M such that $e_{n+1} = \xi$. □

4.2. Theorem. *Let M^{n+1} be an anti-invariant, minimal submanifold of a Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$, with ξ tangent to M . If M^{n+1} is pseudoparallel and $\frac{(n+1)(c+1)}{4} \leq 0$ then it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Suppose that M is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. We choose an orthonormal basis

$$\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \xi, \varphi e_1 = e_1^*, \dots, \varphi e_n = e_n^*\}.$$

Then, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1, n+2 \leq \alpha \leq 2n+1$, the components of the second fundamental form σ are given by

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma_{ij}^\alpha = g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha).$$

Similarly, the components of the first and the second covariant derivative of σ are given by

$$(4.6) \quad \sigma_{ijk}^\alpha = g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\sigma)(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha) = \overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\sigma_{ij}^\alpha$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{ijkl}^\alpha = & g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_l}\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\sigma)(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha) \\ = & \overline{\nabla}_{e_l}\sigma_{ijk}^\alpha \\ = & \overline{\nabla}_{e_l}\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\sigma_{ij}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since M is pseudoparallel, then the condition

$$(4.8) \quad \overline{R}(e_l, e_k) \cdot \sigma = -[(e_l \wedge_g e_k) \cdot \sigma]$$

is fulfilled where

$$(4.9) \quad [(e_l \wedge_g e_k) \cdot \sigma](e_i, e_j) = -\sigma((e_l \wedge_g e_k)e_i, e_j) - \sigma(e_i, (e_l \wedge_g e_k)e_j)$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n+1$.

Using (2.7) in (4.9), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} [(e_l \wedge_g e_k) \cdot \sigma](e_i, e_j) &= -g(e_k, e_i)\sigma(e_l, e_j) + g(e_l, e_i)\sigma(e_k, e_j) \\ &\quad - g(e_k, e_j)\sigma(e_l, e_i) + g(e_l, e_j)\sigma(e_k, e_i). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.5) we have

$$(4.11) \quad (\overline{R}(e_l, e_k) \cdot \sigma)(e_i, e_j) = (\overline{\nabla}_{e_l} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \sigma)(e_i, e_j) - (\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_l} \sigma)(e_i, e_j).$$

Then using (4.5), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.11), the pseudoparallelity condition (4.8) reduces to

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma_{ijkl}^\alpha = \sigma_{ijlk}^\alpha + \{\delta_{ki}\sigma_{ij}^\alpha - \delta_{li}\sigma_{kj}^\alpha + \delta_{kj}\sigma_{il}^\alpha - \delta_{lj}\sigma_{ki}^\alpha\},$$

where $g(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n+1$, $n+2 \leq \alpha \leq 2n+1$.

The Laplacian $\Delta\sigma_{ij}^\alpha$ of σ_{ij}^α can be written as

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta\sigma_{ij}^\alpha = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sigma_{ijkk}^\alpha.$$

Then we get

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} \sigma_{ij}^\alpha \sigma_{ijkl}^\alpha + \|\overline{\nabla}\sigma\|^2,$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} (\sigma_{ij}^\alpha)^2$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \|\overline{\nabla}\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} (\sigma_{ijkl}^\alpha)^2$$

are the square of the length of the second and the third fundamental forms of M , respectively. On the other hand, by the use of (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij}^\alpha \sigma_{ijkk}^\alpha &= g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha)g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \sigma)(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha) \\ &= g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \sigma)(e_i, e_j)g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha), e_\alpha) \\ &= g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \sigma)(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the use of (4.17), equation (4.14) turns into

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \sigma)(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) + \|\overline{\nabla}\sigma\|^2.$$

Substituting (4.17) into (4.18), we have

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} [g((\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} \sigma)(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad + \{g(e_i, e_j)g(\sigma(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) - g(e_k, e_j)g(\sigma(e_k, e_i), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad + g(e_k, e_i)g(\sigma(e_j, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) - g(e_k, e_k)g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j))\}] \\ &\quad + \|\overline{\nabla}\sigma\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the definitions

$$(4.20) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)),$$

$$(4.21) \quad H^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sigma_{kk}^\alpha,$$

$$(4.22) \quad \|H\|^2 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} (H^\alpha)^2,$$

and after some calculations, we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} \sigma_{ij}^\alpha (\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j} H^\alpha) - (n+1) \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\nabla \sigma\|^2.$$

Then, by the use of the minimality condition, the last equation turns into

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = -(n+1) \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\nabla \sigma\|^2.$$

Comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.4) and (4.23), we get

$$(4.24) \quad \left(-(n+1) - \frac{(n+1)(c-3)}{4} \right) \|\sigma\|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=n+2}^{2n+1} \{ [\text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\beta)]^2 + \|[A_\alpha, A_\beta]\|^2 \} = 0.$$

If $\frac{(n+1)(c+1)}{4} \leq 0$ then $\text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\beta) = 0$. In particular, $\|A_\alpha\|^2 = \text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\alpha) = 0$, thus $\sigma = 0$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.3. Theorem. *Let M^{n+1} be an anti-invariant, minimal submanifold of a Kenmotsu space form $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1}(c)$, with ξ tangent to M . If M^{n+1} is Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel and $\frac{r}{n} - \frac{(n+1)(c-3)}{4} \geq 0$, then it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. If M is Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, then as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1, n+2 \leq \alpha \leq 2n+1$, we have

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} [g((\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j} \sigma)(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \{ S(e_i, e_j) g(\sigma(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad - S(e_k, e_j) g(\sigma(e_k, e_i), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad + S(e_k, e_i) g(\sigma(e_j, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &\quad - S(e_k, e_k) g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \}] + \|\nabla \sigma\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the use of (4.2), we get

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} S(e_i, e_j) g(\sigma(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} S(e_i, e_j) g(A_\alpha e_k, e_k) g(A_\alpha e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} S(e_i, e_j) \text{Tr}(A_\alpha) g(A_\alpha e_i, e_j) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} S(e_k, e_j)g(\sigma(e_k, e_i), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} S(e_k, e_j)g(A_\alpha e_i, e_k)g(A_\alpha e_i, e_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} S(e_k, e_j)g(A_\alpha e_k, e_i)g(A_\alpha e_j, e_i) \\
 (4.27) \quad &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} S(e_k, e_j)g(A_\alpha e_k, A_\alpha e_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} \frac{1}{4}[n(c-3) - (c+1)]g(e_k, e_j)g(A_\alpha e_k, A_\alpha e_j) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(c+1)g(A_\alpha e_k, A_\alpha e_j) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} g(A_\alpha e_k, A_\alpha e_j)g(A_\alpha e_k, A_\alpha e_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the equation (4.3), we have

$$(4.28) \quad \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} S(e_k, e_k)g(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) = r \|\sigma\|^2.$$

Then, substituting equations (4.26) - (4.28) in (4.25), we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} g((\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \sigma)(e_k, e_k), \sigma(e_i, e_j)) + \frac{r}{n} \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\bar{\nabla} \sigma\|^2.$$

Putting $H^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sigma_{kk}^\alpha$, the equation (4.29) turns into

$$(4.30) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{2n+1} \sigma_{ij}^\alpha (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} H^\alpha) + \frac{r}{n} \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\bar{\nabla} \sigma\|^2.$$

Furthermore, making use of the minimality condition, the equation (4.30) can be written as follows

$$(4.31) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\|\sigma\|^2) = \frac{r}{n} \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\bar{\nabla} \sigma\|^2.$$

Consequently, comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.4) and (4.31), we get

$$\left(\frac{r}{n} - \frac{(n+1)(c-3)}{4} \right) \|\sigma\|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=n+2}^{2n+1} \{ [\text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\beta)]^2 + \|[A_\alpha, A_\beta]\|^2 \} = 0.$$

If $\frac{r}{n} - \frac{(n+1)(c-3)}{4} \geq 0$ then $\text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\beta) = 0$. In particular, $\|A_\alpha\|^2 = \text{Tr}(A_\alpha \circ A_\alpha) = 0$, thus $\sigma = 0$. Therefore, our theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] Asperti, A. C., Lobos, G. A. and Mercuri, F. *Pseudo-parallel immersions in space forms*, Math. Contemp. **17**, 59–70, 1999.
- [2] Asperti, A. C., Lobos, G. A. and Mercuri, F. *Pseudo-parallel submanifolds of a space form*, Adv. Geom. **2** (1), 57–71, 2002.
- [3] Blair, D. E. *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds* (Progress in Mathematics, **203**, Birkhauser Inc., Boston, MA, 2002).
- [4] Chen, B. Y. *Geometry of Submanifolds and its Applications* (Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981).
- [5] Deszcz, R. *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Soc. Belg. Math., Ser. A, **44**, 1–34, 1992.
- [6] Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, S. *Pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional space of constant curvature*, Bull. Ins. Math. Acad. Sinica, **22**, 167–179, 1994.
- [7] Kenmotsu, K. *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. **24** (2), 93–103, 1972.
- [8] Kobayashi, M. *Semi-invariant submanifolds of a certain class of almost contact manifolds*, Tensor (N.S.) **43**, 28–36, 1986.
- [9] Murathan, C., Arslan, K. and Ezentas, R. *Ricci Generalized Pseudo-parallel Immersions* (Differential Geometry and its Applications, Matfyzpress, Prague, 2005), 99–108.
- [10] Özgür, C., Sular, S. and Murathan, C. *On pseudoparallel invariant submanifolds of contact metric manifolds*, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. B (N.S.) **14** (49), 227–234, 2007.
- [11] Sular, S., Özgür, C. and Murathan, C. *On pseudoparallel, invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds*, Submitted.
- [12] Yıldız, A., Murathan, C., Arslan, K. and Ezentas, R. *C-totally real pseudo-parallel submanifolds of Sasakian space forms*, Monatshefte für Mathematik **151** (3), 247–256, 2007.
- [13] Yıldız, A. and Murathan, C. *Invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms*, Journal of Geometry **95** (1-2), 135–150, 2009.