



Psychological Treatment of Depression in Primary Care: Recent Developments

Pim Cuijpers¹ · Soledad Quero² · Christopher Dowrick³ · Bruce Arroll⁴

Published online: 23 November 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Purpose of Review We give an overview of recent developments on psychological treatments of depression in primary care.

Recent Findings In recent years, it has become clear that psychotherapies can effectively be delivered through e-health applications. Furthermore, several studies in low and middle income countries have shown that lay health counselors can effectively deliver psychological therapies. Behavioral activation, a relatively simple form of therapy, has been found to be as effective as cognitive behavior therapy. Treatment of subthreshold depression has been found to not only reduce depressive symptoms but also prevent the onset of major depression. In addition, therapies are effective in older adults, patients with general medical disorders and in perinatal depression.

Summary Psychological therapies are effective in the treatment of depression in primary care, have longer lasting effects than drugs, are preferred by the majority of patients, and can be applied flexibly with different formats and across different target groups.

Keywords Depression · Primary care · Major depressive disorder · Psychotherapy · Cognitive behavior therapy · Interpersonal psychotherapy

Introduction

Depressive disorders are highly prevalent, disabling, and costly disorders that are linked with considerably diminished role functioning and quality of life, medical comorbidity, and mortality [1–4]. In the past decades, considerable progress has been made in the research and development of treatments of

depression in several settings, including primary care. Many different types of antidepressant medication and psychotherapy are now available that have shown to be effective in multiple randomized trials [5•, 6]. Based on these significant and positive effects, many of these treatments are included in treatment guidelines and are widely used across clinical practice.

The majority of depressed patients are treated in primary care and only a small proportion of these are referred to mental health services [7, 8]. Despite the hundreds of randomized trials on drugs and therapy, only a limited number of these trials on drugs and therapy have focused on primary care patients. The results found for treatments in specialized mental health care may, however, not be valid in depressed primary care patients, also because depression is assumed to be less severe in primary care patients [9].

Although both antidepressant medication and psychotherapies have small, but positive effects on depression, with no clinically relevant differences at the short-term, many general practitioners (GPs) tend to prescribe antidepressant medications to depressed patients [10]. The majority of patients, however, prefer psychological treatments [11, 12, 13•]. In a systematic review of 34 studies among different settings, it was found that on average 75% of patients with mental disorders

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Psychiatry in Primary Care*

✉ Pim Cuijpers
p.cuijpers@vu.nl

¹ Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Van der Boechorststraat 7-9, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Department of Basic, Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology, Universitat Jaume I, Castellón, Spain

³ Department of Health Services Research, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

⁴ Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

preferred psychotherapy over drug treatment, especially in younger patients [12], although there are indications that this may be related to severity of depression with more severe patients more often preferring drugs [14].

In this paper, we will give an overview of the available psychological treatments that have been tested in randomized trials in primary care patients. We will also examine the relative effects of therapies, antidepressants, and their combination. We will summarize what is known about how these therapies can be delivered (individually, in groups, by telephone, through the internet) and who can deliver them. Then we will focus on new developments in the field, including the use of therapies that are delivered through new technologies, the increasing number of trials on psychotherapy in primary settings in low and middle income countries (LMICs), the recent evidence that simple forms of therapy are as effective as more complicated forms, that treating subthreshold depression can have important preventive effects, and that there is increasing knowledge about the treatment of specific target groups, such as older adults, women with postpartum depression, comorbid substance use, and comorbid general medical disorders.

Psychotherapy for Depression

In the past decades, several different types of psychotherapy for depression have been developed and tested in primary care. Psychotherapy can be defined as “the informed and intentional application of clinical methods and interpersonal stances derived from established psychological principles for the purpose of assisting people to modify their behaviors, cognitions, emotions, and/or other personal characteristics in directions that the participants deem desirable” [15].

The types of therapy that have been examined best in primary care settings are cognitive behavior therapy (CBT), behavioral activation therapy (BAT), interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT), problem-solving therapy, and non-directive counseling. In Table 1, definitions of each of these therapies are given, as well as some major sources of evidence for their effectiveness. There are many other types of therapy, which are sometimes used in mental health care but have not extensively been tested in primary care settings. Examples include brief psychodynamic therapies, life review therapy, and mindfulness-based CBT. In Table 2, the main meta-analyses of trials on psychotherapies in primary care patients have been summarized.

There is a considerable body of evidence that psychotherapies are effective in the treatment of depression. In randomized trials, therapies are usually compared with care-as-usual, waiting list, pill placebo, or other control conditions. Compared with these control conditions, the effects are moderate to large (Cohen's d ranging from 0.6 to 0.9) [19]. However, the quality of these trials is in

many cases suboptimal and publication bias is a major problem [39]. After adjustment for these problems, the effect sizes (Cohen's d) are between 0.3 and 0.4, which corresponds with a numbers-needed-to-be-treated (NNT) between 8 and 11 [40].

There is no evidence that the effects of different types of therapy significantly differ from each other. Trials directly comparing different types of therapy [41], as well as network meta-analyses [6], suggest that all major types of therapy have comparable effects. There is one meta-analysis examining differential effects of psychotherapies conducted in primary care [42], which supports this finding of no major differences between therapies, although the number of studies was limited and some difference was found between IPT and internet-based CBT, but that was based on a small number of studies and mostly indirect evidence.

Psychotherapies in primary care can be delivered by GPs themselves, by trained nurses, by social workers, or clinical psychologists. There is hardly any research examining whether who delivers the treatment is related to the effects that are found for therapies.

Drugs or Therapy?

Apart from psychotherapies, drugs have also been found to be effective in the treatment of depression in large-scale meta-analyses of randomized trials across settings [5••]. One meta-analysis of 17 trials of antidepressants in primary care patients found a modest, but significant effect of drugs on response compared with placebo [43••]. However, most of these trials were in patients with moderate to severe depression, while the majority of patients are in the mild to moderate range. Therefore, these findings have to be considered with caution. In addition, a large recent trial in primary care patients with moderate depression could not confirm that sertraline was more effective than placebo [44].

At the short term, the effects of psychotherapies across all settings are comparable with those of antidepressants [45••, 46, 47]. Direct comparisons between antidepressants and therapies result in small, non-significant differences [46–48], also when blinding is taken into account (when a placebo condition is included) [48], as well as sponsorship bias [49]. However, at the longer term (up to 1 year), there are indications that psychotherapy is more effective than medication [50], especially when during follow-up, the patients stop taking the medication [51]. When the patient continues to take medication during follow-up, the effects are comparable with those of CBT without continuation treatment during follow-up.

Combined treatment is more effective than either psychotherapy or pharmacotherapy alone [47], but this has been examined mostly in moderate to severe depression and it is not clear whether this is also true for milder forms of depression.

Table 1 The most important types of psychological interventions in primary care^a

Types of therapy	Description	Evidence
Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – The best examined type of psychotherapy that is currently available, also in primary care. – Although it is the best studied type of therapy, there is no evidence that it is more effective than other therapies. – The therapist focuses on the impact a patient's present dysfunctional thoughts have on current behavior and future functioning. – CBT is aimed at evaluating, challenging, and modifying a patient's dysfunctional beliefs (cognitive restructuring). – Therapists exert an active influence over therapeutic interactions and topics of discussion, use a psycho-educational approach, and teach patients new ways of coping with stressful situations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Several meta-analyses of several dozens of trials have shown that CBT is effective in primary care [16–18]. – Meta-analyses of trials across setting have included more than 200 comparisons between CBT and control groups, overall indicating comparable effects as in primary care [19].
Behavioral activation therapy (BAT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – BAT is often combined with CBT but can also be offered as a separate treatment [19]. – The patient registers pleasant routine and essential activities. – The patient is stimulated to increase positive interactions with his or her environment. – The delivery of BAT is less complicated than CBT. – Social skills training can also be a part of the intervention. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – No meta-analysis of trials of BAT in primary care has been conducted. – Meta-analyses of BAT across settings have included several dozens of trials, resulting in comparable effects as other therapies [19, 20]. – A large non-inferiority trial found that BAT delivered by nurses is non-inferior to CBT delivered by therapists [21••].
Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – A highly structured manual-based psychotherapy that addresses interpersonal issues in depression to the exclusion of all other foci of clinical attention [20, 21••, 22]. – In secondary care, usually the full version of 16 sessions is used, but there is also a brief version interpersonal counseling (IPC) that was developed for primary care [23]. – IPT has no specific theoretical origin although its theoretical basis can be seen as coming from the work of Sullivan, Meyer, and Bowlby. – The current form of the treatment was developed by the late Gerald Klerman and Myrna Weissman in the 1980s [22]. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – No meta-analysis of trials of IPT in primary care has been conducted. – Meta-analyses of IPT across settings have included several dozens of trials, resulting in comparable effects as other therapies [19, 23].
Problem-solving therapy (PST)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – In PST, patients learn how to systematically solve their problems in a number of steps. – First, the problems are defined, then as many solutions as possible are generated, the best one is chosen, a plan is made to actually do it, the plan is executed and finally evaluated whether the problem is solved. If not, the patient should go back to the first step. – PST was originally developed as a 12-session intervention which was aimed at problem-solving and also on changing attitudes and beliefs that inhibit effective problem-solving [24, 25]. – However, in the 1990s, a brief 6-session version of PST was developed specifically for primary care [26, 27]. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – A meta-analysis of 11 trials of PST in primary care found comparable effects as other therapies [25]. – A meta-analysis across settings has included a few dozen of trials [19].
Non-directive counseling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Counseling is an unstructured therapy without specific psychological techniques other than those common to all approaches, such as helping people to ventilate their experiences and emotions and offering empathy [19, 28]. – It is not aimed at solutions or acquiring new skills. – The assumption is that relief from personal problems may be achieved through discussion with others. – Often described in the literature as either counseling or (non-directive) supportive therapy. – Counseling is examined in primary care in several studies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – No meta-analysis of trials of counseling in primary care has been conducted. – Meta-analyses of counseling across settings have included several dozens of trials, resulting in effects that may be somewhat smaller than other therapies, but this is not clear [19, 28].
Other types of therapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – There are many other types of therapy that have been tested in other settings, but not extensively in primary care: – Psychodynamic therapies are based on the psycho-analytic framework and try to help patients through resolve depression through enhancing the patient's understanding, awareness, and insight about repetitive conflicts [29]. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – For the effects of 15 different therapies, see the recent meta-analysis of Cuijpers et al. 2019 [19].

Table 1 (continued)

Types of therapy	Description	Evidence
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Life review therapy is mainly used in older adults and is aimed at resolving conflicts from the past and make up the balance of one's life [30, 31]. – Third wave therapies are a heterogeneous group of treatments that introduce new techniques to CBT. They have in common that they abandon or only cautiously use content-oriented cognitive interventions and the use of skills deficit models to delineate the core maintaining mechanisms of the addressed disorders [32]. – Mindfulness-based CBT, in which CBT is combined with mindfulness and meditation, is an important example. Significant effects have been obtained with this therapy in patients with diagnostic levels of depression [33], being a treatment of choice for depression recurrence recommended by NICE guidelines [34]. 	

^a The definitions given in this table are based on a broader meta-analysis of 15 evidence-based therapies for adult depression [20]

Acceptability has been found to be better for psychotherapy and combined treatment compared with antidepressants alone. Most of the meta-analyses in this field have examined these issues across settings, and it has not been established whether these findings are also true in primary care.

Technologically Delivered Therapies

One interesting development in the past years is the remote delivery of psychotherapies through the internet and mobile apps. It is becoming increasingly clear that the effects of these interventions are comparable with those of face-to-face therapies. In one recent meta-analysis of trials in which a face-to-face therapy was compared with the same intervention that

was offered as an internet-based treatment, no significant differences between these formats were found [52]. However, in this meta-analysis, interventions for a broad range of mental disorders were pooled together. In another recent meta-analysis, aimed specifically at depression, the treatment format was examined in more detail [53•]. This was a network meta-analysis of 155 studies on CBT for depression in which different kinds of treatment formats were compared with each other and with different control conditions. No significant differences were found between individual, group, telephone-based, or guided self-help format [53•]. In guided self-help, the patient independently works through a standardized protocol, with support from a professional therapist. The protocol can be in book format or available on the internet, and the support from the therapist can be delivered by

Table 2 Selected characteristics of meta-analyses on psychological interventions in primary care (2014–2019)^a

	Patients	Intervention	Format	Comparison	N st	SMD	95% CI	I^2	95% CI ^b
Linde, 2015 [16]	Depression	CBT	Face-to-face	TAU or placebo	7	0.30	0.13–0.48	0	0–71
Santoft, 2019 [17••]	Depression	CBT	Any	Control	34	0.22	0.15–0.30	40	18–59
Stephens, 2016 [35••]	Postpartum depr	Any	Face-to-face	TAU or WL	10	0.38	0.27–0.49	60	27–78
Twomey, 2015 [18]	Depr and anx	CBT	Any	No treatment	7	0.59	0.32–0.85	61	Nr
		CBT	Any	TAU	14	0.48	0.27–0.69	77	Nr
		CBT + TAU	Any	TAU	9	0.37	0.25–0.50	30	Nr
Wells, 2018 [36]	Depression	C-CBT	Guided + ung.	TAU or WL	8	0.26	0.07–0.45	85	73–92
Zhang 2019 [37••]	Depression	CBT, PST, MI, SFT	Any	Any comparator	65	0.42	0.29–0.56	Nr	Nr
Zhang, 2018 [38•]	Depression	PST	Face to face	Any comparator	11	0.67	0.47–0.88	Nr	Nr

Anx, anxiety; C-CBT, computerized cognitive behavior therapy; CBT, cognitive behavior therapy; CI, confidence interval; Depr, depression; MI, motivational interviewing; N st, number of studies; Nr, not reported; PST, problem-solving therapy; SFT, solution-focused therapy; SMD, standardized mean difference (Cohen's *d* or Hedges' *g*); TAU, treatment-as-usual; Ung, unguided; WL, waiting list

^a Only conventional meta-analyses with at least 5 studies in a comparison and reporting a standardized mean difference are included in the table

^b If the 95% CI of I^2 was not reported, we calculated it based on the value of Q and df with the heterogi module in STATA

telephone, by email, or by chat [54]. This meta-analysis found no difference in effects of these different formats (including internet-based guided self-help). It was found, however, that although the effects of guided self-help were comparable with that of other treatment formats, the acceptability was significantly lower. Acceptability was defined as drop-out from the study for any reason. When applying guided self-help in patients, clinicians should be cautious for early drop-out in patients.

Unguided internet-based self-help is also increasingly examined in randomized trials. These are also CBT-based interventions in which the protocol is delivered over the internet, but in which no professional support is given and the patient has to go through the intervention independently. In one large “individual patient data” meta-analysis, with the primary data of 3876 patients from 13 trials on unguided internet-based self-help, it was found that the effects of these unguided interventions are smaller than those of guided self-help, but still significant compared with care-as-usual and waiting list control groups [55]. In the network meta-analysis comparing different treatment formats of CBT, self-help without any professional support was more effective than waitlist controls, but not more effective than care-as-usual. Self-help without professional support may therefore be useful as alternative for watchful waiting in mild depression, and may be effective in some patients, but the effects are not as large as those of internet-based guided self-help or face-to-face therapies [53, 56].

Although most of this research has been done across different settings, there are also a growing number of randomized trials in primary care. One recent meta-analysis of 8 randomized trials on computerized CBT in primary care confirmed the general findings described above that guided self-help is effective with small to moderate effects ($g = 0.37$), while unguided has little effect compared with control conditions ($g = 0.04$) [57]. This suggests that the findings across different treatment settings are also valid in primary care settings. General practitioners may, however, be less inclined to recommend low intensity interventions for their patients [58].

LMICs

Another interesting development is that there is an increasing number of studies in primary settings in low and middle income countries (LMICs). Most research on psychotherapies in general, including therapies in primary care settings, has been conducted in high-income Western countries across North America, Europe, and Australia. Depression, however, is not only an important public health problem in these high-income countries. Although 12-month prevalence rates differ considerably across countries [59], these rates are overall very comparable in high income countries (5.5%) and LMICs

(5.9%) countries [60, 61]. In China, for example, it is estimated that 54 million people suffer from depression, which is more than the total population of Spain. Depression is therefore a worldwide public health problem, with very low rates of access for people living in LMICs. Although the number of depressed people seeking help in high-income countries is also low (about 1 in 5), only one in 27 LMICs gets adequate treatment [62, 63].

Because the financial and human resources to deliver treatments for mental health problems in LMICs are typically not available, most projects on psychotherapy use a task shifting approach. Task shifting can be defined as “the rational redistribution of tasks among health workforce teams” [64], and it means that psychotherapies are delivered by trained non-specialist, lay health counselors, such as nurses or trained lay persons. Usually therapies are also very brief, often with only 4 to 6 sessions. In recent years, several randomized trials in primary care settings have been conducted using the approach, examining behavioral activation treatment in India [65–67] and Pakistan [68], problem-solving therapy through the Friendship Bench in Zimbabwe [69], CBT in Pakistan [70], and Problem Management Plus (which combines CBT, BA, PST, anxiety management, and social support) in Pakistan [71, 72] and Kenya [73]. These efforts build on earlier work in which task shifting approaches were used in the treatment of common mental disorders [74, 75]. It should be noted, however, that not all trials in this field have found better results when compared with usual care [76, 77].

Although no meta-analysis has yet integrated the results of trials using task shifting approaches for depression in primary care settings in LMICs, the individual studies described above find positive results and suggest that this is an effective and efficient strategy to implement psychotherapies in LMICs.

Behavioral Activation

The question whether all therapies for depression have comparable effects has been examined for several decades, and several meta-analyses have shown that the effects of different manualized therapies are indeed comparable [6, 41]. This raises the question whether therapies can be simplified without reduction of the effects. Both internet-based interventions and task shifting interventions, in which lay health counselors deliver therapies, can be seen as examples of this development, because they use considerably less resources, but have comparable effects as conventional individual therapies.

One other development in this area is research on behavioral activation treatment in primary care. CBT is the dominant type of treatment that has been recommended in most guidelines as first line treatment of depression [34, 78, 79]. However, it is also rather complicated and requires patients to be able to understand and observe their own thinking and

change this. Behavioral activation is much more simple and straightforward. It only requires patients to know which activities they find pleasurable and in theoretical terms result in “an increase of positive interactions between a person and his or her environment” [18, 80]. These pleasant activities should then be integrated more into their daily life. Behavioral activation is included in most manuals for CBT, but as we saw earlier, it can also be delivered as a separate treatment without the cognitive restructuring.

The question whether CBT and behavioral activation have comparable effects goes back to the 1970s [81], and has been examined in several trials over the years [82, 83]. However, all of these trials were heavily underpowered with far too few participants to show small differential effects of either of the two [84]. In 2016, however, a large, sufficiently powered non-inferiority trial was published in which behavioral activation delivered by junior mental health workers was compared with CBT from licensed psychological therapists for depressed primary care patients [21••]. Behavioral activation treatment was found to be non-inferior to CBT. This means that it is no less effective than CBT in primary care, but is much simpler and easier for patients, and can be effectively delivered by therapists that have less training than the CBT therapists.

Prevention

Depressive disorders that are treated in primary care are usually less severe than disorders treated in specialized mental health care. Many of these disorders would not meet the criteria for a major depressive disorder but can better be understood as subthreshold depression or minor depression. These patients have clinically relevant depressive symptoms. It has become increasingly clear that this group can benefit from preventive psychological interventions aimed at reduction of symptoms and at preventing the onset of major depression.

A recent large randomized trial in patients recruited from the community showed that a preventive internet-based intervention consisting of the combination of behavioral activation and problem-solving can have significant effects in patients with subthreshold depression [85•]. After the intervention, the level of depressive symptoms was significantly lower in the treatment group compared with the enhanced care-as-usual control group (Cohen's $d = 0.69$). More importantly, however, at 1-year follow-up, the number of patients who developed a major depressive disorder was significantly lower in the treatment group (27%) compared with the control group (41%), with a hazard ratio of 0.59 (95% CI 0.42–0.82; $p = 0.002$) and a number-needed-to-be-treated of 5.9.

This finding is in line with previous studies showing that brief psychological interventions in people with subthreshold depression can prevent the onset of major depression at

follow-up. A meta-analysis of 17 randomized trials in sub-threshold depression found that the incidence rate was significantly reduced in those who received a preventive intervention compared with those who did not [86]. The incidence rate ratio was 0.74 (95% CI 0.61–0.90), which means that the incidence of depressive disorders was 26% lower in the prevention group compared with the control group. Several of these studies were conducted in primary care [87–89].

This suggests that general practitioners can play an important role in the prevention of major depression by referring patients with subthreshold depression to brief psychological preventive interventions or offering such interventions themselves. Another group of studies has focused on psychosocial interventions focused on perinatal depression, suggesting that preventive interventions may also be effective in this target group [90•].

Specific Target Groups

Most studies examining psychotherapies in primary care patients are aimed at patients in general. However, there are also considerable numbers of studies examining psychotherapies for depression in specific target groups, such as older adults, patients with comorbid general medical disorders, such as diabetes, heart disease, or cancer, women with perinatal depression, and patients with comorbid substance use. In a large meta-analysis of 256 trials with 332 comparisons between a therapy and a control group, it was examined whether there are differences between studies in such specific target groups [91]. There was some risk of bias in more than 75% of the studies, heterogeneity was considerable in most comparisons, and the risk for an overestimate of the effect size because of publication bias was high.

Although only thirty of these studies were conducted in primary care, the studies in older adults and patients with general medical disorders did not significantly differ from studies in adults in general. For other target groups, the number of studies with low risk of bias was too small to draw any definite conclusion, although a recent meta-analysis of 10 trials in primary care on therapies in women with perinatal depression found that psychotherapy was significantly more effective than care-as-usual and waiting list control groups (Cohen's $d = -0.38$; 95% CI -0.49 to -0.27).

The effects of treatments for comorbid depression and substance use disorders are probably more modest. A meta-analysis of 20 studies in these patients found a small but significant effect of therapy on depression, but no significant effect on substance use [92]. None of these studies were conducted in primary care because these patients are usually treated in specialized mental health or substance use disorder settings.

Therapies in depressed children and adolescents have also been examined in a considerable number of studies [93], but these studies are typically conducted among children recruited from schools or who are treated in specialized mental health care. The effect sizes found for therapies in children and adolescents compared with control conditions are smaller than those in adults, especially those in children below the age of 12, although the number of studies is small and the quality of many of these studies is suboptimal [94]. It is important, however, that both the effects in children (Cohen's $d = 0.35$) and those in adolescents ($d = 0.55$) are still significant.

Conclusions

In this review, we saw that psychotherapies are effective in the treatment of depression in primary care patients. The effects are comparable with those of antidepressant medication in the short term and probably more effective in the longer term. Combined treatment is more effective than either psychotherapy or pharmacotherapy alone. The majority of patients prefer psychotherapy over pharmacotherapy and it is also more acceptable. In recent years, it has become clear that therapies can effectively be delivered in different formats, including internet-based formats. It can also be delivered through trained lay health counselors, as has been shown in a growing number of studies in LMICs. The type of therapy can also be simplified, as recent research comparing cognitive behavior therapy and behavioral activation has shown. Another interesting recent development is the use of psychological treatment as prevention of major depression in people with subthreshold depression. Finally, a considerable number of studies have shown that psychotherapies can effectively be used as treatment in older adults, patients with general medical disorders, and in perinatal depression. The effects are probably smaller in those with comorbid substance use problems, chronic depression, and in children and adolescents.

Although psychotherapies are effective in the treatment of depression, we have to remember that the effects are still modest as are those of antidepressant medication. The majority of patients improve during treatment, but a considerable number of these would also have improved without treatment. Improvement rates without treatment have been estimated to be about a quarter after 3 months and 50% after 1 year [95]. And on the other side of the spectrum, there is a considerable minority of about 30% of patients who do not respond to any treatment [96, 97]. Furthermore, the quality of much of the research on psychotherapies is suboptimal. So there is still a lot of room for improvement, both in terms of quality of research and in terms of treatments outcomes.

Despite these limitations, however, psychotherapy is effective and can be considered to be one of the main treatment options for depression in primary care.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Pim Cuijpers, Soledad Quero, Christopher Dowrick, and Bruce Arroll declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
 - Of major importance
1. Demyttenaere K, Bruffaerts R, Posada-Villa J, Gasquet I, Kovess V, Lepine JP, et al. Prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *JAMA*. 2004;291:2581–90.
 2. Cuijpers P, Vogelzangs N, Twisk J, Kleiboer A, Li J, Penninx B. Comprehensive meta-analysis of excess mortality in depression in the general community versus patients with specific illnesses. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;71:453–62.
 3. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, Baxter AJ, Ferrari AJ, Erskine HE, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2013;382:157s–86.
 4. Steel Z, Mamane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, et al. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980–2013. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43: 476–93.
 5. Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, Chaimani A, Atkinson LZ, Ogawa Y, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2018;391:1357–66. **This is the largest network meta-analysis of antidepressants that has been conducted, showing that some drugs may be somewhat more effective than others.**
 6. Barth J, Munder T, Gerger H, Nuesch E, Trelle S, Znoj H, et al. Comparative efficacy of seven psychotherapeutic interventions for depressed patients: a network meta-analysis. *Plos Med*. 2013;10: e1001454.
 7. Goldberg D, Lecrubier Y. Form and frequency of mental disorders across centers. In: Ustun T, Sartorius N, editors. *Mental illness in general health care: an international study*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 1995.
 8. Bijl RV, Ravelli A. Psychiatric morbidity, service use, and need for care in the general population: results of The Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study. *Am J Public Health*. 2000;90(4):602–7.
 9. Bortolotti B, Menchetti M, Bellini F, Montaguti MB, Berardi D. Psychological interventions for major depression in primary care: a

- meta-analytic review of randomized controlled trials. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2008;30:293–302.
10. Olfson M, Marcus SC. National trends in outpatient psychotherapy. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167:1456–63.
 11. Van Schaik DJF, Klijn AF, van Hout HP, van Marwijk HW, Beekman AT, de Haan M, et al. Patients' preferences in the treatment of depressive disorder in primary care. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2004;26:184–9.
 12. McHugh RK, Whitton SW, Peckham AD, et al. Patient preference for psychological vs pharmacologic treatment of psychiatric disorders: a meta-analytic review. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2013;74:595–602.
 13. • Dorow M, Lobner M, Pabst A, Stein J, Riedel-Heller SG. Preferences for depression treatment including internet-based interventions: results from a large sample of primary care patients. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:181. **This large study confirms previous reviews with the majority of patients reported strong preferences for psychotherapy, talking to friends and family, and exercise, and one in five patients likely to consider internet-based interventions in case of depression.**
 14. Magnani M, Sasdelli A, Bellino S, Bellomo A, Carpiniello B, Politi P, et al. Treating depression: what patients want; findings from a randomized controlled trial in primary care. *Psychosom*. 2016;57:616–23.
 15. Campbell LF, Norcross JC, Vasquez MJ, Kaslow NJ. Recognition of psychotherapy effectiveness: the APA resolution. *Psychother*. 2013;50:98.
 16. Linde K, Sigterman K, Kriston L, Rucker G, Jamil S, Meissner K, et al. Effectiveness of psychological treatments for depressive disorders in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Fam Med*. 2015;13:56–68.
 17. •• Santoft F, Axelsson E, Ost LG, Hedman-Lagerlof M, Fust J, Hedman-Lagerlof E. Cognitive behaviour therapy for depression in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49:1266–74. **This is a comprehensive meta-analysis and systematic review of trials examining the effects of cognitive behavior therapy in primary care.**
 18. Twomey C, O'Reilly G, Byrne M. Effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. *Fam Pract*. 2015;32:3–15.
 19. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, de Wit L, Ebert DD. The effects of fifteen evidence-supported therapies for adult depression: a meta-analytic review. *Psychotherapy Research*. 2019.
 20. Ekers D, Webster L, Van Straten A, Cuijpers P, Richards D, Gilbody S. Behavioural activation for depression; an update of meta-analysis of effectiveness and sub group analysis. *Plos One*. 2014;9(6):e100100.
 21. •• Richards DA, Ekers D, McMillan D, Taylor RS, Byford S, Warren FC, et al. Cost and outcome of behavioural activation versus cognitive behavioural therapy for depression (COBRA): a randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2016;388:871–80. **Excellent trial showing that behavioral activation delivered by nurses has comparable effects as cognitive behavior therapy.**
 22. Klerman GL, Weissman MM, Rounsaville BJ, et al. *Interpersonal psychotherapy of depression*. New York: Basic Books; 1984.
 23. Cuijpers P, Donker T, Weissman MM, Ravitz P, Cristea IA. Interpersonal psychotherapy for mental health problems: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2016;173:680–7.
 24. Nezu AM. Efficacy of a social problem-solving therapy approach for unipolar depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1986;54:196–202.
 25. Nezu AM, Perri MG. Social problem-solving therapy for unipolar depression: an initial dismantling investigation. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1989;57:408–13.
 26. Mynors-Wallis L, Gath D, Lloyd-Thomas A, Tomlinson D. Randomised controlled trial comparing problem solving treatment with amitriptyline and placebo for major depression in primary care. *BMJ*. 1995;310:441–5.
 27. Mynors-Wallis LM, Gath DH, Day A, Baker F. Randomised controlled trial of problem solving treatment, antidepressant medication, and combined treatment for major depression in primary care. *BMJ*. 2000;320:26–30.
 28. Cuijpers P, Driessen E, Hollon SD, van Oppen P, Barth J, Andersson G. The efficacy of non-directive supportive therapy for adult depression: a meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2012;32:280–91.
 29. Bros I, Noto P, Bulbena A. Effectiveness of short-term dynamic group psychotherapy in primary care for patients with depressive symptoms. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2017;24:826–34.
 30. Bohlmeijer E, Smit F, Cuijpers P. Effects of reminiscence and life-review on late-life depression: a meta-analysis. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2003;18:1088–94.
 31. Butler RN. The life review: an interpretation of reminiscence in the aged. *Psychiatry*. 1963;26:65–76.
 32. Kahl KG, Winter L, Schweiger U. The third wave of cognitive behavioural therapies: what is new and what is effective? *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2012;25:522–8.
 33. Segal ZV, Williams JMG, Teasdale JD. *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression (Second Edi)*. New York: Guilford Press; 2013.
 34. NICE (National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health). *Depression in adults: the treatment and management of depression in adults*. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, 2009. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0016605/pdf/PubMedHealth_PMH0016605.pdf;
 35. •• Stephens S, Ford E, Paudyal P, Smith H. Effectiveness of psychological interventions for postnatal depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. *Ann Fam Med*. 2016;14:463–72. **This meta-analysis suggests that postpartum depression can be effectively treated with psychological interventions in primary care.**
 36. Wells MJ, Owen JJ, McCray LW, Bishop LB, Eells TD, Brown GK, et al. Computer-assisted cognitive-behavior therapy for depression in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Comp CNS Disord*. 2018;20:17r02196.
 37. •• Zhang A, Franklin C, Jing S, Bornheimer LA, Hai AH, Himle JA, et al. The effectiveness of four empirically supported psychotherapies for primary care depression and anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. 2019;245:1168–86. **This meta-analysis presents the results of 4 different types of psychotherapy for depression in primary care.**
 38. • Zhang A, Park S, Sullivan JE, Jing S. The effectiveness of problem-solving therapy for primary care patients' depressive and/or anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2018;31:139–50. **This meta-analysis gives an overview of the effects of problem-solving therapy in primary care.**
 39. Driessen E, Hollon SD, Bockting CLH, Cuijpers P, Turner EH. Does publication bias inflate the apparent efficacy of psychological treatment for major depressive disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis of us national institutes of health-funded trials. *Plos One*. 2015;10:e0137864.
 40. Furukawa TA. From effect size into number needed to treat. *Lancet*. 1999;353:1680.
 41. Cuijpers P, van Straten A, Andersson G, van Oppen P. Psychotherapy for depression in adults: a meta-analysis of comparative outcome studies. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2008;76:909–22.
 42. Linde K, Sigterman K, Kriston L, Rucker G, Jamil S, Meissner K, et al. Effectiveness of psychological treatments for depressive disorders in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Fam Med*. 2015;13:56–68.
 43. •• Arroll B, Chin W, Martis W, Goodyear-Smith F, Mount V, Kingsford D, et al. Antidepressants for treatment of depression in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Prim health*

- Care. 2016;8(4):325–34. **A comprehensive meta-analysis of placebo controlled trials of antidepressant medication in primary care.**
44. Lewis G, Duffy L, Ades A, Amos R, Araya R, Brabyn S, et al. The clinical effectiveness of sertraline in primary care and the role of depression severity and duration (PANDA): a pragmatic, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(19\)30366-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30366-9).
 45. • Cuijpers P, Noma H, Karyotaki E, Vinkers CH, Cipriani A, Furukawa TA. A network meta-analysis of the effects of psychotherapies, pharmacotherapies and their combination in the treatment of adult depression. *World Psychiatry*. 2019; in press. **This network meta-analysis examines the effects of psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy and their combination in the treatment of depression (not only in primary care).**
 46. Gartlehner G, Gaynes BN, Amick HR, Asher GN, Morgan LC, Coker-Schwimmer E, et al. Comparative benefits and harms of antidepressant, psychological, complementary, and exercise treatments for major depression: an evidence report for a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:331–41.
 47. Amick HR, Gartlehner G, Gaynes BN, Forneris C, Asher GN, Morgan LC, et al. Comparative benefits and harms of second generation antidepressants and cognitive behavioral therapies in initial treatment of major depressive disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h6019.
 48. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Andersson G, Li J, Mergl R, Hegerl U. The effects of blinding on the outcomes of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy for adult depression: a meta-analysis. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2015;30:685–93.
 49. Cristea IA, Gentili C, Pietrini P, Cuijpers P. Sponsorship bias in the comparative efficacy of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy for adult depression: a meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016;210:16–23.
 50. Karyotaki E, Smit Y, Holdt Henningsen K, Huibers MJH, Robayse J, de Beurs D, et al. Combining pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy or monotherapy for major depression? A meta-analysis on the long-term effects. *J Affect Dis*. 2016;194:144–52.
 51. Cuijpers P, Hollon SD, van Straten A, Bockting C, Berking M, Andersson G. Does cognitive behaviour therapy have an enduring effect that is superior to keeping patients on continuation pharmacotherapy? A meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002542>.
 52. Carlbring P, Andersson G, Cuijpers P, Riper H, Hedman E. Internet-based vs. face-to-face cognitive behavior therapy for psychiatric and somatic disorders: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cogn Behav Ther*. 2018;47:1–18.
 53. • Cuijpers P, Noma H, Karyotaki E, Cipriani A, Furukawa T. Individual, group, telephone, self-help and internet-based cognitive behavior therapy for adult depression: a network meta-analysis of delivery methods. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76:700–7. **This network meta-analysis shows that cognitive behavioral therapy can effectively delivered in individual, group, telephone, guided self-help and supported internet-therapy (not only primary care).**
 54. Cuijpers P, Schuurmans J. Self-help interventions for anxiety disorders: an overview. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2007;9:284–90.
 55. Karyotaki E, Riper H, Twisk J, Hoogendoorn A, Kleiboer A, Mira A, et al. Efficacy of self-guided internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy (iCBT) in treatment of depressive symptoms: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;74:351–9.
 56. Dowrick C. Computerised self help for depression in primary care. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h5942.
 57. Wells MJ, Owen JJ, McCray LW, Bishop LB, Eells TD, Brown GK, et al. Computer-assisted cognitive-behavior therapy for depression in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Comp CNS Disord*. 2018;20:17r02196.
 58. Lorenzo-Luaces L, DeRubeis RJ, Bennett IM. Primary Care Physicians' Selection of low-intensity treatments for patients with depression. *Fam Med*. 2015;47:511–6.
 59. Ferrari AJ, Somerville AJ, Baxter AJ, Norman R, Patten SB, Vos T, et al. Global variation in the prevalence and incidence of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43:471–81.
 60. Kessler RC, Birnbaum HG, Shahly V, Bromet E, Hwang I, McLaughlin K, et al. Age differences in the prevalence and comorbidity of DSM-IV major depressive episodes: results from the WHO World Mental Health Survey Initiative. *Depress Anxiety*. 2010;27:351–64.
 61. Kessler RC, Bromet EJ. The epidemiology of depression across cultures. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2013;34:119–38.
 62. Thornicroft G, Chatterji S, Evans-Lacko S, Gruber M, Sampson N, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, et al. Undertreatment of people with major depressive disorder in 21 countries. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2017;210:119–24.
 63. Alonso J, et al. Treatment gap for anxiety disorders is global: results of the World Mental Health surveys in 21 countries. *Depress Anxiety*. 2018;35:195–208.
 64. World Health Organization. *Treat train retain. Task shifting: global recommendations and guidelines*. Geneva: WHO; 2008.
 65. Chowdhary N, Anand A, Dimidjian S, Shinde S, Weobong B, Balaji M, et al. The healthy activity program lay counsellor delivered treatment for severe depression in India: systematic development and randomised evaluation. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016;208:381–8.
 66. Patel V, Weobong B, Weiss H, Anand A, Bhat B, Katti B, et al. The healthy activity program (HAP), a lay counsellor-delivered brief psychological treatment for severe depression, in primary care in India: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389:176–85.
 67. Fuhr DC, Weobong B, Lazarus A, Vanobberghen F, Weiss HA, Singla DR, et al. Delivering the thinking healthy programme for perinatal depression through peers: an individually randomised controlled trial in India. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6:115–27.
 68. Sikander S, Ahmad I, Atif N, Zaidi A, Vanobberghen F, Weiss HA, et al. Delivering the thinking healthy programme for perinatal depression through volunteer peers: a cluster randomised controlled trial in Pakistan. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6:128–39.
 69. • Chibanda D, Weiss HA, Verhey R, et al. Effect of a primary care-based psychological intervention on symptoms of common mental disorders in Zimbabwe: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316:2618–26. **Interesting trial examining the effects of problem-solving therapy by lay health counselors on the friend-ship bench.**
 70. Naeem F, Gul M, Irfan M, Munshi T, Asif A, Rashid S, et al. Brief culturally adapted CBT (CaCBT) for depression: a randomized controlled trial from Pakistan. *J Affect Dis*. 2015;177:101–7.
 71. Rahman A, Hamdani SU, Awan NR, Bryant RA, Dawson KS, Khan MF, et al. Effect of a multicomponent behavioral intervention in adults impaired by psychological distress in a conflict-affected area of Pakistan: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316:2609–17.
 72. Rahman A, Khan MN, Hamdani SU, Chiumento A, Akhtar P, Nazir H, et al. Effectiveness of a brief group psychological intervention for women in a post-conflict setting in Pakistan: a single-blind, cluster, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2019;393:1733–44.
 73. Bryant RA, Schafer A, Dawson KS, et al. Effectiveness of a brief behavioural intervention on psychological distress among women with a history of gender-based violence in urban Kenya: a randomised clinical trial. *PLoS Med*. 2017;14:e1002371.
 74. Rahman A, Malik A, Sikander S, Roberts C, Creed F. Cognitive behaviour therapy-based intervention by community health workers for mothers with depression and their infants in rural Pakistan: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;372:902–9.

75. Patel V, Weiss HA, Chowdhary N, Naik S, Pednekar S, Chatterjee S, et al. Effectiveness of an intervention led by lay health counselors for depressive and anxiety disorders in primary care in Goa, India (MANAS): a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:2086–95.
76. Gureje O, Oladeji BD, Montgomery AA, Bello T, Kola L, Ojagbemi A, et al. Effect of a stepped-care intervention delivered by lay health workers on major depressive disorder among primary care patients in Nigeria (STEP CARE): a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019;7:e951–e60.
77. Matsuzaka CT, Wainberg M, Norcini Pala A, Hoffmann EV, Coimbra BM, Braga RF, et al. Task shifting interpersonal counseling for depression: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial in primary care. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17:225.
78. Gelenberg AJ, Freeman MP, Markowitz JC, Rosenbaum JF, Thase ME, Trivedi MH, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder. *Am Psychiatr Assoc*. 2010.
79. McQuaid JR, Lin EH, Barber JP, Breland-Noble AM, Cuijpers P, Greenberg LS, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the treatment of depression across three age cohorts. Washington DC: Am Psychol Assoc; 2019.
80. Dimidjian S, Barrera M, Martell C, Muñoz RF, Lewinsohn PM. The origins and current status of behavioral activation treatments for depression. *Ann Rev Clin Psychol*. 2011;7:1–38.
81. Shaw BF. Comparison of cognitive therapy and behavior therapy in the treatment of depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1977;45:543–51.
82. Jacobson NS, Dobson KS, Truax PA, Addis ME, Koerner K, Gollan JK, et al. A component analysis of cognitive-behavioral treatment for depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1996;64:295–304.
83. Dimidjian S, Hollon SD, Dobson KS, Schmaling KB, Kohlenberg RJ, Addis ME, et al. Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2006;74:658–70.
84. Cuijpers P. Are all psychotherapies equally effective in the treatment of adult depression? The lack of statistical power of comparative outcome studies. *Evid Based Ment Health*. 2016;19:39–42.
85. Buntrock C, Ebert DD, Lehr D, Smit F, Riper H, Berking M, et al. Effect of a web-based guided self-help intervention for prevention of major depression in adults with sub-threshold depression: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;315:1854–63. **This trial shows that supported internet-based therapy can prevent the onset of major depression in patients with subthreshold depression.**
86. Van Zoonen K, Buntrock C, Ebert DD, Smit F, Reynolds CF, Beekman ATF, et al. Preventing the onset of major depressive disorder: a meta-analytic review of psychological interventions. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43:318–29.
87. García-Peña C, Vázquez-Estupiñan F, Avalos-Pérez F, Jiménez LVR, Sánchez-García S, Juárez-Cedillo T. Clinical effectiveness of group cognitive-behavioural therapy for depressed older people in primary care: a randomised controlled trial. *Salud Mental*. 2015;38:33–9.
88. Van't Veer-Tazelaar PA, van Marwijk HWJ, van Oppen P, van Hout HPJ, van der Horst HE, Cuijpers P, et al. Stepped-care prevention of anxiety and depression in late life: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66:297–304.
89. Willemse GRWM, Smit F, Cuijpers P, Tiemens BG. Minimal contact psychotherapy for sub-threshold depression in primary care: a randomised trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;185:416–21.
90. O'Connor E, Senger CA, Henninger ML, Coppola E, Gaynes BN. Interventions to prevent perinatal depression evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*. 2019;321:588–601. **Systematic overview of research on prevention of perinatal depression.**
91. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Reijnders M, Huibers MJH. Who benefits from psychotherapies for adult depression? A meta-analytic update of the evidence. *Cogn Behav Ther*. 2018;47:91–106.
92. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Quero S, Riper H. Psychological treatment of comorbid depression and substance use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Submitted paper.
93. Eckshtain D, Kuppens S, Ugueto A, Ng MY, Vaughn-Coaxum R, Corteselli K, et al. Meta-analysis: 13-year follow-up of psychotherapy effects on youth depression. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2019.
94. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Eckshtain D, Ng MY, Corteselli C, Quero S, Weisz JR. Psychotherapy for depression across different age groups: a meta-analysis. Submitted paper.
95. Whiteford HA, Harris MG, McKeon G, Baxter A, Pennell C, Barendregt JJ, et al. Estimating remission from untreated major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43:1569–85.
96. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Warden D, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163(11):1905–17.
97. Cuijpers P. The challenges of improving treatments for depression. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2018;320:2529–30.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.