

Citation for published version: Fielding, S, Slovic, P, Johnston, M, Lee, AJ, Bond, CM & Watson, M 2018, 'Public risk perception of non-prescription medicines and information disclosure during consultations: a suitable target for intervention?', *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, vol. 26, no. 5, 12433, pp. 423-432. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12433

DOI: 10.1111/ijpp.12433

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Fielding, S., Johnston, M., Lee, A. J., Bond, C. M., Slovic, P., & Watson, M. (2018). Public risk perception of non-prescription medicines and information disclosure during consultations: a suitable target for intervention? International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, [12433], which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/jjpp.12433. This article may be used for noncommercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Pharmacology (5W 3.33), University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 2AY, UK. Tel:
 01225 386787.

3

4 Author email and contributions:

- 5 S Fielding (<u>s.fielding@abdn.ac.uk</u>): SF analysed the data, contributed to the interpretation of
- 6 the results and prepared the first draft of the manuscript.
- 7 AJ Lee (a.j.lee@abdn.ac.uk): contributed to the design of the parent study, questionnaire
- 8 development and advised on data analysis.
- 9 P Solvic (<u>pslovic@uorgeon.edu</u>): contributed to data analysis and interpretation of the results.
- 10 M Johnston (<u>m.johnston@abdn.ac.uk</u>): contributed to the design of the parent study, analysis
- 11 and interpretation.
- 12 CM Bond (c.m.bond@abdn.ac.uk): contributed to the design of parent study data acquisition,
- 13 analysis and interpretation.
- 14 MC Watson (<u>m.c.watson@bath.ac.uk</u>): MW was the principal investigator for this and the
- 15 parent study, contributed to the design, data acquisition, analysis and interpretation.
- 16 All authors contributed to drafting the manuscript and approved the final version.
- 17

18 FUNDING

- 19 This work was supported by the Chief Scientist Office, Scottish Executive Health Department
- 20 (CZH/4/376). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and may not represent
- 21 the views of the funding organisation.

22

23 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

| 24 | We thank Mr Paul Fearn, Research Assistant, for his involvement in the design and conduct of |
|----|---|
| 25 | the survey. We are very grateful to all the respondents who participated in all aspects of this |
| 26 | project. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | STATEMENT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST |
| 29 | None |
| 30 | ETHICAL APPROVAL |
| 31 | Ethical approval for this study was not required because the survey was conducted with |
| 32 | publicly-available data. |
| 33 | |
| 34 | Keywords: risk; disclosure; risk assessment; nonprescription drugs; self-medication; health |
| 35 | knowledge, attitudes practice; risk management; self-care. |
| 36 | Word Count: 3197 |
| 37 | |

- 39 Public risk perception of non-prescription medicines and information disclosure during
- 40 consultations: a suitable target for intervention?

42 ABSTRACT

43 **Objective**

Optimisation of nonprescription medicine (NPM) supply from community pharmacies could reduce demand on other healthcare providers, including general practitioners and emergency department personnel. Outcomes can be maximised if patients disclose relevant information e.g. concomitant medication, during pharmacy-based consultations. Strategies to promote information disclosure are needed. This study used the Psychometric Paradigm of Risk to explore whether the public's risk perception of NPMs was associated with information disclosure.

51 Methods

This national, cross-sectional population study used a random sample of 3000 adults (aged \geq 18 years) from the Scottish Electoral register. Postal questionnaires collected data on risk perceptions, information disclosure and demographic information. Exploratory factor analysis was used to determine constructs to which the risk questions could be grouped. Factors were scored and the scores compared across demographics.

57 Key Findings

Just over half (57%) of the 927 respondents perceived NPMs to be associated with low general risk. For 19 of the 23 statements (83%), respondents indicated general agreement i.e. low risk perception of OTC medicines. Individuals with higher risk perception of NPMs were less likely to disclose information during consultations compared with respondents with lower risk perception.

63 Conclusion

64 There is general low public risk perception of NPMs. Individuals with higher risk perception 65 are less likely to disclose information. Interventions that raise risk perception are unlikely to 66 enhance the safe and effective supply of NPMs.

- 67
- 68

INTRODUCTION

69 Nonprescription medicines (NPMs) enable patients to manage conditions without recourse to 70 health-seeking from high cost settings e.g. general medical practices, emergency departments. 71 The consequences of inappropriate NPM use are often explored using indirect measures 72 because traditional methods of pharmacovigilance are less applicable due to the lack of 73 documentation in patients' medical records. Previous research demonstrated that 6.5% of all 74 emergency hospital admissions were due to adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and that the 75 majority of these were associated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs), aspirin in 76 particular [1]. Most NSAIDs (e.g. ibuprofen) implicated in these admissions are available as 77 NPMs in the UK; low dose aspirin (75mg) is widely available internationally and contributed 78 to the majority of NSAID-related harms primarily gastro-intestinal haemorrhage. A recent 79 Dutch study confirmed the use of NSAIDs by "high risk" patients and reiterated the need for 80 patients to be warned about the risks of these medicines [2].

81

82 Evidence suggests the sale/supply of NPMs from community pharmacies is sub-optimal 83 irrespective of country, product or health condition [3, 4]. Managing NPM consultations is 84 complex; pharmacists and their staff are often required to make recommendations based upon 85 incomplete symptom information, other medical conditions, other medications being used, as 86 well as the health status of clients. The way in which clients "present" during consultations 87 varies with some requesting a specific product (hereafter referred to as 'direct product 88 request'), while others seek advice to address symptoms or clinical condition. Direct product 89 requests, which account for the majority of NPM consultations, are less likely to result in an 90 appropriate outcome i.e. supply of medicines consistent with best evidence, compared with 91 advice-seeking requests [5]. This variation has been attributed to low rates of information 92 disclosure during product requests [6].

93

94 Individual's perceptions of the benefits and risks of medicines are likely to influence their 95 treatment decisions. For prescribed medicines, there is ample evidence that patients are less 96 likely to engage appropriately if they believe there are risks; a recent meta-analysis found that 97 patients with more concerns were less likely to adhere to the medicines regimen [7]. For NPMs, 98 one study reported that 40% of Americans believed that NPMs were too weak to cause any real 99 harm, and one-third took more than the recommended dose, believing it would increase 100 effectiveness [8]. Whilst there has been some exploration of public perception of risk of NPMs 101 [9-11], only one study to date has adopted a theoretical approach to exploring these beliefs 102 [12], which applied the Theory of Planned Behaviour to exploring low-to-middle income 103 women in Mexico's risk perception of cold and flu remedies. Whilst the majority of these 104 studies have sought to explore sources of information used by the public to increase their 105 knowledge of these medicines as a means of mitigating risk, none has explored the effect of 106 risk perception on information disclosure during consultations.

107

108 It is therefore important to understand individual and public risk perceptions of medicines so 109 that interventions can be targeted to promote safe and effective use. The psychometric 110 paradigm [13], proposes that the explanatory power of risk perception is clearer when scores 111 are disaggregated to show differences between people separately from differences between 112 hazards. The psychological paradigm of risk [13] involves asking individuals to assess the 113 relative risk associated with specific items, hazards or behaviours. Within this paradigm, 114 individuals make quantitative judgements of the risk associated with different hazards and their 115 desired level of regulation for each of these hazards.

116

117 The purpose of the present study was to:

• Describe public risk perceptions of NPMs

Explore the association between general risk perception, specific components ofrisk
 perception and information disclosure behaviour during consultations for NPMs
 Our hypothesis was that a lower risk perception of NPMs would be associated with reduced
 information disclosure information during consultations for NPMs.

123

124 Methods

125 **Design and Participants**

126 A cross-sectional population survey was conducted in 2008 to determine factors associated with buying NPMs and giving information to pharmacy staff when buying "pharmacy 127 128 medicines". The questionnaire was informed by the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) [14]. 129 This theory identifies important determinants of voluntary behaviours such as information giving. The term "Pharmacy medicines" was used for NPMs and was defined as "medicines 130 that can be bought from pharmacies (chemists) without a prescription". The TPB proposes that 131 132 behaviour is predicted by behavioural intention which in turn is influenced by Perceived 133 Behaviour Control (PBC) (i.e. whether the behaviour is difficult or easy to perform), subjective 134 norm (SN) (i.e. whether important others consider the behaviour to be important) and attitude 135 (ATT) towards the behaviour (i.e. whether engagement with the behaviour will achieve valued 136 outcomes) (Figure 1).

137

Using the Scottish Electoral register, a random sample was taken, stratified by sex. Adults aged ≥ 18 years (one per household) and those not registered with the Mail Preference Service were approached. Postal questionnaires were mailed to 3000 participants with a 2:1 female to male ratio to reflect the population of people purchasing NPMs from community pharmacies [15, 16]. The results presented here relate to respondents' risk perceptions regarding NPMs.

144 Questionnaire Content and Administration

| 145 | The questionnaire collected the following information: |
|-----|---|
| 146 | • Risk perceptions of NPMs. Risk was defined as "a situation that could expose you |
| 147 | to harm or have an unpleasant outcome". |
| 148 | • Predictors (based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour, [14, 17] of buying products |
| 149 | and giving information using measures of attitudes and perceived behavioural control |
| 150 | reported elsewhere [6]. |
| 151 | Demographic characteristics. |
| 152 | |
| 153 | A reminder letter was sent after two weeks and included a reply paid envelope. A second |
| 154 | reminder letter, non-reply form and reply paid envelope were sent to non-responders after a |
| 155 | further two weeks. |
| 156 | |
| 157 | Pharmacy medicines and risk |
| 158 | Respondents were asked "in general how much risk do you think there is when using a |
| 160 | pharmacy medicine" and was measured on a scale from one to seven, anchored by descriptive |
| 161 | terms at extreme values only (1=low risk, 7=high risk). They were also asked to state their |
| | |

pharmacy medicine" and was measured on a scale from one to seven, anchored by descriptive terms at extreme values only (1=low risk, 7=high risk). They were also asked to state their agreement about the risk of 23 additional items related to NPMs, derived from the psychometric paradigm attributes [13]. Agreement was measured on a 7-point scale (1=strongly agree; 7=strongly disagree) where agreement equates to low risk perception. Information disclosure ('giving information') was explored using constructs from the TPB [6]. Respondents were also asked an open question to name the NPMs which they considered to be associated with least and most risk.

168

169 Data Management and Analysis

171 Data were entered and analysed in SPSS version 20 (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY). Demographics summarised using 172 frequency and percentage for categorical variables, mean and standard deviation for age. Risk 173 questions were summarised using number and percentage responding in each category of the 174 1-7 scale and mean agreement was calculated [18]. Two questions were reverse coded to align 175 176 the interpretation (It is not possible to overdose with pharmacy medicines; There are no risks associated with using pharmacy medicines). Two categories of risk perception were derived: 177 178 low (1-3), high (4-7). The neutral category (4) was included within high risk, so that any 179 observed effect would be a conservative estimate of association.

180

181 Exploratory factor analysis was undertaken to determine whether responses could be grouped 182 by constructs of risk. A correlation matrix of responses to the 23 specific risk questions was 183 obtained. An a priori decision was made to exclude a question from the factor analysis if its 184 correlation coefficients with all other questions was <0.2 [20]. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin 185 (KMO) test [19] and Bartlett's test of sphericity [20] were conducted to test whether there was 186 sufficient common variance and correlation to carry out the factor analysis. According to 187 convention [21], a minimum level of 0.5 was used for the KMO test to indicate sufficient 188 common variance. Cattell's scree plot [21] and Kaiser's eigenvalue [19] criterion were used to 189 determine the number of factors to extract. Factors were extracted using principal components 190 analysis rotated with varimax rotation [22]. Items contained within factors were limited to those 191 with a factor loading of >0.4 [20]. To generate a factor score the average of the identified 192 statements within that factor was calculated for each respondent. For example, for a factor 193 containing 4 items (a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4) the score was given by the following equation: score = (a_1 + 194 $a_2 + a_3 + a_4) / 4$. Higher scores indicate higher perception of risk. Univariate tests (Mann 195 Whitney or Spearman's rank correlation) were performed to determine the relationship

between factor scores and respondent demographics on information disclosure. Multiple linear regression using forward selection (entry p<0.05) identified which demographics were predictive of factor scores.

199

200 Sample size

The factor analysis conducted for this study was based upon 21 questions resulting in six factors. The recommended minimum sample size for conducting factor analysis using these parameters is 900 [23].

204

205 Ethical approval

Ethical approval for this study was not required because the survey was conducted withpublicly available data.

208

209 **Results**

210 **Respondent characteristics**

The demographic characteristics of the 927 respondents are shown in Table 1. Respondents were aged between 19 and 96 years (mean 52.3, SD 16.1), three quarters of whom were female, almost all of whom were of white ethnic origin. The majority (69%) were married/ living with partner with 48% having no formal qualification or only school-level education. Just over half reported their health to be very good or excellent. Nearly half (49%) had used a pharmacy in the previous 14 days and 43% had bought a NPM in the previous month.

217

218

219 Public Perceptions of Risk of NPMs

In response to the general risk question, over half the respondents indicated there was low risk to using NPMs (57.0%), with 23.9% remaining neutral and 19.0% indicating high risk

response. The majority (19/23) of statements had a mean score <4 on the 7 point scale 222 223 indicating general agreement with these statements i.e. low risk perception of OTC medicines. 224 The four statements with which respondents tended to disagree were: "the risks associated with 225 using pharmacy medicines are likely to be fatal", "there is more risk involved with using pharmacy medicines than there was 10 years ago", "people who use pharmacy medicines 226 227 know precisely what risks are associated with them" and "the risks associated with using 228 pharmacy medicines affect me personally". There was strong agreement (>70%) with 11 229 statements and strong disagreement (>70%) with two statements (Table 2). Figure 2 shows the 230 mean agreement for the general risk statement followed by each statement (ordered from most 231 agreement at the bottom to least agreement at the top).

232

233 Identifying risk components: Factor analysis

234 Two statements, "Pharmacy medicines can be addictive" and "Pharmacy medicines that used 235 to be available on prescription have greater risk than medicines that have been available with 236 our prescription for many years" showed correlation < 0.2 with other items were and were 237 excluded from the factor analysis. The factor analysis of the remaining 21 statements produced 238 a KMO measure of sampling adequacy of 0.781 which is considered good and Bartlett test of 239 sphericity was p<0.001, indicating factor analysis was appropriate. The eigenvalue >1 rule and 240 the scree plot indicated that six factors should be extracted totalling 58.2% of the variance using 241 a varimax rotation.

242

Table 2 shows the six identified factors and their loadings, with loadings <0.4 suppressed for clarity. The first factor (*Personal Acceptance*) contributed 16.5% of the variance and consisted of items around acceptance, benefit and comfort with Pharmacy medicines. The second factor (*General risk perception*) consisted of statements relating to a general view of risk and contributed an additional 15.9% of the variance. The third factor (*Populations and behavioural risk factors*) contributed 8.3% of the variance and contained statements relating to risk in specific populations such as children and pregnant women. The fourth factor (*Adherence*) (i.e. adherence to giving information) was mainly related to using information to manage risk and contributed 6.7% of the variance. The fifth factor (*Denial of risk*) contributed to 6.0% of variance and the sixth factor (*Individual- and population-risk*) contributed the final 4.9% of the zone total variance.

- 254
- 255

256 Do individual characteristics predict risk perception?

257 Univariate analyses of the relationship between demographic variables and factor scores was 258 undertaken. No significant gender difference (p>0.05) was found. Older respondents were 259 significantly more likely to agree (equating to lower risk perception) with the statements 260 associated with three factors: General risk (p=0.004), Population and behaviour risk factors (p<0.001), Adherence (p=0.033). Respondents with post-school education showed 261 262 significantly higher risk perception for Adherence (p=0.001) compared with those with no formal or only school level education, but had lower scores (lower risk perception) for General 263 264 Risk Perception (p=0.02) and Individual- and population-risk (p=0.03). Those married/living 265 with partner showed significantly lower scores for *Personal Acceptance* (p=0.016).

266

For health status, respondents reporting good/very good/excellent status compared with fair/poor were significantly more likely to agree (lower risk perception) with the statements associated with the factors: *Personal Acceptance* (p=0.02) and *Populations and Behaviour Risk Factors* (p=0.002), and to disagree (higher risk perception) with statements associated with *Denial* (p=0.033). 273 Six multiple linear regression was used to investigate the combined effects of these 274 demographic variables in predicting each of the six factor scores (Table 3). Age was an 275 important predictor for General Risk Perception, Populations and Behaviour Risk Factors, 276 Denial and Individual- and Population-risk with older age indicating greater agreement/ lower 277 risk perception (as indicated by the negative coefficients). Gender was only important for 278 Adherence, with females indicating more agreement i.e. higher risk perception. Education was 279 important for General Risk Perception and Individual- and Population-risk with greater 280 education levels associated with greater agreement/higher risk perception. Health status was 281 significant for *Personal Acceptance* and *Denial* with those in good/very good/excellent health 282 indicating more agreement. In each case, the R-square was low (<5%) but the ANOVA p-283 value was significant indicating that the demographics explained a low, but statistically 284 significant, percentage of variability in the factor scores.

285

286 Does risk perception predict behaviour (information giving)?

Respondents with overall low risk perception were significantly more likely to have disclosed
information during their last pharmacy consultation than those with higher risk perception:
41.2% versus 33% (p=0.032). No statistically significant differences in factor scores occurred
between respondents who disclosed and those that did not.

291

292 Does risk perception predict behavioural determinants (TPB variables)?

Respondents who perceived NPMs to be associated with low risk had significantly higher attitude (p=0.003) and perceived behavioural control scores (p=0.01) regarding giving information to medicine counter assistants (MCAs). This means that respondents who were categorised as "low risk" believed that giving information would achieve better outcomes.

There was some indication that respondents' with low risk perception had higher intention to disclose information than those who perceived NPMs to be of high risk (p=0.05).

299

300 DISCUSSION

301 Main results

302 This is the first theoretically-underpinned study to explore public risk perception of NPMs and 303 information disclosure. The results showed that, in general, NPMs were perceived to be 304 associated with low risk and that low risk perception was associated with higher tendency to 305 disclose information thus disproving our hypothesis. In a study about patient information 306 leaflets, people who were more worried about adverse effects were less likely to read the leaflet. 307 This fits with the idea that high risk perception is linked to a lack of engagement with 308 information, which reflects our finding that low risk perception was associated with higher 309 tendency to disclose information [24]. Another study found low risk perception may be 310 associated with higher tendency to disclose information due to variations in 'regulatory' focus, 311 i.e. the extent to which individuals seek to promote positive or prevent negative comments. In 312 promotion focus, they are more prepared to take risks and to engage in promotion activities 313 such as giving information [25].

314

315 Limitations/strengths

These data were collected in 2008 and have undergone substantial analysis and iterations. Whilst a survey of *general* risk perception of NPMs in the UK was conducted in 2013 [26], there are no published studies of in-depth risk perception as reported in the current study. As such we believe the results are important and provide a unique contribution to existing knowledge. Furthermore, in the intervening period, no major changes have occurred with NPMs in Scotland/UK in general, although tighter restrictions have been introduced for some medicines associated with misuse e.g. pseudoephedrine (https://www.gov.uk/drug-safetyupdate/pseudoephedrine-and-ephedrine-update-on-managing-risk-of-misuse), the age limit
was raised limit for cough remedies for children (https://www.gov.uk/drug-safety-update/overthe-counter-cough-and-cold-medicines-for-children) and diclofenac was reclassified to
Prescription Only Medicine status because of new evidence regarding cardiovascular toxicity
(https://www.gov.uk/government/news/diclofenac-tablets-now-only-available-as-a-

328 prescription-medicine).

329

330 This current study was conducted in Scotland and few respondents were from ethnic minorities 331 thus the effect of ethnicity could not be explored, but has been shown previously to influence 332 risk perception of prescription medicines [18]. A 2:1 female: male sampling strategy was used 333 (to reflect the higher rates of pharmacy and medicine use by females) and generated more 334 female than male respondents. Furthermore, respondents were more likely to be older and to 335 be married or living with someone. These characteristics mean that these results might not be 336 generalisable to individuals from ethnic minorities, people who are living alone, or younger 337 individuals. Bias may have been introduced into the sample through the use of the electoral 338 register, however, it was the most inclusive method available for this survey. The study had 339 sufficient power (based upon the derived sample size of 927) to conduct the factor analysis 340 which generated six factors and explained 58% of the variation.

341

342 *Comparison with literature*

A much higher proportion of respondents (71.4%) in our study agreed that there was "no risk with pharmacy medicines" compared with an earlier survey which showed that only 47.4% of respondents agreed/strongly agreed that "*non-prescription medicines are totally safe to use*" [27]. Our results suggest that individual respondents' perceive themselves to be at less risk from NPMs compared with the wider population. This finding is congruent with an earlier 348 study suggesting that consumers were critical of the public's ability to self-medicate safely and 349 appropriately using NPMs [28]. All NPMs were treated as one group in this current study. 350 Slovic et al [18] included four medicines available in non-prescription form and explored 351 differences in risk perceptions between them. Other studies have compared risk perception of NPMs versus prescription only medicines but have demonstrated conflicting results, with some 352 353 showing public perception of risk to be greater with POMs compared with NPMs [11], whilst 354 others report the converse [12]. An earlier study of individuals who used a NPM for the relief 355 of hay-fever (terfenadine) which was subsequently reclassified back to prescription medicine 356 status because of adverse effects, expressed concern about the previously unknown risks with 357 the use of the drug [28]. Their risk perceptions of NPMs also changed as a result of the 358 reclassification of this medicine.

359

360 Implications for policy, practice and research

These results highlight a need to increase public awareness regarding the use of NPMs as well as the importance of sharing information during NPM consultations. Pharmacy personnel need to actively seek relevant information from consumers to inform their decisions regarding the appropriate treatment and research is ongoing to explore strategies which influence both service provider and user behaviour during these consultations.

366

367 **CONCLUSION**

There is general low public risk perception of NPMs. Interventions that target risk perception are unlikely to enhance the safe and effective supply of these medicines because they will not enhance information disclosure during consultations. Alternative strategies are needed to enhance the public's health literacy regarding these medicines and the importance of information disclosure to maximise their safe and effective use.

373 References

- 374 (1) Pirmohamed M et al. Adverse drug reactions as cause of admission to hospital: prospective
 375 analysis of 18820 patients. *Brit Med J* 2004;329:15-19.
- 376 (2) Koffeman AR et al. High-risk use of over-the-counter non-steroidal anti-inflammatory
 377 drugs: a population-based cross-sectional study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2014; 64: e191-8.
- 378 (3) Watson MC et al. Educational Strategies To Promote Evidence-Based Community
 379 Pharmacy Practice: A Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT). *Fam Pract* 2002;19:529 380 536.
- 381 (4) Pearl J. Are some pharmacies failing? WHICH? 2013 (June 2013):26.
- (5) Watson M et al. Factors predicting the guideline compliant supply (or non-supply) of non prescription medicines in the community pharmacy setting. *Qual Health Care* 2006;15:53-57.
- 384 (6) Watson MC et al. Using the theory of planned behaviour to develop targets for interventions
- to enhance patient communication during pharmacy consultations for non-prescription medicines. *Int J Pharm Pract* 2014. 22: 386-96.
- 387 (7) Horne R et al. Understanding patients' adherence-related beliefs about medicines
 388 prescribed for long-term conditions: a meta-analytic review of the Necessity-Concerns
 389 Framework. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8:e80633.
- (8) Roumie CL, Griffin MR. Over-the-counter analgesics in older adults. A call for imporved
 labelling and consumer education. *Drugs Aging* 2004; 21:485-498.
- (9) Calamusa A et al._Factors that influence Italian consumers' understanding of over-the counter medicines and risk perception. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012; 87:395-401.
- (10) Barrenberg E, Garbe E. Use of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs and perceptions of OTC
 drug safety among German adults. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2015; 71 :1389-96.
- 396
- (11) Droege M et al. Consumers' risk perceptions of prescription and over-the-counter
 medications. *J Pharm Technol* 2007; 23:142-7.
- 400 (12) Mariano A et al. Risk perception of the self-prescribed use of Over the Counter (OTC)
 401 cold/flu medications. *Int J Clin Health Psychol* 2009;9:73-88
- 402 (13) Bronfman NC et al. Accounting for variation in the explanatory power of the 403 psychometric paradigm: the effects of aggregation and focus. *J Risk Res* 2007;10:527-554.
- 404 (14) Ajzen I. Attitudes, personality and behaviour. Milton Keynes, UK: Open University Press;
 405 1988.
- 406 (15) Watson MC et al. Exploring the supply of non-prescription medicines from community
 407 pharmacies in Scotland. *Pharm World Sci* 2008;30:536-535.

- 408 (16) Greenwood C. Electoral Registration in 2000. 2005 August.
- 409 (17) Ajzen I. The theory of planned behaviour. Organ Behav Hum Dec 1991;50:179-211.
- (18) Slovic P et al. Risk perception of prescription drugs: results of a national survey. Drug Inf 410 J 2007;41:81-100. 411
- 412 (19) Kaiser HF. The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. Educ Psychol Meas 413 1960;20:141-151.
- 414 (20) Field A. Discovering Statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics. Sage Publications; 2013.
- 415 (21) Cattell R. The Scree Test For The Number Of Factors. Multivariate Behav Res 1966; 1 416 :245-276.
- (22) Fayers PM, Machin D. Quality of Life: Assessment analysis and interpretation. 417 418 Chichester: Wiley; 2000.
- 419 (23) Mundfrom DJ, Shaw DG, Tian LK (2005) Minimum sample size recommendations for 420 conducting factor analysis. Int J Test 5: 159-168
- 421 (24) Heller MK, Chapman SCE, Horne R. Beliefs about medication predict the misattribution 422 of a common symptom as a medication side effect — Evidence from an analogue online study. J Psychosomatic Res 2015 (79): 6; 519-29. 423
- 424 (25) Higgins, ET. Promotion and prevention: Regulatory focus as a motivational principle. Adv 425 Exp Soc Psychol, 30. 1-46.
- (26) Fingleton N. Non-prescription medicine misuse, abuse and dependence in the UK. PhD. 426 427 University of Aberdeen, 2016.
- 428 (27) Wazaify M et al. Societal perspectives on over-the-counter (OTC) medicines. Fam Pract 2005; 22: 170-6. 429
- 430 (28) Bissell P, Ward PR, Noyce PR. The dependent consumer: reflections on accounts of the risks of non-prescription medicines. Health 2001;5:5-30. 431
- 432
- 433
- 434

| 435 | Table | 1: Res | pondent | demogra | phics n | (%) |) |
|-----|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---|
|-----|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---|

| | N = 927 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Gender | (n = 895) |
| | Male 241 (27) |
| Fe | male 654 (73) |
| Marital status | (n = 894) |
| S | ingle 134 (15) |
| Married/living with pa | artner 612 (69) |
| Divorced/sepa | rated 67 (8) |
| Wide | owed 81 (9) |
| Highest educational qualifica | tion $(n = 914)$ |
| No formal educ | ation 162 (18) |
| School | level 274 (30) |
| post School (non-univer | rsity) 102 (11) |
| University de | egree 229 (25) |
| (| Other 134 (15) |
| Ethnic group | (n = 914) |
| v | White 903 (99) |
| (| Other 11 (1) |
| Health status | (n = 913) |
| Exce | ellent 107 (12) |
| Very | good 357 (39) |
| (| Good 300 (33) |
| fair | poor 149 (16) |
| Age (years) | (n = 892) |
| Mean | (SD) 53.2 (16.1) |

Table 2: Pharmacy Medicines, mean agreement of risk perception and factor loadings

| | | | Factor Loadings using Varimax Rotation | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Question | Total N | Mean Agreement** | Personal Acceptance | Medicines ' Risk | Population and behaviour risk factors | Adherence | Denial | Individual- and population-risk |
| In general how much risk do you think there is when using pharmacy medicines | 829 | 3.23 | | | | | | |
| The risks associated with using pharmacy medicines are acceptable to me I can deal with the risks of using | 828 | 2.83 | 0.848 | | | | | |
| pharmacy medicines I feel comfortable with the level of risk associated with using pharmacy | 833 | 2.87 | 0.822 | | | | | |
| medicines Using pharmacy medicines is beneficial | 835 | 2.60 | 0.812 | | | | | |
| to me It is up to me whether I put myself at | 841 | 2.49 | 0.622 | | | | | |
| risk due to using pharmacy medicines People who use pharmacy medicines know precisely what risks are associated | 834 | 2.72 | 0.425 | 0.435 | | | | |
| with them There is more risk involved in using pharmacy medicine than there was 10 | 834 | 4.27 | 0.423 | | | | 0.532 | |
| years ago Using pharmacy medicines could harm | 829 | 4.59 | | 0.665 | | | | |
| people The risks associated with using pharmacy medicines may not be understood until | 827 | 3.66 | | 0.653 | | | | |
| much later The risks associated with using pharmacy medicines are likely to be | 835 | 3.36 | | 0.633 | | | | |
| fatal | 831 | 5.12 | | 0.594 | | | | |

| Everyone who uses a pharmacy medicine | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| could be at risk from these medicines | 831 | 3.60 | 0.407 | | | | 0.598 |
| Children are at greater risk than adults | | | | | | | |
| when using pharmacy medicines | 836 | 2.92 | | 0.853 | | | |
| Pregnant women are at greater risk | | | | | | | |
| when using pharmacy medicines | 834 | 2.62 | | 0.838 | | | |
| Using a pharmacy medicine and | | | | | | | |
| driving can be risky | 868 | 2.59 | | 0.565 | | | |
| Drinking alcohol whilst using | | | | | | | |
| pharmacy medicines can be risky | 845 | 1.90 | | 0.550 | 0.457 | | |
| When using pharmacy medicines, I | | | | | | | |
| always use the recommended dose | 842 | 1.56 | | | 0.757 | | |
| Pharmacy medicines are less risky if | | | | | | | |
| you follow the instructions when using | 020 | 1 (0 | | | 0. (30) | | |
| them | 838 | 1.68 | | | 0.629 | | |
| If I do not follow the instructions when | | | | | | | |
| using pharmacy medicines I will be | 0.42 | 1.05 | | | 0.624 | | |
| putting myself at risk of harm | 843 | 1.95 | | | 0.624 | | |
| It is not possible to overdose with | 926 | 1 77 | | | | 0.7(2 | |
| pharmacy medicines | 830 | 1.// | | | | 0.703 | |
| using pharmany modicines [*] | 876 | 264 | | | | 0 602 | |
| The risks associated with using pharmacy | 020 | 2.04 | | | | 0.092 | |
| medicines affect me personally | 817 | 4 14 | | | | | 0.875 |
| | 017 | 2.00 | | | | | 0.075 |
| Pharmacy medicines can be addictive | 832 | 3.00 | Not included in factor analysis | | | | |
| Pharmacy medicines that used to be | | | | | | | |
| available on prescription have greater | | | | | | | |
| available without a prescription for many | | | | | | | |
| available without a prescription for many | 855 | 3 76 | Not included in factor analysis | | | | |
| *D | | 5.70 | Not included in factor analysis | | | | |
| Keverse coded to enable comparable | | 10n | | | | | |
| ** Agreement: Strongly agree (1) to St | rongly Dis | agree (7) | | | | | |
| Bold indicates statements with $> 70\%$ | agreement | /disagreeme | nt | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Factor 3 Population and | | | Factor 6 |
|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | F (1 | Factor 2 | Behaviour | | | Individual |
| | | Factor I | General | K1SK | | F (5 | and |
| | | Personal | K1SK | Factors | Factor 4 | Factor 5 | Population |
| | | Acceptance | Perception | | Adherence | Denial | Risk |
| Ν | | 802 | 805 | 826 | 830 | 814 | 812 |
| R-square | | 0.007 | 0.022 | 0.03 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.013 |
| ANOVA F | | 5.53 | 9.10 | 25.7 | | | |
| p-value | | 0.019 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 4.96 0.007 | 6.93 0.009 | 5.21 0.006 |
| | | 3.19 | 4.41 | 3.21 | 2.27 | 3.09 | 4.46 |
| | Constant | (0.10) | (0.14) | (0.15) | (0.16) | (0.08) | (0.21) |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | -0.155 | | |
| | Female | | | | (0.07) | | |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| e | | | -0.008 | -0.014 | -0.004 | | -0.008 |
| | per year | | (0.08) | (0.003) | (0.002) | | (0.11) |
| Health | 1 2 | | | | | | |
| | Good/very | -0.249 | | | | -0.235 | |
| go | od/excellent | (0.11) | | | | (0.09) | |
| Education | | | | | | | |
| | | | -0.238 | | | | -0.29 |

Table 3: Regression coefficients (SE) for models examining the predictive ability of demographic factors on each factor score

 Post school
 (0.0)

 NB: Marital status was not selected by any model so is not included in this table

(0.004)

(0.002)

FIGURES

Figure 1: Theory of Planned Behaviour



Copyright © 2006 Icek Ajzen

Figure 2 Respondents' mean agreement with risk perception statements (1 = strongly agree to 7 strongly disagree) *Reverse coded to enable comparable interpretation



*Reverse coded to enable comparable interpretation