

Putting Social Movements in Their Place

Explaining Opposition to Energy Projects in the United States, 2000–2005

The field of social movement studies has expanded dramatically throughout the past three decades. But as it has done so, its focus has become increasingly narrow and "movement-centric." When combined with the tendency to select successful struggles for study, the conceptual and methodological conventions of the field conduce to a decidedly Ptolemaic view of social movements: one that exaggerates the frequency and causal significance of movements as a form of politics.

This book reports the results of a comparative study, not of movements, but of twenty communities earmarked for environmentally risky energy projects. In stark contrast to the central thrust of the social movement literature, the authors find that the overall level of emergent opposition to the projects has been very low, and they seek to explain that variation and the impact, if any, it had on the ultimate fate of the proposed projects.

Doug McAdam is Professor of Sociology at Stanford University and the former Director of the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences (CASBS). He is the author or coauthor of thirteen books and some seventy-five articles in the area of political sociology, with a special emphasis on the study of social movements and revolutions. Among his best-known works are *Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency*, 1930–1970, a new edition of which was published in 1999; *Freedom Summer* (1988), which was awarded the 1990 C. Wright Mills Award and was a finalist for the American Sociological Association's best book prize for 1991; and *Dynamics of Contention* (2001) with Sid Tarrow and Charles Tilly. He is also the author of the forthcoming book, *A Theory of Fields* (with Neil Fligstein). He is a two-time former Fellow of CASBS, a recipient of a Guggenheim Fellowship, and a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences (since 2003).

Hilary Schaffer Boudet holds a PhD from the Emmett Interdisciplinary Program in Environment and Resources at Stanford University. Her research interests include the environmental and social impacts associated with energy development and public participation in environmental decision making. Her dissertation focused on the factors and processes that shape community mobilization around proposals for liquefied natural gas facilities. She is currently a postdoctoral scholar at the Stanford University School of Medicine/Stanford Prevention Research Center and a lecturer in the Stanford University Urban Studies Program. She has published in the Journal of Planning Education and Research, Environmental Politics, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, and Sociological Forum.





CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN CONTENTIOUS POLITICS

Editors

MARK BEISSINGER Princeton University JACK A. GOLDSTONE George Mason University MICHAEL HANAGAN Vassar College DOUG MCADAM Stanford University and Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences SUZANNE STAGGENBORG University of Pittsburgh SIDNEY TARROW Cornell University CHARLES TILLY (d. 2008) Columbia University ELISABETH J. WOOD Yale University DEBORAH YASHAR Princeton University

Ronald Aminzade et al., Silence and Voice in the Study of Contentious Politics Javier Auyero, Routine Politics and Violence in Argentina: The Gray Zone of State Power

Clifford Bob, The Marketing of Rebellion: Insurgents, Media, and International Activism

Charles Brockett, Political Movements and Violence in Central America Valerie Bunce and Sharon Wolchik, Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries

Christian Davenport, Media Bias, Perspective, and State Repression Gerald F. Davis, Doug McAdam, W. Richard Scott, and Mayer N. Zald, Social

Movements and Organization Theory Jack A. Goldstone, editor, States, Parties, and Social Movements

Tamara Kay, NAFTA and the Politics of Labor Transnationalism Joseph Luders, The Civil Rights Movement and the Logic of Social Change Doug McAdam, Sidney Tarrow, and Charles Tilly, Dynamics of Contention

Sharon Nepstad, War Resistance and the Plowshares Movement

Kevin J. O'Brien and Lianjiang Li, Rightful Resistance in Rural China Silvia Pedraza, Political Disaffection in Cuba's Revolution and Exodus

Eduardo Silva, Challenging Neoliberalism in Latin America

Sarah Soule, Contention and Corporate Social Responsibility

Yang Su, Collective Killings in Rural China during the Cultural Revolution Sidney Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism

Ralph Thaxton, Jr., Catastrophe and Contention in Rural China: Mao's Great Leap Forward Famine and the Origins of Righteous Resistance in Da Fo Village

Charles Tilly, Contention and Democracy in Europe, 1650-2000

Charles Tilly, Contentious Performances

Charles Tilly, The Politics of Collective Violence

Stuart A. Wright, Patriots, Politics, and the Oklahoma City Bombing

Deborah Yashar, Contesting Citizenship in Latin America: The Rise of Indigenous Movements and the Postliberal Challenge

Andrew Yeo, Activists, Alliances, and Anti-U.S. Base Protests





Putting Social Movements in Their Place

Explaining Opposition to Energy Projects in the United States, 2000–2005

DOUG MCADAM

Stanford University

HILARY SCHAFFER BOUDET

Stanford University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107650312

© Doug McAdam and Hilary Schaffer Boudet 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data McAdam, Doug.

Putting social movements in their place: explaining opposition to energy projects in the United States, 2000–2005 / Doug McAdam, Hilary Boudet.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in contentious politics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-02066-5 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-65031-2 (paperback)

Energy development – Environmental aspects – United States.
Protest movements – United States.
Boudet, Hilary, 1979 – II. Title.

HD9502.U52M39I5 20I2

333.790973'090511-dc23

2011036706

ISBN 978-1-107-02066-5 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-65031-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For my earliest mentors, Chick Perrow, Mayer Zald, and especially John McCarthy – Doug For my husband, Julien Boudet, and my parents, Denise and Daniel Schaffer – Hilary





Contents

Acknowledgments			bage xi
I	From Cop	pernicus to Ptolemy and (Hopefully) Back Again	I
2	Comparin	ng Communities "At Risk" for Mobilization	28
3	Explaining Variation in the Level of Opposition to Energy		
	Projects		54
4	Does Opp	osition Matter? Mobilization and Project Outcome	98
5	From Not in My Backyard to Not in <i>Anyone's</i> Backyard: The Emergence of Regional Movements against Liquefied Natural		
	Gas		132
6	Back to the Future: Returning to a Copernican Approach to the		
	Study of C	Contention	179
Appendix A.		Additional Community Data Collected Not Used in Causal and Outcome Condition Scoring	200
Appendix B.		Raw Data and Methods for Scoring Causal	209
	•	Conditions	210
Appendix C.		Interview Sources by Case	232
Bibliography			241
Index			259

ix





Acknowledgments

Over the course of any long-term research project, one invariably collects a host of debts to various individuals who have made contributions to the project along the way. This is our chance to extend our heartfelt thanks to all of these folk. Our biggest debt is to the nearly 250 informants who took time to share their stories and versions of events in their communities with us. In a very real sense, their accounts constitute the empirical foundation upon which this book rests. It is a tribute to our subjects and the time and care they took with their stories that we have as much confidence in this foundation as we do.

We have many academic colleagues to thank as well. The inspiration for the project and the context in which we forged our collaboration was yet another research project. Touched on at various points in the book, the project involved an ambitious interdisciplinary effort to understand the factors that shaped grassroots opposition to water and pipeline projects in the developing world. The linchpin of the project was Ray Levitt, in Stanford's Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering (CEE). But others worked on the project as well, most notably Dick Scott of the Sociology Department and Jenna Davis, also of CEE. We consider ourselves incredibly lucky to have been a part of that collaborative project. Even though we wound up being critical about some methodological aspects of the project, it was the desire to overcome those problems that led directly to our collaboration.

Once it was underway, a host of others aided the project. Pride of place in this regard has to go to the National Science Foundation (SES-0749913), without whose funding support the project would not have been possible. In carrying out the research, we also had the help of a number of talented and dedicated graduate and undergraduate research assistants. No one was more important in this regard than Rachel Gong,

хi



xii

Acknowledgments

who worked full time on the project for some nine months. Several others served shorter stints on the project but were no less committed to the study during their period of involvement. These other research assistants included Sookyung Kim, Griffin Matthew, Erin Olivella-Wright, Beth Siegfried, and Sean Weisberg. Then there were the anonymous reviewers for Cambridge who affirmed the value of the manuscript even as they offered a host of invaluable suggestions for how we might improve the book through revision. They should be able to see the imprint of their many comments and suggestions reflected in the final product.

Finally, we close with two very special thank yous. We are deeply grateful to Sid Tarrow for his close and critical reading of the entire manuscript at an especially formative stage in the book's development. It would be hard to overstate just how much the project benefited from his careful review of that early draft. But no one deserves our thanks more than our friend and colleague Rachel Wright. Rachel joined the project very early on and helped to shape all components of the research strategy. In addition, she was primarily responsible for collecting most of the online data that we used to fashion the "before" profiles of our communities. She also conducted the fieldwork for three of our twenty cases. More than anything, she was a sharp critic, consistent source of inspired research suggestions, and a great pleasure to work with.

Stanford, California June 2011