

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.2298/FIL0702243K

Quasi-nearly subharmonic functions and conformal mappings — Source link 🗹

Vesna Kojić

Published on: 01 Jan 2007 - Filomat (National Library of Serbia)

Topics: Subharmonic function and Conformal map

Related papers:

- Classes of Quasi-nearly Subharmonic Functions
- H p spaces of several variables
- A generalized mean value inequality for subharmonic functions
- · Subharmonic functions, generalizations and separately subharmonic functions
- · Quasi-nearly subharmonic functions and quasiconformal mappings



Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/filomat

Filomat 21:2 (2007), 243–249

QUASI-NEARLY SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND CONFORMAL MAPPINGS

Vesna Kojić

Abstract

If φ is a conformal mapping and u is a quasi-nearly subharmonic function, then $u \circ \varphi$ is quasi-nearly subharmonic. A similar fact for "regularly oscillating" functions holds.

Introduction

If u is a nonnegative subharmonic function on a domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}$ and $p\geq 1,$ then

$$u(z)^p \le \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B(z,r)} u^p \, dm \tag{1}$$

for all $B(x,r) \subset \Omega$. Here B(z,r) is the Euclidean disk with center z and radius r, and dm is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C} normalized so that the measure of the unit ball equals one. If $0 , then (1) need not hold but there is a constant <math>C = C(p) \geq 1$ such that

$$u(z)^p \le \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B(z,r)} u^p \, dm \tag{2}$$

This fact, essentially due to Hardy and Littlewood [5], was first proved by Fefferman and Stein [3, Lemma 2, p. 172]. Fefferman and Stein's proof is reproduced in Garnett [4, Lemma 3.7, pp. 121–123]. Although Fefferman

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 31B05, 31B25; Secondary: 31C05. Key words and phrases. Subharmonic, quasi-nearly subharmonic, and regularly oscillating functions, Koebe's theorems.

Received: August 20, 2007

The author is supported by MNZŽS Grant ON144010, Serbia

and Stein considered only the case when u = |v| and v is harmonic their proof applies also in the case of nonnegative subharmonic functions. Perhaps, the first simple proof of (2) was given in [10, p. 64], although it depended on the hypothesis that u was subharmonic. For other proofs see [13, p. 18, and Theorem 1, p. 19], (see also [14, Theorem A, p. 15]), [18, Lemma 2.1, p. 233], and [19, Theorem, p. 188]).

That the validity of (2) for some p implies its validity for all p was observed in [1, p. 132], [13, Theorem 1], and [18, Lemma 2.1]. See also [11], where the case of "*M*-subharmonic" functions was considered, and [8, 9], where some extensions of [11] were made.

For various applications of (2) we refer to [24, p. 191], [6, Theorems 1 and 2, pp. 117-118], [21, Theorems 1, 2 and 3, pp. 301, 307], [18, Theorem, p. 233], [22, Theorem 2, p. 271], [23, Theorem, p. 113], [15], [12], and [17]. Further information can be found in [19] and [17].

Quasi-nearly subharmonic functions

Let Ω be a subdomain of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Following [20] and [17], we call a Borel measurable function $u : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ quasi-nearly subharmonic, if $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and if there is a constant $K = K(u, \Omega) \ge 1$ such that

$$u(z) \le \frac{K}{r^2} \int_{B(z,r)} u(w) \, dm(w) \tag{3}$$

for any disk $B(z,r) \subset \Omega$.

In [18], the term *pseudoharmonic functions* is used, while in [13], condition (3) is called sh_K -condition. If K = 1 (and u takes its values in $[-\infty, \infty]$), then u is called *nearly subharmonic* (see [7]).

We will denote by $QNS_K(\Omega)$ the class of all functions satisfying (3) (for a fixed K) and by $QNS(\Omega)$ the class of all quasi-nearly subharmonic functions defined in Ω ; so

$$QNS(\Omega) = \bigcup_{K \ge 1} QNS_K(\Omega).$$

One of the most important properties of QNS is the following fact, which generalizes the above mentioned result of Fefferman and Stein [3].

Theorem A. [1, 13, 18] If $u \in QNS_K(\Omega)$, and p > 0, then $u^p \in QNS_C(\Omega)$, where C is a constant depending only on p, K. In particular, if u^p is quasinearly subharmonic for some p > 0, then so is for every p > 0. In this paper we prove the following:

Theorem 1. Let $u \in QNS_K(\Omega)$ and φ a conformal mapping from a domain G onto Ω , then the composition $u \circ \varphi$ belongs $QNS_C(G)$, where C depends only on K.

Regularly oscillating functions

A function f defined in Ω is called regularly oscillating (see [17]) if f is of class $C^1(\Omega)$ and

$$|\nabla f(z)| \le Kr^{-1} \sup_{B(z,r)} |f - f(z)|, \qquad B(z,r) \subset \Omega.$$
(4)

The class of such functions is denoted in [13] and [16] by $OC_K^1(\Omega)$ (O = oscillation). The class of all regularly oscillating functions will be denoted by $RO(\Omega)$.

Theorem B. [13, Theorem 3] If f is regularly oscillating, then |f| and $|\nabla f|$ are quasi-nearly subharmonic. Moreover, if $f \in OC_K^1(\Omega)$, then |f| and $|\nabla f|$ are in $QNS_C(\Omega)$, where C depends only on K.

Example 1. Harmonic functions are regularly oscillating.

Example 2. [13] Convex functions are regularly oscillating. It follows that the modulus of the gradient of a convex function is quasi-nearly subharmonic.

Example 3. [14] If f is an eigenfunction of Δ , i.e., $\Delta f = \lambda f$ for some constant λ , and if Ω is bounded, then $f \in OC^2(\Omega)$.

Example 4 (Polyharmonic functions). A function $f \in C^{2k}(\Omega)$ is said to be polyharmonic (of degree k) if it is annihilated by the k-th power of the Laplacian. It is proved in [14, Corollary 5] (see also [15]) that every polyharmonic function is regularly oscillating, and therefore |f| and $|\nabla f|$ are quasi-nearly subharmonic.

Here we prove the following:

Theorem 2. If $f \in RO(\Omega)$, and φ is a conformal mapping from G onto Ω , then $f \circ \varphi \in G$. Moreover if $f \in OC_K^1(\Omega)$, then $f \circ \varphi$ is in $OC_C^1(G)$, where C depends only on K.

Proofs

Our proofs are based on two theorems of Koebe (see [2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5].

Theorem C (Koebe one-quarter theorem). Let φ be a conformal mapping from the disk $B(z_0, R)$ into \mathbb{C} , then the image $\varphi(B(z_0, R))$ contains the disk $B(\varphi(z_0), \rho)$, where $\rho = R|\varphi'(z_0)|/4$.

Theorem D (Koebe distortion theorem). Let φ be a conformal mapping from the disk $B(z_0, R)$ into \mathbb{C} , then there holds the inequalities

$$\frac{R^2(R-|z-z_0|)}{(R+|z-z_0|)^3} \le \frac{|\varphi'(z)|}{|\varphi'(z_0)|} \le \frac{R^2(R+|z-z_0|)}{(R-|z-z_0|)^3}, \qquad z \in B(z_0,R).$$

Consequently if $|z - z_0| < R/2$, then

$$\frac{|\varphi'(z)|}{|\varphi'(z_0)|} \ge \frac{4}{27}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $u \in QNS_K(\Omega)$ and φ a conformal mapping from G onto Ω . We have to find a constant C such that

$$\int_{B(z_0,r)} u(\varphi(z)) \, dm(z) \ge u(\varphi(z_0))r^2/C,\tag{\dagger}$$

whenever $r < \text{dist}(z, \partial G)$. Let $w_0 = \varphi(z_0)$ and $\rho = r|\varphi'(z_0)|/4$, and let $\psi: \Omega \mapsto G$ denote the inverse of φ . Then

$$\int_{B(z_0,r)} u(\varphi(z)) \, dm(z) = \int_{\varphi(B(z_0,r))} u(w) |\psi'(w)|^2 \, dm(w)$$

$$\geq \int_{B(w_0,\rho/2)} u(w) |\psi'(w)|^2 \, dm(w),$$
(5)

where we have applied the one-quarter theorem. Now we apply the distortion theorem to the function ψ to get $|\psi'(w)| \ge (4/27)|\psi'(w_0)|$, for $|w-w_0| < \rho/2$. It follows that

$$\int_{B(z_0,r)} u(\varphi(z)) \, dm(z) \ge (4/27)^2 |\psi'(w_0)|^2 \int_{B(w_0,\rho/2)} u(w) \, dm(w)$$

$$\ge (4/27)^2 |\psi'(w_0)|^2 (\rho/2)^2 u(w_0) / K$$

$$= (4/27)^2 |\psi'(w_0)|^2 |\varphi'(z_0)|^2 u(w_0) r^2 / 16K.$$
(6)

246

Quasi-nearly subharmonic functions and conformal mappings

Now we use the identity $\psi'(w_0)\varphi'(z_0) = 1$ to get (†) with $C = 27^2 K$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2.

Let $u \in OC_K^1(\Omega)$ and φ a conformal mapping from G onto Ω . We have to find a constant C_1 such that

$$|\nabla u(\varphi(z_0))| \cdot |\varphi'(z_0)| \le \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon} \sup_{z \in B(z_0,\varepsilon)} |u(\varphi(z)) - u(\varphi(z_0))|, \qquad B(z_0,\varepsilon) \subset G.$$
(7)

Let $w_0 = \varphi(z_0)$ and $\rho = \varepsilon |\varphi'(z_0)|/4$, and let ψ be the inverse of φ . Then, the definition of OC_K^1 and by the one-quarter theorem,

$$\sup\{|u(\varphi(z)) - u(\varphi(z_0)| : z \in B(z_0, \varepsilon)\} \\= \sup\{|u(w) - u(w_0)| : w \in \varphi(B(z_0, \varepsilon))\} \\\ge \sup\{|u(w) - u(w_0)| : w \in B(w_0, \rho)\} \\\ge |\nabla u(w_0)|\rho/K \\= |\nabla u(w_0)| \cdot |\varphi'(z_0)|\varepsilon/4K.$$

This gives (7) with $C_1 = 4K$, concluding the proof.

References

- P. Ahern and J. Bruna, Maximal and area integral characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana 4(1988), 123–153.
- [2] P. L. Duren, Univalent functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 259. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. xiv+382 pp.
- [3] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129(1972), 137–193.
- [4] J.B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [5] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of conjugate functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 167(1931), 405-423.

- [6] D.J. Hallenbeck, Radial growth of subharmonic functions, Pitman Research Notes 262, 1992, pp. 113–121.
- [7] M. Hervé, Analytic and Plurisubharmonic Functions in Finite and Infinite Dimensional Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 198, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [8] M. Jevtić and M. Pavlović, M-Besov p-classes and Hankel operators in the Bergman spaces on the unit ball, Arch. Math. 61(1993), 367–376.
- [9] M. Jevtić and M. Pavlović, Subharmonic behavior of generalized (α, β)-harmonic functions and their derivatives, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30(1999), 407–418.
- [10] M. Pavlović, Mean values of harmonic conjugates in the unit disc, Complex Variables 10(1988), 53–65.
- [11] M. Pavlović, Inequalities for the gradient of eigenfunctions of the invariant Laplacian on the unit ball, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 2(1991), 89–98.
- [12] M. Pavlović, A proof of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem on fractional integration and a generalization, Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade) 59(1996), 31–38.
- [13] M. Pavlović, On subharmonic behavior and oscillation of functions on balls in Rⁿ, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 55(69)(1994), 18–22.
- [14] M. Pavlović, Subharmonic behavior of smooth functions, Math. Vesnik 48(1996), 15–21.
- [15] M. Pavlović, Decompositions of L^p and Hardy spaces of polyharmonic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 216(1997), 499–509.
- [16] M. Pavlović, Introduction to function spaces on the disk, Posebna izdanja 20, Matematički Institut SANU, 2004.
- [17] M. Pavlović and J. Riihentaus, Classes of quasi-nearly subharmonicx functions, preprint
- [18] J. Riihentaus, Subharmonic functions: non-tangential and tangential boundary behavior, Function Spaces, Differential Operators and Nonlinear Analysis, Proceedings of the Syöte Conference 1999 (eds. V. Mustonen and J. Rákosnik), Math. Inst., Czech Acad. Science, Praha, 2000, pp. 229–238.

- [19] J. Riihentaus, A generalized mean value inequality for subharmonic functions, Expo. Math. 19(2001), 187–190.
- [20] J. Riihentaus, A generalized mean value inequality for subharmonic functions and applications, arXiv:math.CA/0302261 v1 21 Feb 2003.
- [21] M. Stoll, Weighted tangential boundary limits of subharmonic functions on domains in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 2)$, Math. Scand. 83(1998), 300–308.
- [22] N. Suzuki, Nonintegrability of harmonic functions in a domain, Japan. J. Math. 16(1990), 269–278.
- [23] N. Suzuki, Nonintegrability of superharmonic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113(1991), 113–115.
- [24] A. Torchinsky, Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press, London, 1986.

Fakultet organizacionih nauka, Jove Ilića 154, Belgrade, Serbia *E-mail*: vesnak@fon.bg.ac.yu