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## ABSTRACT

Results of studies of radiative decays of the $\psi(3684)$ using the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector at the electron storage ring SPEAR are presented. There are three high mass states produced in $\psi(3684)$ radiative decays, with masses of $3414 \pm 3,3503 \pm 4$, and $3551 \pm 4 \mathrm{MeV}$ where the errors given do not include an overall mass scale uncertainty of $\pm 4 \mathrm{MeV}$. There is some evidence for a fourth such state at either 3455 or 3340 MeV . The branching ratio for $\psi(3684)$ radiative decay into the state at 3414 MeV is found to be $7.5 \pm 2.6 \%$. The decay modes of these states into hadrons and into $\gamma \psi(3095)$ are studied, yielding information about the branching ratios, spins, and parities of the states. The results are interpreted in the charmonium picture of the high mass states.

[^0]
## I. INTRODUUCTION

The remarkably narrow widths of the $\psi(3095)$ and $\psi(3684)$, hereafter denoted by $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ respectively, are usually interpreted by considering the $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ as bound states of a charmed quark antiquark pair, or "charmonium". ${ }^{1-4}$ The $\psi$, being a vector particle, is commonly interpreted as the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ ground state, ${ }^{5}$ while the $\psi^{\top}$ is the first radial excitation of the ${ }^{3} S_{1}$ state. ${ }^{6}$ In this picture, there should be other narrow states of charmonium with masses roughly comparable to the $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ masses. Those charmonium states $\chi$ that can be reached by the radiative transitions $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \chi \gamma$ or $\psi \rightarrow \chi \gamma$ are particularly experimentally accessible. Such states must have the following properties:

1. They must be even under charge conjugation. Hence they cannot be produced directly by $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$colliding beams through a single virtual photon.
2. They must be less massive than the $\psi^{\prime}$.
3. They should be narrow; since their hadronic decays will be suppressed by the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule.
4. They must have isospin zero and even G-parity. Hence they may decay hadronically into even numbers of pions.
5. If they are more massive than the $\psi$, they may in turn decay by the radiative transition $\chi \rightarrow \psi \gamma$.
6. The ${ }^{1} S,{ }^{3} P,{ }^{1} D$, etc., states of charmonium are even under charge conjugation. The ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ state is a pseudoscalar and most models predict the pseudoscalar masses to lie somewhat below those of the corresponding ${ }^{3} \mathbf{S}_{1}(\psi)$ states. The lowest lying ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{0}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ states, with spin-parities $0^{+}, 1^{+}$, and $2^{+}$, respectively, are usually predicted to lie in mass between the $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$.

The lowest lying ${ }^{1} D_{2}$, with spin-parity $2^{-}$, may also lie below the $\psi^{\prime}$.
The first evidence for such a state was obtained by the DASP collaboration study of the $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \gamma \gamma$ decay process. ${ }^{7}$ Subsequently, more detailed information on this and other states has been obtained by other groups from the study of hadronic decay modes ${ }^{8}$ and $\gamma \psi$ decay modes, ${ }^{9-11}$ and from observation of monochromatic photons in inclusive photon spectra. ${ }^{10,12}$ Altogether, there is evidence for five states. Three states, with masses of about 3415,3505 , and 3550 MeV have been seen in $\psi^{\prime}$ radiative decay by at least two independent experiments using different methods. ${ }^{7-12}$ A fourth state at 3455 MeV has been seen by us in $\psi^{\prime}$ radiative decay through its $\gamma \psi$ decay mode. ${ }^{10}$ In addition, a state at 2830 MeV has been seen by the DASP collaboration in $\psi$ radiative decay through its decay into two photons. ${ }^{13}$ In this paper, we provide an analysis of all the relevant data from the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector at SPEAR, determine our best experimental values for the properties of $\chi$ states, and interpret the results in terms of the charmonium picture. ${ }^{14}$

## II. THE MAGNETIC DETECTOR

The general features of the magnetic detector have been described in an earlier publication; ${ }^{15}$ here we give a brief recapitulation with emphasis on aspects that are of particular importance to the study of radiative decays.

Figure 1 shows a sectioned view of the magnetic detector. A particle emanating from the interaction region traverses in sequence:

- the vacuum chamber-a corrugated cylinder of 0.15 mm thick stainless steel at $0.08 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{~m}$ from the beam line. The chamber has a mean thickness, including the effect of the corrugation, of $0.152 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, or 0.011 radiation lengths.
- the pipe counters-two cylindrical plastic scintillation counters, at 0.11 and 0.13 m from the beam line and extending $\pm 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ along the beam line from the center of the interaction region. Each counter has a thickness of $0.70 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ or 0.016 radiation lengths.
- the proportional chambers-two cylindrical proportional wire chambers, each 0.0043 radiation lengths thick. ${ }^{16}$ The inner chamber, at a radius of 0.17 m , has 2.1 mm wire spacing and extends $\pm 0.254 \mathrm{~m}$ along the beam line; the outer chamber, at 0.23 m radius, has 2.8 mm wire spacing and extends $\pm 0.406 \mathrm{~m}$.
- the spark chambers-four double-gap cylindrical wire spark chambers with magnetostrictive readout, each with one gap with $\pm 2^{\circ}$ stereo angle and one gap with $\pm 4^{\circ}$ stereo angle.
- the trigger counters $-482.5-\mathrm{cm}$ thick plastic scintillation counters at 1.5 m radius, each 0.20 m wide and extending $\pm 1.3 \mathrm{~m}$ along the beam line. These counters measure the flight time for particles from the interaction region with an rms resolution of 0.4 nsec .
- the solenoid-a one-radiation length thick aluminum coil, coaxial with the beams at 1.7 m radius, providing an axial magnetic field of four kilogauss.
- the shower counters-twenty-four counters, each consisting of five 0.64 cm thick ( $\sim$ one radiation length) lead sheets each followed by a 0.64 cm sheet of Pilot F plastic scintillator. The counters are 0.46 m wide and have active length of 3.1 m .
- the hadron filter- 0.2 m of iron plates outside the shower counters, serving also as a flux return for the magnetic field.
- muon chambers-planar wire spark chambers, covering about $70 \%$ of the azimuth, for detecting particles penetrating the hadron filter.

The trigger for the magnetic detector requires, coincident with the beam collision, signals in both pipe counters and two or more coincidences of trigger counters with their backing shower counters. This essentially requires two or more charged particles with momenta $\gtrsim 200 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ to trigger the detector. Charged particles are tracked by the two proportional chambers and the four double-gap spark chambers, which cover the full azimuth and the polar angle $\theta$ from $50^{\circ}$ to $130^{\circ}$. Particles must traverse at least the inner two spark chambers in order to be tracked; this implies a minimum transverse momentum of $55 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. When the beam position is included as a point on the track, the charged particle $r m s$ momentum resolution is $\frac{\Delta p}{p}=0.015 p$, where $p$ is expressed in $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. There is an additional contribution due to multiple scattering of $\frac{\Delta p}{p}=0.006$, which must be added in quadrature. For charged tracks which do not originate from the beam, such as electrons or positrons from a photon conversion, the resolution is about a factor of two poorer above $110 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. Below $110 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, the resolution without the beam position deteriorates rapidly, since the track no longer traverses all the chambers.
III. IDENTIFICATION OF $\chi$ STATES

The data sample used in this paper consists of $\sim 330,000$ events recorded with a c.m. energy set at the $\psi^{\prime}$ mass and $\sim 150,000$ events recorded at the $\psi$ mass. A valid event meets the above trigger requirements, and in addition
contains two or more reconstructed charged tracks that originate near the point where the beams collide. From this data sample, we search for the existence of charmonium states by three different methods described respectively in parts $A, B$, and $C$ of this section.

## A. Inclusive Photon Spectra

By searching for structure in the inclusive photon spectra from $\psi$ and $\psi$ decay we observe radiative decays to even charge-conjugation states independently of the decay modes of such states. Such observations enable us to measure the total branching fraction for a radiative decay. Since a large fraction of $\psi^{\prime}$ decays include a $\psi,{ }^{17}$ it is necessary to study the inclusive spectra of both $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ to associate any structure in the spectra with the correct parent. Results of such an analysis have been published previously. 10

## 1. Photon Detection

Photons are detected by their conversion to electron-positron pairs in material near the beam line. The material effective as a converter is sketched in Fig. 2; it includes the vacuum pipe, the pipe counters, and the proportional wire chambers, a total of 0.052 radiation lengths of material located 8 to 22 cm from the beam line. The conversion probability for photons at normal incidence is 0.030 at $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=0.2 \mathrm{GeV}$ and rises to 0.039 at 2 GeV .

The electron and positron from a conversion are detected by the cylindrical spark chambers and the two proportional chambers. A minimum transverse momentum of $\sim 55 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ is required for a charged particle originating near the beam line to traverse two or more spark chambers. A study of the angular distribution of detected charged particles relative to the beam has shown that tracks are found with high efficiency for $|\cos \theta|<0.6$. The transverse momentum
requirement for each particle and the detector angular acceptance determine the efficiency for detecting converted pairs.

Figure 3 shows the calculated photon detection efficiency with the cuts $|\cos \theta|<0.6$ and transverse momentum of both the electron and the positron $>0.055 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. The calculation takes into account the energy-dependent conversion probability and the detector acceptance, including the $15 \%$ loss of acceptance within $\left|\cos \theta_{\gamma}\right|<0.6$ due to the spark chamber support posts. The photon angular distribution is assumed to be isotropic; the acceptance for a $1+\cos ^{2} \theta$ distribution is lower by $16 \%$.

To identify pairs of oppositely charged particles with measured opening angle $\alpha$ as electron positron pairs we calculate the invariant mass-squared $M^{2}=2 P_{+} P_{-}(1-\cos \alpha) \approx P_{+} P_{-} \alpha^{2}$ and select those with small $M^{2}$ as photon conversions. Accurate calculation of $\alpha$ at the conversion point is complicated by the uncertainty as to where in the absorber in Fig. 2 the conversion occurred. This uncertainty introduces an error of at most $0.0006\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$ into the determination of $\mathrm{M}^{2}$. Consequently a cut $\mathrm{M}^{2}<0.00075 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ was imposed to select conversion pairs. This cut keeps essentially all the externally converted pairs, and admits $\sim 20 \%$ accidental hadron-pair background as estimated from the like-charged pairs. Approximately $34 \%$ of the internal conversions will fall within this cut, constituting $\sim 7 \%$ of the total pairs selected.

## 2. Photon Energy Resolution

The photon energy is calculated as the sum of the energies of the positron and electron. The photon energy resolution is determined by the momentum resolution of the tracking chambers, including the contribution from multiple scattering, and by the uncertainty in the energy loss of the electron and positron by ionization or radiation as they pass through the material between the
conversion point and the chamber. The solid line in Fig. 4 shows the mean momentum resolution contribution to photon energy resolution calculated by combining in quadrature the estimated momentum errors of the two tracks of a conversion pair. The rise at low energy is due to the poor momentum resolution of tracks which traverse fewer than the full complement of tracking chambers.

The overall photon energy resolution will be the combination of all these effects. The dashed line in Fig. 4 is the sum in quadrature of the momentum resolution, ionization loss, and the errors introduced by radiative effects. The rms photon resolution is $2-4 \%$ for photon energies from 0.2 to 2 GeV .

## 3. The Inclusive Photon Spectra

Figure 5 a is the inclusive spectrum for 4659 photons from $150,000 \psi$ decays. The data are plotted in $4 \%$ energy bins, and no correction has been made for energy loss in the converter. These spectra have a gross shape determined by the acceptance folded into the photon spectrum from hadron decays, predominantly $\pi^{0}$ decay products. The photon spectrum from $\pi^{0}$ decay has its maximum at $E_{\gamma}=m_{\pi^{o}} / 2=67 \mathrm{MeV}$ and falls roughly exponentially; the observed spectrum, zero below 110 MeV and with a broad maximum from $\sim 250-500 \mathrm{MeV}$, indicates the effect of increasing acceptance multiplying the falling produced photon spectrum. The smooth curve in Fig. 5a is the inclusive photon spectrum from an all pion phase-space Monte Carlo of $\psi$ decays, normalized to the data in the $300-350 \mathrm{MeV}$ region. The shape of the experimental spectrum agrees rather well with the Monte-Carlo results.

Figure 5b shows the corresponding inclusive spectrum for 14,000 photons from $330,000 \psi^{\prime}$ decays, again presented in $4 \%$ energy bins. The general shape of this spectrum is very similar to that of the $\psi$. This is not surprising since
$57 \%$ of $\psi^{q}$ decays contain a $\psi .{ }^{17}$ In addition, there is a clear peak at $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma} \sim 260$ MeV with a full width at half maximum of $\sim 20 \mathrm{MeV}$, consistent with the expected resolution of a narrow signal. To check that this peak is not the result of the rapidly varying acceptance, the data were analyzed imposing successively cuts of 55,65 , and $75 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ on the transverse momenta of the electron and positron. The $55 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ cut corresponds to the physical limits of the detector; the $65 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ and $75 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ cuts reduce the acceptance by $16 \%$ and $31 \%$, respectively and change the slope of the acceptance in the 260 MeV region dramatically.

Figure 6a,b, c shows the $\psi^{\prime}$ inclusive photon spectrum with $55 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}, 65 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, and $75 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ transverse momentum cuts. The peak stays at the same energy with approximately the same observed width, and therefore is not being shaped by the acceptance.

After correction for the ionization losses of the electron and positron, the peak is at $261 \pm 10 \mathrm{MeV}$. This corresponds to a transition from $\psi^{8}$ to a state of mass $3413 \pm 11 \mathrm{MeV}$. We will hereafter call this state $\chi(3415)$. As will be seen in Section III. C, a strong signal at the same mass is observed in the study of hadronic decay modes of $\chi$ states. There is no other likely explanation of this bump. The photons from $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ from inclusive $\eta$ production will peak at $\mathrm{m}_{\eta} / 2=275 \mathrm{MeV}$ and will have a broad spectrum reflecting the $\eta$ momentum distribution. The photons from $\psi^{p} \rightarrow \psi \eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ will be Doppler broadened and spread uniformly from 193 to 389 MeV . The absence of the peak in the $\psi$ photon spectrum rules out the possibility that it originates from $\psi$ decays from the $\psi^{p} \rightarrow \psi$ cascade events.

## 4. Branching Ratio Calculation

The branching ratio of the transition $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma_{\chi}(3415)$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right)=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right) /\left(\epsilon_{\gamma} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathrm{R}} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)}{\mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { all }\right) / \epsilon_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\text {all }}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right)$ is the excess number of events in the peak over the smooth background, and $N\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ all $)$ is the total number of $\psi^{\prime}$ events used to compile the inclusive spectrum, with the contributions from nonresonant hadronic and QED events removed.

The quantity $\epsilon_{R}$, calculated to be $\sim 0.8$, is a correction for radiative losses, $\epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\text {all }}$ is the average trigger efficiency for all events, and $\epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is the trigger efficiency for signal events. The efficiency $\epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is taken to be equal to $\epsilon_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\text {all }}$ enhanced by the contribution from the converted photon-this assumes that the $\chi(3415)$ has multibody decays similar to $\psi$ or $\psi^{\prime}$. The ratio $\epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\text {all }} / \epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\mathbf{s}}$, determined by counting the fraction of events in which a photon conversion track was an essential part of the trigger, is $0.74 \pm 0.07$. There is a further $7 \%$ correction to the branching ratio to account for internal conversions. The branching ratio is $0.063 \pm 0.022$ for an isotropic photon distribution and $0.075 \pm 0.026$ for a ( $1+\cos ^{2} \theta$ ) distribution (favored by the data in Section III.C). The $35 \%$ relative error is the sum in quadrature of the $17 \%$ statistical error and estimated systematic errors of $20 \%$ in background subtraction, $20 \%$ in the photon detection efficiency, $10 \%$ in the $\epsilon_{\operatorname{tr}}^{\text {all }} / \epsilon_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ calculation, and $5 \%$ in the radiative correction. This result is in good agreement with the results of the SPEAR SP-27 collaboration ${ }^{12}$ and slightly larger than the upper limits found by the HEPL experiment at SPEAR. ${ }^{18}$

## 5. Branching Ratio Limits

We see no clear monochromatic signals in the $\psi$ or $\psi^{\prime}$ spectra other than the line for the $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma_{\chi}(3415)$ decay. To calculate the upper limits for branching ratios for monochromatic signals, we replace the number of signal events in the branching ratio calculation by the excess over the smooth background plus twice the error on total number within a FWHM photon energy resolution. Allowing for the $\sim 30 \%$ estimated errors, we interpret these limits as $90 \%$ confidence level upper limits. Table 1 gives these upper limits for representative photon energies above 250 MeV at $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$. The upper limits on the branching ratios for $\psi \rightarrow \gamma \mathrm{X}(2830)$ and $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mathrm{X}(2830)$ are $3.9 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively, where the $\mathrm{X}(2830)$. is the state at $2830 \pm 30 \mathrm{MeV}$ reported by the DASP collaboration in $\psi$ radiative decay. ${ }^{13}$

Below 250 MeV , the small and rapidly varying photon detection efficiency and the poorer photon energy resolution make recognition of a monochromatic signal difficult. Unfortunately, the photons from transitions of $\psi^{\prime}$ to $\chi(3550)$, $\chi$ (3505), and the possible state at 3455 MeV (see Sections III. B and III. C) are below this threshold and are not accessible to study by this method.

The Doppler-shifted photons from $\chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi$ decays are more than doubled in expected width, and therefore are difficult to separate from the background and from each other. Thus our monochromatic limits do not apply to $\chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi$ decays. Furthermore the presence of the Doppler broadened second photons from the decays of the known $\chi$ states makes the background beneath any signal in the $350-450 \mathrm{MeV}$ region difficult to estimate.
B. $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$

1. Identification of Cascade Processes

Charmonium states of even charge conjugation intermediate in mass between $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ may be produced in radiative decays of $\psi^{\prime}$ and may subsequently decay radiatively to $\psi$, as shown in the following sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{\llcorner } \gamma \psi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the simple charmonium model, in fact, the ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{0,1,2}$ states are expected to decay dominantly to $\gamma \psi .{ }^{3-4}$ Thus peaks in the $\psi \gamma$ mass distribution from $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ decays may reveal the presence of such states. Earlier results of such an analysis have been published. ${ }^{9-10}$

The $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ events can be identified if one of the photons converts into an $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$pair and the $\psi$ decays by its $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$mode. Photon conversions are selected by almost the same criteria as in Section III. A. ${ }^{19}$ Background from $\psi^{\prime}-\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$ events where the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$simulate a converted photon is negligible, since the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mass spectrum peaks strongly at large values. ${ }^{20}$ The $\psi$ is identified by its lepton pair decay; the observed lepton pair mass is required to lie between 2.97 and 3.22 GeV and is then constrained to the $\psi$ mass. Two cuts are applied to reduce the background from $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$or the QED process $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$where one of the electrons radiates a photon: first, electron pair events are rejected when the angle between the energetic electron and positron is greater than $177.5^{\circ}$, eliminating more than $95 \%$ of the radiative background but only $\sim 8 \%$ of real $\psi^{\dagger} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi, \psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$events; second, events are rejected if the converted photon is collinear within $10^{\circ}$ with one of the leptons-five events are rejected with this cut.

Figure 7 shows the missing-mass-squared, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$, recoiling against the $\psi \gamma$ system for the 54 events surviving the selection cuts. There is a peak at zero $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ corresponding to true $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ events and a broad background from ${ }^{\prime} \psi ' \rightarrow \pi^{\mathrm{o}} \pi^{\mathrm{o}} \psi$ where one photon from a $\pi^{\mathrm{o}}$ converted. Under the hypothesis of $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$, the direction of the missing photon may be predicted from the observed photon and the $\psi$. The unconverted photon may be detected in the 24 shower counters, which cover $65 \%$ of the solid angle and have resolution of $\sim 10^{\circ}$ in azimuthal angle. The shading in Fig. 7 flags the detection of such additional photons in the shower counters: darkly shaded events have a shower counter signal consistent with the missing photon direction assuming a $\gamma \gamma \psi$ decay, lightly shaded events have no unconverted photons detected, and unshaded events have one or more photons detected in counters inconsistent with the $\psi \gamma \gamma$ hypothesis (as expected for $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \psi$ events). The high correlation of shading with small $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ corroborates the identification of events with small $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ as $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ events.

The 27 shaded events with $-0.03\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}<0.03\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$ are kept as $\gamma \gamma \psi$ candidates. The inconverted photon is detected in the shower counters in 15 of these events, consistent with the shower counter acceptance and efficiency. Figure 8 shows the missing-mass-squared $M_{X}^{2}$ recoiling against the $\psi$ for these 27 events and also for events with 3 or 4 detected charged tracks in which a lepton pair from $\psi$ decay was detected-dominantly $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$. The $M_{x}^{2}$ distribution for the 3 and 4 track events peaks at high values; the same is expected for $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{\circ} \psi$ events. In contrast, the 27 candidates for $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ have a roughly flat $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ distribution, as expected for radiative cascades by sequence (2). ${ }^{21}$ Six events with $M_{x}^{2}>0.27\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$ are removed as possible $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ events (four such events are expected).

The remaining 21 events are constrained to fit the reaction $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$.
There are two $\psi \gamma$ combinations for each event; their effective masses are plotted against one another in Fig. 9. The shaded events have the unconverted photon detected in the proper shower counter. The expected background is one unshaded event and $1 / 4$ shaded event.

There are three main clusters of events. The mass spread of the high mass projection of each of these clusters is consistent with the expected rms resolution of $\sim 8 \mathrm{MeV}$. The masses of the three corresponding states are $3543 \pm 7 \mathrm{MeV}$, $3504 \pm 5 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $3454 \pm 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ which we will hereafter call $\chi(3550), \chi(3505)$, and $\chi(3455)$, respectively. As usual, we take $M\left(\psi^{\dagger}\right)=3684 \mathrm{MeV}$. The mass spread of the low mass projections of each of the clusters is consistent with the expected Doppler-broadened resolution of $\sim 14 \mathrm{MeV}$, and inconsistent with a resolution of 8 MeV , with a confidence level of less than 0.025 for each cluster. However, the events at 3454 MeV can be explained by a state at 3340 MeV with three events and one event background. The states $\chi(3550)$ and $\chi(3505)$ can be identified with states observed through hadronic decays; there is no evidence in hadronic channels for a state at 3455 MeV or 3340 MeV (see Section III. C). The single event at 3413 MeV is either from the $\chi(3415)$ or a background event.

## 2. Branching Ratio Determination

Correcting for $\psi$ branching ratios and the photon detection efficiency, we can calculate branching ratio products for $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi$. We define the branching ratio product as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \psi, \chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi\right)=\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \psi\right) \mathrm{B}(\chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The branching ratio products for $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi$ are $0.8 \pm 0.4 \%, 2.4 \pm 0.8 \%$, and $1.0 \pm 0.6 \%$ for $\chi(3455), \chi(3505)$, and $\chi(3550)$, respectively-all four events have
been counted in the $\chi(3455)$. Taking the event at 3413 MeV in the high mass projection as signal, the branching ratio product for $\chi(3415)$ is $0.2 \pm 0.2 \%$. The branching ratio products obtained by the SP-27 collaboration are an order of magnitude higher than our result for the $\chi(3415)$ and a factor of two higher for the $\chi(3505)$ and the $\chi(3550) .{ }^{12}$ The differences are at the level of $1.8,1.5$, and 1.0 standard deviations respectively.
C. Hadronic Decay Modes of $\chi$

1. General Procedure

The $\chi$ states can be identified and some of their hadronic decay modes can be studied by analysis of the processes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \chi \gamma \quad,  \tag{4a}\\
& \chi \rightarrow \text { charged hadrons } . \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above chain, the photon is not directly detected, but its presence can be inferred from measurements of missing energy and missing momentum provided that all the hadrons from the $\chi$ decay are detected. The specific decay modes studied are the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\chi} & \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\
& \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-} \\
& \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}} \\
& \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\
& \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the efficiency for detecting all outgoing charged hadrons is rather high in comparison to the efficiency of detecting photons in the manner described in Section III. A, this procedure potentially has a high sensitivity for observing $\chi$ states. It suffers however from backgrounds produced by the following two types
of reactions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { (charged hadrons }\right)+\pi^{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { charged particles . } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In reaction (6), the "photon" is actually a $\pi^{\circ}$ produced with a collection of charged hadrons. In reaction (7), there is no missing neutral particle; but, because of finite measurement errors, the observations are consistent with the emission of a low energy photon.

The background from decay processes (6) can fortunately be kept quite small for the following reasons:
(a) Decay modes of this form have relatively small branching ratios.
(b) Such decay modes have a $\pi^{\circ}$ spectrum spread over a wide range of energies whereas the photons in (4a) are monoenergetic and have relatively small energy.
(c) The measurement of neutral missing mass by which one distinguishes a photon from a pion is most precise when the energy taken up by the neutral system is small; this is just the situation applicable for reaction (5a).

These points have already been discussed in some detail in an earlier paper, ${ }^{8}$ and the more extensive data sample now available confirms the validity of the $\gamma-\pi^{0}$ separation.

Processes (7) can usefully be subdivided into the following mutually exclusive groups:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi, \quad \psi \rightarrow \text { charged particles }  \tag{8a}\\
& \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}, \mu^{+} \mu^{-}  \tag{8b}\\
& \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { charged hadrons } . \tag{8c}
\end{align*}
$$

As will be seen in more detail below the large background from (8a) and (8b) can be completely removed by appropriate cuts. Branching ratios for processes (8c) are relatively small, and their presence does not significantly affect the study of the states discussed in this paper whose mass is at least 130 MeV below the $\psi$ mass. However they do tend to mask any radiative decay mode leading to photons of energy less than 80 MeV especially since the branching ratio for such states may be expected to be small. Since the pseudoscalar states might be expected to have masses just slightly below those of the corresponding vector $(\psi)$ states, this background will seriously limit the sensitivity of any search for such states if indeed they lie in that mass region.

The decay modes (5b), (5c) and (5f) involve charged particles other than pions. From the point of view of kinematics, processes such as $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$or $\gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$ can be ambiguous with $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left(\mathrm{n} \pi^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$. To help remove such background, we have used time-of-flight information and constructed for each event a measure of goodness of fit as follows

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}^{2}=\sum_{\text {tracks }} \frac{\left(\mathrm{t}_{\text {meas }}-\mathrm{t}_{\text {pred }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}
$$

where the sum is taken over all tracks for which time-of-flight information exists, $t_{\text {meas }}$ and $t_{\text {pred }}$ are the measured and predicted flight times based on the momentum measurements and the assumed identities of the charged particles. This $\chi_{\text {TOF }}^{2}$ was used for both decay modes (5b) and ( $5 c$ ) in a manner described in the next section. For decay mode ( 5 f ), the high momenta of the kaons preclude useful separation in this way. However the multipion background in the kinematic region which simulates (5f) is very small, and the separation between (5e) and (5f) can very adequately be made on the basis of the goodness of the 1 C fit.

## 2. Selection of Data Samples

We now proceed to a more detailed discussion of the selection of data samples for the various $\chi$ decay modes (5).
a. $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
(i) $\mid$ Missing Mass $\left.\right|^{2} \leqq 0.05\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$ to eliminate multi- $\pi^{\circ}$ events.
(ii) (Missing Energy-Missing Momentum) $\leqq 0.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ to avoid confusion with decay modes $X \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$. For such modes, treated as though they consisted of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, the missing energy would normally be about 0.2 GeV greater than the missing momentum.
(iii) (Missing mass recoiling against any $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) $\leqq 3.05 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ to remove background from $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$ and $\eta \psi$ followed by $\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$. decays.
b. $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$
(i) $\mid$ Missing Mass $\left.\right|^{2} \leqq 0.05\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$.
(ii) (Missing Energy (calculated as if all tracks are pions)-Missing

Momentum) $>0.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ to avoid confusion with the mode $\chi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$.
(iii) Same as (iii) for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$.
(iv) $\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}^{2}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}\right)<\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}^{2}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$.

It may be noted that requirements (ii) for the above two modes guarantee that there be no overlap between the data samples.
c. $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} p \bar{p}$
(i), (ii), (iii) Same as for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$.
(iv) $\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}^{2}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}\right)<\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}{ }^{2}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}\right) \quad$ and $<\chi_{\mathrm{TOF}}{ }^{2}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$.
d. $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \cdot \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
(i) $\mid$ Missing Mass $\left.\right|^{2} \leqq 0.05\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}$.
(ii) Same as (ii) for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$.
(iii) (Missing Mass recoiling against any $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) outside the range 3.05-3.20 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ to remove $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$ contamination.

The requirement (iii) here is much less restrictive than for the four-body modes for two reasons: there is much less $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$ background to remove, and such removal tends to cut more deeply into the useful data sample.
e. $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $K^{+} K^{-}$
(i) (Pulse height for both particles in shower counters) < lower limit of range appropriate to electrons.
(ii) Neither particle gives signals in muon chambers within four standard deviations of the predicted trajectory.
(iii) Both particle momenta $>1000 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ and azimuthal angle between track momentum vectors $>160^{\circ}$.
(iv) Missing momentum transverse to the average $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$line $\geq 50$ $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ to remove radiative $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays not already cut out by (i) and (ii).
(v) Effective mass of charged particles when treated as $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$outside range $3020-3190 \mathrm{MeV}$ to remove residual $\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}, \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$events found in such decay modes as $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \psi, \eta \psi, \gamma \gamma \psi$.

The major problem in the selection of the sample for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$is obviously the removal of dilepton events arising from either $\psi^{q}$ decay or decay of $\psi$ produced from $\psi^{\prime}$ in a cascade process. Cuts (i), (ii), (iv), and (v) reduce this background to a negligible level
3. Analysis of $\chi$ Hadronic Decays
a. General Features

Samples selected in the manner just described were fit to the 1 -constraint hypothesis (4) with the bubble chamber program SQUAW, and those events giving
satisfactory fits were retained in the final data samples. Ambiguities between the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$decay modes were largely resolved by the kinematic fit. The $15 \%$ of these events which remained unresolved were apportioned according to which $\chi^{2}$ value was the smaller (although the difference between the two $\chi^{2}$ values was of course small). There were no other ambiguities between the various channels ( $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}$ ). The mass resolutions in the regions of interest for $\chi$ states are typically $\pm 20 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ after the fit.

The resulting mass spectra for the various channels are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. From these spectra, one can make the following observations:
(1) For all channels, the mass region above $3.3 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ is dominated by rather clear structures which will be discussed below. The event populations outside of this region are a rough measure of the background remaining after the cuts discussed earlier. The absence of events near $3.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and $3.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$spectra testifies to the successful removal of dilepton background arising from $\psi^{\prime}$ or $\psi$ decay
(2) Every one of the decay channels shows a prominent peak at around 3415 MeV .
(3) The $4 \pi$ and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$spectra also show clear peaks near 3500 and 3550 MeV . The $6 \pi$ spectrum above 3415 MeV is compatible with populations from these two states, but they are not clearly resolved. Compelling support for the interpretation of the spectra of Figs. 10 and 11 in terms of two states at 3500 and 3550 MeV comes from the observations of these two states by the techniques discussed in Section III. B.
(4) The $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $K^{+} K^{-}$spectra both show fairly clear structure at 3550 MeV , but no significant and population at 3500 MeV .
(5) There is no evidence for hadronic signals at 3455 or 3340 MeV , the possible masses for the additional state suggested by the cascade data of Fig. 9.
(6) There are clear peaks at the upper ends of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \overline{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$ spectra. These correspond to the direct decay modes $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$. These peaks appear in the histograms centered slightly below the $\psi^{\prime}$ mass of 3684 MeV because the 1 C fit to which they are subjected produces a "photon" of necessarily positive energy and hence shifts them downward by an amount comparable to the measurement errors in momentum and energy balance.
(7) There are a few events in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$spectra between the clear peaks at 3550 MeV and at the upper end of the histograms. These events may arise from the tails of the peaks, background, or from the decay of a pseudoscalar state of mass just below that of the $\psi^{\prime}$. There are not enough data to resolve this question.

The results of performing fits to the histograms in Figs. 10 and 11, as described in the next section, and taking appropriately weighted averages for the various channels leads to the following masses deduced from hadronic decay modes:

$$
\mathrm{M}=3414 \pm 3, \quad 3502 \pm 6, \quad 3555 \pm 5 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}
$$

for the three observed $\chi$ states (taking as always $\left.\mathrm{M}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)=3684 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$. These values are in good agreement with those deduced earlier from observations of converted photons, and can be identified with the $\chi(3415), \chi(3505)$, and $\chi(3550)$, respectively.

## b. Branching Ratios

The fraction of $\psi^{\prime}$ decays which proceed via (4a) with a particular hadronic final state $f$ provides a measure of the product of the two branching ratios,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{f}\right)=\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right) \mathrm{B}(\chi \rightarrow \mathrm{f}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the left side of (9), we use the following relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow \mathbf{f}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{2} \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{f}\right)}{\mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\right)} \frac{\epsilon(\mathrm{g})}{\epsilon(\mathrm{f})} \mathbf{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is a conveniently chosen final state produced in $\psi^{\prime}$ decay with known branching ratio $\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\right) ; \epsilon(\mathbf{f}), \epsilon(\mathrm{g})$ are detection efficiencies for the f and g final states, and $\mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{f}\right), \mathrm{N}\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}\right)$ are the observed event populations for the two final states.

Table 2 shows the $\psi^{\prime}$ final states $g$ used in (10) for the branching ratio normalizations. These final states are chosen to have just the same numbers of prongs as the corresponding $\chi$ states with which they are compared to remove some of the systematic uncertainties.

To determine the populations of the various $\chi$ states in the several hadronic final states studied, the histograms of Figs. 10 and 11 were fit with the superposition of a smoothly varying background term plus the contributions of the various peaks from both $\chi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ decay into the particular final states. The shapes of these peaks were taken as sums of several Gaussians of different widths corresponding to the range of calculated resolutions. To take account of slightly different systematics in the various decay modes, the $\chi$ masses and the overall scales of the resolution widths were allowed to vary along with the relative populations. The results of the mass fits have been incorporated into the best mass values for the three $\chi$ states given in the previous section. The populations obtained in these fits are given in Tables $3,4,5$, and 6 .

Efficiencies for both the $\psi^{\prime}$ modes used in the normalization and the $\chi$ modes were calculated by Monte-Carlo methods. Besides the geometrical efficiencies, other significant contributions include the following:
(i) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$-inefficiencies in the time-of-flight selection;
(ii) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}, \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}-\mathrm{K}$ decay in flight losses;
(iii) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}-$losses due to cuts used for background removal;
(iv) 4 prong, 6 prong $\chi$ modes - losses due to $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi$ elimination cuts.

The resulting efficiencies and branching ratio products are given in Tables 3,4 , and 5.

We have estimated $\chi$ branching ratios $\mathrm{B}(\chi \rightarrow \mathrm{f})$ by dividing the branching ratio products $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi, \chi \rightarrow f\right)$ by the $\psi^{\prime}$ branching ratios into the $\chi$ states $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right)$. For the $\chi(3415)$ we have used our measurement $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi(3415)\right)$ $=0.075 \pm 0.026$ discussed in Section III. A above. For the $\chi(3505)$ and $\chi(3550)$ we have used the results given by the SPEAR SP-27 collaboration. ${ }^{12}$ The corresponding $\chi$ branching ratios are given in the last columns of Tables 3,4 , and 5 . The errors quoted for these branching ratios do not include the overall scale errors due to the uncertainties in the values of $\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right)$ which amount to about $30 \%$.

For completeness we have also exhibited in Table 6 the direct branching ratios $\mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{\prime}-\mathrm{f}\right) .{ }^{22}$ These are all about a factor of $5-10$ smaller than the corresponding $\psi$ branching ratios. This is roughly the same suppression as for the dilepton branching ratios in $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ decay.
c. Search for $\chi$ Decays into Known Resonances

The effective mass spectra for numerous combinations of pions and kaons have been examined in an attempt to search for the presence of known resonances. The only significant structure observed comes from $\rho$ and $K^{*}(891)$ in the $4 \pi$ and
$\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$final states respectively. The $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\mathrm{K}^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ effective mass spectra for the $\chi(3415)$ are shown in Fig. 12. All three $\chi$ states exhibit substantial $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ production. The fractions of $4 \pi$ and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$final states which go via $\rho \pi \pi$ and $\mathrm{K}^{*} \mathrm{~K}_{\pi}$ are summarized in Table 7. It is worth noting that no significant $\rho \rho$ or $K^{*}(891) \bar{K}^{*}(891)$ signal is observed for any $\chi$ state, although there are no obvious selection rules which forbid these processes; the observed $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ are almost always accompanied by nonresonant meson pairs.
d. Further Properties of the $\chi$ Hadronic Decays
(1) The observed multipion $\chi$ decay modes have even G parity. Their branching ratios into such modes are $\gtrsim 1 \%$, large enough to suggest that they represent isospin-conserving processes. It follows that the isospin of all the observed $\chi^{\prime} s$ is even. The direct $\psi^{\prime}$ decay mode,

$$
\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}
$$

has a much smaller branching ratio compatible with the known odd G-parity of the $\psi^{\prime}$ and the expected rate on the basis of second-order electromagnetic decay. For the $\chi(3415)$, the even isospin coupled with the significant $K^{+} K^{-}$decay mode establishes $I=0$ as expected on the basis of the $c \bar{c}$ model. The same isospin assignment follows for all the $\chi$ states from the usual $|\Delta I|=0, \pm 1$ selection rule of electromagnetic decay and the zero isospin of the $\psi^{\prime}$.
(2) The observed equality of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$branching ratios agrees with expectations for an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ singlet assignment for the $\chi(3415)$.
(3) The observed ratios of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi \rightarrow \rho \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\
& \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{*} \mathrm{~K} \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{-} \pi^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

decay rates are, for all three $\chi$ states, in agreement with the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ singlet predictions of $9 / 8$ within the rather large estimated errors (see Table 7).
(4) The branching ratios for $\chi^{(3415)}$ to $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, namely $1 \%, 4.6 \%$ and $1.9 \%$, are very similar to the values $1.3 \%, 4.0 \%$ and $2.9 \%$ observed for $\psi$ decay to the corresponding modes $\rho \pi, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$, $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$. In making this comparison, a $\pi^{0}$ has been added to go from $\chi$ modes to corresponding $\psi$ modes to take account of the opposite $G$ parities.
(5) The radiative decay modes $\chi \rightarrow \psi \gamma$ are prominent for both $\chi(3505)$ and $\chi(3550)$, amounting to roughly $34 \%$ and $14 \%$, respectively of all decays of these states. These branching ratios are far larger than those for any one of the detected hadronic modes. This strong preference for decay into $\psi$ is reminiscent of the behavior of the $\psi^{\prime}$, about half of whose decays go to $\psi .^{17}$ The $\chi(3415)$ has only a very small branching ratio for decay to $\psi$.
IV. SPIN DETERMINATION

## A. Information from Hadronic Decays

For the hadronic modes, one can measure the distribution of $\theta$, the angle between the beam direction and the outgoing photon. The general form is $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(\cos \theta)=1+\mathrm{A} \cos ^{2} \theta \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|A| \leq 1$.
The value of A can be unambiguously predicted only for spin $J=0$, namely $A=1$. For spins 1 and 2, there can be several multipoles in the amplitude and the predictions are not unique. However, if one assumes that only the dipole amplitude contributes significantly, one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=-1 / 3 & J=1 \\
A=1 / 13 & J=2
\end{array}
$$
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The actual experimental results, based on using all the observed hadronic decay modes are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\chi(3415) & \mathrm{A}=1.4 \pm 0.4 \\
\chi(3505) & \mathrm{A}=0.1 \pm 0.4 \\
\chi(3550) & \mathrm{A}=0.3 \pm 0.4
\end{array}
$$

Figure 13b and Fig. 14 show the distributions of |cos $\theta \mid$ from which the above values of $A$ are derived. Figure $13 a$, which applies only to the $\chi(3415) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $K^{+} K^{-}$mode shows the distribution of $\left|\cos \theta^{\prime}\right|$ where $\theta^{\prime}$ is the angle between the dimeson line and the photon. This distribution is expected to be isotropic for $J=0$, and would normally contain terms in $\cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}$ and $\cos ^{4} \theta^{\prime}$ if $J=2$ (the next lowest allowed spin for a C even state with a $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$decay mode). Unfortunately the statistics are small, but there is no obvious deviation from isotropy.

The main conclusion from these results is that only the $\chi(3415)$ gives a good fit to spin zero, although spin 2 cannot be rigorously ruled out. The $\chi(3550)$ cannot have spin 1 , due to the presence of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and/or $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$decay modes, and does not fit spin 0 by about 2 standard deviations. The $\chi(3505)$ does not fit spin 0 by greater than 2 standard deviations.

## B. The Spin of the $x(3505)$ from Angular Correlations

In the decay sequence $\psi^{\prime}-\chi \gamma, \chi \rightarrow \psi \gamma, \psi \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$, the angular distributions of the photons and leptons with respect to the beam direction and to each other depend on the spin of the $\chi$ state. ${ }^{23-25}$ These distributions can then, in principle, be used to determine the $\chi$ spin.

1. Data Sample

The data sample of 21 events in Section III. B is insufficient for a determination of the spin of $\chi$ states. This sample is small primarily because we require
the conversion of a photon and detection of the conversion pair. We can obtain a larger sample by detecting the photons directly in the shower counters, at the cost of inferior mass resolution, as we have done in our earlier paper. ${ }^{9}$

The lepton pairs from $\psi$ decay are first selected and analyzed in the manner of Section III. B. In addition, we require the detection of exactly two photons. The azimuthal angles of the photons are determined crudely by using the 24 shower counters as a hodoscope. The polar angles are determined by measuring the longitudinal position of the interactions in the shower counter from the relative pulse heights in the phototubes at either end. The rms angular resolutions are 75 mrad in azimuth and 112 mrad in polar angle. The photon energy information provided by the shower counters is too imprecise to be of use.

The largest background is the decay $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ where only two of the four photons from $\pi^{\circ}$ decay are detected. The signal events are twice overconstrained so that most background can be eliminated by doing a $2-\mathrm{C}$ fit to the hypothesis $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \gamma \gamma$ and making a $\chi^{2}$ cut $\left(\chi^{2} /\right.$ d.o.f. $\left.<2\right) . \psi^{\gamma} \rightarrow \psi \eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ events are eliminated by removing all events for which the missing mass recoiling against the reconstructed $\psi$ is greater than $520 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.

Having performed the $2-C$ fit, we reconstruct the two possible masses of the intermediate state. Figure 15 is an event histogram where each event is plotted twice, once for each solution. The $\chi(3505)$ predominates in cascade decays in agreement with the results from the totally independent event sample discussed in Section III. B. The observed rms mass width due to resolution of $35 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ agrees with that predicted by Monte-Carlo techniques, also shown in the figure as the solid curve. Due to the poor mass resolution, the $\chi(3455)$ and $\chi(3550)$ are not well separated from the $\chi(3505)$. The solid curve includes the contribution from $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \pi^{\circ} \pi^{\circ}$ background, shown separately as the dotted curve.

To reduce background, we consider only events in the mass range 3465 $\mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{M}(\chi)<3535 \mathrm{MeV}$. We have a final sample of 136 events with an estimated background of less than $15 \%$ from $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \pi^{\circ} \pi^{\circ}$ decays and events from other $\chi$ states. The background is difficult to estimate due to large uncertainties in photon detection efficiency, especially in the range $100 \mathrm{MeV}-200 \mathrm{MeV}$, where the detection efficiency is a rapidly varying function of energy.
2. Spin Determination of $\chi$ (3505)

Angular distributions have been calculated by several theorists. ${ }^{23-25}$ We use the method of Karl, Meshkov, and Rosner. ${ }^{23}$ We define the following four independent momentum-energy four-vectors:
$e_{1}$ : the positron beam (in the laboratory frame)
$\gamma_{1}$ : the photon from $\psi^{\prime}$ decay (in the laboratory frame)
$\gamma_{2}$ : the photon from $\chi$ decay (in the $\chi$ rest frame)
$e_{2}$ : the positive lepton from $\psi$ decay (transformed first to the $\chi$ rest frame and then to the $\psi$ rest frame).

We define the corresponding unit three-vectors $\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}$, and $\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{2}$ as the normalized spatial parts of the above four-vectors. The angular distributions can be expressed in terms of five independent parameters defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\cos \alpha=\hat{\gamma}_{1} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{2} \quad(-1 \leq \cos \alpha \leq 1) \\
\cos \theta_{1}=\hat{e}_{1} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{1} \quad\left(-1 \leq \cos \theta_{1} \leq 1\right) \\
\cos \theta_{2}=\hat{e}_{2} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{2} \quad\left(-1 \leq \cos \theta_{2} \leq 1\right) \\
\phi_{1}\left(-\pi \leq \phi_{1} \leq \pi\right) & \phi_{1} \text { is the azimuthal angle of } \hat{e}_{1} \text { in the coordinate system } \\
& \text { where } \hat{\gamma}_{1} \text { defines the } z \text { axis and } \hat{\gamma}_{2} \text { defines } \phi=0 \\
\phi_{2}\left(-\pi \leq \phi_{2} \leq \pi\right) & \phi_{2} \text { is the azimuthal angle of } \hat{e}_{2} \text { in the coordinate system } \\
& \text { where } \hat{\gamma}_{2} \text { defines the } z \text { axis and } \hat{\gamma}_{1} \text { defines } \phi=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The parameters are chosen such that a phase space distribution corresponds to a uniform density in the five dimensional space, except for experimental detection biases. In addition, if all four processes $\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \psi^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \psi^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \rightarrow \chi \gamma, \chi \rightarrow \psi \gamma\right.$, $\psi \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$) conserve parity, the angular distribution is separately invariant under the four independent transformations $\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{1} \rightarrow-\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{1} \rightarrow-\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2} \rightarrow-\hat{\gamma}_{2}$, and $\hat{e}_{2} \rightarrow-\hat{e}_{2}$. Assuming parity conservation, we can use these transformations on an event by event basis to restrict the range of any four out of the five parameters to nonnegative values. We choose to apply these transformations to each event to force all parameters except $\phi_{2}$ to be nonnegative. This reduces our volume in the five dimensional space sixteenfold.

If the $\chi$ state has spin zero, the predicted distribution is unique, as both radiative decays must be pure dipole. If the $\chi$ state has spin one, the amplitude for each transition can be an arbitrary superposition of dipole and quadrupole amplitudes. Hence the distribution depends on two free parameters, the ratio of the quadrupole to dipole amplitude in each decay. If $\chi$ has spin two, octupole transitions can also contribute, and the distributions depend on four free parameters.

Our method for attempting to determine the spin is a maximum likelihood fit to the three hypotheses that the $\chi$ has spin 0,1 , or 2 . We use the full angular distribution in all five angular parameters. We bin the data in equal size bins, using 3 bins in each parameter except for $\phi_{2}$, which we divide into 6 bins, making a total of 486 bins in the five dimensional space. The bin sizes are chosen to be large compared to experimental resolution, thereby minimizing systematic errors. The binned data are corrected for detection efficiency determined by a Monte Carlo simulation of the apparatus. The detection efficiency is computed and corrected for separately for $\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$and $\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$events. The relative number
of corrected events in each bin should be proportional to the theoretically predicted distribution integrated over the bin.

As mentioned above, the distribution for $\chi$ spin zero is unique, while for $\chi$ spin one (two) the distribution depends on two (four) free parameters. We assume that for $\chi$ spin two the octupole transition amplitude is negligible for both $\psi^{\prime}$ and $\chi$ decay, reducing the number of free parameters from four to two. The results of the maximum likelihood analysis over the full five dimensional angular distribution will be given below. We first show the most important projection.

Figure 16 is a plot of the corrected data as a function of $\cos \theta_{2}$. The curves are the predicted distributions for various $\chi$ spin hypotheses, assuming pure dipole decay. Spin zero is disfavored by over 4 standard deviations while either spin one or two fit the data reasonably well. In principle, the distribution in $\cos \theta_{1}$ is just as sensitive to the $\chi$ spin as the distribution in $\cos \theta_{2}$, but the geometry of the detector is such that photons within about 45 degrees of the beam are not detected, thereby washing out most of the sensitivity.

The results of the full five dimensional fit are plotted in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18. The figures show the separate relative likelihood function for spin 1 and spin 2 as a function of the relative dipole and quadrupole amplitudes for each decay. Contours are plotted at integral intervals in standard deviation assuming the likelihood function is a Gaussian; that is at n standard deviations the likelihood function is $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{n}^{2} / 2}$ times maximum. The likelihood function for spin 1 has four local maxima while for spin 2 it has two local maxima. Table 8 b lists the six local maxima, as well as the unique solution for spin 0 and gives the relative likelihood of each solution. Spin zero is ruled out at the level of almost five standard deviations. We cannot, however, distinguish between spin 1 and spin 2.

If we assume that both transitions are pure dipole, spin 1 is favored over spin 2 by only 2.3 standard deviations. We note that for spin one, one of the local maxima corresponds to both decays being nearly pure dipole, while the other maxima correspond to one or both decays being nearly pure quadrupole. On theoretical grounds, it is unlikely that either decay would be nearly pure quadrupole. For $\chi$ spin 2 neither solution is close to the dipole-dipole point.

The results given do not take systematic errors into account. The only large systematic error comes from contamination from $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \pi^{\circ} \pi^{\circ}$ and from cascade decays of other $\chi$ states. We can estimate this error by varying the width of the $\chi$ mass cut, thereby changing the background to signal ratio substantially. In all cases, from narrowing the mass cut by $30 \%$ to removing it entirely, the likelihood function maxima move by less than one standard deviation.

For $\chi \operatorname{spin} 1$, the multipole amplitudes (dipole $=D$, quadrupole $=Q$ ) are related to pure helicity amplitudes in a very simple way. ${ }^{23}$ For each of the separate decays $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi$ and $\chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi$, the $\chi$ has three possible helicity states, $h=0$ and $h= \pm 1$. If parity is conserved, the decay amplitudes of $h=-1$ and $h=+1$ must be equal, so there are only two independent helicity amplitudes which we call $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$. The helicity amplitudes and multipole amplitudes are related as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{Q}) \\
& \mathrm{h}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Q})
\end{aligned}
$$

or conversely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{0}+\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{0}-\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in Fig. 17, D+Q and D-Q correspond to pure helicity zero and pure helicity one, respectively. Note that pure helicity states, especially $\mathbf{h}=0$, are strongly disfavored for both decays. For $\chi \operatorname{spin} 2$, the pure helicity states $h=0, h=1$ and $h=2$ involve octupole components, and thus do not appear anywhere in Fig. 18.

We thus can draw the following conclusions from this analysis:

1. The $\chi(3505)$ cannot have spin zero.
2. If the $\chi$ (3505) has spin 1 , each of the two transitions is nearly pure dipole or nearly pure quadrupole. Neither transition occurs with pure $\chi$ helicity zero or one.
3. If the $\chi(3505)$ has spin 2, the preferred solutions (assuming no octupole contributions) are the specific linear combinations of dipole and quadrupole amplitudes given in Table 8.
4. Even if we restrict both decays to be pure dipole, which is a preferred solution for spin 1 but not for spin 2 , spin 1 is favored by only 2.3 standard deviations. Hence, even under that restriction spin 2 cannot be ruled out.

## C. Summary of the Spin Information

We summarize the totality of the available information on spins for the $\chi$ states in Table 9 . Obviously the data are inadequate to provide an unambiguous set of spin assignments irrespective of models. However, if we assume that the three main states are the expected ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{0},{ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{2}$ bound states of a quark and
an antiquark, there is a unique spin assignment which is given in the last column of Table 9. Some additional support for these choices comes from the following considerations:
(a) None of the three well established states can be a pseudoscalar. This is of some significance since the pseudoscalar states are the only predicted states which have not been identified.
(b) On the basis of simple bound state models for the cē systems decaying via an electric dipole transition, one expects that the widths $\Gamma\left(\psi^{\gamma} \rightarrow \chi \gamma\right)$ for each state are proportional to $(2 \mathrm{~J}+1) \mathrm{k}_{\gamma}^{3}$ where $J$ is the $\chi$ spin and $k_{\gamma}$ is the photon energy. From the results of the SPEAR SP-27 collaboration, ${ }^{12}$ these three widths are within about $30 \%$ of each other. This result is compatible with the above ( $2 J+1$ ) $k_{\gamma}^{3}$ factor only for the choice of spins given in Table 9.

There is no information on the spin of the $\chi(3455)$.

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

From the analysis which has been presented in this paper we can arrive at the following conclusions:

1. There are three clearly established states at masses $3414 \pm 3,3503 \pm 4$ and $3551 \pm 4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ produced in radiative decays of the $\psi^{\prime}$. The errors quoted do not include an overall mass scale uncertainty of $\pm 4 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. Each of these states has been observed in this experiment in at least two independent ways, and all have also been observed in other experiments. The observed widths of the states are in all cases consistent with the experimental resolutions, setting upper limits for the decay full widths of about 20 MeV .
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2. All of the above states have significant hadronic decay modes. The data on these decays are all consistent with isospin zero, $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ singlet behavior as expected for cc bound states.
3. Both the $\chi(3505)$ and $\chi(3550)$ exhibit significant branching ratios for radiative decays to $\psi$. From theoretical estimates based on electric dipole transitions, ${ }^{26-27}$ one might expect the partial widths for these decays to be of the order of a few hundred keV. From the branching ratios, one would then estimate full widths for these $\chi$ states which are of the order of a few MeV or less. The $\chi(3415)$ radiative branching ratio is very small. If its radiative width is comparable to that of the other two states, its full width is substantially larger.
4. None of the above three states can be a pseudoscalar. Unique spin parity assignments cannot be made, but if one assumes that they are the expected ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{0},{ }^{3} P_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} P_{2}$ c- states, a highly preferred assignment is possible. Specifically, the $\chi(3415), \chi(3505)$, and $\chi(3550)$ are assigned to $J^{P}=0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{+}$. It is interesting to note that if this assignment is correct, the $\chi(3505)$ is the charmonium analogue of the long-studied $A_{1}$.
5. All transitions on which angular distribution data exist, namely $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi(3415), \gamma \chi(3505), \gamma \chi(3550)$ and $\chi(3505) \rightarrow \gamma \psi$ are consistent with dominance by the electric dipole amplitude.
6. In the $\gamma \psi$ decay mode, there is some evidence for another $\chi$ state at a mass of $3455 \pm 10$ or perhaps $3340 \pm 10 \mathrm{MeV}$. There is no evidence for hadronic decay modes of this state. A possible interpretation might be the $\eta_{c}^{\prime}$, the pseudoscalar expected to lie below the $\psi^{\prime}$, although the $\psi^{\prime}-\eta_{c}^{\prime}$ mass splitting would, in this interpretation, appear to be surprisingly large. This interpretation leads to other theoretical problems as well. ${ }^{28}$ Another possibility is that the $\chi(3455)$ is the lowest lying ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ state of charmonium. ${ }^{29}$
7. The DASP group has reported evidence for a state at 2830 MeV produced radiatively from the $\psi$ and decaying into two photons. ${ }^{13}$ Although the mass separation from the $\psi$ is again unexpectedly large, this state may be a candidate for the pseudoscalar $\eta_{c}$. Our study of the inclusive photon spectrum from the $\psi$ sets a $90 \%$ C. L. upper limit of $3.9 \%$ for the total $\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(2830)$ branching ratio. Furthermore we also set an upper limit for the $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma X(2830)$ of $1 \%$.
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Table 1. $90 \%$ confidence level branching ratio limits for monochromatic photon production above 0.250 GeV at $\psi$ and $\Psi^{\mu}$.

|  | $\Psi(3095)$ | $\Psi^{-}(3684)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}(\mathrm{GeV})}{0.26}$ | $\frac{\text { Limit }}{0.039}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}(\mathrm{GeV})}{0.40}$ | $\frac{\text { Limit }}{0.028^{\mathrm{a}}}$ |
| 0.37 | 0.038 | 0.50 | 0.022 |
| 0.51 | 0.024 | 0.74 | 0.011 |
| 0.80 | 0.014 | 1.05 | 0.010 |
| 1.10 | 0.008 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Limit for a narrow peak. See text for a discussion of complications due to the cascade photons.

Table 3. $X(3415)$ Branching Ratios.

| Decay Mode | Events | Efficiency | $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma X\right) B(X \rightarrow f)$ | $B(X \rightarrow f)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $32 \pm 6$ | 0.19 | $(7.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $27 \pm 5.5$ | 0.16 | $(7.8 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $181 \pm 16$ | 0.19 | $(3.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(4.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+}{ }^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $83 \pm 11$ | 0.11 | $(2.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(3.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \bar{p}$ | $23 \pm 6$ | 0.18 | $(4.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $37 \pm 8$ | 0.08 | $(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\psi \gamma$ | $1 \pm 1$ | 0.0011 | $(2 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ | (3 $\pm 3) \times 10^{-2}$ |

${ }^{\text {a We use }} \mathrm{B}\left(\psi^{-} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)=0.075$ as obtained in Section III.A. The errors quoted for $B(X \rightarrow f)$ do not include the overall scale uncertainty of $35 \%$ due to the error ( $\pm 0.0026$ ) in $B\left(\psi^{\wedge} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)$.

Table 2. $\Psi^{\prime}$ - decays used in normalization.

| $\Psi^{-}$Decay Mcde Used | \# Decays | Efficiency | $\begin{gathered} \text { Branching } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Corresponding $\chi$ final states |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Psi ; \Psi \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \\ \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\end{array}\right.$ | $2660 \pm 100$ | 0.22 | $4.6 \pm 0.7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\ & \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-} \\ & \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{pF} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi \neg \Psi ; \Psi \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \\ \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \end{array}\right.$ | $360 \pm 60$ | 0.074 | $1.45 \pm 0.35 \%$ | $\pi{ }^{+}{ }^{-}{ }_{\pi}{ }^{+}{ }^{-}{ }_{\pi}^{+}{ }_{\pi}^{-}$ |
| $\mu^{+}{ }^{-}$ | 2454 | 0.56 | $2.0 \pm 0.3 \%$ a | $\begin{aligned} & \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \\ & \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-} \end{aligned}$ |

[^1]Table 5. $X(3550)$ Branching Ratios

| Decay Mode | Events | Efficiency | $B\left(\psi^{\wedge} \rightarrow \gamma X\right) B(X \rightarrow f)$ | $B(X \rightarrow f)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $9 \pm 4$ |  |  |  |
| $\pi^{+}{ }^{+} \cdot \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $89 \pm 12$ | 0.20 | $(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(2.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $47 \pm 8$ | 0.12 | $(1.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+}{ }^{-}{ }^{-} \bar{p}$ | $13 \pm 5$ | 0.19 | $(2.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(3.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\pi^{+}{ }^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $23 \pm 15$ | 0.08 | $(0.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(1.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\psi Y$ | $4 \pm 2$ | 0.0009 | $(1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ | $(14 \pm 8) \times 10^{-2}$ |

$a_{\text {We use }} B\left(\psi^{-} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)=0.070$ from Ref. 10. The errors quoted for $B(X \rightarrow f)$ do not include the overall scale uncertainty of $29 \%$ cue to the error ( $\pm 0.020$ ) in $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)$.

Table 4. $X(3505)$ Branching Ratios.

| Decay Mode | Events | Efficiency | $B\left(\psi^{\wedge} \rightarrow \gamma \chi\right) B(X \rightarrow f)$ | $B(X \rightarrow f)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}{ }^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $74 \pm 12$ | 0.20 | $(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $24 \pm 7$ | 0.11 | $(0.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \bar{p}$ | $6 \pm 4$ | 0.19 | $(1.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(1.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}{ }^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $48 \pm 15$ | 0.08 | $(1.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ | $(2.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\psi \gamma$ | $12 \pm 4$ | 0.0011 | $(2.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$ | $(34 \pm 11) \times 10^{-2}$ |

[^2]Table 6. $\psi^{\prime}(3684)$ Branching Ratios into all charged hadrons.

| Decay Mode | Events | Efficiency | $B\left(\psi^{\wedge} \rightarrow f\right)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{+}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}{ }^{-}$ | $49 \pm 9$ | 0.20 | $(0.45 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$ | $53 \pm 8$ | 0.12 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3} \\ & \text { to } \\ & (1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \bar{p} \bar{p}$ | $41 \pm 7$ | 0.19 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3} \\ & \text { to } \\ & (0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ | $9 \pm 5$ | 0.08 | $(0.15 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ |

${ }^{\text {a See }}$ footnote 22.

Table 7. $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ in $X$ state decays.

| State | $\frac{B\left(X \rightarrow \rho^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{B\left(X \rightarrow a 11 \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}$ | $\frac{B\left(X \rightarrow K^{*} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}\right)}{B\left(X \rightarrow a 11 \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}\right)}$ | $\frac{B\left(X \rightarrow \rho^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{B\left(X \rightarrow K^{\circ} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}\right)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X(3415)$ | $0.39 \pm 0.12$ | $0.41 \pm 0.10$ | $1.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| $X(3505)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.20$ | $0.35 \pm 0.18$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ |
| $X(3550)$ | $0.31 \pm 0.17$ | $0.25 \pm 0.13$ | $1.4 \pm 1.1$ |
| $\psi^{\sim}(3684)$ | $0.93 \pm 0.26$ | $0.42 \pm 0.12$ | $1.2 \pm 0.6$ |

Table 8. Relative likelihood functions as a function of x (3505) spin
a) Assuming $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma X$ and $X \rightarrow \gamma \psi$ are both pure dipole transitions.
b) Allowing both decays to be arbitrary super positions of normalized real dipole and quadrupole amplitudes. Relative likelihood functions are given at all six local maxima of the likelihood function, as well as for spin zero, where both decays must uniquely be pure dipole. (The spin zero likelihood function is corrected for the fact that there are two fewer free parameters than for spin one or two.) Also listed for each local maximum is the square of the quadrupole amplitude for each decay, with the sign being the relative phase between dipole and quadrupole amplitudes. Errors are given for the best solution for each spin. The amplitudes are normalized suck that $D^{2}+Q^{2}=1$, where $D$ and $Q$ are the dipole and quadrupole amplitudes, respectively.
a)

| $\chi(3505)$ <br> Spin | Relative <br> Likelihood | Standard <br> Deviations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 0.063 | 2.3 |
| 0 | $2.85 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.6 |

b)

| $\begin{aligned} & x(3505) \\ & \text { Spin } \end{aligned}$ | Signed Square of Quadrupole Amplitude |  | Relative Likelihood | Standard Deviations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | +0.09 $\pm 0.11$ | +0.09 $\pm 0.12$ | 0.33 | 1.5 |
| 1 | +0.09 | +0.90 | 0.08 | 2.2 |
| 1 | +0.98 | -0.02 | 0.13 | 2.0 |
| 1 | +0.97 | -0.98 | 0.25 | 1.7 |
| 2 | $+0.18 \begin{gathered} +0.16 \\ -0.07 \end{gathered}$ | $+0.73 \begin{aligned} & +0.15 \\ & -0.36 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 0.0 |
| 2 | -0.72 | +0.00 | 0.24 | 1.7 |
| 0 | --- | -- | $1.87 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.7 |

Table 9. Summary of $\times$ Spin-Parity Information.

| $\quad$Information <br> Source <br> State <br> and <br> Mass | $\begin{aligned} & \pi^{+} \pi^{-} / K^{+} K^{-} \\ & \text {Final State } \end{aligned}$ | Photon Angular <br> Distribution in Hadronic Decays | Cascade <br> Angular Correlations | $\begin{gathered} \text { Suggested } \\ \cdot{ }_{J} \mathrm{P} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & x(3415) \\ & 3414 \pm 3 \mathrm{MeV} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=0^{+}, 2^{+}$. | consistent with $J=0$ | --- | $0^{+}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & X(3505) \\ & 3503 \pm 4 \mathrm{MeV} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}} \neq 0^{+}, 2^{+} . . \\ & \text {suggested } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{J} \neq 0$ ( $2 \sigma$ level $)$ | $\mathrm{J} \neq 0$ (5a leve1) | $1^{+}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & x(3550) \\ & 3551 \pm 4 \mathrm{MeV} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=0^{+}, 2^{+}$. | $J \neq 0$ ( $2 \sigma$ level ) | --- | $2^{+}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & x(3455) \\ & 3454 \pm 7 \mathrm{MeV} \end{aligned}$ | No | Information |  | - |

## Figure Captions

1. An end view of the magnetic detector. The iron flux return and muon chambers were removed from the two side sections for much of the running.
2. The effective converter, with thickness and distance from the beam line.
3. Photon detection efficiency versus photon energy.
4. Photon $r_{.}$m.s. energy resolution versus photon energy. The solid line indicates the effect of the momentum resolution of charged particles. The dashed line includes also the effect of energy loss by ionization and radiation.
5. (a) The inclusive photon spectrum from $\psi$ The solid line is the spectrum from a Monte-Carlo simulation of $\psi$ events.
(b) The inclusive photon spectrum from $\psi^{\prime}$.
6. The $\psi^{\prime}$ inclusive photon spectrum with charged particle transverse momentum cuts: (a) $55 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, (b) $65 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, and (c) $75 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ 。
7. The missing-mass-squared recolling against $\psi \gamma$ in $\psi \gamma \gamma$ candlcates with one photon converted. The shading is discussed in the text
8. Missing-mass-squared recoiling against the $\psi$ for the $27 \psi \gamma \gamma$ events and also for all 3-and 4-prong events in which a $\psi$ was detected.
9. The two solutions for $\psi \gamma$ masses from $21 \psi \gamma \gamma$ events.
10. Mass spectrum for multihadron state X fit to the reaction $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mathrm{X}$ with X being (a) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, (b) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$, (c) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$.
11. Mass spectrum for multihadron state X fit to the reaction $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mathrm{X}$ with X belng (a) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, (b) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $K^{+} K^{-}$.
12. (a) $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mass spectrum from $\chi(3415) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$(four combinations per event); (b) $K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ mass spectrum from $\chi$ (3415) $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$(two combinations per event.
13. Decay angular distributions for $\chi$ (3415). Angles are defined in text and dashed lines represent the predictions for $\mathrm{J}=0$.
14. Angular distributions for (a) $\chi(3505)$ and (b) $\chi(3550)$. The dashed line represents the predictions for $J=0$.
15. The reconstructed mass of $\chi \gamma$ pairs fit to $\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \psi \gamma \gamma$ for events where both photons are detected in the shower counters. The smooth curves are explained in the text.
16. Cosine of the angle between the photon direction and the lepton direction in $\chi \rightarrow \gamma \psi ; \psi \rightarrow l^{+} \ell^{-}$. The data have been corrected for acceptance biases. The solid curves represent predicted curves for a given $\chi$ spin, assuming pure dipole decay.
17. Likellhood function assuming $J_{\chi}=1$ as a function of the relative dipole and quadrupole amplitudes for each decay. The contours are explained in the text. D indicates pure dipole decay, and $\mathbf{Q}$ pure quadrupole decay. $\quad D \pm Q$ indicate equal dipole and quadrupole amplltudes with the relative phase indicated. The scale is linear in the square of either amplitude.
18. Likellhood function assuming $J_{X}=2$ as a function of the relative dipole and quadrupole amplitudes for each decay, assuming the octupole amplitudes are zero. The contours are explained in the text. The scale ts the same as that for the preceding figure.


Fig. 2
Fig. 1



Fig. 3
Fig. 4



Fig. 5
Fig. 6



Fig. 7
Fig. 8



Fig. 9
Fig. 10



Fig. 11
Fig. 12



Fig. 14



Fig. 15


Fig. 18
Fig. 17

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720


[^0]:    *Work supported by the Energy Research and Development Administration **Fellow of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.
    ***Present address: DESY, Hamburg, Germany.
    $\dagger$ Permanent address: Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, Rome, Italy. $\dagger \dagger$ Present address: CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.
    $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Present address: Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.
    $\ddagger$ Permanent address: LPNHE, Universite Paris VI, Paris, France.
    $\ddagger+$ Present address: Centre $d^{\top}$ Etudes Nucleaires de Saclay, France.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes resonance and Q.E.D. contributions.

[^2]:    $a_{\text {We use }} B\left(\psi^{\wedge} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)=0.071$ from Ref. 10. The errors quoted for $B(X \rightarrow f)$ do not include the overall scale uncertainty of $27 \%$ due to the error ( $\pm 0.019$ ) in $B\left(\psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma X\right)$.

