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#### Abstract

Radiative lifetimes of seven excited states of Er iII have been measured using time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence following two-photon excitation. Relativistic Hartree-Fock calculations taking core-polarization effects into account are found to be in excellent agreement with the experimental results. A large set of new calculated transition probabilities is presented for many transitions of astrophysical interest. These results will be useful for investigating the composition of chemically peculiar stars.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Erbium is a rare-earth element $(Z=68)$ which has six stable isotopes ( $A=162,164,166,167,168$ and 170). In astrophysics, the erbium ions have been observed in many different types of stars. Erbium isotopes are generated by different processes. ${ }^{162} \mathrm{Er}$ is produced by the p process, ${ }^{167} \mathrm{Er}$ and ${ }^{170} \mathrm{Er}$ by the r process, ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Er}$ by the p or the s process and ${ }^{166} \mathrm{Er}$ and ${ }^{168} \mathrm{Er}$ by the r or the s process (Jaschek \& Jaschek 1995).

Er it has been observed in the solar photospheric spectrum but only one resonance line, identified at $\lambda 3896.24$, has been used for deriving the solar content of this element (Grevesse \& Blanquet 1969; Biémont \& Youssef 1984; Gorshkov \& Komarovskii 1987; Anders \& Grevesse 1989). Besides the Sun, Erii has been observed in normal F type stars (Reynolds, Hearnshaw \& Cottrell 1988). It is also currently identified in chemically peculiar (CP) stars, i.e. Ap stars (Cowley 1976; Aikman, Cowley \& Crosswhite 1979; Cowley \& Greenberg 1987), in Bp stars (Cowley \& Crosswhite 1978), in Am stars (van t’Veer-Menneret et al. 1988), in Ba stars (Lambert 1985) and in S-type stars (Bidelman 1953).

Doubly ionized erbium has been identified in the spectra of CP stars of the upper main sequence (Adelman 1974; Cowley \& Crosswhite 1978; Aikman et al. 1979; Cowley \& Greenberg 1987). Er iII, and particularly the $\lambda 6393.69$ line, is suggested by Cowley \& Mathys (1998) as possibly present in the spectrum of the extreme peculiar star HD 101065 (Przybylski's star). It should also be emphasized that erbium ( $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Er} \mathrm{III}^{\prime}$ ) contributes to more than 300 lines in the spectrum of the CP star HR465 (Bidelman, Cowley \& Iler 1995; Wyart et al. 1997).

[^0]In most CP stars, large overabundance of lanthanide elements are found when compared with the solar standards. Accurate $f$ values are needed to verify that the very large abundance excesses are not spurious, i.e. caused by departures from local thermodynamic equilibrium or by use of inadequate atmospheric models. In this context, the third spectra of lanthanides are particularly crucial for a better understanding of CP stars because these spectra arise from the dominant, doubly ionized species.

The ground-state configuration of Er iII is $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and the first excited configurations are $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{~s}$ and $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 5 \mathrm{~d}$. The critical compilation of the energy levels of this ion, from Martin, Zalubas \& Hagan (1978), is based on a previous analysis by Spector (1973) ( 137 classified lines involving 45 levels) and on corrections and additions resulting from parametric studies of $4 f^{11}(5 d+6 s)$ and of $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{p}$ (Wyart, Blaise \& Camus 1974a; Wyart, Koot \& Van Kleef 1974b). Later on, a global interpretation of the $4 f^{n}(5 d+6 s)$ configurations was undertaken by Wyart \& Bauche-Arnoult (1981). More recently, the analysis of the spectrum of Er ini was revised by Wyart et al. (1997) on the basis of an unpublished dissertation by Becher (1966): the number of energy levels was increased from 45 to 115 , including some levels of the $4 f^{11} 7 \mathrm{~s}$ configuration.

The lanthanides are characterized by the progressive filling of the 4 f subshell. The neutral lanthanides possess a xenon structure of their electronic shell with two or three outer electrons. It is well known that the energy and spatial extension of the 4 f eigenfunction drop suddenly at the commencement of the lanthanides (Wybourne 1965) giving rise to the so-called lanthanide contraction. In lanthanum, the 4 f eigenfunction is located outside the xenon structure while, in neodymium, the 4 f eigenfunction has contracted in such a way that its maximum is located within the $5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6}$ closed shells making accurate calculations of
wavefunctions and transition probabilities extremely difficult for the first rare-earth ions. In Er III, a somewhat different situation occurs owing to the fact that, apart from the xenon structure, a bigger core containing the $4 \mathrm{f}^{n}$ configuration arises with $n=12$ (ground configuration) or $n=11$ (excited configurations). Corepolarization effects are consequently expected to play a considerable role but the validity of their inclusion in the semi-empirical or theoretical models needs to be carefully checked. Such a test can be provided by a comparison of the calculated radiative lifetime values obtained with a realistic potential model with laser-induced-fluorescence lifetime measurements independent of cascading problems.

An additional purpose of the present paper is to provide a detailed set of theoretical transition probabilities of astrophysical interest for transitions for which they are missing. Although a limited number of experimental transition probabilities or lifetimes have been published for Er II (Engman, Stoner \& Martinson 1976; Bentzen, Nielsen \& Poulsen 1982), no experimental data exist so far for Er iII. The only theoretical data available have been reported by Wyart et al. (1997) for about 470 transitions. These last results were obtained with the relativistic Hartree-Fock (HFR) method of Cowan (1981) but without inclusion of corepolarization effects and with the consideration of only a limited amount of configuration interaction effects in the separate studies of the arrays $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{p}-4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 7 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{f}^{12}-4 \mathrm{f}^{11}(5 \mathrm{~d}+6 \mathrm{~s}), 4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{p}-4 \mathrm{f}^{11}(5 \mathrm{~d}+$ $6 \mathrm{~s})$. These calculations clearly need further tests in order to assess their reliability.

## 2 LIFETIME MEASUREMENTS

The ground electronic configuration of the Er III is $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$. Through a two-photon excitation from the ground state, radiative lifetimes of seven excited states of the $4 f^{11} 6 p$ configuration have been measured using time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence. The experiment was carried out at the Lund Laser Center (Svanberg et al. 1994) in Sweden.

A pico-second laser system was employed for producing the excitation laser pulses. A Q-switched and mode-locked picosecond Nd:YAG laser provides two frequency doubled $532-\mathrm{nm}$ beams. One green beam was used to pump a distributed feedback dye laser (DFDL), which was continuously tunable in the 720790 nm region by using different dyes (LDS751, 768). The other green beam, passing through a variable optical delay line, can be used to perform frequency mixing with the tunable laser to produce the required UV radiation. The output pulses from the DFDL were subsequently amplified in linear dye stages by a Ti:Sapphire crystal butterfly amplifier, which was pumped by a second nanosecond Nd:YAG laser. To obtain the required ultraviolet (UV) wavelength in the $240-360 \mathrm{~nm}$ region, different non-linear processes were adopted. Frequency doubling of the amplified DFDL output was performed in a KDP crystal, frequency tripling was performed by further mixing with the fundamental frequency in a BBO crystal and frequency mixing of the $532-\mathrm{nm}$ green beam with the DFDL output was also utilized. Information on the wavelength conversion processes and excitation schemes for different levels is provided in Table 1. The resulting UV laser beam, which has a pulse duration of about 60 ps and a pulse energy of a few mJ , was focused on the plume of erbium ions generated by focusing 5 mJ green pulses from a third Nd:YAG laser on a rotating metallic erbium target placed at the centre of a vacuum chamber. The investigated states were

Table 1. Levels measured and excitation schemes.

| Excited <br> level $^{a}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Excitation <br> wavelength <br> $(\mathrm{nm})_{\text {vac }}$ | Exc. Laser <br> wavelength <br> Conversion <br> scheme | Decay to <br> lower level $^{a}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Observed <br> fluorescence <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55547.30 | $360.05 \times 2$ | $2 \omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 19315.96 | 276 |
| 62598.14 | $319.50 \times 2$ | $532 \mathrm{~nm}+\omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 26102.79 | 274 |
| 62607.86 | $319.45 \times 2$ | $532 \mathrm{~nm}+\omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 26411.84 | 276 |
| 75221.11 | $265.88 \times 2$ | $3 \omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 38853.02 | 275 |
| 76751.75 | $260.58 \times 2$ | $3 \omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 35294.14 | 241 |
| 80712.97 | $247.79 \times 2$ | $3 \omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 38853.02 | 239 |
| 81837.54 | $244.39 \times 2$ | $3 \omega_{\text {dye }}$ | 40166.45 | 240 |

Table 2. Experimental and calculated lifetimes for the $4 f^{11} 6 p$ levels.

| $E_{\text {exp }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{a}$ | $J$ | $\tau_{\text {exp }}(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\tau_{\text {calc }}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55547.30 | 7 | $2.19 \pm 0.15$ | 2.13 |
| 56025.40 | 8 |  | 2.14 |
| 61032.44 | 9 |  | 1.49 |
| 61493.77 | 6 |  | 1.65 |
| 61539.18 | 8 |  | 1.49 |
| 61699.28 | 7 |  | 1.58 |
| 62598.14 | 6 | $1.94 \pm 0.15$ | 2.02 |
| 62607.86 | 7 | $1.94 \pm 0.15$ | 2.06 |
| 65934.64 | 5 |  | 2.11 |
| 66077.65 | 6 |  | 2.10 |
| 67699.20 | 8 |  | 1.50 |
| 67986.38 | 5 |  | 1.59 |
| 68084.68 | 7 |  | 1.49 |
| 68186.11 | 6 |  | 1.53 |
| 68234.37 | 4 |  | 2.14 |
| 68332.21 | 5 |  | 2.10 |
| 71050.12 | 5 |  | 2.00 |
| 71055.16 | 4 |  | 1.92 |
| 71459.21 | 4 |  | 1.67 |
| 71531.27 | 7 |  | 1.51 |
| 71779.39 | 5 |  | 1.57 |
| 71785.72 | 6 |  | 1.51 |
| 73395.34 | 3 |  | 1.51 |
| 73919.99 | 6 |  | 1.51 |
| 73920.66 | 5 |  | 1.60 |
| 74129.19 | 4 |  | 1.50 |
| 74944.47 | 5 |  | 1.99 |
| 75221.11 | 6 | $2.00 \pm 0.15$ | 2.11 |
| 76174.34 | 3 |  | 2.01 |
| 76480.35 | 4 |  | 2.04 |
| 76724.94 | 6 |  | 1.49 |
| 76751.75 | 5 | $1.46 \pm 0.15$ | 1.57 |
| 76978.95 | 4 |  | 1.56 |
| 77318.02 | 3 |  | 1.64 |
| 80712.97 | 6 | $1.48 \pm 0.15$ | 1.50 |
| 81837.54 | 4 | $1.46 \pm 0.15$ | 1.61 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

selectively populated through different excitation schemes. The decay photons were observed through a monochromator acting as a wavelength selector and detected by a fast MCP photomultiplier. The signal was recorded by a Tektronix TDS684A 5GS/s oscilloscope and analyzed online by a PC. The temporal shapes of the excitation laser pulse were also recorded by the fluorescence detection system. The recorded laser pulse, which is about 1 ns , reflects mainly the time resolution of the detection system, as the real laser pulse is much shorter. The lifetimes were evaluated by fitting the fluorescence signals with a convolution between the detected laser pulse with an exponential decay. The detailed experimental consideration and setup can be found elsewhere (Li et al. 2000).

About 20 fluorescence curves were recorded for each level, and the mean values were taken as the final results. The quoted error bars include the random scattering and a conservative estimate of the possible residual systematic errors (see Li et al. 2000). The experimental measurements are reported in Table 2, where they are compared with the theoretical data obtained using the procedure described in Section 3.

## 3 THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

The theoretical approach considered in the present paper is the HFR method of Cowan (1981) in which we have incorporated the core-polarization effects. The technique has appeared adequate for accurately predicting radiative lifetimes for complex configurations observed in lanthanide spectra (Biémont et al. 1999; Quinet, Palmeri \& Biémont 1999a; Quinet et al 1999b).

The estimate of the core-polarization contributions requires the knowledge of the dipole polarizability of the ionic core, $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}$, and of the cut-off radius, $r_{\mathrm{c}}$. For the first parameter, we have used the value of the static dipole polarizability computed by Fraga, Karwowski \& Saxena (1976) for Er Iv, i.e. $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}=5.80 \mathrm{a}_{0}^{3}$. The cutoff radius, $r_{\mathrm{c}}$, has been chosen equal to $1.45 \mathrm{a}_{0}$, which corrersponds to the HFR average value $\langle r\rangle$ of the outermost core orbitals $5 \mathrm{p}^{6}$. Polarization effects were not considered in the atomic orbital calculation of the ground configuration $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$. The 4 f electrons being inside the Xe-like core, analytical polarization corrections to the dipole operator as introduced in our model (Biémont et al. 1999; Quinet et al 1999a,b) are no longer valid for transitions involving these electrons. In Fig. 1, we have reported the ratio between corrected ( $d_{\mathrm{pol}}$ ) and uncorrected transition matrix elements ( $d_{\text {nopol }}$ ) for transitions not involving a 4 f electron as a function of the uncorrected matrix element. A smooth curve was obtained by plotting $\left|d_{\text {nopol }}-\Delta\right| /\left|d_{\text {nopol }}\right|$ where $\Delta$ is the mean value of $\left|d_{\text {nopol }}-d_{\text {pol }}\right|$ for the transitions not involving a 4 f electron. In order to take the polarization effects into account, we have applied scaling factors deduced from this smooth curve to the matrix elements of transitions involving a $4 f$ electron. In fact, this type of curve has appeared very general (see the discussion for Ybiv, Tm iII and Ho iII in the recent papers by Wyart et al. 2000, Li et al. 2000 and Paquin et al., in preparation). In the present case of Er III, the uncorrected $\langle 4 \mathrm{f}| r|5 \mathrm{~d}\rangle$ radial matrix element is equal to $1.105 \mathrm{a}_{0}$ and the corresponding scaling factor for this matrix element has been chosen to be equal to 0.81 for the $4 f^{12}-4 f^{11} 5 \mathrm{~d}$ transitions. This technique was found to give a good agreement between theoretical and experimental lifetimes of $4 \mathrm{f}^{12} 5 \mathrm{~d}$ levels in Tm III (Li et al. 2000) which can only decay to the $4 \mathrm{f}^{13}$ ground configuration by $4 \mathrm{f}-5 \mathrm{~d}$ transitions.

The configuration sets retained for the calculations were $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$, $4 f^{11} n \mathrm{p}(n=6,7)$ and $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} n \mathrm{f}(n=5,6)$ for the even parity and $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} n \mathrm{~s}(n=6,7)$ and $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} n \mathrm{~d}(n=5-7)$ for the odd parity. The weak interactions with the configurations of the type $4 \mathrm{f}^{10} n n^{\prime} l^{\prime}$ are implicitly included in the polarization potential and, consequently, these configurations were not retained in the adopted configuration sets. In addition, the average energies, $E_{\mathrm{av}}$, the Slater parameters, $F^{k}$ and $G^{k}$, and the spin-orbit integrals, $\zeta_{n l}$, were adjusted with a least-squares optimization program minimizing the discrepancies between the calculated and the experimental energy levels of Wyart et al. (1997). This well-established procedure allows us to consider implicitly in the calculations the configurations that are not explicitly introduced in the configuration lists basically because of computer limitations (Cowan 1981).


Figure 1. Absolute value of the ratio between transition matrix elements corrected by core-polarization effects ( $d_{\mathrm{pol}}$ ) and uncorrected matrix elements ( $d_{\text {nopol }}$ ) of transitions not involving a 4 f electron as a function of the absolute value of the uncorrected data (in Bohr units). A smooth curve has been drawn showing the trend among the following transitions ( $4 \mathrm{f}^{11}$ being the core): (1) $5 \mathrm{~d}-7 \mathrm{p}$, (2) $6 \mathrm{p}-7 \mathrm{~d}$, (3) $5 \mathrm{~d}-6 \mathrm{f}$, (4) $6 \mathrm{p}-7 \mathrm{~s}$, (5) $5 \mathrm{~d}-$ 6 p , (6) $5 \mathrm{~d}-5 \mathrm{f}$, (7) $6 \mathrm{~d}-6 \mathrm{f}$, (8) $5 \mathrm{f}-7 \mathrm{~d}$, (9) $6 \mathrm{~s}-6 \mathrm{p}$, (10) $6 \mathrm{p}-6 \mathrm{~d}$, (11) $7 \mathrm{~s}-7 \mathrm{p}$, (12) $6 \mathrm{~d}-7 \mathrm{p}$, (13) $7 \mathrm{p}-7 \mathrm{~d}$, (14) $5 \mathrm{f}-6 \mathrm{~d}$, (15) $6 \mathrm{f}-7 \mathrm{~d}$.


Figure 2. Comparison between our $g A$-values and those reported by Wyart et al. (1997). The straight line on the figure corresponds to the equality of the two sets of data.

A comparison between the calculated and measured lifetime values is presented in Table 2 for the $4 f^{11} 6 p$ levels. The agreement between the two sets of data is particularly good (within 8 per cent). The calculated HFR oscillator strengths and transition probabilities for the most intense transitions depopulating the seven even levels of Table 2 for which the radiative lifetimes were measured are listed in Table 3. Normalized (i.e. multiplied by $\left.\tau_{\text {calc }} / \tau_{\text {exp }}\right) g f$ - and $g A$-values are also given in this table. An extensive table of oscillator strengths and transition probabilities

Table 3. Oscillator strengths $(\log g f)$ and transition probabilities $\left(g A\right.$ in s$\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ for the transitions depopulating the seven $4 \mathrm{f}^{11} 6 \mathrm{p}$ levels of Table 2 for which radiative lifetimes have been measured in the present work. Only lines with $g A$ values greater than $10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are reported in the table.

| $\lambda(\AA){ }^{a}$ | $E_{\text {lower }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $J_{\text {lower }}$ | $E_{\text {upper }}{ }^{b}$ |  | $J_{\text {upper }}$ | $\log g f_{\mathrm{HFR}}{ }^{c}$ | $g A_{\text {HFR }}{ }^{c}$ | $\log g f_{\text {norm }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $g A_{\text {norm }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1585.664 | 17648 | (o) | 7.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.93 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.92 | $3.15 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1671.661 | 22017 | (o) | 5.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -2.22 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.18 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1672.927 | 16976 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -2.33 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.30 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1736.919 | 17648 | (o) | 7.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.12 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.06 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1741.854 | 23303 | (o) | 7.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.99 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.98 | $2.28 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1818.351 | 20226 | (o) | 7.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.91 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.85 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 1971.712 | 29996 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.21 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.20 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2013.830 | 32197 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -2.17 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.07 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2045.132 | 27871 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -2.05 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.97 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2060.356 | 32193 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.45 | $5.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.44 | $5.61 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2078.400 | 32614 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.15 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.14 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2111.251 | 27871 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.04 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.98 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2151.311 | 30283 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -2.15 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.07 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2173.159 | 30750 | (o) | 4.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.75 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.67 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2176.375 | 35904 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.70 | $2.78 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.60 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2183.312 | 34925 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.29 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.28 | $7.21 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2188.925 | 36167 | (o) | 3.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -2.13 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -2.03 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2189.611 | 31096 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.80 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.72 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2190.780 | 16976 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.55 | $3.94 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.52 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2195.319 | 31215 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.69 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.61 | $3.07 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2196.791 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.99 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.89 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2201.246 | 29806 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.00 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.94 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2210.453 | 29996 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.02 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.96 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2223.990 | 17648 | (o) | 7.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.80 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.76 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2243.542 | 32193 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.90 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.82 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2252.460 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.05 | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -1.04 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2264.953 | 32614 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.35 | $5.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.27 | $6.36 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2268.102 | 36637 | (o) | 7.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.88 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.87 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2269.066 | 36656 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.84 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.83 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2286.648 | 33033 | (o) | 4.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.43 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.35 | $5.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2294.965 | 33192 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.93 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.85 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2309.191 | 19316 | (o) | 8.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.86 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.80 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2323.359 | 32193 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.09 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -1.03 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2329.568 | 38924 | (o) | 3.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.90 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.80 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2348.258 | 39266 | (o) | 5.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -0.88 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.78 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2358.791 | 20226 | (o) | 7.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.39 | $4.86 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.33 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2359.332 | 20226 | (o) | 7.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.03 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.01 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2370.620 | 39667 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.15 | $8.38 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.05 | $9.22 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2384.117 | 38782 | (o) | 6.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.58 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.57 | $3.15 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2388.190 | 38853 | (o) | 6.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | 0.47 | $3.42 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.48 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2390.105 | 34925 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | 0.25 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.33 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2399.015 | 40166 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -0.06 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.04 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2411.369 | 35294 | (o) | 4.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | 0.19 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.27 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2411.977 | 39266 | (o) | 5.0 | 80713 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.15 | $8.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.14 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2412.987 | 40408 | (o) | 3.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -0.74 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.64 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2417.884 | 33875 | (o) | 6.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.66 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.60 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2423.087 | 40580 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.49 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.39 | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2439.449 | 40857 | (o) | 5.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -0.66 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.56 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2444.538 | 35857 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.74 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.66 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2447.371 | 35904 | (o) | 4.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.32 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.24 | $5.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2463.442 | 22017 | (o) | 5.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.52 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.48 | $3.48 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2473.218 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.49 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.41 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2480.900 | 34925 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.14 | $7.77 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.08 | $8.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2487.947 | 36570 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.14 | $7.85 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.06 | $8.48 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2493.253 | 36656 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.74 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.66 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2499.753 | 22606 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.95 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.91 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2539.598 | 35857 | (o) | 6.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.88 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.82 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2553.928 | 37608 | (o) | 4.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -1.48 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.40 | $3.67 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2570.565 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.77 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.71 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2590.957 | 36637 | (o) | 7.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.70 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.64 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2591.845 | 16976 | (o) | 6.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.16 | $1.42 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.15 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2592.215 | 36656 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.62 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.56 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2632.857 | 38782 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.68 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.60 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2637.778 | 17648 | (o) | 7.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.03 | $8.92 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.06 | $8.68 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2637.825 | 38853 | (o) | 6.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.65 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.57 | $2.32 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2666.874 | 39266 | (o) | 5.0 | 76752 | (e) | 5.0 | -0.78 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.70 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2692.758 | 25482 | (o) | 8.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.24 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.30 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2738.535 | 26103 | (o) | 7.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.15 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.21 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2739.265 | 26103 | (o) | 7.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | 0.44 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.48 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+09$ |

Table 3 - continued

| $\lambda(\AA)^{a}$ | $E_{\text {lower }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $J_{\text {lower }}$ | $E_{\text {upper }}{ }^{b}$ |  | $J_{\text {upper }}$ | $\log g f_{\mathrm{HFR}}{ }^{c}$ | $g A_{\text {HFR }}{ }^{c}$ | $\log g f_{\text {norm }}{ }^{d}$ | $g A_{\text {norm }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2743.456 | 38782 | (o) | 6.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.36 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.30 | $4.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2746.030 | 26193 | (o) | 5.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.02 | $8.44 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 0.02 | $8.78 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2748.850 | 38853 | (o) | 6.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | 0.23 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.29 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2759.226 | 19316 | (o) | 8.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.56 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.53 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2761.919 | 26412 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.43 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.49 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| 2762.661 | 26412 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.12 | $6.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.08 | $6.88 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2774.808 | 26580 | (o) | 7.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | 0.03 | $9.23 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 0.09 | $9.78 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2775.557 | 26580 | (o) | 7.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.48 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.44 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2780.411 | 39266 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.15 | $6.12 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.09 | $6.49 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2805.872 | 19918 | (o) | 8.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.14 | $6.20 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.17 | $6.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2830.338 | 20226 | (o) | 7.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.03 | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.06 | $7.58 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2845.296 | 27472 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.57 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.51 | $2.32 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2846.083 | 27472 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.15 | $5.81 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.11 | $6.04 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2864.463 | 46937 | (o) | 4.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -0.93 | $9.69 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -0.83 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2878.733 | 27871 | (o) | 5.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.64 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.60 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2909.169 | 40857 | (o) | 5.0 | 75221 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.86 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+08$ | -0.80 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 2955.082 | 28778 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.34 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.28 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2955.932 | 28778 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -0.94 | $8.83 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -0.90 | $9.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2958.642 | 28818 | (o) | 7.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.00 | $7.65 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -0.94 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 2959.493 | 28818 | (o) | 7.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.53 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.49 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3021.145 | 48747 | (o) | 5.0 | 81838 | (e) | 4.0 | -1.86 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.76 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3067.188 | 30014 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.44 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.38 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3092.705 | 30283 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.54 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.48 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3093.635 | 30283 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.55 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.51 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3100.413 | 23303 | (o) | 7.0 | 55547 | (e) | 7.0 | -0.93 | $8.22 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -0.96 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3234.642 | 31701 | (o) | 8.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.64 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.58 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3327.017 | 32560 | (o) | 7.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.62 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.56 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3479.363 | 33875 | (o) | 6.0 | 62608 | (e) | 7.0 | -1.58 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.52 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3480.540 | 33875 | (o) | 6.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.24 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.20 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 3853.580 | 36656 | (o) | 5.0 | 62598 | (e) | 6.0 | -1.56 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -1.52 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}+07$ |

Notes to the table: ${ }^{a}$ Deduced from the experimental energy levels (Wyart et al. 1997).
These wavelengths are given in air above $2000 \AA$ and in vacuum below that limit.
${ }^{b}$ Experimental levels (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) compiled by Wyart et al. (1997).
${ }^{c}$ HFR approach including core-polarization corrections (see text).
${ }^{d}$ Values normalized using the experimental radiative lifetimes given in Table 2.
(o) Odd parity.
(e) Even parity.
for about 1300 transitions in Er iII between 1000 and $10000 \AA$ is available in the DREAM data base on the web at http:// www.umh.ac.be/~astro/dream.shtml.

Some transitions of astrophysical interest identified in the spectrum of the star HR465 are reported in Table 4.

In Fig. 2, we show a comparison between our $g A$-values and those reported by Wyart et al. (1997). The straight line on the figure corresponds to the equality of the two sets of data. Our $g A$ values appear in good agreement, although systematically smaller, with those of Wyart et al. (1997). This is expected because Wyart et al. did not consider core-polarization effects in their calculations. Large scatter is observed for seven points appearing below the straight line. For the four points for which $g A$ (Wyart et al. 1997) $<10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, this can be explained by severe cancellation effects. For the other three points, no explanation has been found for the discrepancies.

In view of the overall good agreement observed between theory and experiment in Table 2, the theoretical transition probabilities for the most intense transitions considered in the present work are expected to be accurate within a few $(<10)$ per cent. For the
weaker lines, not reported in the present paper but listed in the DREAM data base, this uncertainty could be larger particularly for the transitions affected by severe cancellation effects. For such transitions, the cancellation factor (CF) as defined by Cowan (1981) is very small (typically $\mathrm{CF} \leqslant 0.01$ ) indicating that the corresponding computed oscillator strengths and transition probabilities must be considered with some care. The CF-values obtained in our calculations are given for all the transitions reported in the DREAM data base.
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Table 4. Calculated oscillator strengths and transition probabilities for transitions of Er III identified in the spectrum of the star HR465 by Wyart et al. (1997).

| $\lambda(\AA)^{a}$ | $E_{\text {lower }}{ }^{b}$ |  | $J_{\text {lower }}$ | $E_{\text {upper }}$ |  | $J_{\text {upper }}$ | $\log g f$ | $g A\left(s^{-1}\right)$ | $C F^{c}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3262.946 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 37608 | (o) | 4.0 | -2.30 | $3.17 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.109 |
| 3376.155 | 0 | (e) | 6.0 | 29611 | (o) | 7.0 | -2.55 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.335 |
| 3377.374 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 36570 | (o) | 6.0 | -2.46 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.255 |
| 3404.900 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.23 | $3.36 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.053 |
| 3455.125 | 32560 | (o) | 7.0 | 61494 | (e) | 6.0 | -2.33 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.018 |
| 3460.795 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 35857 | (o) | 6.0 | -2.07 | $4.74 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.131 |
| 3473.913 | 0 | (e) | 6.0 | 28778 | (o) | 6.0 | -1.21 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 0.297 |
| 3492.753 | 5082 | (e) | 4.0 | 33704 | (o) | 5.0 | -3.07 | $4.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.022 |
| 3501.160 | 27472 | (o) | 9.0 | 56025 | (e) | 8.0 | -2.08 | $4.55 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.006 |
| 3638.972 | 0 | (e) | 6.0 | 27472 | (o) | 6.0 | -1.29 | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 0.243 |
| 3687.433 | 5082 | (e) | 4.0 | 32193 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.97 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.142 |
| 3698.902 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 37813 | (o) | 3.0 | -2.81 | $7.45 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.041 |
| 3739.423 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 33704 | (o) | 5.0 | -1.75 | $8.56 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.183 |
| 3812.549 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 33192 | (o) | 6.0 | -3.00 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.066 |
| 3825.530 | 5082 | (e) | 4.0 | 31215 | (o) | 5.0 | -3.06 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.020 |
| 3898.356 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 32614 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.34 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.134 |
| 3913.506 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 36331 | (o) | 5.0 | -1.90 | $5.47 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.198 |
| 3945.163 | 12473 | (e) | 3.0 | 37813 | (o) | 3.0 | -2.55 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.119 |
| 3963.453 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 32193 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.61 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.146 |
| 3977.301 | 12473 | (e) | 3.0 | 37608 | (o) | 4.0 | -3.06 | $3.72 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.075 |
| 4065.038 | 13220 | (e) | 2.0 | 37813 | (o) | 3.0 | -2.48 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}+06$ | -0.086 |
| 4123.446 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 31215 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.30 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.065 |
| 4203.956 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 30750 | (o) | 4.0 | -3.97 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 0.006 |
| 4356.549 | 13220 | (e) | 2.0 | 36167 | (o) | 3.0 | -1.46 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+07$ | -0.286 |
| 4362.200 | 38782 | (o) | 6.0 | 61699 | (e) | 7.0 | -2.42 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.079 |
| 4443.276 | 6970 | (e) | 5.0 | 29469 | (o) | 4.0 | -2.85 | $4.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.025 |
| 4463.007 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 33186 | (o) | 3.0 | -3.01 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.049 |
| 4493.608 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 33033 | (o) | 4.0 | -2.35 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.057 |
| 4579.808 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 32614 | (o) | 5.0 | -2.72 | $5.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.191 |
| 4669.091 | 10786 | (e) | 4.0 | 32197 | (o) | 4.0 | -2.52 | $9.24 \mathrm{E}+05$ | -0.084 |

Notes to the tabe: ${ }^{a}$ Deduced from the experimental energy levels (Wyart et al. 1997).
These wavelengths are given in air above $2000 \AA$ and in vacuum below that limit.
${ }^{b}$ Experimental levels (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) compiled by Wyart et al. (1997).
${ }^{c}$ Cancellation factor, $C F$, as defined by Cowan (1981). Small values of this factor (typically
$C F<0.01$ ) indicate transitions affected by severe cancellation effects.
(o) Odd parity.
(e) Even parity.
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