# **Neuro-Oncology Practice**

7(3), 268–276, 2020 | doi:10.1093/nop/npz057 | Advance Access date 30 November 2019

# Radiosensitizers in the temozolomide era for newly diagnosed glioblastoma

Peter Mathen, Lindsay Rowe, Megan Mackey, DeeDee Smart, Philip Tofilon, and Kevin Camphausen

Radiation Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA (P.M., L.R., M.M., D.S., P.T., K.C.).

**Corresponding Author:** Peter Mathen, MD, Radiation Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bldg 10, Rm B2-3500, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA (peter.mathen@nih.gov).

### Abstract

Glioblastoma (GBM) is a challenging diagnosis with almost universally poor prognosis. Though the survival advantage of postoperative radiation (RT) is well established, around 90% of patients will fail in the RT field. The high likelihood of local failure suggests the efficacy of RT needs to be improved to improve clinical outcomes. Radiosensitizers are an established method of enhancing RT cell killing through the addition of a pharmaceutical agent. Though the majority of trials using radiosensitizers have historically been unsuccessful, there continues to be interest with a variety of approaches having been employed. Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors, histone deacetylase inhibitors, antiangiogenic agents, and a number of other molecularly targeted agents have all been investigated as potential methods of radiosensitization in the temozolomide era. Outcomes have varied both in terms of toxicity and survival, but some agents such as valproic acid and bortezomib have demonstrated promising results. However, reporting of results in phase 2 trials in newly diagnosed GBM have been inconsistent, with no standard in reporting progression-free survival and toxicity. There is a pressing need for investigation of new agents; however, nearly all phase 3 trials of GBM patients of the past 25 years have demonstrated no improvement in outcomes. One proposed explanation for this is the selection of agents lacking sufficient preclinical data and/or based on poorly designed phase 2 trials. Radiosensitization may represent a viable strategy for improving GBM outcomes in newly diagnosed patients, and further investigation using agents with promising phase 2 data is warranted.

### **Keywords**

glioblastoma | glioma | newly diagnosed | radiosensitizer | temozolomide

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common primary malignant brain tumor in adults, comprising 45.6% of new malignant brain tumors, with an incidence rate of 3.19 per 100 000 individuals.<sup>1</sup> Current standard of care for GBM is combined modality therapy involving maximal safe resection and postoperative radiation (RT) given concurrently with temozolomide (TMZ) followed by an additional 6 to 12 months of adjuvant TMZ.<sup>2</sup> The rationale behind combined modality therapy involves overcoming challenges unique to GBM's intracranial location, namely limitations of resection due to morbidity and mortality, acute and late treatment-related toxicity, and limited

blood-brain barrier penetration and the corresponding resistance to systemic therapy.<sup>3</sup> Although current combined modalities demonstrated a survival advantage over RT alone, outcomes remain poor and relapse is considered inevitable.<sup>4</sup>

Maximal safe resection is first-line therapy for GBM, and surgical resection is presumed to have the largest impact on patient survival, but a complete resection is rare because of the infiltrative nature of the disease. Comparison of radiographic and neuropathology findings have shown that isolated tumor cells can be present, unassociated with the edema see on imaging, far outside the gross tumor volume.<sup>5</sup> Adjuvant RT is used to treat this microscopic disease. Analysis of previous Brain Tumour Study Group and Medical Research Council studies confirmed 60 Gy as the minimum effective dose in GBM.<sup>6,7</sup> Though the survival benefit of postoperative RT is well established,<sup>8</sup> in patients treated with RT alone around 90% of patients will fail in the RT field.<sup>9,10</sup>

This high probability of local failure suggests the need, and opportunity, to improve the efficacy of RT to improve clinical outcomes. Strategies to improve local control including altered fractionation schemes<sup>11</sup>; dose escalation with boost,<sup>12</sup> stereotactic radiotherapy,<sup>13</sup> biodegradable carmustine wafers,<sup>14</sup> and brachytherapy<sup>15</sup> have failed to demonstrate a survival benefit. Many of these techniques lead to an increased incidence of necrosis and higher rates of reoperation, which can potentially increase patient toxicity and decrease survival.

The addition of pharmaceutical agents presents an alternative method to increase the effectiveness of RT by enhancing RT cell killing. Unfortunately, though the rationale behind this approach is sound, historically nearly all trials of radiosensitizers in upfront GBM treatment have been unsuccessful (Table 1). However, in the TMZ era, there continues to be interest in the use of radiosensitization to treat upfront GBM. Strategies have varied, with a particular focus on epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, antiangiogenic agents, as well as other molecularly targeted agents as potential methods of increasing the efficacy of RT. Here we review the phase 2 studies that have reported the addition of a radiosensitizer in combination with standard RT plus TMZ, focused on several reported outcomes including toxicity (both acute and late), efficacy, and the ability of the patient to complete the standard portion of his or her therapy.

#### Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Inhibition

*EGFR* is an important mitogen for newly diagnosed GBM, and amplification of this gene is found in approximately 40% of cases.<sup>30</sup>The overexpression of *EGFR* in GBM correlates with decreased apoptosis, increased cellular proliferation, tumorigenesis, and poor prognosis. It has also been shown to correlate with resistance both to biodegradable carmustine chemotherapy and radiotherapy.<sup>31,32</sup> As such, *EGFR* is a potential target for inhibition to increase sensitivity to RT.

Erlotinib is an oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor of the human EGF receptor that is FDA approved for the treatment of non-small cell lung and pancreatic cancers. It is considered an appealing molecular agent because of its targeting of both the wild-type and the most common mutant form of EGFR in GBM, the EGFRvIII mutant.33 Three phase 2 studies have examined the role of erlotinib given concurrently with RT plus TMZ and have demonstrated widely discordant results. This was true both for survival and toxicity outcomes. The first reported study of 97 patients had 2 patients with grade 5 toxicities either near the end or shortly after RT, 24 grade 4, and 105 grade 3 toxic events. The addition of erlotinib demonstrated no significant survival benefit compared to RT plus TMZ-era controls, with a median survival of 15.3 months.<sup>17</sup> In this study, 84% of the patients were able to complete the study drug

but there was no comment on any potential delay of the RT and TMZ. The second trial reported less toxicity, with no grade 5 and 5 grade 4 toxicities in a cohort of 65 patients. This study reported that patients treated with erlotinib and RT plus TMZ had a median survival of 19.3 months and a 6-month progression-free survival (PFS) of 73%, concluding there was an improvement compared to historical controls.<sup>18</sup>There was no reporting of RT delays due to the combination therapy. The last study reported that patients who received erlotinib had worse survival outcomes, with a median survival of only 8.6 months and a 6-month PFS of 30%. This study was closed prematurely because of excessive toxicity, with a total of 4 patient deaths and 3 deaths deemed directly related to treatment.<sup>19</sup> It is unclear what is responsible for these contrasting results. Though there were differences in the regimens used to administer erlotinib, the daily dosages were similar, with the highest allowable dose in the study showing the least amount of toxicity. Of note, late toxicity of this novel combination was not reported in any of the 3 trials.

An alternative strategy for the targeting of the *EGFR*/ phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) pathway is inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which is a downstream regulator of this pathway with 2 separate complexes (mTORC1 and mTORC2). When activated, mTOR can regulate cell size and growth as well as Akt activation.<sup>34</sup> Activating mutations of the Akt-mTOR pathway have been associated with TMZ treatment and are thought to confer resistance to this therapy through the binding and stabilizing of MGMT (O<sup>6</sup>-methylguaninemethyltransferase).<sup>35,36</sup> Everolimus is an oral inhibitor of mTOR, and animal studies have shown that mTOR inhibition radiosensitizes several tumor cell lines including GBM.<sup>37,38</sup>

Two separate, multi-institutional phase 2 studies have investigated the use of everolimus in combination with standard RT plus TMZ, with distinctive designs but similar outcomes in terms of survival (although not toxicity). The North Central Cancer Treatment Group (NCCTG) N057K trial was a single-arm study in which weekly everolimus was given concurrently with RT plus TMZ and continued adjuvantly with the TMZ until disease progression. The addition of weekly everolimus was moderately toxic, with a single death on treatment due to febrile neutropenia. Twenty-five patients had at least 1 grade 3 to 4 nonhematologic toxicity, and 24 and 21 patients had grade 3 or 4 nonhematologic toxicity, respectively. Median PFS and overall survival (OS) were 6.4 months and 15.8 months, respectively. Late toxicity was not reported, nor was 6-month PFS. Though survival was similar to historical phase 2 trials, the NCCTG N057K trial's 12-month OS of 64% did not meet the predetermined end point of 65%.<sup>20</sup>

The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0913 trial was a randomized study of daily everolimus with upfront chemoradiation (CRT) for GBM.<sup>21</sup> Though the NCCTG N057K authors had hypothesized that daily dosing may improve the efficacy of everolimus, this trial showed not only did it not have a survival benefit but it also carried statistically significantly increased toxicity. There were 108 grade 3, 39 grade 4, and 10 grade 5 toxicities in the everolimus arm, which was a statistically significant increase over the control arm. Etiology of grade 5 toxicity

Practice

	NCT No.	Design	Patients, No.	ĥ	Study Drug + RT plus TMZ, %	Toxicity, n	Toxicity, n	Toxicity, n	Late-Grade 3 to 5 Toxicity, %	Delayed RT, %	o-IVIO PFS, %	median PFS, mo	OS, mo
Stupp, 2002 <sup>16</sup>		Phase 2 single-arm	64	TMZ	86	22	40	I	1	30	1	I	16
Stupp, 2005 <sup>4</sup> N(	NCT00006353	Phase 3 randomized	287	TMZ	85	7% grade 3/4	I	I	1	32	54	I	14.6
Brown, 2008 <sup>17</sup> NC	NCT00039494	Phase 2 single-arm	97	Erlotinib	84	105	24	2	I	I	I	7.2	15.3
Prados, 2009 <sup>18</sup> NO	NCT00187486	Phase 2 single-arm	65	Erlotinib	I	43	5	0	I	I	73	8.2	19.3
Peereboom, NC 2010 <sup>19</sup>	CT00274833	NCT00274833 Phase 2 single-arm	28	Erlotinib	74	31	വ	4	I	I	30	2.8	8.6
NCCTG N057K N0 Ma, 2015 <sup>20</sup>	CT00553150	NCT00553150 Phase 1/2 single-arm 100	100	Everolimus	78	I	I	-	I	I	I	6.4	15.8
RTOG 0913 NG Chinnaiyan, 2018 <sup>21</sup>	NCT01062399	Phase 1/2 randomized	171	Everolimus	I	108	39	10	I	I	1	8.2	16.5
Krauze, 2015 <sup>22</sup> NC	CT00302159	NCT00302159 Phase 2 single-arm	37	Valproate	67	16	6	0	<b>2</b> <sup>23</sup>	0	70	10.5	29.6
Galanis, 2018 <sup>24</sup> NO	CT00731731	NCT00731731 Phase 1/2 single-arm	107	Vorinostat	Ι	106	47	e	I	I	I	8.0	16.1
Butowski, 2011 <sup>25</sup> NC	NCT00402116	Phase 1/2 single-arm	66	Enzastaurin	I	66% grade 3/4	I	5	I	I	65	8.4	17.3
Lee, 2015 <sup>26</sup> NG	NCT00441142	Phase 1/2 randomized	76	Vandenatib	67	93 ≥ grade 3	I	-	I	I	58	7.7	16.6
Butowski, 2005 <sup>27</sup>		Phase 2 single-arm	61	13-cis- retinoic acid	92	18	ω	0	I	I	38	4.9	13.3
Grossman, 2009 <sup>28</sup>		Phase 2 single-arm	72	Talampanel	I	38	15	-	I	I	I	I	18.3
Kong, 2018 <sup>29</sup> N(	CT00998010	NCT00998010 Phase 2 single-arm	24	Bortezomib	I	6	-	0	I	I	54	6.2	19.1

 Table 1
 Completed Trials of Radiosensitizers in the Temozolomide Era

Intervention Study Population No. Estimated Estimated L	
Start Date Completion	Location
hibitor that interrupts the glioblastoma 2012 2019 (	Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center
	John Wayne Cancer Institute

Abbreviations: ABI-009, nab-rapamycin; NCT, national clinical trial.

included lung infection, meningitis, scrotal infection, other neoplasms, respiratory failure, intracranial hemorrhage, and a death not otherwise specified. In addition to unacceptable toxicity, survival outcomes were worse with everolimus compared with the control arm. Median OS for the experimental arm was 16.5 months, which was comparable to historical controls but inferior to the experiment's control arm. The 6-month PFS was not reported, but median PFS was 8.2 months in the everolimus arm compared with 10.2 months without. The investigators were unable to explain the superior survival of the control arm compared with historical standards because the treatment arms were well matched. Investigation is ongoing to determine factors that may have contributed to this disparity.

The authors of both trials explained the apparent lack of benefit of everolimus in upfront GBM treatment as incomplete target inhibition. Though everolimus is a selective inhibitor of mTORC1 alone, model systems have shown that this inhibition can result in increased AKT activation through the activation of mTORC2.<sup>39</sup> Therefore, strategies that target both mTORC1 and mTORC2 are needed.<sup>40</sup> However, given the pronounced toxicity seen with everolimus it is unlikely that this drug will be a component of such strategies.

#### Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors

HDACs are a family of enzymes responsible for the removal of acetyl groups from histones and other cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins. Studies with HDAC inhibitors such as valproic acid (VPA) have shown selective increases in tumor cell RT sensitivity using both in vitro and in vivo model systems. Though the specific mechanism of radiosensitization is unclear, it is speculated that it is from inhibition of double-strand break repair, specifically chromatin remodeling late in the process.<sup>41,42</sup> VPA is a nonhepatic, enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drug, with reported safe long-term usage and several retrospective clinical series showing prolonged survival with its addition to RT and TMZ.<sup>43-45</sup>

Krauze et al<sup>22</sup> conducted a phase 2 trial adding 25 mg of VPA per kilogram divided into 2 daily doses concurrent with RT plusTMZ. VPA therapy was initiated 1 week prior to treatment at 10 to 15 mg/kg/d and subsequently increased to 25 mg/kg/d and continued until the completion of RT. Of

37 patients, 81% completed treatment with VPA, whereas 67% completed treatment as prescribed. According to the CTCAE (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events) version 3 and the Radiation Morbidity Scoring Scheme, 6 patients experienced grade 4 acute toxicity, all blood or bone marrow, and there were no deaths on treatment. There were only 2 grade 3 to 5 late toxicities; 1 blood or bone marrow and 1 pain, both grade 3 in severity, and neither probably or possibly attributed to concurrent VPA plus RT plus TMZ.<sup>23</sup> Median OS was 29.6 months and 6-month PFS was 70%. The authors concluded that VPA was well tolerated and may have benefit over historical controls.

Despite these promising outcomes, the role of VPA in newly diagnosed GBM remains controversial. A large pooled analysis of the AVAGlio (Avastin and Glioblastoma), CENTRIC (Cilengitide, Temozolomide and Radiation Therapy in treating Radiation Therapy and Methylated Gene Promoter Status), CORE (Cilengitide, Temozolomide and Radiation Therapy in treating Radiation Therapy and Unmethylated Gene Promoter Status), and Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 0825 trials found the use of VPA at antiepilepsy dosages was not associated with improved PFS or OS.<sup>46</sup> Although the phase 2 results had a set protocol for dosing and administration, the pooled analysis results included no information of VPA dose, and recorded only VPA and no VPA use at baseline or VPA use both at the start of and still after chemoradiotherapy.<sup>47</sup> As such, it is unlikely that the pooled analysis patients received VPA escalated to 25 mg/kg rather than lower doses more typical of antiseizure prophylaxis (5 to 10 mg/kg). Given the promising median survival and minimal toxicity found in the phase 2 trial, further investigation of VPA as a radiation sensitizer is warranted.

In addition to VPA, Vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid) is also an HDAC inhibitor and acts as a small-molecule inhibitor of most human class 1 and class 2 HDACs. Preclinical studies have shown that Vorinostat has antitumor activity against malignant glioma cells in combination with RT or other anticancer drugs.<sup>48,49</sup> One phase 1/2 trial has been performed exploring the effect of adding Vorinostat to standard adjuvant CRT but failed to meet its primary efficacy end point of improvement in OS at 15 months from 50% to 63%. The median OS was 16.1 months and median PFS was 8.0 months, although 6-month PFS was not reported. There were 47 incidents Neuro-Onco Practice of grade 4 toxicity and there were 3 deaths on treatment, all of which were deemed unlikely to be related to treatment. Late toxicity was not addressed. Although the study failed to meet its efficacy end point, the authors did comment that RNA sequencing data suggested that gene signatures may be able to identify patients who may benefit from the addition of Vorinostat to standard RT plus TMZ. Specifically, RNA sequencing data of baseline tumor samples suggested an association between previously identified Vorinostat signatures, and PFS and OS.<sup>22</sup>

### Antiangiogenic Therapy

Angiogenesis is a hallmark of GBM because its rapid proliferation requires new blood vessels to survive. The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway is the primary driver of this process.<sup>50</sup> Though attempts to inhibit VEGF with bevacizumab have not demonstrated any improvement in OS in phase 3 trials,<sup>51,52</sup> investigations of alternate ways of inhibiting the pathway are ongoing.

The protein kinase C (PKC) family of enzymes is essential to tumor growth and proliferation, and the beta isoform of PKC is a part of the VEGF pathway that is upregulated in GBM.<sup>53,54</sup> Enzastaurin is a selective serine/threonine kinase inhibitor of PKC that can block tumor growth and angiogenesis<sup>55</sup> and has demonstrated enhancement of RT- and TMZ-induced cell death in GBM cell lines.<sup>56</sup> A single study has investigated the use of enzastaurin in newly diagnosed GBM in a cohort of 66 patients who were studied and compared to a historical cohort of 193 patients enrolled in previous University of California, San Francisco studies.<sup>24</sup> Enzastaurin was given both concurrently with CRT as well as daily for 12 months adjuvantly or until unacceptable toxicity or disease progression. Median OS was 17.3 months for patients treated with enzastaurin, and 6-month PFS was 65%. No patients died during therapy, and although 5 deaths were reported within 30 days of therapy discontinuation, this was deemed due to progression of disease. Despite these deaths, OS was slightly improved for patients treated with enzastaurin compared to the historical controls of patients receiving RT plus TMZ alone. The authors concluded that when compared to similar phase 2 trials with survival approaching 20 months, there was no additional benefit of enzastaurin and that future studies appeared unrealistic.

In an effort to target complementary pathways, Vandetanib represents a combined approach, targeting both VEGF and EGFR. It is a low-molecular-weight receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor of EGFR, VEGFR-3, VEGFR-2, and RET (rearranged during transfection) tyrosine kinases.<sup>57-60</sup> In preclinical models Vandetanib inhibited growth of glioma cells,<sup>59,61</sup> and combining Vandetanib with RT has demonstrated significant synergistic antitumor effect.<sup>62</sup>

A noncomparative, open-label, multicenter phase 2 study randomly assigned patients with newly diagnosed GBM to either standard CRT, or standard CRT with Vandetanib. The trial drug was initiated 5 to 7 days before beginning RT and was continued for 12 cycles of 28 days, or until study removal for unacceptable toxicity or disease progression. Late toxicity was not reported, and there were 93 grade 3 or greater acute toxicities. One patient in the Vandetanib arm

suffered grade 5 pneumonia, with no deaths due to toxicity seen in the standard arm. PFS at 6 months was 58% in the trial arm and 57% in the control arm. The trial did not meet the primary end point of prolongation of OS (16.6 months) as compared with either the control arm (15.9 months) or historical controls and was terminated early because of futility based on an unplanned interim analysis.<sup>25</sup>

## Additional Molecular Targets

Retinoic acid is active preclinically in glioma, inhibiting cell proliferation, inducing cellular apoptosis, although the mechanisms for these effects are unclear.<sup>63</sup> Preclinical studies have shown that retinoic acid enhances the radiosensitivity of glioma cells, although the precise mechanism is not understood.<sup>64</sup> A single phase 2 trial by the University of California, San Francisco group investigated the efficacy of combining retinoic acid with RT plus TMZ. Median OS was 57 weeks, and 6-month PFS was 38%. Overall, the treatment was well tolerated, with 8 incidents of grade 4 toxicity and 18 incidents of grade 3 toxicity. Late toxicity in this study was not reported. The authors concluded survival was comparable to those who received nitrosoureas (14.3 months)<sup>26</sup> but was worse when compared with standard CRT with TMZ alone.<sup>26</sup>

Glutamate is a major excitatory neurotransmitter in the mammalian CNS and is thought to play a role in the pathophysiology of events that lead to disturbed neuronal function and cell death in acute neurological diseases such as trauma, multiple sclerosis, stroke, and neurodegenerative disease.<sup>65-67</sup> Glioma cells not only have impaired uptake of glutamate, but also release large amounts of glutamate into the extracellular fluid.68 AMPA (a-amino-2-hydroxy-5-methyl isoxazole-4-propionic acid) is one of several receptors of glutamate that is expressed in most high-grade gliomas and whose blockade has been shown to induce apoptosis and suppress migration of human GBM in vivo.<sup>69</sup> Talampanel is an allosteric antagonist of AMPA that has shown efficacy as an anticonvulsant in humans.<sup>67</sup> Owing to the role glutamate and AMPA may play in glioma pathology, a phase 2 trial using talampanel with concomitant CRT and adjuvant TMZ was undertaken. Median OS was 18.3 months in all patients, with methylated and unmethylated patients having survival of 29 and 16.9 months, respectively. There was 1 grade 5 acute toxicity due to febrile neutropenia, 15 grade 4 toxicities, and 38 grade 3 toxicities. There was no reporting on late toxicities or PFS.<sup>27</sup>The authors concluded that the inclusion of talampanel added no significant additional toxicity, and the survival results were encouraging. However, no further research on talampanel in newly diagnosed GBM has been reported. There has been one phase 2 trial investigating the activity of talampanel in recurrent GBM that showed that although it was tolerated it had no significant activity as a single agent in this setting.<sup>70</sup>

A recently reported phase 2 trial exploring molecular therapies as an adjunct to CRT shows promise. The ubiquitin-proteasome system is responsible for the degradation of 80% to 90% of intracellular proteins and is essential for maintaining cell homeostasis.<sup>71</sup> Disruption of this process permits unregulated cell growth and survival.72,73 Bortezomib (BTZ) is a proteasome inhibitor thought to work by suppression of the nuclear factor (NF) $\kappa\beta$  signaling pathway. In times of stress, NF $\kappa\beta$  binds to target genes and activates transcription of a variety of factors that induce cell growth and differentiation, and prevent apoptosis.<sup>72</sup> NF $\kappa\beta$  inhibitor- $\alpha$  (NF $\kappa$ BIA) represses NF $\kappa\beta$ , and deletion of the *NF\kappaBIA* gene has been demonstrated to be a poor prognostic marker in GBM patients without *EGFR* amplification, suggesting that inhibition of this pathway may have a role in management of glial neoplasms.<sup>74</sup> Multiple studies on animal models have shown BTZ causes growth arrest in human GBM cell lines,<sup>75–77</sup> and a phase 1 trial demonstrated it was well tolerated when given alongside concurrent RT plusTMZ.<sup>78</sup>

Kong et al<sup>28</sup> recently published a small, single phase 2 study in which BTZ was given during both the concurrent and adjuvant phases of GBM treatment in newly diagnosed patients treated with RT plusTMZ. The addition of BTZ was well tolerated, with no grade 5 toxicity, a single grade 4 toxicity that was considered unrelated to treatment, and 9 grade 3 toxicities. Late toxicity was not reported. Though median PFS (6.2 months) and 6-month PFS (54.2%) were on a par with historical outcomes, longer-term outcomes were impressive, with a median OS of 19.1 months. The most pronounced survival advantage was in MGMTmethylated patients, with median OS of 61 months compared with 16.4 months in unmethylated patients. Though this was a small study of only 24 patients that did not reach the planned statistical power, the survival outcomes are compelling. The results of this trial suggest that further investigations of the addition of BTZ to RT plus TMZ in upfront GBM treatments are warranted.

#### **Ongoing Trials**

Several phase 2 trials are accruing to test new radiosensitizers in addition to RT plus TMZ. Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center is investigating the use of lapatinib, a dual tyrosine kinase inhibitor that interrupts the EGFR and Her2/*neu* pathways. ABI-009 (nab-rapamycin) is a macrolide antibiotic rapamycin-bound nanoparticle albumin that the John Wayne Cancer institute is testing both in progressive and newly diagnosed GBM in combinations with bevacizumab, lomustine, RT, andTMZ. These trials can be found on clinicaltrials.gov and are compiled in Table 2.

#### Lessons for the Future

There is an urgent need to improve outcomes of newly diagnosed GBM. A recent study that examined phase 3 GBM trials found that only 1 out of 11 trials in the past 25 years resulted in a prolongation of OS.<sup>79</sup> Possible explanations for this lack of success include the absence of molecular data, use of imaging criteria as a surrogate end point, lack of pharmacodynamic testing, improper selection of therapeutics warranting investigation, and need for improved design of phase 2 studies.<sup>80</sup>

Additionally, alternate approaches may be considered in improving local control outside the use of TMZ. A recent Adult Brain Tumor Consortium trial was a phase 1 study of the addition of veliparib, a poly(adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase inhibitor, to standard treatment. Though the results have been presented only in abstract form, the authors found the addition of veliparib to TMZ was too toxic because of hematologic toxicity.<sup>81</sup> Because the original Stupp trial showed a much smaller benefit of the addition of TMZ in MGMT-unmethylated patients as compared with MGMT-methylated patients,82 this has led some to ask whether TMZ can be replaced with alternate agents. In the TMZ era, there is one published phase 2 trial using this approach, replacing TMZ with enzastaurin in patients with MGMT-unmethylated GBM. In a cohort of 57 patients, Wick et al<sup>83</sup> found that this regimen resulted in a median OS and PFS of 15 months and 6.6 months, respectively, and a 6-month PFS of 53.6%. Though this median OS is comparable to that of TMZ, the 6-month PFS missed the primary planned outcome of 55%. Twenty-six patients experienced grade 3 to 4 toxicities, 10 of which were thought to be possibly due to enzastaurin, and 7 patients died while on the study's drug therapy or within 30 days of discontinuation. Though not directly comparable, it is interesting to note that survival was worse when compared with the phase 2 trial that examined enzastaurin given alongside standard RT plus TMZ, and though grade 3 to 4 toxicity was rarer when omitting TMZ, serious adverse events were approximately the same.<sup>24</sup> The VERTU trial and Alliance N0877 trial are 2 randomized, phase 2 trials that have explored replacing TMZ with veliparib and dasatinib, respectively. However, results of these trials have been presented only in abstract form, with final results still awaiting publication.<sup>84,85</sup> It remains to be seen if the omission of TMZ represents a feasible strategy in radiosensitization of MGMT-unmethylated GBM.

Other strategies for improving local control with RT could employ techniques that were previously abandoned because of poor logistics or trial design. Beauchesne and colleagues used ultrafractionated RT 3 times per day of 0.75 Gy for 30 days in a cohort of newly diagnosed supratentorial GBM patients. The regimen was safe and well tolerated, with PFS and OS of 5.1 and 9.5 months, respectively.86 This trial was initiated before TMZ became the standard of care, and the authors concluded ultrafractionated RT was superior to conventional RT alone but not RT plusTMZ. Outcomes for the phase 2TEMOFRAC trial combining ultrafractionated RT and TMZ have been reported in abstract form only, with median survival not yet reached.<sup>87</sup> Alternatively, the promising outcomes of VPA with RT plus TMZ suggest agents not typically used for antitumor uses could be repurposed and investigated for effectiveness against GBM.

Good, reliable phase 2 data will be the key to determining successful agents to prolong life in patients diagnosed with GBM. The improved survival of TMZ in a phase 3 study was evident only after it had demonstrated promising survival outcomes in a phase 2 trial in which it was given both concomitantly with RT as well as adjuvantly in patients with newly diagnosed disease.<sup>16</sup>Comparatively, though phase 2 studies of bevacizumab had shown improved outcomes only in the setting of recurrent GBM, phase 2 research had demonstrated no improved OS in newly diagnosed patients.<sup>88-90</sup> Consequently, 2 large, randomized phase 3 trials found that although bevacizumab improved PFS in the newly diagnosed setting, this did not translate into an OS benefit.  $^{51,52}$ 

Results reporting phase 2 trials for the development of radiosensitizers in patients with untreated GBM have been inconsistent (Table 1). Though OS is consistently reported, PFS is not. When PFS is reported, trials vary between reporting median PFS, 6-month PFS, or both. Part of this may be due to the difficulty in judging progression from pseudoprogression when central reporting is lacking.<sup>20</sup> Reporting of toxicity also lacks uniformity; most trials generally provide data on acute toxicity, but the reporting can be difficult to compare between trials. Some trials provide only the raw number of adverse toxicities, whereas others report the number of patients experiencing toxicities. Moreover, toxicities that are important in the assessment of radiosensitizers, such as late toxicity, variations in delivery of RT, and attribution of toxicity to RT or systemic agents, are almost never reported.

Though the addition of TMZ to standard adjuvant RT has significantly improved outcomes in GBM, prognosis remains poor. Local failure within the high-dose RT field emphasizes the need to optimize local treatment. There is a pressing need for additional therapies to improve the effectiveness of RT. Though there have been phase 2 trials of several radiosensitizers, few have advanced to phase 3 randomized trials. The lack of a control arm in many of these trials can make assessing their results unreliable.<sup>21</sup> Few of these trials have demonstrated improvement over historical standards, but it should be noted that many of these were undertaken without adequate preclinical data to justify the addition of the agent.<sup>3</sup> The promising results with newer agents developed with more robust preclinical data suggest that radiosensitization may still be a viable option in treatment of newly diagnosed GBM and warrants further investigation.

# Funding

274

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

# References

- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Farah P, et al. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2006-2010. *Neuro Oncol.* 2013;15(suppl 2):ii1–ii56.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Central Nervous System Cancers version 2.2018. 2018; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/ cns.pdf. Accessed February 21, 2019.

- Corso CD, Bindra RS. Success and failures of combined modalities in glioblastoma multiforme: old problems and new directions. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* 2016;26(4):281–298.
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al; European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumor and Radiotherapy Groups; National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352(10):987–996.
- Johnson PC, Hunt SJ, Drayer BP. Human cerebral gliomas: correlation of postmortem MR imaging and neuropathologic findings. *Radiology*. 1989;170(1 pt 1):211–217.
- Walker MD, Alexander E Jr, Hunt WE, et al. Evaluation of BCNU and/or radiotherapy in the treatment of anaplastic gliomas. A cooperative clinical trial. *J Neurosurg.* 1978;49(3):333–343.
- Bleehen NM, Stenning SP. A Medical Research Council trial of two radiotherapy doses in the treatment of grades 3 and 4 astrocytoma. The Medical Research Council Brain Tumour Working Party. *Br J Cancer.* 1991;64(4):769–774.
- Laperriere N, Zuraw L, Cairncross G; Cancer Care Ontario Practice Guidelines Initiative Neuro-Oncology Disease Site Group. Radiotherapy for newly diagnosed malignant glioma in adults: a systematic review. *Radiother Oncol.* 2002;64(3):259–273.
- Chamberlain MC. Radiographic patterns of relapse in glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2011;101(2):319–323.
- Bette S, Barz M, Huber T, et al. Retrospective analysis of radiological recurrence patterns in glioblastoma, their prognostic value and association to postoperative infarct volume. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):4561.
- Nieder C, Andratschke N, Wiedenmann N, Busch R, Grosu AL, Molls M. Radiotherapy for high-grade gliomas. Does altered fractionation improve the outcome? *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2004;180(7):401–407.
- Chan JL, Lee SW, Fraass BA, et al. Survival and failure patterns of highgrade gliomas after three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(6):1635–1642.
- 13. Souhami L, Seiferheld W, Brachman D, et al. Randomized comparison of stereotactic radiosurgery followed by conventional radiotherapy with carmustine to conventional radiotherapy with carmustine for patients with glioblastoma multiforme: report of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 93-05 protocol. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2004;60(3):853–860.
- Westphal M, Hilt DC, Bortey E, et al. A phase 3 trial of local chemotherapy with biodegradable carmustine (BCNU) wafers (Gliadel wafers) in patients with primary malignant glioma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2003;5(2):79–88.
- 15. Selker RG, Shapiro WR, Burger P, et al; Brain Tumor Cooperative Group. The Brain Tumor Cooperative Group NIH Trial 87-01: a randomized comparison of surgery, external radiotherapy, and carmustine versus surgery, interstitial radiotherapy boost, external radiation therapy, and carmustine. *Neurosurgery*. 2002;51(2):343–355; discussion 355.
- 16. Stupp R, Dietrich PY, Ostermann Kraljevic S, et al. Promising survival for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme treated with concomitant radiation plus temozolomide followed by adjuvant temozolomide. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(5):1375–1382.
- Brown PD, Krishnan S, Sarkaria JN, et al; North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study N0177. Phase I/II trial of erlotinib and temozolomide with radiation therapy in the treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study N0177. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(34):5603–5609.
- Prados MD, Chang SM, Butowski N, et al. Phase II study of erlotinib plus temozolomide during and after radiation therapy in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme or gliosarcoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(4):579–584.

Practice

Neuro-Oncology

- Peereboom DM, Shepard DR, Ahluwalia MS, et al. Phase II trial of erlotinib with temozolomide and radiation in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. *J Neurooncol.* 2010;98(1):93–99.
- Ma DJ, Galanis E, Anderson SK, et al. A phase II trial of everolimus, temozolomide, and radiotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma: NCCTG N057K. *Neuro Oncol.* 2015;17(9):1261–1269.
- Chinnaiyan P, Won M, Wen PY, et al. A randomized phase II study of everolimus in combination with chemoradiation in newly diagnosed glioblastoma: results of NRG Oncology RTOG 0913. *Neuro Oncol.* 2018;20(5):666–673.
- 22. Krauze AV, Myrehaug SD, Chang MG, et al. A phase 2 study of concurrent radiation therapy, temozolomide, and the histone deacetylase inhibitor valproic acid for patients with glioblastoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2015;92(5):986–992.
- Krauze AV, Mackey M, Rowe L, et al. Late toxicity in long-term survivors from a phase 2 study of concurrent radiation therapy, temozolomide and valproic acid for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *Neurooncol Pract.* 2018;5(4):246–250.
- 24. Galanis E, Anderson SK, Miller CR, et al; Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology and ABTC. Phase I/II trial of vorinostat combined with temozolomide and radiation therapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma: results of Alliance N0874/ABTC 02. *Neuro Oncol.* 2018;20(4):546–556.
- Butowski N, Chang SM, Lamborn KR, et al. Phase II and pharmacogenomics study of enzastaurin plus temozolomide during and following radiation therapy in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme and gliosarcoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2011;13(12):1331–1338.
- Lee EQ, Kaley TJ, Duda DG, et al. A multicenter, phase II, randomized, noncomparative clinical trial of radiation and temozolomide with or without vandetanib in newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(16):3610–3618.
- Butowski N, Prados MD, Lamborn KR, et al. A phase II study of concurrent temozolomide and cis-retinoic acid with radiation for adult patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial glioblastoma. *Int J Badiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005;61(5):1454–1459.
- Grossman SA, Ye X, Chamberlain M, et al. Talampanel with standard radiation and temozolomide in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma: a multicenter phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(25):4155–4161.
- 29. Kong XT, Nguyen NT, Choi YJ, et al. Phase 2 study of bortezomib combined with temozolomide and regional radiation therapy for upfront treatment of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: safety and efficacy assessment. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2018;100(5):1195–1203 [Erratum in *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2019; 103(5):1289.]
- Libermann TA, Nusbaum HR, Razon N, et al. Amplification, enhanced expression and possible rearrangement of *EGF* receptor gene in primary human brain tumours of glial origin. *Nature*. 1985;313(5998):144–147.
- Chakravarti A, Chakladar A, Delaney MA, Latham DE, Loeffler JS. The epidermal growth factor receptor pathway mediates resistance to sequential administration of radiation and chemotherapy in primary human glioblastoma cells in a RAS-dependent manner. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(15):4307–4315.
- Barker FG II, Simmons ML, Chang SM, et al. *EGFR* overexpression and radiation response in glioblastoma multiforme. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2001;51(2):410–418.
- Prados MD, Lamborn KR, Chang S, et al. Phase 1 study of erlotinib HCl alone and combined with temozolomide in patients with stable or recurrent malignant glioma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2006;8(1):67–78.
- Pachow D, Wick W, Gutmann DH, Mawrin C. The mTOR signaling pathway as a treatment target for intracranial neoplasms. *Neuro Oncol.* 2015;17(2):189–199.

- Tanaka K, Babic I, Nathanson D, et al. Oncogenic *EGFR* signaling activates an mTORC2-NF-κB pathway that promotes chemotherapy resistance. *Cancer Discov.* 2011;1(6):524–538.
- Johnson BE, Mazor T, Hong C, et al. Mutational analysis reveals the origin and therapy-driven evolution of recurrent glioma. *Science*. 2014;343(6167):189–193.
- Eshleman JS, Carlson BL, Mladek AC, Kastner BD, Shide KL, Sarkaria JN. Inhibition of the mammalian target of rapamycin sensitizes U87 xenografts to fractionated radiation therapy. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(24):7291–7297.
- Rao RD, Mladek AC, Lamont JD, et al. Disruption of parallel and converging signaling pathways contributes to the synergistic antitumor effects of simultaneous mTOR and EGFR inhibition in GBM cells. *Neoplasia*. 2005;7(10):921–929.
- O'Reilly KE, Rojo F, She QB, et al. mTOR inhibition induces upstream receptor tyrosine kinase signaling and activates Akt. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66(3):1500–1508.
- Kahn J, Hayman TJ, Jamal M, et al. The mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibitor AZD2014 enhances the radiosensitivity of glioblastoma stem-like cells. *Neuro Oncol.* 2014;16(1):29–37.
- Camphausen K, Cerna D, Scott T, et al. Enhancement of in vitro and in vivo tumor cell radiosensitivity by valproic acid. *Int J Cancer.* 2005;114(3):380–386.
- Chinnaiyan P, Cerna D, Burgan WE, et al. Postradiation sensitization of the histone deacetylase inhibitor valproic acid. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(17):5410–5415.
- Weller M, Gorlia T, Cairncross JG, et al. Prolonged survival with valproic acid use in the EORTC/NCIC temozolomide trial for glioblastoma. *Neurology*. 2011;77(12):1156–1164.
- Barker CA, Bishop AJ, Chang M, Beal K, Chan TA. Valproic acid use during radiation therapy for glioblastoma associated with improved survival. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013;86(3):504–509.
- Kerkhof M, Dielemans JC, van Breemen MS, et al. Effect of valproic acid on seizure control and on survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. *Neuro Oncol.* 2013;15(7):961–967.
- Happold C, Gorlia T, Chinot O, et al. Does valproic acid or levetiracetam improve survival in glioblastoma? A pooled analysis of prospective clinical trials in newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(7):731–739.
- Fay MF, Head R, Sminia P, et al. Valproate in adjuvant glioblastoma treatment. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(25):3105–3107.
- Chinnaiyan P, Vallabhaneni G, Armstrong E, Huang SM, Harari PM. Modulation of radiation response by histone deacetylase inhibition. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005;62(1):223–229.
- Kim MS, Blake M, Baek JH, Kohlhagen G, Pommier Y, Carrier F. Inhibition of histone deacetylase increases cytotoxicity to anticancer drugs targeting DNA. *Cancer Res.* 2003;63(21):7291–7300.
- Jain RK, di Tomaso E, Duda DG, Loeffler JS, Sorensen AG, Batchelor TT. Angiogenesis in brain tumours. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2007;8(8):610–622.
- Gilbert MR, Dignam JJ, Armstrong TS, et al. A randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(8):699–708.
- Chinot OL, Wick W, Mason W, et al. Bevacizumab plus radiotherapytemozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(8):709–722.
- Chan AS, Leung SY, Wong MP, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors in the anaplastic progression of astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and ependymoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1998;22(7):816–826.
- Zhou YH, Tan F, Hess KR, Yung WK. The expression of *PAX6, PTEN*, vascular endothelial growth factor, and epidermal growth factor receptor

in gliomas: relationship to tumor grade and survival. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9(9):3369–3375.

276

- Teicher BA, Menon K, Alvarez E, Shih C, Faul MM. Antiangiogenic and antitumor effects of a protein kinase Cβ inhibitor in human breast cancer and ovarian cancer xenografts. *Invest New Drugs*. 2002;20(3):241–251.
- Tabatabai G, Frank B, Wick A, et al. Synergistic antiglioma activity of radiotherapy and enzastaurin. *Ann Neurol*. 2007;61(2):153–161.
- Wedge SR, Ogilvie DJ, Dukes M, et al. ZD6474 inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor signaling, angiogenesis, and tumor growth following oral administration. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(16):4645–4655.
- Carlomagno F, Vitagliano D, Guida T, et al. ZD6474, an orally available inhibitor of KDR tyrosine kinase activity, efficiently blocks oncogenic RET kinases. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(24):7284–7290.
- Rich JN, Sathornsumetee S, Keir ST, et al. ZD6474, a novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and epidermal growth factor receptor, inhibits tumor growth of multiple nervous system tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11(22):8145–8157.
- Sandström M, Johansson M, Bergström P, Bergenheim AT, Henriksson R. Effects of the VEGFR inhibitor ZD6474 in combination with radiotherapy and temozolomide in an orthotopic glioma model. *J Neurooncol.* 2008;88(1):1–9.
- Sandström M, Johansson M, Andersson U, Bergh A, Bergenheim AT, Henriksson R. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD6474 inhibits tumour growth in an intracerebral rat glioma model. *Br J Cancer.* 2004;91(6):1174–1180.
- Damiano V, Melisi D, Bianco C, et al. Cooperative antitumor effect of multitargeted kinase inhibitor ZD6474 and ionizing radiation in glioblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11(15):5639–5644.
- Costa SL, Paillaud E, Fages C, et al. Effects of a novel synthetic retinoid on malignant glioma in vitro: inhibition of cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis and differentiation. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001;37(4):520–530.
- Malone C, Schiltz PM, Nayak SK, Shea MW, Dillman RO. Combination interferon-alpha2a and 13-cis-retinoic acid enhances radiosensitization of human malignant glioma cells in vitro. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1999;5(2):417–423.
- 65. Behrens PF, Langemann H, Strohschein R, Draeger J, Hennig J. Extracellular glutamate and other metabolites in and around RG2 rat glioma: an intracerebral microdialysis study. *J Neurooncol.* 2000;47(1):11–22.
- Takano T, Lin JH, Arcuino G, Gao Q, Yang J, Nedergaard M. Glutamate release promotes growth of malignant gliomas. *Nat Med.* 2001;7(9):1010–1015.
- 67. Howes JF, Bell C. Talampanel. Neurotherapeutics. 2007;4(1):126-129.
- Ye ZC, Sontheimer H. Glioma cells release excitotoxic concentrations of glutamate. *Cancer Res.* 1999;59(17):4383–4391.
- 69. Ishiuchi S, Tsuzuki K, Yoshida Y, et al. Blockage of Ca(2+)-permeable AMPA receptors suppresses migration and induces apoptosis in human glioblastoma cells. *Nat Med.* 2002;8(9):971–978.
- Iwamoto FM, Kreisl TN, Kim L, et al. Phase 2 trial of talampanel, a glutamate receptor inhibitor, for adults with recurrent malignant gliomas. *Cancer.* 2010;116(7):1776–1782.
- Dou QP, Zonder JA. Overview of proteasome inhibitor-based anti-cancer therapies: perspective on bortezomib and second generation proteasome inhibitors versus future generation inhibitors of ubiquitin-proteasome system. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. 2014;14(6):517–536.
- Adams J. The proteasome: a suitable antineoplastic target. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004;4(5):349–360.
- Chen D, Frezza M, Schmitt S, Kanwar J, Dou QP. Bortezomib as the first proteasome inhibitor anticancer drug: current status and future perspectives. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. 2011;11(3):239–253.

- Bredel M, Scholtens DM, Yadav AK, et al. NFKBIA deletion in glioblastomas. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(7):627–637.
- Styczynski J, Olszewska-Slonina D, Kolodziej B, Napieraj M, Wysocki M. Activity of bortezomib in glioblastoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2006;26(6B):4499–4503.
- Yin D, Zhou H, Kumagai T, et al. Proteasome inhibitor PS-341 causes cell growth arrest and apoptosis in human glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). *Oncogene*. 2005;24(3):344–354.
- Zhang X, Li W, Wang C, et al. Inhibition of autophagy enhances apoptosis induced by proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in human glioblastoma U87 and U251 cells. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2014;385(1-2):265–275.
- Kubicek GJ, Werner-Wasik M, Machtay M, et al. Phase I trial using proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and concurrent temozolomide and radiotherapy for central nervous system malignancies. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2009;74(2):433–439.
- Mandel JJ, Yust-Katz S, Patel AJ, et al. Inability of positive phase II clinical trials of investigational treatments to subsequently predict positive phase III clinical trials in glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2018;20(1):113–122.
- Mandel JJ, Youssef M, Ludmir E, Yust-Katz S, Patel AJ, De Groot JF. Highlighting the need for reliable clinical trials in glioblastoma. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* 2018;18(10):1031–1040.
- Kleinberg L, Supko JG, Mikkelsen T, et al. Phase I Adult Brain Tumor Consortium (ABTC) trial of ABT-888 (veliparib), temozolomide (TMZ), and radiotherapy (RT) for newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) including pharmacokinetic (PK) data. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(15 suppl):2065.
- 82. Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP, et al; European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumour and Radiation Oncology Groups; National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10(5):459–466.
- Wick W, Steinbach JP, Platten M, et al. Enzastaurin before and concomitant with radiation therapy, followed by enzastaurin maintenance therapy, in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma without MGMT promoter hypermethylation. *Neuro Oncol.* 2013;15(10):1405–1412.
- 84. Sim HW, Barnes E, Lwin Z, et al. Health-related quality of life (HRQL) in VERTU: A randomized phase II trial of veliparib (V), radiotherapy (RT), and temozolomide (TMZ) for newly diagnosed MGMT unmethylated (uMGMT) glioblastoma (GBM). J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(15 suppl):2042.
- Laack NN, Galanis E, Anderson SK, et al. Randomized, placebocontrolled, phase II study of dasatinib with standard chemo-radiotherapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM), NCCTG N0877 (Alliance). *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(15 suppl):2013.
- Beauchesne P, Bernier V, Carnin C, et al. Prolonged survival for patients with newly diagnosed, inoperable glioblastoma with 3-times daily ultrafractionated radiation therapy. *Neuro Oncol.* 2010;12(6):595–602.
- Beauchesnes PD, Faure G, Noel G, et al. Concurrent, 3-times daily ultrafractionated radiation therapy and temozolomide for newly inoperable glioblastoma: TEMOFRAC a phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(15 suppl):2073.
- Friedman HS, Prados MD, Wen PY, et al. Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(28):4733–4740.
- Kreisl TN, Kim L, Moore K, et al. Phase II trial of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumor progression in recurrent glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(5):740–745.
- Lai A, Tran A, Nghiemphu PL, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab plus temozolomide during and after radiation therapy for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(2):142–148.