Regular Article - Experimental Physics

Radon daughter removal from PTFE surfaces and its application in liquid xenon detectors

S. Bruenner^{a, b}, D. Cichon, G. Eurin^c, P. Herrero Gómez^d, F. Jörg, T. Marrodán Undagoitia, H. Simgen, N. Rupp^e

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

Received: 21 September 2020 / Accepted: 10 March 2021 / Published online: 21 April 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract Long-lived radon daughters are a critical background source in experiments searching for low-energy rare events. Originating from radon in ambient air, radioactive polonium, bismuth and lead isotopes plate-out on materials that are later employed in the experiment. In this paper, we examine cleaning procedures for their capability to remove radon daughters from PTFE surfaces, a material often used in liquid xenon TPCs. We find a large difference between the removal efficiency obtained for the decay chains of ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn. This indicates that the plate-out mechanism has an effect on the cleaning success. While the long-lived ²²²Rn daughters can be reduced by a factor of 2, the removal of ²²⁰Rn daughters is up to 10 times more efficient depending on the treatment. Furthermore, the impact of a nitric acid based PTFE cleaning on the liquid xenon purity is investigated in a small-scale liquid xenon TPC.

1 Introduction

In low-energy experiments searching for rare signals, such as dark matter interactions or neutrinoless double beta decay [1,2], it is of utmost importance to suppress backgrounds to a negligible level. Radon progenies can plate-out on the detector surface when exposing it to air, which contains radon. An important background contribution arises from the ²²²Rn decay products subsequent to the long-lived ²¹⁰Pb ($T_{1/2} =$

22.3 years), as they persist during the entire run-time of such experiments [3–7].

PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) is commonly used in many low-background experiments and often large surface areas of this material are exposed to the active volume of the detector [8–14]. It is a material that tends to carry negative charges, due to the triboelectric effect [15–17], whereas radon daughters have a high probability to be positively charged [18,19]. Therefore, their plate-out probability onto PTFE surfaces is enhanced in comparison to e.g. steel surfaces [20]. Background events may be induced by direct radiation from α -, β - and γ -decays. Moreover, α -decays of ²¹⁰Po may induce background by the recoiling nucleus ²⁰⁶Pb as well as by neutrons. The latter are generated by (α ,n)reactions on the fluorine in PTFE [21].

In this work, we present different treatments for PTFE surfaces for the removal of plated-out decay daughters originating from the ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn decay chains. Both decay chains, including half-life and decay energies, are shown in Fig. 1. A commonly used detector type for rare-event searches are noble gas Time Projection Chambers (TPCs). To employ a PTFE surface treatment in such a detector, the detector's signal production should not be impacted by chemical residuals from the cleaning.

In Sect. 2.1, the preparation of PTFE samples loaded with radon daughters and the measurement of their surface activity is described. In Sect. 2.2, surface cleaning procedures for the removal of ²²²Rn daughters are presented. As the β -decay of lead is a central source of background in dark matter experiments, we performed a more thorough study on its removal in Sect. 2.3, employing a ²²⁰Rn source. In Sect. 2.4, the results on the lead removal from both radon chains are compared. In Sect. 3, we test the application of a selected cleaning method in a local liquid xenon TPC.



^ae-mail: stefanb@nikhef.nl

^b Present Address: Nikhef, Amsterdam, 1089XG/ Amsterdam, Netherlands

^c Present Address: CEA/Saclay, IRFU (Institut de Recherche sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette CEDEX, France

^d Present Address: Donostia International Physics Center, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain

^e e-mail: natascha.rupp@mpi-hd.mpg.de (corresponding author)



Fig. 1 Decay chains of 222 Rn (left) and 220 Rn (right). While all 220 Rn daughters are short-lived, the 222 Rn chain is typically broken due to the long-lived lead isotope 210 Pb

2 Surface treatments for radon daughter removal

2.1 Sample preparation and measurement of surface activity

For our study we use circular 0.5 mm thick PTFE plates with a diameter of 50 mm. In order to enrich the samples' surfaces with radon daughters, we employ the set-up sketched in Fig. 2 (left). The sample discs are placed in a vessel which is connected to a ²²²Rn or ²²⁰Rn emanation source, depending on the radon chain under investigation. For the plate-out of ²²²Rn daughters, we use uranium oxide powder as a source which emanates 222 Rn at a rate of ~ 1 MBq. A recirculation pump ensures a constant gas flow of ~ 1 slpm of radon enriched air through the sample vessel. The loading of ²²²Rn daughters spanned over a time period of two years, including short interruptions for maintenance of the set-up. Thereafter, the samples were stored for another two years prior to our measurements without any further loading. Consequently, we can assume in good approximation that the long-lived radon daughters ²¹⁰Pb, ²¹⁰Bi and ²¹⁰Po are in secular equilibrium and independent from systematic irregularities during the loading process, such as changed or interrupted recirculation flows or different plate-out rates among the samples. For studying ²²⁰Rn daughters, we employ a 4 kBq ²²⁸Th source of a similar type as described in [22]. The loading with non stable ²²⁰Rn daughters on the PTFE plates saturates already after a few days due to the relatively short half-lives in the decay chain (see Fig. 1). For the loaded samples, we can assume secular equilibrium between ²¹²Pb, which has the longest half-life of 10.5 hours, and the subsequent daughter isotopes in the decay chain.

The surface activity of the loaded samples is measured by means of an α -spectrometer which is sketched in Fig. 2 (middle). During the measurement, the sample is placed in the center of the sample tray facing a windowless silicon PIN-diode (Hamamatsu Si PIN photodiode model S3204-09) which is mounted on the top flange. The diode has a rectangular shape and $1.7 \,\mathrm{cm}^2$ of sensitive surface. The sample tray can be adjusted vertically in order to minimize the gap between diode and sample and thus optimize for the detection efficiency concerning the α -emission's solid angle. For this study, the distance to the diode is kept constant at 6.1 mm which results in a detection efficiency of 0.32 for the sample's side facing the diode [23]. During the measurements, the α -spectrometer is continuously pumped to avoid energy losses of the α -particles in residual ambient gas. The anode and cathode signals from the diode are amplified, subtracted from each other and processed by a multi-channel analyzer (MCA). In the right panel of Fig. 2, a typical energy spectrum is shown as acquired when studying the removal of ²²⁰Rn daughters. The peaks of ²¹²Po and ²¹²Bi are clearly visible. The latter is overlapping with the ²¹⁰Po peak which is also present in the ²²⁰Rn data as a background. It is originat-



Fig. 2 (Left) Schematic drawing of the loading set-up. The PTFE samples are placed in a vessel which is flushed with radon-enriched air from an emanation source. (Middle) The surface activity of the loaded samples is measured by the depicted alpha-spectrometer. During mea-

surement, the spectrometer is under vacuum. An adjustable sample tray allows placing the sample close to the sensitive silicon PIN photo diode. (Right) Example of an alpha-spectrum as it is obtained when measuring ²²⁰Rn daughters after cleaning

ing from the contamination of the spectrometer and the PINdiode themselves due to an earlier exposure of the detector to ²²²Rn. During our studies, the ²¹⁰Po background is monitored and taken into account when determining the ²¹⁰Po reduction after the investigated procedures. The alpha peaks are fitted using the crystal-ball function (red line) as in [24]. It consists of a Gaussian part and a left-sided tail which accounts for the asymmetric peak shape. In order to determine the activity, we chose a selection window of $+3\sigma$ and -5σ around the fitted Gaussian mean of the ²¹⁰Po and ²¹²Bi peak, respectively. For the well separated ²¹²Po peak, the selection window is increased to $+5\sigma$ and -10σ . It should be noted that the ²²⁰Rn daughters are not present during our study of the ²¹⁰Po removal. Since only relative activity changes are used to determine the reduction of radon daughters, we do not correct for the fraction of counts outside the selection window.

2.2 Removal of ²²²Rn daughters from PTFE surfaces

In this study, we investigate the ²¹⁰Po surface activity of four different PTFE discs loaded with long lived ²²²Rn daughters. After the initial activity has been measured, we apply different cleaning procedures in sequence and determine the ²¹⁰Po reduction after each cleaning step. For some procedures, we have monitored the evolution of ²¹⁰Po on the sample surface over several weeks before applying the next cleaning step. As described later, we can use this time evolution to study also the removal of ²¹⁰Pb during the investigated cleaning procedures.

In Table 1, the investigated procedures are summarized. Nitric acid (HNO₃) is commonly used for the cleaning of PTFE parts [13,25]. In our study, we test different nitric acid solutions of 5% and 32% concentration (by mass), respectively, and at room temperature. Another nitric acid cleaning is performed at an increased temperature of 60 °C. During all those treatments, the PTFE sample is placed in 100 ml of a nitric acid solution for 2 hours. For the first 15 min, the glass containing the sample in the acid solution is immersed in an ultrasonic bath. After the treatment, the sample is thoroughly rinsed with deionized water (DI-water). In case of the so-called multiple HNO₃ procedure, the PTFE is kept for 15 minutes in a 32% nitric acid solution while being simultaneously exposed to ultrasound. Then, the sample is immersed three times in a nitric acid solution of the same concentration for 90 seconds each. Before every sample immersion, the solution is exchanged for a fresh one. This procedure has been chosen in order to probe the potential re-deposition of ²¹⁰Po on the surface similar to what has been observed for copper [26]. Due to the quick exchange of the acid, the exposure time is kept short such that re-deposition will be significantly reduced with respect to the other nitric acid procedures. We also test a sodium hydroxide treatment where the PTFE sample is immersed for 2 hours in the cleaning bath including 15 minutes of ultrasound exposure. In another procedure, the sample is thoroughly wiped using a clean-room tissue soaked with ethanol. Furthermore, we study the effect of complete immersion of the sample into an ethanol bath including 15 minutes of ultrasound treatment.

Table 2 shows the measured ²¹⁰Po activity for the four PTFE sample discs before and after the investigated procedures described in Table 1. In case of disc 1 and disc 2, a sequence of cleaning steps is applied, whereas for discs 3 and disc 4 only a single cleaning procedure is tested. These sequences of cleaning steps should not be understood as a dedicated, multi-staged procedure. The objective is to investigate the cleaning effect of different procedures also after some of the surface contamination has been removed in the typically most efficient first cleaning step. For disc 2 and disc 4, we measure the activity of both disc sides which

Table 1 Investigated cleaning procedures applied to PTFE samples loaded with ²²²Rn daughters. The acid concentrations are given by weight

Cleaning procedure	Description	
Weak HNO ₃	5% nitric acid solution for 2h, including 15 min in ultrasound	
Strong HNO ₃	32% nitric acid solution for 2h, including 15 min in ultrasound	
Hot HNO ₃	32% nitric acid solution at 60°C for 2 h, including 15 min in ultrasound	
Multiple HNO ₃	15 min 32% nitric acid solution in ultrasound +	
	Immerse sample three times in fresh nitric acid baths (32%) for 90s each	
Sodium hydroxide	5% sodium hydroxide for 2h, including 15 min in ultrasound	
Ethanol wiping	Wipe sample using a clean-room tissue soaked with ethanol	
Ethanol bath	Ethanol bath for 15 min while applying ultrasound	

Table 2210Po activity in
counts/min measured after
having applied different
cleaning procedures. The
relative reduction achieved in a
certain cleaning step is given in
% with respect to the previous
step. In case of disc 2 and disc 4,
both the front side (a) and the
back side (b) of the sample are
measured

	Disc 1	Disc 2a	Disc 2b
Start act.	18.34 ± 0.26	22.4 ± 0.8	7.75 ± 0.22
1st step	ethanol wiping	ethanol wiping	ethanol wiping
	11.20 ± 0.04	13.3 ± 0.3	3.99 ± 0.12
	$-(38.9\pm0.9)\%$	$-(41 \pm 3)\%$	$-(48.5 \pm 2.1)\%$
2nd step	weak HNO ₃	ethanol wiping	ethanol wiping
	8.55 ± 0.07	10.68 ± 0.20	3.52 ± 0.07
	$-(23.7\pm0.8)\%$	$-(19.7 \pm 2.4)\%$	$-(12 \pm 3)\%$
3rd step	strong HNO ₃	strong HNO ₃	strong HNO ₃
	7.64 ± 0.02	10.08 ± 0.25	3.22 ± 0.07
	$-(10.6 \pm 0.8)\%$	$-(6 \pm 3)\%$	$-(9 \pm 3)\%$
4th step	hot HNO ₃	multiple HNO ₃	multiple HNO ₃
	7.27 ± 0.04	8.8 ± 0.5	3.01 ± 0.06
	$-(4.8 \pm 0.6)\%$	$-(13 \pm 5)\%$	$-(7 \pm 3)\%$
5th step	-	sodium hydroxide	sodium hydroxide
		-	2.86 ± 0.13
			$-(5 \pm 5)\%$
6th step	-	ethanol wiping	ethanol wiping
		_	2.66 ± 0.05
			$-(7 \pm 5)\%$
	Disc 3	Disc 4a	Disc 4b
Start act.	7.52 ± 0.22	16.3 ± 0.6	6.59 ± 0.19
1st step	strong HNO3	ethanol bath	ethanol bath
	4.91 ± 0.25	12.2 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.3
	$-(35 \pm 3)\%$	$-(25 \pm 4)\%$	$-(24 \pm 5)\%$

are referred to as *a* and *b*, respectively. Since alphas cannot penetrate the PTFE disc, both sides are considered as independent samples. Fig. 3 is the visualization of the results obtained for disc 2b. For all samples, the best result is found after the first cleaning step when a 210 Po reduction of up to 50% is achieved as in case of ethanol wiping (disc 2b). All subsequent cleaning steps do not show a further reduction of comparable size, independent of the applied procedure. This observation implies that half of the plated-out 210 Po is located directly on the sample's surface and can be efficiently

removed. The remaining contamination, on the other hand, is hardly accessible with our cleaning procedures. Since the observed reduction of the multiple HNO₃ procedure is comparable with the reduction of the strong HNO₃ procedure, we can exclude re-deposition of polonium on the disc surface from the cleaning liquid.

So far, we have discussed only the removal of ²¹⁰Po. However, the low energetic beta decay of ²¹⁰Pb is also an issue for many low background experiments. Due to its chemical properties, the removal of lead achieved in the clean-



Fig. 3 Evolution of the 210 Po activity obtained after different cleaning steps for sample disc 2b. The x-axis gives the time distance of the single runs with respect to the first measurement of the sample

ing procedures is expected to be different from the obtained polonium results. Since the β -decay of ²¹⁰Pb cannot be measured by means of alpha spectroscopy, we conclude on the lead removal only indirectly by analyzing the time evolution of the ²¹⁰Po activity after each cleaning step. Due to the ²¹⁰Po half-life of 138 d, this analysis requires a monitoring of the sample's polonium activity over a time of several weeks. After ~ 50 days we can expect activity changes of 10% at maximum, i.e., in the extreme cases when either all or no ²¹⁰Pb has been removed. The required data is available for disc 1 and disc 2 for some of the cleaning procedures (see Fig. 3 for disc 2b). Our ²¹⁰Po evolution model is based on the assumption that at the beginning of this study ²¹⁰Pb was in a secular equilibrium with its daughter isotopes ²¹⁰Bi and ²¹⁰Po (see Sect. 2.1). Thus, the measured ²¹⁰Po activity before the first cleaning step, referred to as A_0 , corresponds also to the activity of ²¹⁰Pb. Due to different cleaning efficiencies, however, the relative amount of lead and polonium might change in the investigated procedures. After each cleaning step, the time evolution of the ²¹⁰Pb nuclide on the sample's surface is given by its radioactive decay

$$N_{\rm Pb}(t) = N_{\rm Pb}^0 \cdot e^{-\lambda_{\rm Pb} \cdot t} \approx N_{\rm Pb}^0 = \text{const} \quad , \tag{1}$$

but is in good approximation constant until the next cleaning procedure is applied. The parameter N_{Pb}^0 refers to the number of atoms at the time right after the investigated cleaning step, i.e., at t = 0 for the later modeled time evolution. The fit function for the ²¹⁰Po evolution is then obtained from the differential equations describing the time evolution of the bismuth and polonium nuclides, respectively:

$$dN_{\rm Bi}(t)/dt = \lambda_{\rm Pb} \cdot N_{\rm Pb}^0 - \lambda_{\rm Bi} \cdot N_{\rm Bi}(t)$$

with $N_{\rm Bi}(t=0) = \begin{cases} 0 \rightarrow \min. Bi \\ \frac{A_0}{\lambda_{\rm Bi}} \rightarrow \max. Bi \end{cases}$ (2)

$$\frac{dN_{\rm Po}(t)}{dt} = \lambda_{\rm Bi} \cdot N_{\rm Bi}(t) - \lambda_{\rm Po} \cdot N_{\rm Po}(t)$$

with $N_{\rm Po}(t=0) = N_{\rm Po}^0$. (3)



Fig. 4 Fitted activities for ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po after different cleaning steps applied to disc 2b. No difference in the cleaning efficiency between lead and polonium could be found

²¹⁰Bi is the direct mother isotope of ²¹⁰Po and undergoes a beta-decay with a half-life of 5 days. Similarly to ²¹⁰Pb, we cannot measure the bismuth reduction directly. In order to account for the impact of bismuth on the evolution of ²¹⁰Po, we treat the two extreme scenarios for the ²¹⁰Bi reduction separately. In the so-called min. Bi scenario, the investigated cleaning step removes all ²¹⁰Bi from the sample disc. Thus, we assume the amount of bismuth atoms right after the cleaning step to be 0. In the other extreme case, referred to as max. Bi, the cleaning is assumed not to remove any bismuth and its amount at t = 0 is given by the activity of ²¹⁰Pb before the cleaning step. For all fits we use the most conservative start activity A_0 for max. Bi, assuming previous cleaning procedures have removed neither ²¹⁰Pb nor ²¹⁰Bi. The start activity A_0 is measured for every sample disc before the beginning of our cleaning study (see Table 2). Therefore, the only fit parameters are $N_{\rm Pb}^0$ and $N_{\rm Po}^0$, respectively. We select periods where the ²¹⁰Po activity has been monitored for longer than 20 days after a cleaning step and determine the 210 Pb activity during that period by fit.

Figure 4 shows the activities determined from N_{Pb}^0 (red) and N_{Po}^0 (blue) obtained for disc 2b after the different cleaning steps. For the error estimation we combine the fit results obtained assuming both extreme cases *min. Bi* and *max. Bi*, respectively. The relatively large uncertainty on the ²¹⁰Pb activity after the ethanol wipe and the strong HNO₃ procedure results from the short period of ²¹⁰Po monitoring after the treatments. No significantly different cleaning efficiencies between both radon daughters are found. In fact, the fitted reduction of ²¹⁰Pb seems to follow the measured ²¹⁰Po activity. Similar results are obtained in the analysis of the polonium evolution of other sample discs.



Fig. 5 Example of a ²¹²Pb removal measurement (DI-water). At first the alpha activity of the sample disc is measured (Δt_1). During the cleaning process we monitor the background of the alpha-spectrometer (Δt_2 and inlet figure). After the cleaning, the now significantly reduced alpha activity is measured (Δt_3)

2.3 Removal of ²²⁰Rn daughters from PTFE surfaces

In order to directly measure the removal of lead after cleaning, we perform further studies using PTFE samples loaded with ²²⁰Rn daughters. As depicted in Fig. 1 the decay chain of ²²⁰Rn includes only relatively short lived isotopes. When starting our measurements, only ²¹²Pb with a half-life of 10.6 hours and its daughter isotopes is present on the samples surface. As a consequence, the time evolution of the α -decaying daughters ²¹²Bi and ²¹²Po is described by the radioactive decay of ²¹²Pb. Thus, we can study the lead removal by means of alpha spectrometry on a much shorter time-scale with respect to ²¹⁰Pb discussed in the previous section. For studying the ²²⁰Rn daughters, the samples are newly loaded after each treatment. The PTFE discs used in this study are not identical with the discs employed for the ²²²Rn daughter removal measurements, but have been fabricated in the same way.

Figure 5 shows an example of a ²¹²Pb removal measurement. In our analysis, we combine the alpha-decays of both lead daughters ²¹²Bi and ²¹²Po, respectively. This can be done since the decays originate from two separate branches in the decay chain (see Fig. 1) and are thus statistically independent. During the first period of the measurement (Δt_1), we monitor the alpha activity of the non treated sample disc after it has been loaded with radon daughters. From the number of counts, we can infer the alpha-activity at t = 0 (the start time of Δt_1), which serves as a reference. The second phase (Δt_2) is a background measurement of the set-up. It is performed by replacing the sample with an unloaded sample disc. In all runs we find background events from ²²⁰Rn daughters. Our hypothesis is that lead atoms evaporate from the PTFE in the vacuum and plate-out on surrounding surfaces such as the PIN diode. The background measurement is done while the investigated cleaning procedure is applied in parallel. In the last phase of the run (Δt_3), the alpha activity of the cleaned sample is measured and the reduced activity is extrapolated to t = 0. We determine the reduction factor from the comparison with the start activity before cleaning. The background of the detector is taken into account. Note that the background rate does not get reduced by the cleaning but shows a time evolution given by the decay of ²¹²Pb. Different cleaning efficiencies of ²¹²Pb and ²¹²Bi could impact the measurement shortly after cleaning. This effect is visible in Fig. 5 (DI-water procedure) at the beginning of Δt_3 where it takes about 200 minutes until lead and bismuth reach an equilibrium.

We test different chemicals which are commonly used for the cleaning of detector materials. This includes nitric acid (HNO₃), citric acid (C₆H₈O₇) or hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) [13,25,27]. In addition, we study the impact of other parameters on the cleaning efficiency such as an ultrasound treatment or the exposure time in the acidic bath. The results are summarized in Table 3. Each sample has been loaded only once with radon daughters before the measurement. In case of samples 4, 7 and 8 the investigated procedure is applied a second time after having determined the ²¹²Pb reduction in the first cleaning step. All reduction factors in Table 3 are determined with respect to the untreated sample.

A sample treated in DI-water with ultrasound, where a ²¹²Pb reduction factor of 3.5 has been found, serves as a reference measurement. The 5% (by mass) nitric acid treatment for 1 hour gives inconsistent results across the different samples but the averaged ²¹²Pb reduction is about twice as efficient as the water procedure. A higher reduction is achieved by increasing the exposure time in the acid or by using ultrasound during the treatment. A maximum reduction factor of \sim 14 is found for the 5% nitric acid treatment which cannot be further improved by a longer exposure as indicated by the comparison of samples 5 and 6 in Table 3. In case of the 32% nitric acid, the ²¹²Pb removal is doubled with respect to the 5% solution, reaching a reduction factor of (34 ± 3) after 2.5 hours in the acidic bath. Longer exposure times have not been tested but might yield an even larger reduction. The citric acid is found to be as efficient as the 5% nitric acid solution. For the acetic acid procedure applied to sample 9, the PTFE disc is first put for 5 minutes into an acetic acid (1%) solution followed by the immersion for 5 minutes into a HNO₃ (1%) + H_2O_2 (3%) solution. Thereafter, the acid baths are renewed and the procedure is repeated which results in a total exposure of 10 minutes to both chemical solutions (acetic acid and $HNO_3 + H_2O_2$). The usage of acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide for lead removal has been studied, e.g., in [28] for chromium surfaces. The reduction factor measured for our procedure is (16.5 ± 1.2) , slightly higher than the reduction achieved for citric acid and nitric acid (5%). For all tested pro**Table 3** Achieved ²¹²Pb reduction for different cleaning chemicals. The acid concentrations are given by weight. Every treatment finishes with DI-water rinsing. Some procedures include different exposure times or the usage of ultrasound (US). In case of samples 4, 7 and 8 the

procedure is repeated after measuring the 212 Pb reduction for the first time. All reduction factors are determined with respect to the untreated sample

Chem. solution	Sample	Description of procedure	Red. factor
DI-water	1	DI-water bath for 1 h incl. 15 min US	3.49 ± 0.09
HNO ₃ (5%)	2	Acidic bath for 1 h	4.9 ± 0.3
	3	Acidic bath for 1 h	6.3 ± 0.4
	4	Acidic bath for 1 h	11.5 ± 0.4
		Repeat procedure (2 h in total)	14.2 ± 0.6
	5	Acidic bath for 1 h incl. 15 min US	13.7 ± 1.4
	6	Acidic bath for 2.5 h incl. 15 min US	14.4 ± 0.8
HNO ₃ (32%)	7	Acidic bath for 1 h incl. 15 min US	23.2 ± 2.5
		Repeat procedure (2 h incl. 30 min US in total)	34 ± 3
citric acid (11%)	8	Acidic bath for 1 h incl. 15 min US	13.2 ± 0.6
		Repeat procedure (2 h incl. 30 min US in total)	14.3 ± 0.7
acetic acid (1%),	9	10 min in acetic acid solution +	165 ± 1.2
1% HNO ₃ + 3% H ₂ O ₂		$10 \min$ in (HNO ₃ + H ₂ O ₂) solution	10.3 ± 1.2

cedures, however, the reduction of 220 Rn daughters is found to be much larger with respect to the results obtained for 222 Rn daughters.

2.4 Comparison of ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹²Pb cleaning results

Since both lead isotopes are chemically identical, the reason for the different removal achievements is thought to be caused by the migration of radon daughters from the surface into the bulk material. We suppose that diffusion and the recoil energy induced by alpha-decays are possible transport mechanisms. In [29], the diffusion of ²²²Rn in polyethylene was determined by measuring the profile of ²¹⁰Po inside the material. They obtained diffusion lengths at a millimeter scale. Also for our samples the diffusion of radon is one mechanism to transport long-lived radon daughters into the PTFE bulk. Since ²²²Rn features the longer half-life, it is expected to diffuse more efficiently into the PTFE than ²²⁰Rn before disintegration which could explain the observed difference between the two radon chains. At present, we are not aware of any measurements of the diffusion of heavy metals in PTFE. However, due to the much longer lifetime of ²¹⁰Pb, even a small diffusion constant might be sufficient to let ²¹⁰Pb migrate essentially deeper into the material with respect to ²¹²Pb.

In the ²²⁰Rn decay-chain, the radon is followed by ²¹⁶Po which exhibits a very short half-life of 150 ms. Consequently, it is mostly the ²¹²Pb which plates-out on the sample disc during the loading process. This is in contrast to ²¹⁰Pb where the decay-chain of ²²²Rn includes different long-lived polo-

nium and lead isotopes which all have the chance to plateout on the PTFE. Trapped on the surface, the short-lived radon daughters continue to decay. If the subsequent decays include alpha-decays, the recoiling daughter nuclei can get implanted into the bulk material of the PTFE sample. For the 7.8 MeV decay of ²¹⁴Po, the recoil energy is 144 keV [30] which results in an average implantation depth of ~ 60 nm as simulated using the SRIM software package [31].

3 Impact on xenon purity

The chemical PTFE surface treatment could introduce impurities into the liquid xenon and impact the detector performance. To study this effect, we employ the dual-phase TPC of the so-called HeXe set-up, sketched in Fig. 6 and described in more detail in [32]. The TPC contains liquid xenon and a layer of gaseous xenon on top. Particles interacting in the xenon create scintillation light and ionization electrons. The light signal is detected by one photomuliplier tube at the top and one at the bottom of the xenon volume. The electrons are drifted upwards by an electric field. At the liquid-gas interface they are extracted and create a second, delayed scintillation light signal. A PTFE cleaning recipe could potentially induce trace amounts of electronegative impurities in the xenon, which can hinder the charge collection via electron attachment. As shown in the previous sections, the 32% HNO₃ surface treatment shows a > 30 reduction factor for radon daughters (see Table 3). Motivated by this, we tested



Fig. 6 Lateral cut of HeXe TPC. Particle interactions with the xenon atoms create scintillation light signals that are detected by two photomultiplier tubes at the top and bottom of the active volume. In our study, we apply surface cleaning methods to the exchangeable sample PTFE cylinder, as detailed in the text

the impact of 32% HNO₃ on the chemical purity of the xenon inside the TPC.

3.1 Sample preparation and measurements

The wall of the TPC's active volume has the shape of a hollow cylinder made of PTFE, as indicated in Fig. 6. The height and diameter of the cylinder is ~ 5 cm. As it is exchangeable, we employ copies of it as individual samples. Before each measurement, a new sample is treated with the cleaning chemicals under investigation and inserted into the TPC. Thus, its surface gets exposed directly to the liquid xenon and the potential desorption and outgassing of the cleaning agent into the cold medium can be tested. The sample cylinder is made of 150 g PTFE and has a surface of 220 cm^2 , whereby the total TPC's PTFE mass and surface is larger by a factor of 39 and 19, respectively. The TPC's active volume contains \sim 345 g of liquid xenon, with a total mass of \sim 2.3 kg hosted in the cryostat. During a measurement, a homogeneous drift field of ~ 390 V/cm is applied over a maximal drift length of 5 cm.

In this study, we compare samples that undergo a basic cleaning with the neutral detergent ELMA CLEAN 65 [33] (blank sample) to samples cleaned in addition with 32% HNO₃ (test sample). For the detergent cleaning, the sample is immersed in an ultrasonic bath, filled with a 3% mixture of ELMA CLEAN 65 and DI-water for 15 minutes at 40 °C. Afterwards, the sample is rinsed in DI-water. A test sample is additionally submerged in 32% HNO₃ for 2 hours and then rinsed again. After rinsing, all samples are dried with a N₂ flow of 200 sccm in a leak tight stainless steel (SS) vessel at an absolute pressure of 50 mbar. After 3 days, the sample is inserted into the HeXe TPC. During this operation, the sample is always bagged and kept under a N₂ atmosphere. Thus,

a possible contamination of the PTFE by air is avoided. This is important, because oxygen, water vapor and other impurities do affect the detector performance [34]. After the sample insertion, the TPC is evacuated within 20 hours to a pressure of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4} \text{ mbar})$. Subsequently, it is filled with liquid xenon and the measurement is started. During the measurement, the xenon target is permanently purified by looping xenon gas continuously through a hot gas purifier which is installed in the gas purification system connected to the TPC's cryostat. All of the described steps follow a strictly timed schedule and the system parameters, such as the recirculation flow, are adjusted to a comparable level throughout the measurements. The purity of the xenon is quantified by the so-called electron lifetime parameter τ [34]. It depends inversely on the concentration of the present impurities and the electric field-dependent electron attachment rates. As the exact composition of impurities is usually unknown, one commonly expresses the impurity level equivalent to an O₂ concentration in units of ppb [35]. The continuous xenon purification causes an increase of τ over time, up to a plateau when the outgassing rate of the detector materials, including the PTFE surface treatments, and the impurity removal rate, are equivalent. For the measurement of the τ time evolution, we employ the internal calibration source 83m Kr that allows for a clear event selection due to its characteristic delayed coincidence signature, as done in [36]. The amount of initial electrons $N_e(0)$, generated in the mono-energetic energy depositions, is reduced along the depth of the TPC since the electrons are trapped by impurities. Hence, only a fraction $N_e(t) = N_e(0) \cdot \exp(-t/\tau)$ can be detected per TPC depth, depending on τ and the electron drift time t. By comparing the time evolution of τ between blank and test samples, the potential degradation of the xenon purity due to the 32% HNO₃ treatment can be tested.

3.2 Purity results and discussion

The τ evolution of the blank samples A2, A3 (ELMA CLEAN 65) and the test sample B3 (ELMA CLEAN 65 + HNO₃) are shown in Fig. 7.

The constant xenon purification causes an increase of τ in the first ~ 14 days, after which the purification is stopped and consequently τ decreases. The error bars in Fig. 7 indicate the fit uncertainty and the shaded areas illustrate the average relative deviation between different analysis methods. The latter describes the effect of slightly altered data selection criteria and fitting procedures on the results. The A2 and B3 measurements show a comparable τ evolution which plateaus at ~ 1700 µs. In this plateau region, the τ values exhibit large fluctuations due to a limited statistics and uncertainties in the analysis method. Therefore, we conservatively define the maximal achievable electron lifetime of the system when the average relative deviation among



Fig. 7 Electron lifetime evolution of blank samples A2, A3 and test sample B3. The error bars indicate the uncertainty from the electron lifetime fit and the shaded areas illustrate the average relative deviation between different analysis methods. After ~ 14 days xenon purification is stopped, causing the electron lifetime to decrease

the analysis methods is larger than 15 %. Thus, we obtain a $\tau_{\rm max} = 1000 \,\mu s$ that is achieved within the same purification time for both the sample treated with detergent only and the one cleaned with detergent and 32% HNO₃. In Fig. 7 we also show the evolution of A3, that serves as an example of the four further measurements, that all exhibit lower τ evolution, inconsistent among each other. The inconsistency is not fully understood. We hypothesize that slight variations of the setup caused by the process of the PTFE sample cylinder insertion might yield to different xenon purification efficiencies. We convert the measured τ_{max} into an impurity concentration of 0.43 ppb O_2 equivalent [35] and determine the base outgassing rate of the entire system. For this purpose, we assume that the impurities are homogeneously distributed within the xenon and that the impurity removal efficiency of the gas purifier is 100%. Furthermore, we presume that the xenon purification rate is equivalent to the impurity outgassing rate of the system at the measured impurity concentration. Thus, at a purification flow of 2.66 slpm, we obtain an O₂ equivalent outgassing rate of $5 \cdot 10^{-11} \pmod{O_2}$ /min. Presumably, the PTFE forming the structure of the TPC, contributes to this outgassing rate in large part. From the comparable measurements of A2 and B3, we conclude that the 32% HNO₃ treatment does not increase the impurity concentration above the base level of the system. Consequently, the xenon purity is not degraded within the system's sensitivity.

4 Summary

In this paper, we investigate the potential of chemical surface treatments to remove radioactive radon daughters which originate from plate-out from ambient air. For metallic surfaces, such as copper or stainless steel, radon daughters can be successfully removed by chemical treatments where the surface gets etched on a micrometer-scale [26]. In case of PTFE, however, the cleaning procedure does not remove the surface together with its contamination but the radon daughters are leached from the material.

In our study we measure a $\sim 50\%$ reduction of the longlived radon daughters ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po on PTFE surfaces after different chemical treatments. Subsequent, additional cleaning steps on the same samples achieve only to a minor further reduction, independent on the used chemicals or procedure. Similar studies are done with PTFE samples loaded with daughters from the ²²⁰Rn decay-chain. In contrast to ²¹⁰Pb, the isotope ²¹²Pb from the ²²⁰Rn chain can be removed efficiently by the tested cleaning procedures. A reduction > 30 can be achieved depending on the applied surface treatment.

In the second part of this work, we investigate if the treatment with 32% HNO₃ affects the xenon purity in a liquid xenon TPC set-up. Therefore, the procedure is applied to the PTFE surfaces which are in direct contact with the liquid xenon target. No additional impurity release above the system's base O₂ equivalent outgassing rate of $5 \cdot 10^{-11}$ (mol O₂)/min is measured within the sensitivity of the set-up. In further studies for large-scale detectors [11], the sensitivity could be improved by employing TPCs with longer drift lengths [37]. The measurements presented in this work are the first indication that no degradation of the xenon purity is caused by a 32% HNO₃ surface treatment on PTFE.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited [Authors' comment: Data will be shared by the authors upon request.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP³.

References

- T. Marrodán Undagoitia, L. Rauch, Dark matter direct-detection experiments. J. Phys. G43(1), 013001 (2016)
- J. Schechter, J.W.F. Valle, Neutrinoless double-β decay in SU (2) × U (1) theories. Phys. Rev. D 25, 2951 (1982)
- S.C.D.M.S. Collaboration, Projected Sensitivity of the Super-CDMS SNOLAB experiment. Phys. Rev. D 95, 082002 (2017)
- J. Amsbaugh et al., An array of low-background ³He proportional counters for the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory. Nucl. Inst. Methods A 579, 1054 (2007)
- 5. M. Leung, Surface Contamination From Radon Progeny. AIP Conf. Proc. **785**, 184 (2005)
- E. Aprile et al. (XENON Collaboration), Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 111302 (2018)
- D.S. Akerib et al. (LUX Collaboration), Results from a search for dark matter in the complete LUX exposure. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 021303 (2017)
- L. Zhao et al. (PandaX Collaboration), PandaX: A deep underground dark matter search experiment in China using liquid xenon, Mod. Phys. Lett. A33 (30) 1830013 (2018)
- 9. B.J. Mount et al. (LZ Collaboration), LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Technical Design Report, arXiv:1703.09144 (2017)
- E. Aprile et al. (XENON Collaboration), The XENON1T Dark Matter Experiment. Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 881 (2017)
- DARWIN Collaboration, DARWIN: towards the ultimate dark matter detector, JCAP 1611 no.11, 017 (2016)
- nEXO Collaboration, nEXO Pre-Conceptual Design Report, arXiv:1805.11142 (2018)
- C. Alduino et al. (CUORE Collaboration), CUORE-0 detector: design, construction and operation. JINST 11, P07009 (2016)
- 14. GERDA Collaboration, The GERDA experiment for the search of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in ⁷⁶Ge, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 2330 (2013)
- H. Zou et al., Quantifying the triboelectric series. Nat. Commun. 10, 1427 (2019)
- 16. J. Henniker, Triboelectricity in polymers. Nature 196, 474 (1962)
- C. Liu, A.J. Bard, Electrostatic electrochemistry at insulators. Nat. Mater. 7, 505 (2008)
- Neutralisation rate and the fraction of the positive ²¹⁸Po-clusters in air, Atmos. Environ. **37**, 1057 (2003)
- EXO-200 Collaboration, Measurements of the ion fraction and mobility of alpha and beta decay products in liquid xenon using EXO-200, Phys. Rev. C 92, 045504 (2015)

- E.S. Morrison et al., Radon Daughter Plate-out onto Teflon, arXiv:1708.08534 (2017)
- G.N. Vlaskin et al., Neutron Yield of the Reaction (α, n) on Thick Targets Comprised of Light Elements. At. Energy **117**(5), 357 (2015)
- R.F. Lang et al., A Rn-220 source for the calibration of lowbackground experiments. JINST 11, 04 (2012)
- P. Herrero Gómez, Investigation of surface cleaning procedures for the removal of radon daughters from PTFE surfaces and their applicability in liquid xenon detectors. Master Thesis, University of Heidelberg (2018)
- T. Skwarnicki, Study of the Radiative Cascade Transitions between the Upislon-Prime and Upsilon Resoncances Cracow Institute of Nuclear Physics. PhD. thesis, DESY, (1986)
- 25. M. Auger et al. (EXO Collaboration), The EXO-200 detector, part I: detector design and construction. JINST **7**, 05 (2012)
- G. Zuzel, M. Wojcik, Removal of the long-lived ²²²Rn daughters from copper and stainless steel surfaces. Nucl. Inst. Methods A 676, 140 (2012)
- E.W. Hoppe et al., Cleaning and passivation of copper surfaces to remove surface radioactivity and prevent oxide formation. Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res. A 579, 486–489 (2007)
- K. Gholivand et al., A novel surface cleaning method for chemical removal of fouling lead layer from chromium surfaces. Appl Surface Sci 256, 7457–7461 (2010)
- W. Rau, Meaurement of radon diffusion in polyethylene based on alpha detection. Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res. A 664, 65–70 (2012)
- 30. IAEA Nuclear Data Services, https://www-nds.iaea.org/
- J. F. Ziegler et al., SRIM The stopping and range of ions in matter. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 268, 1818 (2010). http://www.srim.org/
- D. Cichon et al., Transmission of xenon scintillation light through PTFE. JINST 15, 09 (2020)
- 33. Elma Ultrasonic, https://www.elma-ultrasonic.com
- E. Aprile, T. Doke, Liquid Xenon Detectors for Particle Physics and Astrophysics. Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2053–2097 (2010)
- G. Bakale et al., Effect of an Electric Field on Electron Attachment to SF6, N20, and O2 in Liquid Argon and Xenon, J. Phys. Chem., 80 (23) (1976)
- A. Manalaysay et al., Spatially uniform calibration of a liquid xenon detector at low energies using 83m-Kr. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81, 073303 (2010)
- Xenoscope set-up, https://www.physik.uzh.ch/en/groups/baudis/ Research/Xenoscope.html, University of Zürich (2020)