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#### Abstract

A Gallai-coloring of a complete graph is an edge coloring such that no triangle is colored with three distinct colors. Gallai-colorings occur in various contexts such as the theory of partially ordered sets (in Gallai's original paper) or information theory. Gallai-colorings extend 2-colorings of the edges of complete graphs. They actually turn out to be close to


[^0]2-colorings-without being trivial extensions. Here, we give a method to extend some results on 2-colorings to Gallai-colorings, among them known and new, easy and difficult results. The method works for Gallai-extendible families that include, for example, double stars and graphs of diameter at most $d$ for $2 \leq d$, or complete bipartite graphs. It follows that every Gallai-colored $K_{n}$ contains a monochromatic double star with at least $3 n+1 / 4$ vertices, a monochromatic complete bipartite graph on at least $n / 2$ vertices, monochromatic subgraphs of diameter two with at least $3 n / 4$ vertices, etc. The generalizations are not automatic though, for instance, a Gallai-colored complete graph does not necessarily contain a monochromatic star on $n / 2$ vertices. It turns out that the extension is possible for graph classes closed under a simple operation called equalization. We also investigate Ramsey numbers of graphs in Gallai-colorings with a given number of colors. For any graph $H$ let $R G(r, H)$ be the minimum $m$ such that in every Gallai-coloring of $K_{m}$ with $r$ colors, there is a monochromatic copy of $H$. We show that for fixed $H, R G(r, H)$ is exponential in $r$ if $H$ is not bipartite; linear in $r$ if $H$ is bipartite but not a star; constant (does not depend on $r$ ) if $H$ is a star (and we determine its value). © 2009 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Graph Theory 64: 233-243, 2010
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider edge colorings of complete graphs in which no triangle is colored with three distinct colors. In [19] such colorings were called Gallai partitions, in [15] the term Gallai colorings was used. The reason for this terminology stems from its close connection to results of Gallai on comparability graphs [13]. We will use the term Gallai-coloring and we assume that Gallai-colorings are colorings on complete graphs. It is useful to keep in mind a particular Gallai-coloring-sometimes called canonical coloring-where all color classes are stars $(V=[n]$ and for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ edge $i j$ has color $i$ ).

More than just the term, the concept occurs again and again in relation of deep structural properties of fundamental objects. A main result in Gallai's original papertranslated to English and endowed by comments in [22]-can be reformulated in terms of Gallai-colorings. Basic results about comparability graphs can be equivalently discussed in terms of Gallai-colorings, as the theorem below shows. Further occurrences are related to generalizations of the perfect graph theorem [5], or applications in information theory [18].

The following theorem expresses the key property of Gallai-colorings. It is stated implicitly in [13] and appeared in various forms [4, 5, 15]. The following formulation is from [15].

Theorem 1. Any Gallai-coloring can be obtained by substituting complete graphs with Gallai-colorings into vertices of a 2 -colored complete graph on at least two vertices.

The substituted complete graphs are called blocks whereas the 2-colored complete graph into which we substitute is the base graph. Substitution in Theorem 1 means replacements of vertices of the base graph by Gallai-colored blocks so that all edges between replaced vertices keep their colors.

Theorem 1 is an important tool for proving results for Gallai-colorings. For example, it was used to extend Lovász's perfect graph theorem to Gallai-colorings, see [5, 19]. In [4] a more refined decomposition of Gallai-colorings was established. In this paper we focus on the following subjects:

- Extending 2-coloring results as black boxes
- Gallai colorings with fixed number of colors


## A. Gallai-Extension Using Black Boxes

In [15] Ramsey-type theorems for 2-colorings were extended to Gallai-colorings, using Theorem 1. Here, we have a similar goal, but we accomplish it using a completely different method. Instead of extending the proofs of 2-coloring results, we define a property-we call it Gallai-extendible-of families of graphs that automatically carries over 2-coloring results to Gallai-colorings.

Definition. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of finite connected graphs is Gallai-extendible if it contains all stars and if for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all proper nonempty $U \subset V(F)$ the graph $F^{\prime}=F^{\prime}(U)$ defined as follows is also in $\mathcal{F}$ :

- $V\left(F^{\prime}\right)=V(F)$
- $E\left(F^{\prime}\right)=E(F) \backslash\{u v: u, v \in U\} \cup\{u x: u \in U, x \notin U, v x \in E(F)$ for some $v \in U\}$.

We will say that $F^{\prime}$ is the equalization of $F$ in $U$. The conditions that Gallaiextendible families must contain only connected graphs and must contain all stars are somewhat technical. However, it seems that no application can really utilize more general definitions-and in the canonical Gallai coloring every color class is a star.

Our main result, Theorem 2, states that if every 2 -colored $K_{n}$ contains a monochromatic $F$ of a certain order from a Gallai-extendible family then this remains true for Gallai-colorings: every Gallai-colored $K_{n}$ also contains from the same family a monochromatic $F^{\prime}$ such that $\left|V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq|V(F)|$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Gallai-extendible family, and that there exists a function $f: N \rightarrow \boldsymbol{N}$ such that for every $n$ and for every 2-coloring of $K_{n}$ there is a monochromatic $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|V(F)| \geq f(n)$.

Then, for every $n$ and every Gallai-coloring of $K_{n}$ there exists a monochromatic $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\left|V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq f(n)$-with the same function $f$.

Moreover, such an $F^{\prime}$ exists in one of the colors used in the base-graph and also with no edge of $F^{\prime}$ within a block of the base graph.

The proof of Theorem 2 is in Section 2 together with several examples of Gallaiextendible families (Lemma 1). Applying Theorem 2 to these families, we get the following corollaries (the first two were known before, the others are new). If $G$ is a graph, then $H$ is called a spanning subgraph, if $V(H)=V(G)$. Applying Theorem 2 to the family of connected graphs we get

Corollary 1. Every Gallai-colored complete graph contains a monochromatic spanning tree.

For 2-colorings, Corollary 1 is the well-known remark of Erdős and Rado-a first exercise in graph theory. For Gallai-colorings it was proved by Bialostocki et al. in [1]. Applying Theorem 2 to the family of graphs having a spanning tree of height at most two, we get

Corollary 2. Every Gallai-colored complete graph contains a monochromatic spanning tree of height at most two.

For 2-colorings Corollary 2 is due to [1], for Gallai-colorings it was proved in [15]. Applying Theorem 2 to the family of graphs with diameter at most three, we get

Corollary 3. Every Gallai-colored complete graph contains a monochromatic spanning subgraph of diameter at most three.

For 2-colorings Corollary 3 can be found in [1, 23, 24]. Applying Theorem 2 to the family of graphs with diameter at most two, we get

Corollary 4. Every Gallai-colored $K_{n}$ contains a monochromatic subgraph of diameter at most two with at least $\lceil 3 n / 4\rceil$ vertices. This is best possible for every $n$.

For 2-colorings, this is due to Erdős and Fowler [8] (a weaker version with an easy proof is in [14]). The following construction ([8]) shows that Corollary 4 is sharp: consider a 2-coloring of $K_{4}$ with both color classes isomorphic to $P_{4}$. Then substitute nearly equal vertex sets into this coloring with a total of $n$ vertices. (The colorings within the substituted parts can be arbitrary.) Applying Theorem 2 to the family of graphs containing a spanning double-star (two vertex disjoint stars joined by an edge), we get

Corollary 5. Every Gallai-colored $K_{n}$ contains a monochromatic double star with at least $(3 n+1) / 4$ vertices. This is asymptotically best possible.

The 2-color version of Corollary 5 is (a special case of) a result in [16], it slightly extends a special case of a result in [7]: in every 2-coloring of $K_{n}$ there are two points, $v, w$ and a color, say red, such that the size of the union of the closed neighborhoods of $v, w$ in red is at least $(3 n+1) / 4$. (The slight extension is that one can also guarantee that the edge $v w$ is red.) Corollary 5 is asymptotically best possible, as shown by a
standard random graph argument in [7]. Applying Theorem 2 to the family of graphs containing a spanning complete bipartite graph, we get

Corollary 6. Every Gallai-colored $K_{n}$ contains a monochromatic complete bipartite subgraph with at least $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ vertices, and at least one more if $n$ is congruent to -1 modulo 4.

For 2-colorings Corollary 6 follows easily since there is a monochromatic star of the required size. However, for Gallai-colorings there are not always monochromatic stars with $\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$ vertices-the largest monochromatic star has $2 n / 5$ vertices, see [15]. It is worth noting that Corollary 6 is best possible for every $n$. Paley graphs provide infinitely many examples, but there are simpler 2-colorings that do not contain monochromatic complete bipartite graphs larger than the size claimed in Theorem 6. Consider the vertex set as a regular $n$-gon and define the red graph by edges $x y$ forming a diagonal of length at most $k$ (if $n=4 k, 4 k+1,4 k+2$ ) or at most $k+1$ (if $n=4 k+3$ ).

We conclude this part with some remarks on Gallai-extendible families. A broom is the union of a path and a star where the end-vertex of the path coincides with the central vertex of the star, and this is the only common vertex of the two. Burr [2] proved that every 2 -colored complete graph has a monochromatic spanning broom. Gyárfás and Simonyi [15] extended Burr's theorem to Gallai-colorings. We cannot reprove this result with Theorem 2 as a black box extension of Burr's theorem because brooms are not Gallai-extendible. However-and similar ideas might be useful in other potential applications of Theorem 2-it is possible to combine a key element of Burr's proof with Gallai-extendable families (in our case with $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ ) to extend Burr's theorem to Gallai colorings.

## B. Gallai Colorings With Given Number of Colors

As mentioned above, in canonical Gallai-colorings each color class is a star, thus Gallaicolorings do not necessarily contain any monochromatic $H$ different from a star (apart from isolated vertices). However, we may define for any graph $H$ a kind of restricted Ramsey number, $R G(r, H)$, the minimum $m$ such that in every Gallai-coloring of $K_{m}$ with $r$ colors there is a monochromatic copy of $H$.

It turns out that some classical Ramsey numbers whose order of magnitude seems hopelessly difficult to determine, behave nicely if we restrict ourselves to Gallaicolorings with $r$-colors. For example, the Ramsey number of a triangle in $r$-colorings, $R\left(r, K_{3}\right)$ is known to be between bounds far apart ( $c^{r}$ and $\lfloor e r!\rfloor+1$, see for example in [20]) but it is not hard to determine $R G\left(r, K_{3}\right)$ exactly as follows.

## Theorem 3.

$$
R G\left(r, K_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}5^{k}+1 & \text { for } r=2 k \\ 2 \times 5^{k}+1 & \text { for } r=2 k+1\end{cases}
$$

In fact-as we were informed by Magnant [21]-Theorem 3 is due to Chung and Graham [6]. Here, we give a simpler proof, using Theorem 1.

It is worth noting that there are several "extremal" colorings for Theorem 3. For example, let $G_{1}$ be a black edge and let $G_{2}$ be the $K_{5}$ partitioned into a red and a blue pentagon. The graphs $H_{1}, H_{2}$ obtained by substituting $G_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$ into vertices of $G_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)$ have essentially different 3-colorings and both are extremal for $r=3$ in Theorem 3.

Although one can easily determine some more exact values of $R G(r, H)$ for small graphs $H$, we conclude with the following two theorems that determine its order of magnitude.

Theorem 4. Assume that $H$ is a fixed graph without isolated vertices. Then $R G(r, H)$ is exponential in $r$ if $H$ is not bipartite and linear in $r$ if $H$ is bipartite and not a star.

Theorem 5. If $H=K_{1, p}$ is a star, $p>1$ and $r \geq 3$ then $R G(r, H)=(5 p-3) / 2$ for odd $p, R G(r, H)=(5 p / 2)-3$ for even $p$.

For completeness of the star case, notice that for $H=K_{1, p}$ we have trivially $R G(1, H)=R(1, H)=p+1$ and $R G(2, H)=R(2, H)$ can be determined easily $(2 p-1$ for even $p$ and $2 p$ for odd $p$, [17]). It is also worth noting that while $R G(r, H)$ is constant (does not depend on $r$ ), $R(r, H)$ is linear in $r$ (and in $p$ ), see [3].

A Gallai-coloring can be also viewed as an anti-Ramsey coloring for $C_{3}$, antiRamsey colorings for a graph $H$ have been introduced in [9]. This direction has a large literature that we do not touch here. Moreover, Gallai-colorings are also connected to so-called mixed Ramsey numbers, where the aim is to find either a multicolored graph $G$ (in our case a triangle) or a monochromatic graph $H$. We are aware of some papers in preparation that determine exact values of $R G(r, H)$. Faudree et al. [10] determined the value of $R G(r, H)$ for many bipartite graphs $H$. Fujita [11] proved that $R G\left(r, C_{5}\right)=2^{r+1}+1$; Fujita and Magnant [12] extended Gallai-colorings to colorings without a rainbow $S_{3}^{+}$, a triangle with a pendant edge.

## 2. GALLAI-EXTENDIBLE FAMILIES, PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We denote by $\operatorname{dist}_{H}(u, v)$ the number of edges in a shortest path of $H$ between $u, v \in V(H)$.

Lemma 1. The following families are Gallai-extendible:

- $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, the family of connected graphs;
- $\mathcal{F}_{2}(d)$, the family of graphs having a spanning tree of height at most d, for any $d \geq 2$-equivalently a root $x \in V(F)$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, v) \leq d$ for all $v \in V(F)$;
- $\mathcal{F}_{3}(d)$, the family of graphs with diameter at most $d$ for any $d \geq 2$;
- $\mathcal{F}_{4}$, the family of graphs having a spanning double-star-equivalently, two adjacent vertices forming a dominating set;
- $\mathcal{F}_{5}$, the family of graphs containing a spanning complete bipartite graph, that is, the family of graphs $F$ so that $V(F)$ can be partitioned into two nonempty sets $A$ and $B$ so that $a b \in E(F)$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$;

Proof. We prove for all these families $\mathcal{F}$ and every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and for all proper nonempty $U \subseteq V(F)$ (or $U \in \mathcal{U}_{F}$ ) that the graph $F^{\prime}$ we get after equalizing in $U$ is still in $\mathcal{F}$. Since the five families we consider are closed under the addition of edges and it is immediate from the definition that equalization is a monotonous operation, that is, $F_{1} \subseteq F_{2}$ implies $F_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq F_{2}^{\prime}$, it is sufficient to prove $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$ for minimal elements $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Whenever it is comfortable to exploit this fact we will do it: for instance, when checking the statement for $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ or $\mathcal{F}_{4}, F$ can be chosen to be a tree of height at most two, or a double-star.

For $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ the statement is immediate noting that the connectivity of $F$ implies that whenever an edge $e=x y \in E(F), F \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ disappears, there exists a path of length 2 in $F^{\prime}$ between its endpoints.

For $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{2}(d)$ or $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{3}(d)$ the following claim will provide the statement:
Claim. For $u, v \in V(F)$, $u v \in E(F)$ we have $\operatorname{dist}_{F^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq 2$, and if $u v \notin E(F)$ then $\operatorname{dist}_{F^{\prime}}(u, v) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{F}(u, v)$.

Indeed, if $u v \in E(F)$, then either at least one of $u$ and $v$ is not in $U$, and then $u v \in E\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, or $u, v \in U$, and then-from the connectivity of $F$ and the fact that $U$ is a proper subset of $V(F)$-they have a common $F^{\prime}$-neighbor. The first part is proved.

To prove the second part, let $P$ be a shortest path in $F$ between $u$ and $v,|E(P)| \geq 2$. Then $P$ can be subdivided to subpaths induced by $U$ and other subpaths (there must be others, since otherwise replace $P$ by a two-path from $u$ to $v$ ). Define the path $P^{\prime}$ in $F^{\prime}$ between $u$ and $v$ by replacing the subpaths in $U$ by an arbitrary vertex in the subpath-in the special case when $u$ or $v$ is on the subpath, replace it by $u$ or $v$. Since all vertices of $U$ have the same neighbors outside $U, P^{\prime}$ will indeed be a path in $F^{\prime}$, and $\left|E\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq|E(P)|$, as claimed.

Now if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{2}(d)(d \geq 2)$, apply the claim to the root $x$ and all other vertices $v \in V(F)$ to get that $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{2}(d)$. Similarly, if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{3}(d)$, apply the claim to all pairs $u, v \in V(F)$.

If $F \in \mathcal{F}_{4}$, let $x y \in E(F)$ be such that $V(F)$ consists of neighbors of $x$ and neighbors of $y$. If neither $x$ nor $y$ are in $U$, no edge is deleted at equalization and there is nothing to prove. Similarly, if exactly one of them is in $U$, say $x \in U, y \notin U$, then $x y \in F$ implies that $y$ is adjacent in $F^{\prime}$ with every vertex in $U$, and the vertices that are not in $U$ remain neighbors of $x$ or $y$ in $F^{\prime}$ as well.

It remains to check $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{4}$ if both $x, y \in U$. This is also easy, because every vertex of $F$ is adjacent to at least one of $x$ and $y$, and therefore in $F^{\prime}$ every vertex of $U$ is adjacent to every vertex in $V(F) \backslash U$. We are then done because a complete bipartite graph contains a spanning double-star.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{5}$. If one of the two classes, say $A$ is disjoint of $U, F \subseteq F^{\prime}$, so the statement is obvious. If now $U$ meets both $A$ and $B$ in a vertex $a$ and $b$, respectively, we are also done, since all $A \cup B$ is $F$-adjacent with either $a$ or $b$, so all vertices of $U \cap(A \cup B)$ are $F^{\prime}$-adjacent with all vertices of $(A \cup B) \backslash U$, and both of these sets are nonempty, finishing the proof for this class.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Gallai-extendible family and $c$ is a Gallai-coloring of $K_{n}$. By Theorem 1, $c$ can be obtained by substituting Gallai-colored
complete graphs into the vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ of a base graph $B$ with a red-blue coloring, $k \geq 2$. Suppose that $B$ is connected in red (in fact, we shall use only that $B$ has no isolated vertex in red). The vertex sets of the substituted complete graphs give a partition $\mathcal{U}$ on $V\left(K_{n}\right)$.

Let $c^{\prime}$ be the 2-coloring of $K_{n}$ obtained from $c$ by recoloring all edges within all blocks of the partition $\mathcal{U}$ to the red color. In the coloring $c^{\prime}$, by the assumption of Theorem $2, K_{n}$ has a subgraph $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|V(F)| \geq f(n)$, such that $F$ is monochromatic in $c^{\prime}$. If $F$ is blue then $F$ is a monochromatic subgraph in $c$ as well and the proof is finished.

Thus we may assume that $F \subseteq E_{c^{\prime}}$ (red) (the red edges in $c^{\prime}$ ). If $V(F) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ then-using that $B$ has no isolated vertex in the red color-we can select a star $S$ in $K_{n}$ such that $S$ is red in $c$, its center $v \notin U$ and its leaf set is $U$. Now $S \in \mathcal{F}$ (because $\mathcal{F}$ contains all stars) and $|V(S)|>|V(F)|$, finishing the proof.

Thus, we may assume that $V(F)$ is not a subset of any block of $\mathcal{U}$. Now equalize $F$ in the blocks of $\mathcal{U}$ one after the other. Since $F$ is connected and $V(F)$ is not a subset of some block, eventually all recolored edges will be deleted during the equalizations. We claim that the graph $F^{\prime}$ resulting from the equalization process is a subgraph of $E_{c}$ (red). Indeed, equalization adds an edge $u x(u \in U)$ only if $x \notin U$, and there exists $v \in U, v x \in E(F)$. Since $E(F) \subseteq E_{c^{\prime}}($ red $)$, and $v x$ is not a recolored edge, $v x \in E_{c}($ red $)$ follows. Since every block sends only edges of one and the same color to every vertex, $u x \in E_{c}$ (red) as well, confirming the claim.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is Gallai-extendible, $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$, and clearly $\left|V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq|V(F)| \geq f(n)$. Now the proof is finished (the extra property stated about $F^{\prime}$ is obvious).

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 3, 4, 5

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $f(r)$ denote the function one less than the claimed value of $R G\left(r, K_{3}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r) \geq 2 f(r-1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \geq 2$ with equality for odd $r$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=5 f(r-2) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \geq 3$
To show that $R G\left(r, K_{3}\right)>f(r)$ let $G_{1}$ be a 1-colored $K_{2}$ and let $G_{2}$ be a 2-colored $K_{5}$ with both colors forming a pentagon. Recursively construct $G_{r}$ for odd $r \geq 3$ by substituting two identically colored $G_{r-1}$ 's into the two vertices of $G_{1}$ (colored with a different color). Similarly, for even $r \geq 4$, let $G_{r}$ be defined by substituting five identically colored $G_{r-2}$ 's into the vertices of $G_{2}$ (colored with two different colors). The $r$-coloring defined on $G_{r}$ is a Gallai-coloring, clearly has $f(r)$ vertices and contains no monochromatic triangles.

We prove by induction that if a Gallai-coloring of $K$ with $r$-colors and without monochromatic triangles is given then $|V(K)| \leq f(r)$. Using Theorem 1 , the coloring of $K$ can be obtained by substitution into a 2-colored nontrivial base graph $B$. In our case clearly $2 \leq|V(B)| \leq 5$.

Case 1: $\quad|V(B)|=2$. Since there are no monochromatic triangles, the graphs substituted cannot contain any edge colored with the color of the base edge, therefore, by induction, they have at most $f(r-1)$ vertices. Thus

$$
|V(K)| \leq 2 f(r-1) \leq f(r)
$$

using (1).
Case 2: $|V(B)|=3$. The base graph has no monochromatic triangle so it has an edge $b_{1} b_{2}$ whose color is used only once (as a color on a base edge). Then the graphs substituted into $b_{1}, b_{2}$ must be colored with at most $r-2$ colors and the graph substituted into the third vertex must be colored with at most $r-1$ colors. Thus

$$
|V(K)| \leq 2 f(r-2)+f(r-1) \leq f(r-1)+f(r-1)=2 f(r-1) \leq f(r)
$$

using (1) twice.
Case 3: $4 \leq|V(B)| \leq 5$. The base graph has no monochromatic triangle so each vertex in the base is incident to edges of both colors. Therefore

$$
|V(K)| \leq|V(B)| f(r-2) \leq 5 f(r-2)=f(r)
$$

using (2).
Proof of Theorem 4. First, we give an upper bound on $R G(r, H)$ that is exponential in $r$ by showing $R G(r, H) \leq t^{(n-1) r+1}$ where $t=R(2, H)-1$ and $n=|V(H)|$. We shall assume that $|V(H)| \geq 3$, therefore $n \geq 3, t \geq 2$. Suppose indirectly that a Gallai-coloring with $r$ colors is given on $K,|V(K)| \geq t^{(n-1) r+1}$, but there is no monochromatic $H$. The base graph $B$ of this coloring has no monochromatic $H$ therefore $|V(B)| \leq R(2, H)-1=t$. This implies that some of the graphs, say $G_{1}$, substituted into $B$ has at least $t^{(n-1) r}$ vertices. Let $v_{1}$ be an arbitrary vertex of $K$ not in $V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Note that every edge from $v_{1}$ to $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ has the same color. Iterating this process with $G_{1}$ in the role of $K$, one can define a sequence of vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{(n-1) r+1}$ such that for every fixed $i$ and $j>i$ the colors of the edges $v_{i}, v_{j}$ are the same. By the pigeonhole principle there is a subsequence of $n$ vertices spanning a monochromatic complete subgraph $K_{n} \subset K$ and clearly $H$ is a monochromatic subgraph of $K_{n}$-a contradiction. Thus, for any-in particular non-bipartite- $H$ we proved an upper bound exponential in $r$.

For a bipartite $H$ assume that both color classes of $H$ have at most $n$ vertices. We show that $R G(r, H) \leq p t(n-1)$, where $p=(n-1) r+2$ (and $t$ is as defined earlier), providing an upper bound linear in $r$. Indeed, suppose indirectly that a Gallai-coloring with $r$ colors is given on $K,|V(K)| \geq p t(n-1)$ but there is no monochromatic $H$. The base graph of the Gallai-coloring has at most $t$ vertices, otherwise we have a monochromatic $H$. Applying the same argument as in the previous paragraph, we find that there is a graph $G_{1}$, substituted to some vertex of the base graph, such that $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq|V(K)| / t \geq p(n-1)$. If $\left|V(K) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2 n-1$ then-by the pigeonhole principle-we can select $X \subset V(K) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right)$ so that $|X|=n$ and $\left[X, V\left(G_{1}\right)\right]$ is a monochromatic complete bipartite graph-this graph contains $H$ and the proof is
finished. We conclude that $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq p t(n-1)-2(n-1)=(p t-2)(n-1)$. Select $v_{1} \in$ $V(K) \backslash V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and iterate the argument: into some vertex of the base graph of the Gallai-coloring on $G_{1}$ a graph $G_{2}$ is substituted with at least $\left(\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| / t\right) \geq(p-1)$ ( $n-1$ ) vertices. Selecting $v_{2} \in V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{2}\right)$ we continue until $T=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p-1}\right\}$ is defined. There is still at least $2(n-1)>n$ vertices in $G_{p-1}$ thus selecting $Y \subset V\left(G_{p-1}\right)$ with $|Y|=n$, we have a complete bipartite graph $[Y, T]$ such that from each $v \in T$ all edges from $Y$ to $v$ are colored with the same color. Since $|T|=p-1=(n-1) r+1$, by the pigeonhole principle there is $Z \subset T$ such that $|Z|=n$ and $[Y, Z]$ is a monochromatic complete bipartite graph which obviously contains a monochromatic $H$-a contradiction. Thus, for bipartite $H$ we have an upper bound linear in $r$.

Lower bounds of the same order of magnitude can be easily given. For a non-bipartite $H$ it is obvious that $R G(r, H)>2^{r}$ because we can easily define a suitable Gallai-coloring with $r$ colors by repeatedly joining with a new color two identically colored complete graphs of the same size.

If $H$ is bipartite and not a star, it contains two independent, that is, vertex-disjoint edges. Then we have $R G(r, H)>r+1$ because the canonical Gallai-coloring of $K_{r+1}$ with $r$ colors (where color class $i$ is a star with $i$ edges) does not have a monochromatic $H$.

Proof of Theorem 5. Assume $H=K_{1, p}, p>1, r \geq 3$. We use a construction and a result from [15]. To see that the claimed values of $R G(r, H)$ cannot be lowered, let $C$ be a $K_{5}$ colored with red and blue so that both color classes form a pentagon. For odd $p$ substitute a green $K_{(p-1) / 2}$ to each vertex of $C$. For even $p$ substitute $K_{p / 2}$ into one vertex of $C$ and $K_{(p / 2)-1}$ to the other four vertices of $C$. The claimed upper bound of $R G(r, H)$ for odd $p$ follows immediately from the following result of [15] (Theorem 3.1): any Gallai-coloring of $K$ contains a monochromatic $K_{1, p}$ with $p \geq 2|V(K)| / 5$ edges. For even $p$ one has to gain one over that bound and that can be easily obtained by modifying the (easy) proof there.
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