

RESEARCH

Open Access

Rapid changes in tree composition and biodiversity: consequences of dams on dry seasonal forests

Vagner S Vale^{1*}, I Schiavini¹, J A Prado-júnior¹, Ana P Oliveira and André E Gusson²

Abstract

Background: Plants in a seasonal environment that become close to the artificial lake after dams construction may have enhanced growth or die due to the new conditions. Changes in mortality or growth rates lead to changes in community diversity, and we do not know if the community functions will change; our main hypothesis was that a few years after impoundment, species richness and diversity will increase because the increased supply of water would favor the establishment of water-associated species. Therefore, we evaluated the consequences of proximity of three dry seasonal forests to the water table after damming, with a dynamic evaluation of the species studied to understand changes in diversity in these areas. We sampled 60 plots of 20×10 m in each forest and measured all trees with a diameter equal to or greater than 4.77 cm before damming and 2 and 4 years after damming. We calculated dynamic rates and compared species changes during these periods. We also compared diversity and richness using Shannon index and rarefaction curves.

Results: Many species had high dynamic rates and many trees of specialists of dry forests died; conversely, others had high growth rates. Some typical species of riparian forests were found only after damming, also enhancing forest richness in deciduous forests. In general, the deciduous forest communities seemed to change to a typical riparian forest, but many seasonal specialist species still had high recruitment and growth rate, maintaining the seasonal traits, such as dispersion by wind and deciduousness in the forests, where an entire transformation did not occur.

Conclusions: We conclude that even with the increment in basal area and recruitment of many new species, the impacts of damming and consequent changes will never lead to the same functions as in a riparian forest.

Keywords: Dynamic; Ecological changes; Impoundment; Richness; Turnover

Background

Dams have historically facilitated human life, initially in farming, transport, and domestic services, and are currently mainly built for energy generation (Baxter 1977). At least 45,000 dams over 15 m high obstruct 60% of fresh water that flows to the oceans (Nilsson et al. 2005). Dam construction increased because hydroelectric power was considered a clean and inexpensive alternative for energy production (Kaygusuz 2004), responsible for 16% of worldwide electricity generation in 2005 (Evans et al. 2009). Despite the spread of this

“clean and inexpensive” idea, several problems are actually known, such as entire watershed modification (Nilsson and Berggren 2000), sediment retention (Manyari and Carvalho 2007; Vorosmarty et al. 2003), biochemical alterations (Humborg et al. 1997), and emission of greenhouse gases (Fearnside 2002; St Louis et al. 2000).

Water-dwelling organisms (fish, amphibians, plankton, benthos, and macrophytes) are directly affected, causing drastic changes in food webs (Brandao and Araujo 2008; Moura Júnior et al. 2011). The artificial lake created also interferes with terrestrial organisms. Wildlife can move to habits outside the flooded area, but sessile organisms such as plants are drowned (Fearnside 2002; White 2007). Plant decomposition releases organic matter and

* Correspondence: vsvale@hotmail.com

¹Universidade Estadual de Goiás (State University of Goiás) - Campus Ipameri, CEP 75780-000, Ipameri, Goiás, Brasil

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

depletes water oxygen (Barth et al. 2003; St Louis et al. 2000), also releasing carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) to the atmosphere (St Louis et al. 2000). However, organisms living in the direct flood influence area are not the only ones affected. The vegetation distant from any water source before damming is afterwards near the margin of the lake created by the dam, and long-term consequences are difficult to prevent because this new “riparian vegetation” is completely different from an original riparian environment in species and characteristics.

Riparian vegetation includes species adapted to water saturation and species adapted to low water patches, and thus commonly shows high diversity (Naiman and Decamps 1997). These environments are associated with many ecological services, such as connecting aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Dynesius and Nilsson 1994), providing resources for fish (Jansson et al. 2005) and other dispersers such as birds and mammals (Gundersen et al. 2010; Naiman and Decamps 1997), and promoting refuge for these animals (Palmer and Bennett 2006), thus playing a key role in diversity maintenance.

However, vegetation that becomes near the new margins created by dams are located on hillsides (Truffer et al. 2003; Vale et al. 2013), without species associated with high water saturation, in other words, with different species composition (Acker et al. 2003) and with different traits compared to typical riparian vegetation. Terrain with steep slopes facilitates water flow and reduces water infiltration into the soil (Sidle et al. 2006). Moreover, hills have rocky soil that makes water retention even more difficult. Due to these conditions, species of these environments show adaptation to reduce water loss due to water-stressed conditions, such as loss of leaves during dry season and fruits and seeds with low water content (Murphy and Lugo 1986), and tend to have higher wood density to prevent drought-induced embolism (Choat et al. 2003). Thus, it is not only difficult to prevent the consequences of proximity to the water line on these drought-adapted species, but it is also uncertain if the “new riparian vegetation” will provide the ecological functions of a typical riparian vegetation.

Many dams have been built and will continue to be built, and therefore, understanding vegetation changes after damming is crucial for better conservation and future management actions. Thus, we monitored three seasonal forests that were subjected to the impact of a hydroelectric dam to answer the following questions: Which were the species best adapted to new conditions imposed by the dam? Which were negatively affected? Which new species were established? Were there any local extinctions? Finally, would the “new-riparian vegetation” maintain the ecological roles performed by typical riparian vegetation? Our hypothesis was that a few years after impoundment, species richness and diversity

increase because the increased supply of water would favor the establishment of water-associated species. On the other hand, it would cause the mortality of many tree species commonly found in forests with a well-established dry season.

Methods


Study area

This study was conducted in three dry forests (18°47'40" S, 48°08'57" W; 18°40'31" S, 42°24'30" W; and 18°39'13" S, 48°25'04" W; Fig. 1) located in the Amador Aguiar Complex Dam (two dams located on the Araguari River, with reservoir depths of 52 and 55 m). All areas had a sloped terrain, but the deciduous forest inclinations were much more pronounced compared to the semideciduous forest (in some plots, the inclination was over 30°). The first dam (Amador Aguiar Dam I, henceforth AD1) finished flooding in 2005 and has an elevation of 624 m (relative to sea level) and the second dam (Amador Aguiar Dam II, henceforth AD2) ended flooding in 2006 and has an elevation of 565 m (relative to sea level, more information in Vale et al. 2013).

Three dry seasonal forests (two deciduous and one semideciduous forest), which before damming were at least 200 m from any water source, now had the riverbank at its edge after damming since 2005 (AD1)/2006 (AD2). The dam water flow was constant, and thus, the water flow did not vary over seasons and over years. Earlier analyses made in three areas confirmed the damming effects of moisture increase in soil at least 15 m from the artificial lake margin created by the dam (Vale et al. 2013). This impact clearly affects the entire community (Vale et al. 2013), and the responses of tree species to river damming were analyzed here. The climate of the study area is Aw according to the Koppen-Geiger classification (Kottek et al. 2006) with a dry winter (April to September) and a rainy summer (October to March), with an average annual temperature of 22 °C and average rainfall of around 1595 mm (Santos and Assunção 2006).

Plant sampling

The first inventory (T0) was carried out in 2005 (AD1) and 2006 (AD2). In each forest, 60 permanent plots 20 × 10 m were marked, totaling 1.2 ha by area (total of 3.6 ha sampled). A total of 10 plots (200 m wide) were established where the river reached the maximum flood level after damming, and the remaining plots were established perpendicular to the river margin. Thus, the samples were distributed every 10 m of perpendicular to the river (0 = 10 m, 10 = 20 m, 20–30 m, 30–40 m, 40–50 m, and 50–60 m of distance). All trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 4.77 cm were tagged with aluminum labels. The diameter of the stem was



measured at 1.30 m from the ground, and in case of multiple stems, all live tillers were also measured at 1.30 m.

The first inventory was conducted in the T0 period, that is, before damming. The second inventory was made 2 years (T2) and the third, 4 years (T4) after damming. All inventories were carried out at the end of the rainy season (March–April) to standardize the sampling and to avoid dry season influence on the plant stem diameter due to dehydration. All samplings followed the same procedure as the first inventory (more information in Vale et al. 2013). The new individuals that met the inclusion criteria (recruits) were measured and identified. Mortality referred to standing dead trees or fallen trees.

Diversity analysis

We calculated the Shannon-Weaver diversity index (Shannon 1948) to measure changes in diversity over the three measurement periods (T0–T2–T4). We applied the Hutcheson *t* test (Hutcheson 1970) to compare the richness between T0–T2, T2–T4, and T0–T4 period in all forests. Moreover, we estimated the richness based on second-order Jackknife (Colwell 2005). This estimator was considered by Colwell and Coddington (1994) as one of the best predictors of richness.

Dynamic rates analysis

Each species was evaluated regarding dynamic rates in the T0–T2, T2–T4, and T0–T4 periods: mortality, recruitment, outgrowth, and ingrowth rates (we focused on species with at least 20 individuals, but all results are in the Additional file 1). Mortality (*M*) and recruitment (*R*) were calculated in terms of annual exponential rates (formulas in Sheil et al. 1995; Sheil et al. 2000). The outgrowth annual rates (*O*) refer to basal area of dead trees

plus dead branches and basal area of living trees (decrement) and ingrowth annual rates (*I*) refer to basal area of recruits plus growth in basal area of surviving trees (increment). To evaluate changes in the forest, we determined turnover rates for individuals and basal area through mortality-recruitment rates and outgrowth-ingrowth rates (Oliveira-Filho et al. 2007).

Results

Floristic and dynamic—general changes in species

In all three dry forests, the diversity index increased in the first 2 years and for the second year to the fourth year of measurement (Table 1). In DF1, the T2–T4 period showed more diversity changes than did T0–T2 (significant, see Table 1). Nevertheless, in DF2, the greatest diversity increase occurred in the T0–T2 period (significant, see Table 1). In SF the same difference in T0–T2 and T2–T4 was noted, but not significant in either period. The 4-year effect of damming on forests was the most notable. Comparing the T0–T4 period for all dry forest investigated, the Shannon diversity index increased significantly (Table 1), confirming the positive influence of soil moisture on richness and diversity.

The second-order Jackknife richness estimator predicted 62 in deciduous forest 1, 81 in the deciduous forest 2 and 131 species in the semideciduous forest per hectare sampled in T0 (Fig. 2a–c). However, the estimated richness after impoundment increased for both deciduous forests in only 2 years of impact (62 to 74 in deciduous 1 and 81 to 91 in deciduous 2). For deciduous 1, richness continued to increase and reached 85 species per hectare (Fig. 2a), but in deciduous 2, richness stabilized at 91 (Fig. 2b). Otherwise, there were no strong variations in the semideciduous forest after dam construction

Table 1 Number of species and Shannon diversity index for three dry forests before (T0) and 2 (T2) and 4 years (T4) after dam construction in southeastern Brazil. Letters refer to the Hutcheson *t* test, where same letters indicate same diversity; degrees of freedom >500, $p < 0.05$

	Arboreal component					
	Number of species			Shannon index		
	T0	T2	T4	T0	T2	T4
Deciduous 1	45	50	57	2.50a	2.57a	2.69b
Deciduous 2	57	67	68	2.66a	2.83b	2.89b
Semideciduous	89	91	93	3.43a	3.48ab	3.54b

letter "a" refers to lower values and "b" refers to higher values, statistically significant.

and the richness values determined for T2 and T4 were similar (181 and 182, respectively—Fig. 2c).

In deciduous forest 1 (DF1), the new species found in T2 were *Aspidosperma subincanum* Mart. ex A. DC., *Guapira areolata* (Heimerl) Lundell, *Guarea guidonia* (L.) Sleumer, *Luehea grandiflora* Mart., *Siparuna guianensis* Aubl., *Trema micrantha* (L.) Blume, and *Xylopia aromatica* (Lam.) Mart., and in the T4, they were *Inga vera* Kunth, *Jacaranda caroba*, *Margaritaria nobilis* (Vell.) A. DC., *Myrsine umbellata* Mart., *Trichilia elegans* A. Juss., *Xylopia brasiliensis* Spreng., and *Tocoyena formosa* (Cham. & Schltdl.) K. Schum. This last species was found in T0, but only as one tree, which died. However, two recruits were sampled in T4. Another species, *Sterculia striata*, was not found in T2 and T4.

In deciduous forest 2 (DF2), the new species found in T2 were *Cedrela fissilis* Vell., *Eugenia florida* DC., *Genipa americana* L., *G. guidonia*, *L. grandiflora*, *Nectandra cissiflora* Nees, *Terminalia glabrescens* Mart., *Trichilia catigua* A. Juss., *T. elegans*, *Trichilia pallida* Sw., and *Zanthoxylum rhoifolium* Lam. In T4, only two new species were found, i.e., *Ceiba speciosa* (A. St.-Hil.) Ravenna and *Matayba guianensis* Aubl. Otherwise, two species were not found, one in T2 (*Aegiphila sellowiana* Cham.) and another in the T4 period (*Hymenaea courbaril* L.).

The semideciduous forest (SF), however, changed little in richness. The new species sampled in T2 were *Albizia niopoides* (Spruce ex Benth.) Burkart, *Heteropterys byrsonimifolia* A. Juss., *Machaerium hirtum* (Vell.) Stellfeld, *Psidium rufum* DC., and *Terminalia phaeocarpa* Eichler, and in T4, they were *Hirtella gracilipes* (Hook. f.) Prance and *Cecropia pachystachya* Trécul. Otherwise, three species were not found in the T2 period, namely *Dilodendron bipinnatum* Radlk., *Bauhinia rufa* (Bong.) Steud., and *Byrsonima laxiflora* Griseb.

When we considered the occurrence of new species according to distance from the reservoir, it was notable that damming caused a rise in richness. Of the 34 new species (accounting for all forests), 28 were collected near the shore (0–30 m) and only 6 were not found in

these patches, indicating a dam influence on the establishment of new species. Furthermore, these 6 species were located only far from the shore (30–60 m).

Dynamic rates


The dynamic rates confirmed the damming effects on the tree community, especially in the first 2 years exemplified for those species with 20 or more individuals. In this period, 7 of 10 species showed a dynamic rate of more than 10% per year (Table 2), values considered extremely high. In DF2, the same was observed for 17 species (20 or more individuals), where 15 had a dynamic rate of more than 10% per year (Table 3). However, the semideciduous species were more stable regarding species dynamics in the T0–T2 period. Only 5 of 20 species with more than 20 trees had a dynamic rate greater than 10% per year (Table 4).

These high dynamic rates in the first 2 years did not follow the same pattern in subsequent years. For the same species analyzed in the T0–T2 period, only one in DF1, four in DF2, and three in SF had a dynamic rate over 10% per year. This contrast in dynamic rates between T0–T2 and T2–T4 illustrate the damming effects on the entire community. Many species showed greater rates of mortality, recruitment, outgrowth, and ingrowth compared to communities (Fig. 3), and thus, the dam construction impact was substantially more intense in the first 2 years. These effects were more severe for both deciduous forests (Fig. 3) because more species displayed higher rates than did the community (and the community's rates were very high—Tables 2, 3, and 4). If we analyzed the entire period (T0–T4), the results did not seem significant, because only five species in DF1, nine in DF2, and four in SF had a dynamic rate greater than 10% per year. This result masked the true, marked changes that occurred in all forests, especially in the two deciduous forests, and therefore, monitoring every 2 years was essential for understanding damming effects (and consequently soil moisture increase) on dry seasonal forests.

Discussion

Richness and diversity increase

A surprising finding of this study was the quick changes in the richness and diversity in the three dry seasonal forests in communities, mainly on deciduous forests. According to the richness estimator, an increase in forest richness by 10 species per hectare could be seen in only 2 years of damming, a great increase considering that we only included trees at least 5 cm in diameter. Many studies on impacted forests have demonstrated structural changes a few years after great disturbances such as storms (Laurance et al. 2006; Pascarella et al. 2004), fragmentation due to edge effects (Laurance et al. 2006),



logging (Guariguata et al. 2008), and severe dry periods (Chazdon et al. 2005), but still with forest recovery of structure and composition over the years (Chazdon et al. 2007). In general, only long-term studies have shown changes in tree species and their probable consequences for the community (Laurance et al. 2006), because trees could be long-lived and because changes resulting from disturbances would be gradual. This rapid increase in richness and diversity found for all dry forests analyzed support the hypothesis of great changes caused by dam construction, even in the tree community. The main

factor was the increase in the amount of water available, a barrier for many species to growth in the dry season before the damming (Vale et al. 2013). With water available in dry periods after impoundment (Vale et al. 2013), there was no water restriction and more plant species could grow enough to meet the inclusion criteria.

Most of the new species recruited probably were already present in the community as small individuals or saplings with deficiency in growth due to water stress. Summarizing these new species sampled, at least 20 are water-associated species, found in non-Amazonian riparian forests (Rodrigues and Nave 2000), humid Atlantic Forest (Oliveira and Fontes 2000), or even distributed in wet environments of riparian (gallery) forests (Oliveira-Filho and Ratter 2002) or flooded forests (Silva et al. 2007). Hence, prolonged dry periods could act as a negative filter for these species in the original dry conditions, killing them or at least hindering their establishment. The rise in soil moisture due to dam construction (Gusson et al. 2011) breaks the marked seasonality of soil moisture for these forests, favoring the establishment of water-associated species.

It is important to note that the new conditions created by damming are not transitory. Thus, other tree species can be established in this community over the years, and the community will never return to its original state. Germination is influenced by water (Breshears et al. 1998), and some species would have better conditions to stabilize. Even fruits and seeds dispersed from other areas should also increase species richness. The short period of monitoring and the inclusion criteria (only trees five or more centimeters in diameter were sampled) make it difficult to make these affirmations about germination and dispersion influences on richness. However, a regeneration work in these areas shows distinct seedling and sapling responses of the two most important species in these forests (*Anadenanthera colubrina* and *Myracrodruon urundeuva*) demonstrated that *M. urundeuva* had a more negative response than did *A. colubrina* to increased soil water (Gusson et al. 2011), verifying the effects on germination. Moreover, other dam studies have compared free-flowing rivers with regulated rivers and have shown some positive effects of damming on plant richness due to dispersion (Jansson et al. 2000) and germination (Andersson et al. 2000). The rise in richness and diversity should be treated with caution. The increase in richness and diversity will never overcome the loss of species drowned by the damming. This increase in richness may be treated as one more impact of dams on the flora.

Studies in temperate environments affected by dams have found species changes (Jansson et al. 2000; Nilsson et al. 2002) but have concluded that both richness and diversity are not the most sensitive indicators of effects

Table 2 Tree species parameters and dynamic rates for a deciduous forest (Deciduous forest 1) in Southeastern Brazil. T0 = before dam construction, T2 = 2 years after damming, T4 = 4 years after damming, M = mortality, R = recruitment, O = outgrowth, I = ingrowth. Only species with at least 20 individuals are shown

Deciduous forest 1	Number of individuals			Basal area			Dynamic rates T0–T2				Dynamic rates T2–T4				Dynamic rates T0–T4			
	T0	T2	T4	T0	T2	T4	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I
<i>Acacia polyphylla</i>	40	39	35	0.25	0.25	0.28	19.4	18.4	19.2	19.9	5.3	0.0	3.4	8.5	13.9	11.0	12.6	15.3
<i>Anadenanthera colubrina</i>	141	170	187	3.18	4.67	5.14	1.8	10.9	2.4	19.5	4.8	9.0	4.8	9.2	4.0	10.5	5.0	15.7
<i>Bauhinia unguolata</i>	28	30	29	0.09	0.12	0.13	3.6	6.9	3.5	18.3	5.1	3.5	4.8	6.4	4.8	5.6	5.4	13.7
<i>Campomanesia vellutina</i>	92	89	86	1.23	1.19	1.18	6.2	4.6	6.3	4.8	1.7	0.0	3.2	2.8	4.0	2.4	4.9	4.0
<i>Casearia rupestris</i>	24	21	19	0.18	0.17	0.18	13.4	7.4	11.4	8.6	4.9	0.0	5.5	8.9	9.6	4.2	9.0	9.3
<i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	19	22	23	0.17	0.25	0.29	0.0	7.1	2.6	19.0	4.7	6.7	2.9	9.4	2.7	7.3	3.5	15.0
<i>Myracrodruon urundeuva</i>	279	238	199	5.33	5.61	5.44	8.2	0.6	3.7	6.1	9.0	0.5	4.2	2.7	8.7	0.6	4.2	4.7
<i>Piptadenia gonoacantha</i>	71	96	99	0.80	1.02	1.05	11.2	23.6	16.9	26.5	7.0	8.4	14.2	15.3	11.8	18.8	22.8	27.9
<i>Platypodium elegans</i>	21	21	20	0.58	0.65	0.72	2.4	2.4	6.4	11.9	2.4	0.0	0.5	5.5	2.5	1.3	3.6	8.8
<i>Tabebuia roseoalba</i>	246	237	231	2.86	3.05	3.12	3.9	2.1	2.4	5.5	3.0	1.7	1.9	3.1	3.5	2.0	2.3	4.4
Entire community	1124	1136	1133	16.80	19.16	20.54	6.5	7.1	4.9	11.0	4.9	4.7	4.0	6.6	6.1	6.3	5.0	9.2

of flow regulation (Dynesius et al. 2004). Our results, however, suggest high modification in both richness and diversity after only 4 years of impact. The impacts on the species pool were probably high due the high biodiversity in tropical environments, and several shifts in species should be expected in any overflow in the tropics. This is a key problem because the most diverse tropical systems are affected by dams (Nilsson et al.

2005) and this represent a high risk to biodiversity because all forests in the tropics that are subjected to similar flooding after damming tend to show high species chances.

It is difficult to imagine how damming affects forest communities all over the world, but the changes shown here point to a dramatic scenario with huge modifications. Moreover, the damming influence on recruitment

Table 3 Tree species parameters and dynamic rates for a deciduous forest (Deciduous forest 2) in Southeastern Brazil. T0 = before dam construction, T2 = 2 years after damming, T4 = 4 years after damming, M = mortality, R = recruitment, O = outgrowth, I = ingrowth. Only species with at least 20 individuals are shown

Deciduous forest 2	Number of individuals			Basal area			Dynamic rates T0–T2				Dynamic rates T2–T4				Dynamic rates T0–T4			
	T0	T2	T4	T0	T2	T4	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I
<i>Allophylus racemosus</i>	13	22	22	0.05	0.08	0.09	3.9	26.1	3.1	23.8	2.3	2.3	3.3	7.4	4.1	15.9	4.5	17.1
<i>Aloysia virgata</i>	43	35	25	0.20	0.16	0.12	12.4	2.9	14.3	5.3	15.5	0.0	15.5	1.7	14.5	2.1	15.9	4.6
<i>Anadenanthera colubrina</i>	68	88	93	2.20	2.53	2.97	3.7	15.4	11.9	17.8	1.7	4.4	0.4	8.2	3.1	10.4	6.4	13.2
<i>Aspidosperma parvifolium</i>	20	23	24	0.34	0.19	0.38	0.0	6.7	0.0	11.1	2.2	4.3	5.2	32.3	1.3	5.7	1.5	23.0
<i>Campomanesia velutina</i>	27	31	32	0.13	0.16	0.17	1.9	8.4	3.3	12.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	5.5	0.9	5.1	2.9	9.1
<i>Casearia gossypiosperma</i>	21	32	37	0.08	0.13	0.16	0.0	19.0	0.1	21.9	0.0	7.0	0.4	8.9	0.0	13.2	0.4	15.8
<i>Casearia rupestris</i>	165	149	136	0.88	0.93	0.89	9.2	3.8	8.6	11.0	4.9	0.4	4.3	2.2	7.3	2.3	7.1	7.2
<i>Celtis iguanaea</i>	29	31	27	0.25	0.25	0.22	5.3	8.4	12.6	13.2	6.7	0.0	13.1	7.8	6.7	5.0	15.3	13.0
<i>Coccoloba mollis</i>	33	31	32	0.23	0.23	0.24	6.3	1.6	11.9	11.2	1.6	3.2	4.6	6.7	4.0	2.4	9.0	9.6
<i>Dilodendron bipinnatum</i>	46	45	46	0.35	0.46	0.54	2.2	1.1	3.9	16.2	0.0	1.1	0.4	7.8	1.1	1.1	2.2	12.2
<i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	478	430	414	4.75	5.08	5.54	7.6	2.1	5.7	8.7	2.6	0.6	2.7	6.7	5.2	1.4	4.5	8.0
<i>Handroanthus vellozoi</i>	20	18	17	0.16	0.16	0.17	5.1	0.0	3.7	6.6	2.8	0.0	1.0	3.2	4.0	0.0	2.4	5.0
<i>Inga sessilis</i>	170	202	200	1.14	1.45	1.53	4.5	11.8	6.9	17.1	3.0	2.5	4.0	6.5	4.2	7.7	6.4	12.8
<i>Lonchocarpus cultratus</i>	32	52	54	0.27	0.44	0.54	3.2	21.6	3.4	23.9	2.9	4.7	0.9	10.2	4.2	14.4	2.5	17.6
<i>Machaerium brasiliensis</i>	22	24	26	0.11	0.17	0.20	0.0	4.3	0.0	20.3	0.0	3.9	0.1	9.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	14.9
<i>Myracrodruon urundeuva</i>	225	208	197	3.75	4.10	4.34	5.5	1.0	4.3	8.6	3.4	0.5	2.1	4.6	4.5	0.8	3.4	6.8
<i>Rhamnidium elaeocarpum</i>	34	39	37	0.20	0.25	0.26	1.5	8.0	1.5	11.9	2.6	0.0	4.4	5.5	2.3	4.3	3.6	9.3
Entire community	1626	1670	1649	18.03	20.23	21.75	6.0	6.9	5.9	11.7	3.0	2.3	3.3	6.7	4.8	4.8	5.1	9.7

Table 4 Tree species parameters and dynamic rates for a semideciduous forest (SF) in Southeastern Brazil. T0 = before dam construction, T2 = 2 years after damming, T4 = 4 years after damming, M = mortality, R = recruitment, O = outgrowth, I = ingrowth. Only species with at least 20 individuals are shown

Semideciduous forest Species	Number of individuals			Basal area			Dynamic rates T0–T2				Dynamic rates T2–T4				Dynamic rates T0–T4			
	T0	T2	T4	T0	T2	T4	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I	M	R	O	I
<i>Apuleia leiocarpa</i>	26	29	33	0.82	0.93	1.01	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.1	3.7	0.0	5.8	0.1	5.0
<i>Aspidosperma discolor</i>	121	119	127	2.31	2.59	2.90	2.9	2.1	2.8	8.2	0.0	3.2	0.1	5.6	1.5	2.7	1.4	6.9
<i>Astronium nelson-rosae</i>	99	104	107	1.13	1.27	1.40	2.0	4.4	1.9	7.4	0.5	1.9	0.5	5.3	1.3	3.2	1.2	6.4
<i>Callisthene major</i>	55	56	56	4.21	4.45	4.51	2.8	3.6	0.8	3.5	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.3	1.5	3.2
<i>Casearia gossypiosperma</i>	19	23	24	0.22	0.26	0.28	0.0	9.1	0.3	9.4	0.0	2.1	0.0	3.8	0.0	5.7	0.2	6.6
<i>Casearia grandiflora</i>	136	115	119	0.99	0.89	0.91	11.7	4.4	12.3	7.7	3.1	4.3	4.3	5.4	7.6	4.5	8.7	6.9
<i>Cheiloclinium cognatum</i>	103	100	105	0.64	0.62	0.73	5.0	3.6	7.4	6.1	0.5	2.9	1.5	8.8	2.8	3.3	4.6	7.5
<i>Copaifera langsdorffii</i>	81	76	77	6.74	7.12	7.64	3.1	0.0	2.5	5.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.5	1.6	0.3	1.3	4.3
<i>Cordia sessilis</i>	152	137	125	1.14	1.05	1.01	6.1	1.1	7.8	3.9	4.5	0.0	5.5	3.8	5.3	0.6	6.9	4.1
<i>Diospyros hispida</i>	25	21	21	0.57	0.52	0.56	8.3	0.0	12.6	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.1	4.3	0.0	6.6	6.3
<i>Duguetia lanceolata</i>	54	56	60	0.46	0.50	0.55	4.7	6.5	5.5	9.4	0.9	4.3	1.8	6.9	2.9	5.4	3.9	8.3
<i>Heisteria ovata</i>	35	34	33	0.26	0.27	0.31	5.9	4.5	6.7	8.2	1.5	0.0	0.5	6.7	3.8	2.4	3.7	7.5
<i>Luehea grandiflora</i>	30	33	40	0.30	0.29	0.30	5.1	9.5	9.8	8.1	1.5	10.6	6.6	7.3	3.5	10.2	8.9	8.3
<i>Mabea fistulifera</i>	25	27	32	0.53	0.59	0.57	6.2	9.7	2.4	8.0	3.8	11.6	8.5	6.7	5.4	11.1	6.3	8.2
<i>Micropholis venulosa</i>	33	31	33	0.56	0.65	0.75	4.7	1.6	5.0	12.1	0.0	3.1	0.2	6.5	2.4	2.4	2.7	9.4
<i>Myrcia floribunda</i>	101	105	104	0.80	0.84	0.92	2.5	4.4	5.9	8.2	1.4	1.0	1.2	5.6	2.0	2.8	3.7	7.0
<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i>	37	37	39	0.53	0.56	0.60	5.6	5.6	2.1	5.4	1.4	3.9	1.4	4.6	3.6	4.8	1.8	5.1
<i>Siparuna guianensis</i>	16	16	24	0.06	0.05	0.14	33.9	33.9	30.9	24.5	3.2	20.9	7.7	9.7	21.7	29.3	22.9	10.1
<i>Siphoneugena densiflora</i>	19	22	23	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.0	7.1	0.5	4.6	2.3	4.4	0.8	5.3	1.3	5.9	0.7	5.0
<i>Terminalia glabescens</i>	73	71	77	0.72	0.79	0.92	8.6	7.3	8.1	11.9	1.4	5.3	1.1	8.5	5.2	6.4	4.8	10.4
Entire community	1501	1489	1573	27.99	29.16	31.66	5.5	5.2	4.5	6.5	1.6	4.2	1.4	5.5	3.7	4.8	3.1	6.1

of water-associated species was strongest in patches near the river (0–30 m from the shore), which was twice that of those sampled farther from shore (30–60 m). Thus, damming effects on the community and on some species especially have been concentrated near the reservoir (Vale et al. 2013), just the main area for conservation efforts through ecological services such as with regard to soil protection against erosion and siltation (Guo et al. 2007; Hubble et al. 2010), aquatic fauna and corridor for fauna movements (Gundersen et al. 2010), and pathways for plant dispersion (Naiman and Decamps 1997; Nilsson and Berggren 2000). These areas that become situated close to the artificial lakeshore showed high impact and should be monitored for several years, so that we learn more about the many implications for ecosystems.

Water restriction is a common event for seasonal environments, but it is harsher for deciduous than semideciduous forests. The mountainous terrains with high slope and rocky soils in deciduous forests (Oliveira-Filho and Ratter 2002) facilitate the water flow in rainy periods and hinder water infiltration (Baker et al. 2002). In the semideciduous forest, water stress is less intense due to more clayey soils

and less sloped terrain, and hence, fewer new species are found.

Due to being in a more water-stressed environment, deciduous forests have stronger deciduousness during dry season than do semideciduous forests. With dam construction, the proximity of the forest to the water table increases subsoil water reserves, which is the ecophysiological basis for evergreen maintenance (Borchert 1998; Nepstad et al. 1994). Therefore, in the deciduous forest, the environment during the dry season becomes milder, facilitating the growth of evergreen species (most new species collected were evergreen). Evergreen species have more advantages when the environment is not water deficient. Deciduous species have more photosynthetic capacity (Reich et al. 2003) but lose part of the carbon acquired due to leaf fall. On the other hand, evergreen species do not lose much carbon during the dry season and are therefore photosynthetically active during the dry season (Chabot and Hicks 1982). In general, evergreen species have deep roots with more secondary and lateral roots (Markesteijn et al. 2010), and thus, it would be difficult to




Fig. 3 Comparison of recruitment/mortality (*a* and *c*) and ingrowth/outgrowth (*b* and *d*) rates in species with 20 or more individuals in dry forests in Southeastern Brazil. *a* and *b* are species from a dry deciduous forest and *c* and *d* are species from dry semideciduous forests. Blue circles = semideciduous forest species; green diamonds = deciduous forest 1 species; red diamonds = deciduous forest 2 species; green squares = species with low dynamic rates even in the T0–T2 period; closed blue circles = entire semideciduous forest; closed green diamonds = entire deciduous forest 1; closed red diamonds = entire deciduous forest 2; dashed lines indicate the entire community rates in T0–T2 period. *a* = *Siparuna guianensis*; *b* = *Allophylus racemosus*; *c* = *Piptadenia gonoacantha*; *d* = *Lonchocarpus cultratus*; *e* = *Casearia gossypiosperma*; *f* = *Acacia polyphylla*; *g* = *Anadenanthera colubrina*; *h* = *Inga sessilis*; *i* = *Anadenanthera colubrina*; *j* = *Mabea fistulifera*; *k* = *Luehea grandiflora*; *l* = *Casearia gossypiosperma*; *m* = *Celtis iguanaea*; *n* = *Campomanesia velutina*; *o* = *Rhamnidium elaeocarpum*; *p* = *Terminalia glabrescens*; *q* = *Casearia rupestris*; *r* = *Aloysia virgata*; *s* = *Casearia grandiflora*; *t* = *Casearia rupestris*; *u* = *Dyospirus hispida*; *v* = *Myracrodruon urundeuva*; *w* = *Guazuma ulmifolia*; *x* = *Machaerium brasiliensis*; *y* = *Guazuma ulmifolia*; *z* = *Bauhinia unguolata*; *d'* = *Dilodendron bipinnatum*; *b'* = *Coccoloba mollis*; *c'* = *Micropholis venulosa*; *d'* = *Platypodium elegans*; *e'* = *Aspidosperma olivaceum*; *f'* = *Cordia sessilis*; *g'* = *Cheilochinium cognatum*; *h'* = *Duguetia lanceolata*

maintain root biomass with less carbon gain during dry seasons (Wright and Vanschaik 1994).

However, with water supply all over the year, photosynthesis had no limiter and evergreen plants could present high growth rates. Thus, in the long-term we expected a conversion of physiognomies near the riverbed, of an original deciduous forest to a more evergreen environment (a semideciduous forest, but still with marked deciduousness due long-lived deciduous trees). What about new deciduous species found? Of all these “new-deciduous species,” only three showed intermediate- to high-density wood (greater than 0.65 g.cm^{-3}). Deciduous trees with lower wood density are more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism and cavitation (Choat et al. 2003; Choat et al. 2005), and thus, intense dry periods tend to be more negative for low-density wood deciduous plants (Markesteyn et al. 2010). With rise in soil moisture, the risk of low water problems for sap transportation is reduced; plant fitness

and survival in the new conditions are favored. Thus, low-density wood was favored.

“Riparian Effect”

The rainfall regime and groundwater depths strongly influence species composition, community structure, and biological diversity (Ehleringer and Dawson 1992; Munoz-Reinoso 2001; Naiman and Decamps 1997), and a water-stressed environment can raise the richness and diversity of trees after water availability changes (Xu et al. 2009). On a global scale, humid forests have more biodiversity (Gaston 2000) in places without energy restriction, such as the tropics (O'Brien et al. 2000). Considering that the energy in these systems did not vary in the forests studied, the clear factor that enhanced richness was the change of a “common dry forest” to an “artificial riparian dry forest” due to increased soil moisture (the so-called “Riparian Effect”).

Riparian forests are a transition zone between land and aquatic systems and support more plant richness than surrounding areas (Naiman and Decamps 1997; Nilsson and Berggren 2000) because they have flora associated with humid and dryer patches. The increase in richness and diversity, however, will not mean a “total” conversion of these dry forests into a typical riparian forest due to the maintenance of most of the species in the community, and a few species are lost. Riparian forests are species richness systems (Rodrigues and Nave 2000), due to the high heterogeneity, such as floods (Lopes and Schiavini 2007), distinct water flow (Jansson et al. 2000), and great soil moisture variations (Rodrigues et al. 2010), and despite that new species appeared, some characteristics of the original forest were constant.

Some of these “heterogeneity creators” in a natural riparian forest did not occur in the three forests analyzed here. First, floods did not occur because dam flow was controlled by an upstream dam, and thus the water table did not vary and soil moisture near stream would have few changes over subsequent years. Flood frequency and variations in water table depth increases habitat complexity (Naiman and Decamps 1997), creating conditions for the growth of different species (Lopes and Schiavini 2007). Second, the new artificial lake created did not have a water current, and thus the sediment and seed deposition from upstream plants would not occur. Flow regime influences species composition and distribution on a small scale (Bendix and Hupp 2000; Hughes and Rood 2003), because many seeds are dispersed by hydrochory (Jansson et al. 2000) and because soil deposition creates patches with distinct soil infiltration and nutrients (Rodrigues et al. 2010), increasing patches with environmental heterogeneity for the establishment of different species. Therefore, free-flowing rivers have more species-richness than regulated ones after long periods (Dynesius et al. 2004; Nilsson et al. 1997).

This “Riparian Effect” occurred in the three dry forests studied, not only enhancing richness and diversity but also leading to a marked exchange of individuals, due to high mortality and recruitment. There was little change in the total number of individuals, due to a balance in recruitment and death of trees; however, because of an imbalance after damming occurred, some species showed high recruitment rates and low mortality against species that were very negatively affected, with mortality rates higher than recruitment. Even a little soil moisture change may induce vegetation changes (Nilsson and Svedmark 2002), and increased soil water then causes different responses in species. On the one hand, water could kill roots by oxygen stress and consequently anoxia (Vartapetian and Jackson 1997; White 2007) and upland plants usually are intolerant to a riparian environment (Johnson 1994; Nilsson and Berggren 2000). On

the other hand, it can break the intense dry seasonal period and enhance plant growth.

The scenario was an unstable period with intense tree changes and several consequences for species a few years after damming. Unstable periods occur after strong perturbation and some conclusions were difficult to make, but it is clear that most important species did not respond equally and those very negatively affected should not be used in the management of areas with similar impacts.

Times of record assessment

Not only were there a range of responses according to forest type (species in semideciduous forest responded less intensely to the effects of the dam), since the responses were concentrated in the first 2 years after impoundment, but also most species showed fewer changes in the T2–T4 period in all three forests, demonstrating that damming impacts tended to stabilize a few years after impoundment. Works with other taxa, such as macrophytes and insects, after damming (Fearnside 2005; Moura Júnior et al. 2011; Patz et al. 2000) have demonstrated that intense changes occur after dam construction but tend to stabilize over years (Lima et al. 2002). Even abiotic changes, such as carbon emission, were concentrated in the first years after damming (Fearnside 2002).

Hence, the analysis every 2 years was necessary and satisfactory in representing the scenario after damming, with marked changes just after impact followed by a stabilization. The damming effect for many species would have been masked, if analyzed only in the T0–T4 period because rates in T2–T4 were three to more than 10 times smaller for these species. Moreover, we avoided error associated with tree hydration when measurements are made in different seasons of the years (Phillips et al. 2004), because all measurements (T0, T2, and T4) were carried out at the end of the rainy season (March–April), increasing the reliability of the results. The measurement interval also affects dynamic rates (Phillips et al. 2004), but the rate differences for species between T0–T2 and T0–T4 were too high (frequently more than 5% per year) to infer that the 2 or 4 years caused such great effects on rates. Finally, the rates could be influenced by the number of individuals for a species, and according to our criteria for analysis, we considered only species with 20 or more individuals to minimize this problem, resulting in many general tendencies.

Conclusions

With dam construction, the proximity of the forest to the water table increases subsoil water reserves and break the intense dry seasonal period. This disturbance is permanent, and is transforming the dry forests into an artificial riparian forest, however with less species and

heterogeneity than it. We named this process of "Riparian Effect". This Riparian Effect is reorganizing forest structure with establishment of many water-associated species and increase of the forest basal area because the water supply improves tree growth, increasing basal area in many trees, which become thicker. Dams creates a permanent landscape alteration and changes in these forests will occur for several years and are more notable in the first two years after damming, however the changes in deciduous forest are more remarkable than in semideciduous forests. This paper helps understanding the impacts of damming on seasonal forests. Undoubtedly, not all changes in these forests can be documented, but it's clear that the damming impacts are very significant and deserve further study.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Tree species parameters and dynamic rates to three Dry Forests (Deciduous Forest 1 – DF1, Deciduous Forest 2 – DF2 and Semideciduous Forest SF) in southern Brazil. T0 = before dam construction, T2 = two years after damming, T4 = four years after damming, M = mortality, R = recruitment, O = outgrowth, I = ingrowth. Only species with less than 20 individuals are shown.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

VSV wrote the manuscript. VSV and IS participated in the design of the study. VSV, IS, JAPJ, APO and AEG participated in the field works and revised the manuscript. JAPJ corrected the English language. VSV, JAPJ, APO and AEG made the statistical analysis. VSV, statistical analyzes and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Foundation for Research Support of the State of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), CAPES (Coordination for the Development of Higher Education Personnel, Process 2498/09-0), and PACCSS-FAPEMIG (Process CRA-30058-12) for financial support for financial support. Dr. A. Leyva helped with English editing of the manuscript.

Author details

¹Universidade Estadual de Goiás (State University of Goiás) - Campus Ipameri, CEP 75780-000, Ipameri, Goiás, Brasil. ²Laboratory of Botany, Lutheran University of Brazil, Itumbiara, Goiás, Brazil.

Received: 29 April 2015 Accepted: 29 April 2015

Published online: 05 June 2015

References

- Acker SA, Gregory S, Lienkaemper G, McKee WA, Swanson FJ, Miller SD (2003) Composition, complexity, and tree mortality in riparian forests in the central Western Cascades of Oregon. *Forest Ecol Manag* 173:293–308
- Andersson E, Nilsson C, Johansson ME (2000) Effects of river fragmentation on plant dispersal and riparian flora. *Regul Rivers* 16:83–9
- Baker TR, Affum-Baffoe K, Burslem D, Swaine MD (2002) Phenological differences in tree water use and the timing of tropical forest inventories: conclusions from patterns of dry season diameter change. *Forest Ecol Manag* 171:261–74
- Barth JAC, Cronin AA, Dunlop J, Kalin RM (2003) Influence of carbonates on the riverine carbon cycle in an anthropogenically dominated catchment basin: evidence from major elements and stable carbon isotopes in the Lagan River (N. Ireland). *Chem Geol* 200:203–16
- Baxter RM (1977) Environmental effects of dams and impoundments. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 8:255–83
- Bendix J, Hupp CR (2000) Hydrological and geomorphological impacts on riparian plant communities. *Hydrol Process* 14:2977–90
- Borchert R (1998) Responses of tropical trees to rainfall seasonality and its long-term changes. *Clim Change* 39:381–93
- Brandao RA, Araujo AFB (2008) Changes in anuran species richness and abundance resulting from hydroelectric dam flooding in Central Brazil. *Biokhimiya* 40:263–6
- Breshears DD, Nyhan JW, Heil CE, Wilcox BP (1998) Effects of woody plants on microclimate in a semiarid woodland: Soil temperature and evaporation in canopy and intercanopy patches. *Int J Plant Sci* 159:1010–7
- Chabot BF, Hicks DJ (1982) The ecology of leaf spans. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 13:229–59
- Chazdon RL, Brenes AR, Alvarado BV (2005) Effects of climate and stand age on annual tree dynamics in tropical second-growth rain forests. *Ecology* 86:1808–15
- Chazdon RL, Letcher SG, van Breugel M, Martinez-Ramos M, Bongers F, Finegan B (2007) Rates of change in tree communities of secondary Neotropical forests following major disturbances. *Philos T Roy Soc B* 362:273–89
- Choat B, Ball M, Lully J, Holtum J (2003) Pit membrane porosity and water stress-induced cavitation in four co-existing dry rainforest tree species. *Plant Physiol* 131:41–8
- Choat B, Ball MC, Lully JG, Holtum JAM (2005) Hydraulic architecture of deciduous and evergreen dry rainforest tree species from north-eastern Australia. *Trees-Struct Func* 19:305–11
- Colwell RK (2005) EstimateS: statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. *Versão* 7:5
- Colwell RK, Coddington JA (1994) Estimating the extent of terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. *Philos T Roy Soc B* 345:101–18
- Dynesius M, Nilsson C (1994) Fragmentation and flow regulation of river systems in the northern 3rd of the world. *Science* 266:753–62
- Dynesius M, Jansson R, Johansson ME, Nilsson C (2004) Intercontinental similarities in riparian-plant diversity and sensitivity to river regulation. *Ecol Appl* 14:173–91
- Ehleringer JR, Dawson TE (1992) Water-uptake by plants - perspectives from stable isotope composition. *Plant, Cell Environ* 15:1073–82
- Evans A, Strezov V, Evans TJ (2009) Assessment of sustainability indicators for renewable energy technologies. *Renew Sust Energ Rev* 13:1082–8
- Fearnside PM (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. *Water Air Soil Poll* 133:69–96
- Fearnside PM (2005) Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development policy and the environment in Amazonia. *Environ Manage* 35:1–19
- Gaston KJ (2000) Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature* 405:220–227
- Guariguata MR, Cronkleton P, Shanley P, Taylor PL (2008) The compatibility of timber and non-timber forest product extraction and management. *Forest Ecol Manag* 256:1477–81
- Gundersen P, Lauren A, Finer L, Ring E, Koivusalo H, Saetersdal M, Weslien JO, Sigurdsson BD, Hogbom L, Laine J, Hansen K (2010) Environmental services provided from riparian forests in the Nordic countries. *Ambio* 39:555–66
- Guo ZW, Li YM, Xiao XM, Zhang L, Gan YL (2007) Hydroelectricity production and forest conservation in watersheds. *Ecol Appl* 17:1557–62
- Gusson AE, Vale VS, Oliveira AP, Lopes SF, Dias Neto OC, Araújo GM, Schiavini I (2011) Interferência do aumento de umidade do solo nas populações de *Myracrodruon urundeuva* Allemão e *Anadenanthera colubrina* (Vell.) Brenan em reservatórios artificiais de Usinas Hidrelétricas. *Sci Forestal* 39:35–41
- Hubble TCT, Docker BB, Rutherford ID (2010) The role of riparian trees in maintaining riverbank stability: a review of Australian experience and practice. *Ecol Eng* 36:292–304
- Hughes FMR, Rood SB (2003) Allocation of river flows for restoration of floodplain forest ecosystems: a review of approaches and their applicability in Europe. *Environ Manag* 32:12–33
- Humborg C, Ittekkot V, Cociasu A, VonBodungen B (1997) Effect of Danube River dam on Black Sea biogeochemistry and ecosystem structure. *Nature* 386:385–8
- Hutcheson K (1970) A test for comparing diversities based on Shannon formula. *J Theor Biol* 29:151–4
- Jansson R, Nilsson C, Dynesius M, Andersson E (2000) Effects of river regulation on river-margin vegetation: a comparison of eight boreal rivers. *Ecol Appl* 10:203–24
- Jansson R, Zinko U, Merritt DM, Nilsson C (2005) Hydrochory increases riparian plant species richness: a comparison between a free-flowing and a regulated river. *J Ecol* 93:1094–103

- Johnson WC (1994) Woodland expansion in the Platte River, Nebraska - patterns and causes. *Ecol Monogr* 64:45–84
- Kaygusuz K (2004) Hydropower and the world's energy future. *Energy Source* 26:215–24
- Kottek M, Griesser J, Beck C, Rudolf B, Rubel F (2006) World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorol Z* 15(3):259–63
- Laurance WF, Nascimento HEM, Laurance SG, Andrade A, Ribeiro JELS, Giraldo JP, Lovejoy TE, Condit R, Chave J, Harms KE, D'Angelo S (2006) Rapid decay of tree-community composition in Amazonian forest fragments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103:19010–4
- Lima IBT, Victoria RL, Novo EMLM, Feigl BJ, Ballester MVR, Ometto JP (2002) Methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from two Amazonian reservoirs during high water table. *Verhandlungen I Vereinigung Limnol* 28:438–42
- Lopes SF, Schiavini I (2007) Dinâmica da comunidade arbórea de mata de galeria da Estação Ecológica do Panga, Minas Gerais, Brasil. *Acta Bot Bras* 21:249–61
- Manyari WV, Carvalho OA (2007) Environmental considerations in energy planning for the Amazon region: downstream effects of dams. *Energy Policy* 35:6526–34
- Markesteijn L, Iraipi J, Bongers F, Poorter L (2010) Seasonal variation in soil and plant water potentials in a Bolivian tropical moist and dry forest. *J Trop Ecol* 26:497–508
- Moura Júnior EG, Abreu MC, Severi W, Lira GAST (2011) O gradiente rio-barragem do reservatório de Sobradinho afeta a composição florística, riqueza e formas biológicas das macrófitas aquáticas? *Rodriguésia* 62:731–42
- Munoz-Reinoso JC (2001) Vegetation changes and groundwater abstraction in SW Donana, Spain. *J Hydrol* 242:197–209
- Murphy PG, Lugo AE (1986) Ecology of tropical dry forest. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 17:67–88
- Naiman RJ, Decamps H (1997) The ecology of interfaces: riparian zones. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 28:621–58
- Nepstad DC, Decarvalho CR, Davidson EA, Jipp PH, Lefebvre PA, Negreiros GH, Dasilva ED, Stone TA, Trumbore SE, Vieira S (1994) The role of deep roots in the hydrological and carbon cycles of Amazonian forests and pastures. *Nature* 372:666–9
- Nilsson C, Berggren K (2000) Alterations of riparian ecosystems caused by river regulation. *Bioscience* 50:783–92
- Nilsson C, Svedmark M (2002) Basic principles and ecological consequences of changing water regimes: riparian plant communities. *Environ Manag* 30:468–80
- Nilsson C, Andersson E, Merritt DM, Johansson ME (2002) Differences in riparian flora between riverbanks and river lakeshores explained by dispersal traits. *Ecology* 83:2878–87
- Nilsson C, Jansson R, Zinko U (1997) Long-term responses of river-margin vegetation to water-level regulation. *Science* 276:798–800
- Nilsson C, Reidy CA, Dynesius M, Revenga C (2005) Fragmentation and flow regulation of the world's large river systems. *Science* 308:405–8
- O'Brien EM, Field R, Whittaker RJ (2000) Climatic gradients in woody plant (tree and shrub) diversity: water-energy dynamics, residual variation, and topography. *Oikos* 89:588–600
- Oliveira AT, Fontes MAL (2000) Patterns of floristic differentiation among Atlantic forests in southeastern Brazil and the influence of climate. *Biokhimiya* 32:793–810
- Oliveira-Filho AT, Ratter JA (2002) Vegetation physiognomies and woody flora of the Cerrado Biome. In: Oliveira PS, Marquis RJ (eds) *The Cerrados of Brazil*. Columbia University Press, New York, pp 91–120
- Oliveira-Filho AT, Carvalho WAC, Machado ELM, Higuchi P, Appolinário V, Castro GC, Silva AC, Santos RM, Borges LF, Corrêa BS, Alves JM (2007) Dinâmica da comunidade e populações arbóreas da borda e interior de um remanescente florestal na Serra da Mantiqueira, Minas Gerais, em um intervalo de cinco anos (1999–2004). *Rev Bras Bot* 30:149–61
- Palmer GC, Bennett AF (2006) Riparian zones provide for distinct bird assemblages in forest mosaics of south-east Australia. *Biol Conserv* 130:447–57
- Pascarella JB, Aide TM, Zimmerman JK (2004) Short-term response of secondary forests to hurricane disturbance in Puerto Rico, USA. *Forest Ecol Manag* 199:379–93
- Patz JA, Graczyk TK, Geller N, Vittor AY (2000) Effects of environmental change on emerging parasitic diseases. *Int J Parasitol* 30:1395–405
- Phillips OL, Baker TR, Arroyo L, Higuchi N, Killeen TJ, Laurance WF, Lewis SL, Lloyd J, Malhi Y, Monteagudo A, Neill DA, Vargas PN, Silva JNM, Terborgh J, Martinez RV, Alexiades M, Almeida S, Brown S, Chave J, Comiskey JA, Czimczik CI, Di Fiore A, Erwin T, Kuebler C, Laurance SG, Nascimento HEM, Olivier J, Palacios W, Patino S, Pitman NCA, Quesada CA, Salidas M, Lezama AT, Vinceti B (2004) Pattern and process in Amazon tree turnover, 1976–2001. *Philos T Roy Soc B* 359:381–407
- Reich PB, Wright IJ, Cavender-Bares J, Craine JM, Oleksyn J, Westoby M, Walters MB (2003) The evolution of plant functional variation: Traits, spectra, and strategies. *Int J Plant Sci* 164:S143–64
- Rodrigues RR, Nave AG (2000) Heterogeneidade florística das matas ciliares. In: Rodrigues R. R. and Leitão-Filho H. F. (eds), *Matas ciliares: conservação e recuperação*, São Paulo, SP., 45–71.
- Rodrigues VHP, Lopes SF, Araújo GM, Schiavini I (2010) Composição, estrutura e aspectos ecológicos da floresta ciliar do rio Araguaari no Triângulo Mineiro. *Hoehnea* 37:87–105
- Santos ER, Assunção WL (2006) Distribuição espacial das chuvas na microbacia do Córrego do Amanhece, Araguaari - MG. *Caminhos da Geografia* 6:41–55
- Shannon CE (1948) A mathematical theory of communication. *AT&T Tech J* 27:379–423
- Sheil D, Burslem D, Alder D (1995) The interpretation and misinterpretation of mortality-rate measures. *J Ecol* 83:331–3
- Sheil D, Jennings S, Savill P (2000) Long-term permanent plot observations of vegetation dynamics in Budongo, a Ugandan rain forest. *J Trop Ecol* 16:765–800
- Sidle RC, Ziegler AD, Negishi JN, Nik AR, Siew R, Turkelboom F (2006) Erosion processes in steep terrain - Truths, myths, and uncertainties related to forest management in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecol Manag* 224:199–225
- Silva AC, Berg EVD, Higuchi P, Oliveira-Filho AT (2007) Comparação florística de florestas inundáveis das regiões Sudeste e Sul do Brasil. *Rev Bras Bot* 30:257–69
- St Louis VL, Kelly CA, Duchemin E, Rudd JWM, Rosenberg DM (2000) Reservoir surfaces as sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere: a global estimate. *Biokhimiya* 50:766–75
- Truffer B, Bratrich C, Markard J, Peter A, Wuest A, Wehrli B (2003) Green Hydropower: the contribution of aquatic science research to the promotion of sustainable electricity. *Aquat Sci* 65:99–110
- Vale VS, Schiavini I, Araújo GM, Gusson AE, Lopes SF, Oliveira AP, Prado-Júnior JA, Arantes CS, Dias-Neto OC (2013) Fast changes in seasonal forest communities due to soil moisture increase after damming. *International J Trop Biol* 61:1901–17
- Vartapetian BB, Jackson MB (1997) Plant adaptations to anaerobic stress. *Ann Bot* 79:3–20
- Vorosmarty CJ, Meybeck M, Fekete B, Sharma K, Green P, Syvitski JPM (2003) Anthropogenic sediment retention: major global impact from registered river impoundments. *Global Planet Change* 39:169–90
- White TCR (2007) Flooded forests: death by drowning, not herbivory. *J Veg Sci* 18:147–8
- Wright SJ, Vanschaik CP (1994) Light and the phenology of tropical trees. *Am Nat* 143:192–9
- Xu H, Ye M, Li J (2009) The ecological characteristics of the riparian vegetation affected by river overflowing disturbance in the lower Tarim River. *Environ Geol* 58:1749–55

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com